

PROOF OF SERVICE OF A NOTICE OF APPEAL

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In The Court of Appeals

South Carolina Workers Compensation Commission T. Scott Beck, Chairman
Commissioner

T. Scott Beck, Chairman Commissioner

Case No. 1612632

South Carolina Workers
Compensation Commission
and AMAZON,

Respondent,

RECEIVED

JUL 09 2018

SC Court of Appeals

v.

Bobby Anderson,

Appellant.

PROOF OF SERVICE

I Bobby Anderson hereby certify that I have received order from The South Carolina Court Of Appeals that my motion to proceed in forma pauperis is being denied pursuant to Ex Parte Martin 321 S.C. 533, 471 S.E.2d 134 (1995). Saying that the filing fee must be paid within fifteen days of the date of this order or the appeal will be dismissed. I question the authority of denying my motion to proceed in forma pauperis. I don't understand. Is the State of South Carolina Court Of Appeal you represent, a State of the United State of America? I ask that you respond to this question failure to respond or answer that question, constitutes bad faith. I accepted your letter for value and return for discharge any filing fee and refuse South Carolina Court of Appeal contract/order for cause without dishonor pursuant to UCC-3-501. The case Ex Parte Martin 321 S.C. 533, 471 S.E.2d 134 (1995) is irrelevant and have nothing to do with me and my case to proceed in forma pauperis. I am not an inmate and I am a free people and I am being arbitrarily deprived of my right to court due to my economic depression of having no monthly income.

My total monthly income is zero "0" income do you need other paper work to support my income? I don't have a monthly income I am supported by my family members and they pay the

bills. I disagree with this court decision and ask for an in person hearing. Because I should qualify I get help from the government such as food stamps (SNAP) benefits.

“Woe to those who decree unjust statutes and to those who continually record unjust decisions, to deprive the needy of justice and to rob the poor of my people of their rights...” Isaiah 10 vs 1-2

Stock v. Medical Examiners 94 Ca 2d 751. 211 P2d 289 In Interest of M.V., 288 Ill. App 3d 300, 681 N.E.2d 532 (1st Dist. 1997) “Where a court’s power to act is controlled by statute, the court is governed by the rules of limited jurisdiction, and courts exercising jurisdiction over such matters must proceed within the structures of the statute.” The State citizen is immune from any and all government attacks and procedure, absent from contract.” See Dred Scott vs. Sanford, 60 U.S. (19 How. 393 Or as the Supreme Court has stated clearly, every man is independent of all laws, except those prescribed by nature. He is not bound by any institutions formed by his fellow man without his consent.” I don’t consent to the case Ex parte Martin 321 S.C. 533, 471 S.E.2d 134 (1995) and I don’t consent to you dismissing my case. I would like a hearing.

In the state of nature, liberty consists of being free from any superior power on Earth. People are not under the will or lawmaking authority of others but have only the law of nature for their rule. In political society, liberty consists of being under no other lawmaking power except that established by consent in the commonwealth. People are free from the dominion of any will or legal restraint apart from that enacted by their own constituted lawmaking power according to the trust put in it.

I believe that I have a 9th Amendment right to proceed in forma pauperis without any filing fee. Because I am unable to pay any kind of fee at this time the ninth amendment the enumeration in the constitution, of certain rights, shall not be construed to deny or disparage others retained by the people. I retain the right to proceed without the filing fee. Because I can’t afford it and I can’t offer you any form. Of payment Please consider this gold Jesus pendant form of payment. As a gesture of goodwill..

American Jurisprudence Book 16 Constitutional Law Section 16 Am Jur 2d Sec 97 “Then a constitution should receive a literal interpretation in favor of the Citizen, is especially true with respect to those provision which were designed to safeguard the liberty and security of the Citizen, is especially true with respect to those provision which were designed to safeguard the liberty and security of the Citizen in regard to person and property rights. “Bary v. United States – 273 US 128 “Any constitutional provision intended to confer a benefit should be liberally construed in favor in the clearly intended and expressly designated beneficiary” I am the beneficiary of the Constitution and it’s should be construed in favor of me I am the beneficiary. It give me liberty to be able to proceed in forma pauperis deny me to proceed in forma is denying me my right and it is also denying me my right of life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness. I believe that I have the right to proceed in forma pauperis. If you believe that I don’t have any

rights. Please respond back to this letter. Because I believe that I have the right to exercise this right and privilege secured to me by the constitution.. Please see Tittle 18 U.S. Code 241 and 242. I want to know why is my forma pauperis is being denied when I showed proper proof of source of income that I am unable to pay any filing fee. I feel that requesting me to pay a filing fee when I have no income is income discrimination I feel that my stories are going unheard because of me having no income and I feel that requiring me to pay a filing fee is unfair and unreasonable and unethical and it is exclusion because I am needy and poor I can't pay I feel hurt economically and morally situation and my injury's that work related. I am being denied due process because of me having no income and unable to pay and if you denying me my rights you are denying me and everyone due process. The 4th amendment "No state shall make or enforce any law which shall abridge the privileges or immunities of citizen of the United State; Nor shall any state deprive any person of life, liberty, or property without due process of law, nor deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the law." Denying me to proceed with my case is clear deprivation of liberty and equal protection because I am being denied because I am being denied equal protection of the law due to me unable to pay I have the right to proceed with my case without any filing fee and I have the fundamental right to be heard and I shouldn't have to pay to be heard by any court because I would be paying for my rights itself when I have the right to use these court. If you taking away the right from me then you are taking away my liberty in the process and if I can't get a hearing you are taking away due process. Due process is fairness to the citizen so that the government doesn't have an undue advantage to my understanding fundamental rights are those rights which all people can simultaneously claim without forcing someone to serve their needs and I believe that I have the right and nobody can take that right away from me.

I am returning the order back to the court of appeal and I am serving my Reponses with additional evidence Picture of my injury and proof of my Food Stamps card is being served on Amazon Attorney Jared C. Williams and Commissioner T. Scott Beck Dated July 09, 2018. by depositing a copy of it in the United States Mail, postage prepaid and also VIA Fax, on June 06, 2018, addressed to AMAZON attorney of record, Jared C. Williams, 885-B Island Park Drive Charleston, South Carolina 29492 and I personally walk-in and hand deliver a copy to Anita East of Workers Compensation and fax copy of the documents to Workman Compensation at Commissioner T. Scott Beck office at 1333 Main Street, Suite, 500 Columbia, SC, 29202-1715

July 09, 2018

s/ Bobby R. Anderson

Bobby R. Anderson
C/o 500 Buckhaven Way
Columbia, South Carolina [29229]
(803) 592-0062
Private Attorney In-Fact for Appellant

Other Counsel of Record:

Jared C. Williams, Esquire

South Carolina Bar No 100806

MOORE INGRAM JOHNSON & Steele, LLP

885-B Island Park Drive

Charleston, South Carolina 29492

Telephone: (843) 302-0002

Fax: (843) 302-0003

Attorney for Respondent

Commissioner: T. Scott Beck

1333 Main Street, Suite 500

Columbia, South Carolina 29202-1715

Telephone: (803) 737-5698

Fax: (803) 737-1281

Commission for Respondent

SOUTH CAROLINA EBT

Think Healthy, Eat Healthy!

6011 7200 2333 0050

BOBBY ANDERSEN

SOUTH CAROLINA EBT

Think Healthy, Eat Healthy!

6011 7200 2333 0050

BOBBY ANDERSEN

The South Carolina Court of Appeals

Bobby Anderson, Appellant,

v.

Amazon CAE1-West Columbia, Employer, and
American Zurich Insurance Co., Carrier, Respondents.

Appellate Case No. 2018-000993

ORDER

The motion to proceed *in forma pauperis* is denied pursuant to *Ex parte Martin*, 321 S.C. 533, 471 S.E.2d 134 (1995). The filing fee must be paid within fifteen days of the date of this order or the appeal will be dismissed.


FOR THE COURT

Columbia, South Carolina

cc:

Bobby Anderson

Christopher Clinton Mingledorff, Esquire

Jared Cyle Williams, Esquire

Accepted for Value
Return for Discharge
filing fee.

FILED
July 5, 2018
Refused for cause
without Dishonor / UCC3-501
07/06/18



