

87226

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

APPEAL FROM OCONEE COUNTY
CIRCUIT COURT

CORDELL MADDOX, CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE

RECEIVED

JUL 09 2018

SC Court of Appeals

CASE NO.: 2012-CP-37-00902
APPELLATE CASE NO. 2017-000294

Alexander Pastene.....Appellant,

v.


Marion R. McMillan and Synergy Spine Center, P.A.....Respondents.

MOTION TO SUPPLEMENT THE RECORD

Pursuant to Rule 212, SCACR, Respondents Marion R. McMillan and Synergy Spine Center, P.A. hereby request that Appellant Alexander Pastene be ordered to supplement the record on appeal to include the Exhibits attached to and incorporated into "Defendant's Return to Plaintiff's Motion Relief from Judgment under Rules 60 SCRPC & 55 SCRPC." (Exhibit 1). Respondents designated these matters to be included in the record on appeal but they were not included in the Record on Appeal prepared by Appellant. (Exhibit 2 at para 18). Respondents requested Appellant to supplement record on appeal by consent. (Exhibit 3). Appellant agreed to supplement the record but then withdrew his consent. (Exhibits 4 & 5).

Based upon the foregoing, Respondents Marion R. McMillan and Synergy Spine Center, P.A. respectfully request that the court order Appellant Alexander Pastene prepare an Appendix to include

the documents attached as Exhibit 1. In the alternative, Respondents request an order of the court allowing Respondent to submit an Appendix pursuant to Rule 212(c), SCACR.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "David A. Wilson", written over a horizontal line.

David A. Wilson
Wilson & Englehardt, LLC
200 Whitsett Street, Suite 100B
Greenville, South Carolina 29601
(864) 232-2329
ATTORNEY FOR RESPONDENTS

July 5, 2018

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

COUNTY OF OCONEE

Case No.: 2012-CP-37-00902

Alexander Pastene,

Plaintiff,

**DEFENDANTS' RETURN
TO**

v.

**"PLAINTIFF'S MOTION RELIEF
FROM JUDGMENT UNDER RULES 60
SCRCP & 55 SCRCP"**

Marion R. McMillan and Synergy Spine
Center, P.A.,

Defendants.

Plaintiff Alexander Pastene moves this court for relief from the "Default Judgment Against Plaintiff Alexander Pastene" filed June 13, 2016. Defendants oppose Plaintiff's Motion Relief from Judgment Under Rules 60 SCRCP & 55 SCRCP (hereinafter "Motion for Relief from Judgment").¹ Plaintiff fails to set forth sufficient reasons to grant relief from a final judgment pursuant to Rule 60 and his motion is barred factually, legally and procedurally.

The moving party in a Rule 60(b) motion has the burden of presenting evidence entitling him to relief. A memorandum in support of a Rule 60(b) motion is not evidence. McClurg v. Deaton, 395 S.C. 85, 87, 716 S.E.2d 887, 888 (2011). By "Addendum" to the Motion for Relief from Judgment Plaintiff provides "Facts and Detailed Sequence of Events" from 2012 through 2016. Although a fair portion of the "facts" as set forth in this "Addendum" are not in evidence, most are irrelevant to this court's consideration of the pending motion anyway. The relevant inquiry revolves around the prior orders in this case, the damages hearing and the Plaintiff's conduct in connection with the hearing.

¹ Rule 55(c) does not apply because Plaintiff is not seeking to set aside an entry of default. He is asking for relief from a final judgment. See Howard v. Holiday Inns, 271 S.C. 238, 246 S.E.2d 880 (1978) (after the filing of an order declaring defendant to be in default and setting damages hearing, the order may only be set aside upon a showing that it was taken through mistake, inadvertance, surprise or excusable neglect).



On June 17, 2014, the court filed a Final Order of Default Judgment (Exhibit "A"). Pursuant to this Order for Default Judgment, Plaintiff was held to be in default on the Defendants' counterclaim.

On June 20, 2014, Plaintiff, by his own admission, received a copy of the Order for Default Judgment, (Exhibit "B" – Motion for Reconsideration).² Plaintiff filed a Motion for Reconsideration on or about August 11, 2014 – long after the ten day deadline set forth in Rules 52 and 59(e), SCRCP.

The court heard Plaintiff's Motion to Reconsider the Order of Default Judgment on September 16, 2015.

The court denied Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration by order filed April 1, 2016 (Exhibit "C"). Plaintiff received a copy of this Order Denying Motion for Reconsideration. (See Motion for Relief from Judgment p. 10 para. 53). Plaintiff did not file a Notice of Appeal from the Order Denying the Motion for Reconsideration or the Order for Default Judgment. Accordingly, the Order of Default Judgment became the law of the case.

The damages hearing related to the Order for Default Judgment was held on April 12, 2016. The Clerk's Office mailed a "Notice of Motion Scheduling" by certified mail to Plaintiff. (Exhibit "D"). This Notice clearly indicated that the "Damages Hearing" was scheduled for April 12, 2016 at 2:00 p.m. The Plaintiff signed the Return Receipt on March 18, 2016. As acknowledged by Plaintiff in his Motion for Relief from Judgment, Beverly Whitfield of the Oconee County Clerk of Court's Office also advised Defendant of this hearing by phone.

² In his most recent Motion for Relief from Judgment, Plaintiff now claims he never received a copy of the Order of Default Judgment. (Motion for Relief from Judgment p. 7, para. 33). This assertion is false in light of Plaintiff's prior admission coupled with the fact he actually submitted a letter to Judge Maddox and filed a Motion to Reconsideration from the June 17, 2014 Order of Default Judgment. (Addendum to Motion for Relief from Judgment p. 34-35).

By Form 4 Judgment in a Civil Case dated April 18, 2016 and filed April 19, 2016, the court awarded the Defendants \$100,000 in actual damages and \$200,000 in punitive damages. The Form 4 specifically stated that a formal order was to follow. (Exhibit "E").

On or about April 29, 2016, Plaintiff filed a Motion to Vacate the Form 4 Judgment filed April 1, 2016. (Exhibit "F"). In this Motion, Plaintiff requested that the court reconsider and vacate the Form 4 Judgment arguing that he did not attend the damages hearing because "he understood that he was not required to attend the hearing." This motion was denied by Order filed June 13, 2016. (Exhibit "G").

The formal order entitled Default Judgment *Against Plaintiff Alexander Pastene* was filed June 13, 2016. (Exhibit "H").

The Plaintiff did not appeal the Order denying the Motion to Vacate the Form 4 Judgment and it is the law of the case. Plaintiff did not appeal the Order for Default Judgment and it is the law of the case. Plaintiff's arguments in opposition to the finding of default are barred as a matter of law. The relief he seeks now by way of the Motion for Relief from Judgment has already been denied by this court several times and not appealed.

Even if these issues are not barred by *res judicata* or collateral estoppel, Plaintiff still cannot prevail.

The only issue arguably before the court is whether Plaintiff should be relieved from the Default Judgment pursuant to Rule 60(b)(1) or (3).³ Accordingly, the issue is whether the judgment was obtained through mistake, inadvertence, surprise, excusable neglect, fraud, misrepresentation or other misconduct of an adverse party.

³ Plaintiff does not allege that the judgment is void or that it is no longer equitable that the judgment should have prospective application pursuant to Rule 60(b)(4) and (5). Although Plaintiff cites Rule 60(b)(2) as a basis for his motion, he does not set forth any newly discovered evidence which by due diligence could not have been discovered in time to move for a new trial under Rule 59(b).

The Plaintiff simply failed to show up for the damages hearing despite being notified of same. His failure to attend does not create grounds for relief from a judgment pursuant to Rule 60(b)(1).

Plaintiff claims he did not attend the April 12, 2016 damages hearing because he received a copy of Order Denying his Motion to Reconsider the Order of Default Judgment before the hearing scheduled for April 12, 2016 and he interpreted the order to mean that he did not have to appear for the hearing. The Motion to Reconsider was not scheduled to be heard on April 12, 2016. The court heard this motion seven months earlier on September 16, 2015. The only hearing scheduled for April 12, 2016 was the damages hearing.

Plaintiff had notice of the damages hearing and simply did not show up. His neglect was the cause of the problem and it was not excusable or reasonable. See Paul Davis Systems v. Deepwater of Hilton Head, 362 S.C. 220, 607 S.E.2d 358 (Ct. App. 2004); Goodson v. American Bankers Ins. Co., 295 S.C. 440, 402, 368 S.E.2d 687, 689 (Ct. App. 1988) ("party has the duty to monitor progress of his case. Lack of familiarity with proceedings is unacceptable and the court will not hold a layman to any lesser standard that is applied to an attorney").

Additionally, there are no allegations of fraud, misrepresentation or other misconduct on the part of the Defendants *in connection with the damages hearing*.⁴ See Mr. G. v. Mrs. G., 320 S.C. 305, 311, 465 S.E.2d 101, 103 (Ct. App. 2005) (there is no fraud sufficient to set aside a judgment if party given notice of action and had opportunity to present evidence but neglected to do so). Plaintiff had notice of the hearing and neglected to appear. Defendants did nothing to induce Plaintiff not to appear.

⁴ Plaintiff's complaints about Defendants and their attorney involve allegations of events occurring long before the damages hearing or the filing of the Default Judgment *Against Plaintiff Alexander Pastene*.

Based upon the foregoing, the Defendants respectfully request that this court deny Plaintiff's Motion Relief from Judgment Under Rules 60 SCRPC & 55 SCRPC.

By: s/David A. Wilson
David A. Wilson (SC Bar # 65273)
The Law Offices of David A. Wilson, LLC
200 Whitsett Street, Suite B
Greenville, South Carolina 29601
(864) 232-2329
Attorney for Defendants

Greenville, South Carolina
December 8, 2016

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

COUNTY OF OCONEE

Alexander Pastene,

Plaintiff,

v.

Marion R. McMillan and Synergy Spine
Center, P.A.,

Defendants.

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

Case No.: 2012-CP-37-00902

ORDER FOR DEFAULT JUDGMENT

FILED
FOODPHE, SC
BEVERLY H. WILFIELD
CLERK OF COURT
2014 JUN 27 PM 4 48

It appearing to me that the Summons and Notice, Answer and Counterclaim in the above entitled action have been served by regular mail on the Plaintiff Alexander Pastene on September 6, 2012 and that no notice of appearance, Answer or other responsive pleading has been served or received in response thereto from the Plaintiff.

It further appearing from the Counterclaim that the damages sought therein are not liquidated.

NOW, THEREFORE, based upon the Affidavit of Default filed by David A. Wilson, attorney for the Defendant, and the Motion for Default Judgment, the Plaintiff Alexander Pastene is held to be in default.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the Defendants herein has judgment against the Plaintiff Alexander Pastene in an amount to be determined by the court at a damages hearing to be scheduled.



AND IT IS SO ORDERED.

A TRUE COPY
JUN 17 2014
CLERK OF COURT

[Signature]
Presiding Judge
Tenth Judicial Circuit

6/13 2014
2013

FILED OCONEE, SC
BEVERLY H. WATFIELD
CLERK OF COURT
2014 JUN 17 PM 4 48

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2014 Dec 08 12:01 PM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2012CP3700902

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
 COUNTY OF OCONEE
 IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

FORM 4

JUDGMENT IN A CIVIL CASE

CASE NO. 2012 CP-37-00902

Alexander Pastene

Marion R. McMillan and Synergy Spine
 Center, P.A.

PLAINTIFF(S)

DEFENDANT(S)

Submitted by: David A. Wilson

Attorney for: Plaintiff or
 Defendant
 Self-Represented Litigant

2016 JUN 17 PM 4 48

BRYANT H. WHITFIELD
 CLERK OF COURT

DISPOSITION TYPE (CHECK ONE)

- JURY VERDICT.** This action came before the court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and a verdict rendered.
- DECISION BY THE COURT.** This action came to trial or hearing before the court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision rendered.
- ACTION DISMISSED (CHECK REASON):** Rule 12(b), SCRPC; Rule 41(a), SCRPC (Vol. Nonsuit); Rule 43(k), SCRPC (Settled); Other
- ACTION STRICKEN (CHECK REASON):** Rule 40(j), SCRPC; Bankruptcy; Binding arbitration, subject to right to restore to confirm, vacate or modify arbitration award; Other
- DISPOSITION OF APPEAL TO THE CIRCUIT COURT (CHECK APPLICABLE BOX):**
 Affirmed; Reversed; Remanded; Other

NOTE: ATTORNEYS ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR NOTIFYING LOWER COURT, TRIBUNAL, OR ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCY OF THE CIRCUIT COURT RULING IN THIS APPEAL.

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED: See attached order (formal order to follow) Statement of Judgment by the Court:

ORDER INFORMATION

This order ends does not end the case.

Additional Information for the Clerk: Order of Default Judgment-amount to be determined at damages hearing

INFORMATION FOR THE JUDGMENT INDEX

Complete this section below when the judgment affects title to real or personal property or if any amount should be enrolled. If there is no judgment information, indicate "N/A" in one of the boxes below.

| Judgment in Favor of (List name(s) below) | Judgment Against (List name(s) below) | Judgment Amount To be Enrolled (List amount(s) below) |
|--|--|--|
| Marion R. McMillan and Synergy Spine Center, P.A. | Alexander Pastene | \$To Be Determined |
| | | \$ |
| | | \$ |

If applicable, describe the property, including tax map information and address, referenced in the order:

The judgment information above has been provided by the submitting party. Disputes concerning the amounts contained in this form may be addressed by way of motion pursuant to the SC Rules of Civil Procedure. Amounts to be computed such as interest

or additional taxable costs not available at the time the form and final order are submitted to the judge may be provided to the clerk. Note: Title abstractors and researchers should refer to the official court order for judgment details.

[Signature]
Circuit Court Judge

2131
Judge Code

6/13/14
Date

For Clerk of Court Office Use Only

This judgment was entered on the 17th day of June, 20 14 and a copy mailed first class or placed in the appropriate attorney's box on this 17th day of June, 20 14 to attorneys of record or to parties (when appearing pro se) as follows:

A TRUE COPY
JUN 17 2014
[Signature]
CLERK OF COURT - OCONEE COUNTY

Alexander Pastene-Pro Se (mail)
P.O. Box 22298
Hilton Head, SC 29925
ATTORNEY(S) FOR THE PLAINTIFF(S)

David A. Wilson (mail)
200 Whitsett Street, Suite B
Greenville, SC 29601
ATTORNEY(S) FOR THE DEFENDANT(S)
Beverly H. Whitefield
CLERK OF COURT

Court Reporter:

FILED OCONEE, SC
BEVERLY H. WHITEFIELD
CLERK OF COURT
2014 JUN 17 PM 4 48

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2014 Jun 17 08:12:01 PM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2012CP3700902

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
COUNTY OF OCONEE

Alexander Pastene,
Plaintiff,

Versus

Marion R. McMillan and Synergy
Center, PA
Defendants.

) IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
) CASE NUMBER 2012-CP-37-00902

) MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION
) ORDER CLOCKED JUNE 17, 2014

FILED 2014 JUN 17 11 PM 4 28
BEVERLY H. WHELFIELD
CLERK OF COURT

Comes now, the Plaintiff, Alexander Pastene, and moves this Honorable Court to reconsider its Order of Default dated 6-13-2014, clocked copy of which was notified to the Plaintiff by the Clerk of Court on June 17, 2014, which notification was received by the Plaintiff on or around Friday, June 20th, 2014.

That, the Plaintiff timely responded to defendants' Summons & Notice, Answer and Counterclaim on September 6, 2012 (under Magistrate Court No. 2012-CV-3710-100244). Also see, Plaintiff's letter to Judge MacAulay dated 11-21-12, and See Plaintiff's letter to Clerk of Court dated 2-2-2013.

That, it may have been improper for the defendants to remove the case from Magistrate Court to Court of Common Pleas seemingly because they did not like the way their case was developing in Magistrate Court (see Court's denials) for the purpose of delaying and complicating a simple case of unpaid debt.

That, the defendants' Summons and Notice and Answer and Counterclaim was filed on 8-29-12, out of time. See Plaintiff's Response to Defendants Summons and Notice, Answer and Counterclaim dated 9-12-12. Also see, Plaintiff's Response to Notice of Transfer of case to Common Pleas.

That, any act of defamation or other offense against the defendants by the plaintiff is denied and never existed.

That, the attorney for the defendants used a confusing, evasive and false address in his stationary, to which the Plaintiff responded on time, but he claimed he never received. See still sealed envelope sent to defendants submitted to this honorable court.



That, a hearing before Judge Maddox he determined that he was taking the case "on advice" and the Plaintiff is still to receive a response from Judge Maddox. Additionally, the Plaintiff has been patiently awaiting for his jury trial that was first set for the week of December 2, 2013, before Judge Maculay, at 9:30am, but never materialized.

Wherefore, the Plaintiff respectfully requests that, the defendant's Motion for Default is denied, that the Order for Default is found to be in error, and that a trial date to ascertain the facts and merits of the case at bar is set at the earliest.

Respectfully submitted,

Alexander Pastene Plaintiff
Appearing *pro se*
P.O. Box 22298
Hilton Head Is., SC 29925
Tel. 843-605-5266

David A. Wilson
Attorney for the defendants
200 Whitsett Street Suite B
Greenville, SC 29601

FILED 2014 AUG 11 11 28 AM
BEVERLY H. WILSON
CLERK OF COURT

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING:

I certify that the Plaintiff, Alexander Pastene, mailed copies of his Motion to Reconsider to the attorney for the defendants David A. Wilson to their last known addresses, on the 17th day of July, 2014. Signed: Alexander Pastene, Signed:

18/14

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA)

COUNTY OF OCONEE)

Alexander Pastene,)

Plaintiff,)

v.)

Marion McMillan,)

Defendants.)

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
TENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

CASE NO.: 2015-CP-37-00902

FILED OCONEE COUNTY, SC
BEVERLY H. WHITFIELD
CLERK OF COURT


2016 APR -1 A 11:22

ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT'S
MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION

This matter came before the Court through the Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration. After careful consideration and review, the Court finds that Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration should be denied.


It is hereby ordered that Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration is denied.

IT IS SO ORDERED.


The Honorable J. Cordell Maddox, Jr.
South Carolina Tenth Judicial Circuit Court Judge

Anderson, South Carolina

3/24, 2016



STATE OF
SOUTH CAROLINA



NOTICE OF MOTION SCHEDULE

March 10, 2016

Motion "MOFREE - Damages Hearing" for Case: 2012CP3700902 - Alexander Pastene VS Marion R. McMillan, defendant, et al has been added to the following Motions Roster:

50 - Non-Jury Motions Roster Week of April 11, 2016

This hearing of this motion has been scheduled for 4/12/2016 at 2:00 PM.

The cases referenced in this email are scheduled on the Non-Jury Motions Roster for the week of April 11, 2016. PLEASE NOTE ALL HEARINGS ARE SCHEDULED FOR TUESDAY APR 12.

The Docket is available at <http://publicindex.sccourts.org/oconee/courtrosters/>. ALL cases on this Roster are scheduled for hearings. The presiding judge will be the Honorable J. Cordell Maddox, Jr.

Any request for continuance/rescheduling is considered a motion and requires a \$25 filing fee, which must be paid at the time of the request. Forms are available on the online roster page under Roster News. Requests may be submitted to this office. If your Motion has been resolved, settled or needs to be withdrawn, please notify us in writing via fax (864-638-4282) or email (thightower@oconeesc.com).

Mail Name ID

Alexander Pastene
P O Box 22298
Hilton Head Island, SC 29925

Court Info

Common Pleas
205 W. Main Street
Walhalla, SC 29691-9691

If you have any questions regarding the scheduling of this motion, please contact the courts at:

(864)638-4280

Respectfully,

COPY

Beverly H. Whitfield
Clerk of Court

EXHIBIT

D

U.S. Postal Service
CERTIFIED MAIL RECEIPT
(Domestic Mail Only. No Insurance Coverage Provided)

For delivery information visit our website at www.usps.com

OFFICIAL USE

| | |
|--|----|
| Postage | \$ |
| Certified Fee | |
| Return Receipt Fee (Endorsement Required) | |
| Restricted Delivery Fee (Endorsement Required) | |
| Total Postage & Fees | \$ |

RESTRICTED DELIVERY

Sent to: Alexander Pastene
Street: P O Box 22298
or PO Box No.
City: Hilton Head Island, SC 29925

Form 3800-A (9/14/2009)

4059 4125 0000 0150 4102

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 08 12:01 PM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2012CP3700902

| SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION | RECIPIENT: COMPLETE THIS SECTION |
|---|--|
| <p> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Complete Items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete Item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits. </p> | <p> A. Signature <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Agent <input type="checkbox"/> Addressee B. Recipient's Name C. Date of Delivery </p> |
| <p> 1. Article Addressed to: Alexander Pastene P.O. Box 22298 Hilton Head Island, SC 29925 </p> <p> 2012-CP-37-00902 </p> | <p> If delivery address different from item 1-7 <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No If yes, enter delivery address below: </p> <p> 3. Service Type <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail® <input type="checkbox"/> Priority Mail Express™ <input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail™ <input type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt for Merchandise <input type="checkbox"/> Insured Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery </p> <p> 4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes </p> |
| <p> 2. Article Number (Transfer from service label) </p> <p> PS Form 3811, July 2013 </p> | <p> 7014 0510 0000 5214 6507 </p> <p> Domestic Return Receipt </p> |

VERY

FILED OCONEE SC
BEVERLY H. WHITFIELD
CLERK OF COURT

2016 MAR 24 A 10:21



ENTERED
COMPUTER

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
 COUNTY OF Oconee
 IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

FORM 4

JUDGMENT IN A CIVIL CASE

CASE NO. 2012-CP-37-00902

FILED OCONEE COUNTY, SC
 BEVERLY H. WHITFIELD
 CLERK OF COURT

Alexander Pastene

Marion R. McMillan, et al

2016 APR 19 P 2:48

PLAINTIFF(S)

DEPENDANT(S)

Submitted by: _____ Attorney for: Plaintiff Defendant
 or
 Self-Represented Litigant

DISPOSITION TYPE (CHECK ONE)

- JURY VERDICT. This action came before the court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and a verdict rendered.
- DECISION BY THE COURT. This action came to trial or hearing before the court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision rendered. See Page 2 for additional information.
- ACTION DISMISSED (CHECK REASON): Rule 12(b), SCRPC; Rule 41(a), SCRPC (Vol. Nonsuit); Rule 43(k), SCRPC (Settled); Other
- ACTION STRICKEN (CHECK REASON): Rule 40(j), SCRPC; Bankruptcy; Binding arbitration, subject to right to restore to confirm, vacate or modify arbitration award; Other
- DISPOSITION OF APPEAL TO THE CIRCUIT COURT (CHECK APPLICABLE BOX):
 Affirmed; Reversed; Remanded; Other

NOTE: ATTORNEYS ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR NOTIFYING LOWER COURT, TRIBUNAL, OR ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCY OF THE CIRCUIT COURT RULING IN THIS APPEAL.

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED: See attached order (formal order to follow) Statement of Judgment by the Court: Damages Granted \$100,000 Actual Damages and \$200,000 Punitive Damages. Attorney Wilson to prepare formal order.

ORDER INFORMATION

This order ends does not end the case.
 Additional Information for the Clerk: _____

| INFORMATION FOR THE JUDGMENT INDEX | | |
|--|--|--|
| Complete this section below when the judgment affects title to real or personal property or if any amount should be enrolled. If there is no judgment information, indicate "N/A" in one of the boxes below. | | |
| Judgment in Favor of (List name(s) below) | Judgment Against (List name(s) below) | Judgment Amount To be Enrolled (List amount(s) below) |
| Marion R. McMillan & Synergy Spine Center | Alexander Pastene | \$100,000.00 Actual Damages & \$200,000.00 Punitive Damages |
| Marion R. McMillan & Synergy Spine Center | Alexander Pastene | \$300,000.00 Total Damages |

The judgment information above has been provided by the submitting party. Disputes concerning the amounts contained in this form may be addressed by way of motion pursuant to the SC Rules of Civil Procedure. Amounts to be computed such as interest or additional taxable costs not available at the time the form and final order are submitted to the judge may be provided to the clerk. Note: Title abstractors and researchers should refer to the official court order for judgment details.

[Signature]
 Circuit Court Judge

2131
 Judge Code

4/18/16
 Date

For Clerk of Court Office Use Only

SCRPC Form 4C (03/2013)



Page 1

ENTERED
 COMPUTER
 cert copy to all

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 08 12:01 PM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2012CP3700902

This judgment was entered on the 19th day of April, 2016 and a copy mailed first class or placed in the appropriate attorney's box on this 19th day of April, 2016 to attorneys of record or to parties (when appearing pro se) as follows:

Alexander Pastene, pro se

David A. Wilson

ATTORNEY(S) FOR THE PLAINTIFF(S)

ATTORNEY(S) FOR THE DEFENDANT(S)

Beverly H. Whitfield

CLERK OF COURT

Court Reporter: Vivian H. Cross

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION REGARDING DECISION BY THE COURT AS REFERENCED ON PAGE 1.

This action came to trial or hearing before the court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision rendered.

Multiple horizontal lines for additional information.

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 08 12:01 PM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2012CP3700902

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA **FILED OCONEE COUNTY, SC** IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
 BEVERLY H. WHITFIELD
 CLERK OF COURT)
 COUNTY OF OCONEE 2016 APR 29 A 11:16 CASE NO.: 212-CP-37-00902
 ALEXANDER PASTENE,)
 Plaintiff,)
 Versus)
 MARION . MCMILLAN & SYNERGY SPINE)
 CENTER, P.A. Defendants.)

PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO VACATE JUDGE MADDOX'S ORDER OF 4-1-16 RECONSIDER, AND SET DATE FOR TRIAL BEFORE JURY.

Comes Now, the Plaintiff Alexander Pastene, and respectfully moves this Honorable Court to reconsiders, vacates its Order of April 18, 2016 —clocked April 19,— 2016, and sets date for trial grounded on the following facts:

1. That, Plaintiff did not attend the "damages hearing" (as per March 10, 2016, Motion "MOFREE-Damages Hearing" for Case 2012-cp37009092) set for April 12, 2016, because he was specifically told by telephone call from Clerk of Court, Ms. Beverly Whitfield, that insomuch there was confusion with the Anderson Clerk of Court that needed to be clarified, that, unless Plaintiff heard otherwise, that the hearing set to take place at the Walhalla Courthouse, on 4-12-16, at 2:00PM, that the Plaintiff was required to attend.
2. That, on or about the first days of April, 2016, Plaintiff received copy of ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION, clocked twice on April 1st, 2016, seemingly by the Anderson and Walhalla Clerks of Court.

Wherefore, since the Plaintiff "heard from the Court" he understood that he was not required to attend the hearing, supposedly because the litigants had been removed from the motion roster; the hearing was to be continued, or any other reason.

EXHIBIT
F

Copies to:
 Atty (P) Pastene
 DSS 2016/4/20
 Mailed 3
 ENTERED COMPUTER

3. That, added to the confusion of having, indeed, "heard from the court," and thus, understood that he was NOT to attend the hearing of 4-12-16, he received ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION, whereby the Plaintiff became increasingly confused because Judge Maddox had signed an Order denying the "DEFENDANTS" their Motion for Reconsideration. The Plaintiff could not find any Motions for Reconsideration by the Defendants, despite the body of the Order of 4-1-16, mentioning that "this matter came before the Court through the Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration...seemingly a contradiction.

4. That, the Plaintiff, Alexander Pastene, is now anxiously awaiting the Transcript from Court Recorder, Ms. Cross, who had been traveling out of town, copies which he had requested on April 14, and again on April 23, 2016, to show this Honorable Court that, indeed, at the September 16, 2015, hearing in Anderson, S.C., Judge Maddox ordered a trial to take place on January 2016, which made moot both the defendants' Motion for Damages and the plaintiff's Motion to Reconsider. Actually, Attorney Wilson did understand and conceded that both litigants' motions had become moot. The Plaintiff will submit asap, copy of the September 16, 2015, Transcript of Hearing, held at the Anderson Courthouse, S.C. and additional documents.

5. That, the Plaintiff believes that Judge Maddox's Order dated April 1, 2016, may have been issued in error; that, Attorney Wilson may have noticed the error, especially, if he received a copy of the Transcript from Ms. Cross quite some time ago, and did not report it up to the Court or the Plaintiff; that, as of today's date Attorney Wilson did not share the Transcript with the Plaintiff, despite his request.

Wherefore, bearing in mind that the Plaintiff has been patiently waiting for a trial before a jury to secure some relief from this Honorable Court and payment of debt by defendant McMillan since 2012 —almost four (4) years— the Plaintiff respectfully pleads with this Honorable Court that, it vacates its Order of April 1, 2016; that, it reiterates its denials to the parties' motions in accordance to this Honorable Court's decision made during the Anderson Hearing of September 16, 2016; that it dismisses the

defendant's countersuit for being completely groundless, devoid of any evidence whatsoever, except defendant's hearsay, and that, it sets a date for trial before jury.

Respectfully submitted,



Alexander Pastene, Esq., MBA
Appearing *pro se*
P.O. Box 22298
Hilton Head Is., S.C., 29925
email: pastenehalexander@gmail.com
843-605-5266

On Hilton Head Island, South Carolina,
On this 28th day of April, 2016

cc. Oconee County Clerk of Court, Walhalla, SC
Attorney David Wilson, Columbia, SC
Honorable Judge Maddox-attn. Robert Lake, Anderson, SC.

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA)
)
COUNTY OF OCONEE)
)
Alexander Pastene,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
v.)
)
Marion McMillan,,)
)
Defendants.)
)
_____)

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
TENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

CASE NO.: 2015-CP-37-00902


FILED OCONEE COUNTY, SC
DEVERLY H. WHITEFIELD
CLERK OF COURT
2016 JUN 13 P 2:34

ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S
MOTION TO VACATE JUDGMENT

This matter came before the Court through Plaintiff's Motion to Vacate judgment as entered by This Court on April 12, 2016. This Court was set to hear Plaintiff's Motion to Reconsider, however Plaintiff failed to appear and Plaintiff's motion was dismissed. This Court has held that trial judges possess the inherent power to dismiss actions sua sponte for a party's failure to prosecute the relevant claims. *Crestwood Golf Club, Inc. v. Potter*, 328 S.C. 201, 211, 493 S.E.2d 826, 832 (1997). Furthermore, Defendant's counterclaim against Plaintiff was granted. After careful consideration and review, the Court finds that Plaintiff's Motion to Vacate should be denied.


It is hereby ordered that Plaintiff's Motion to Vacate is denied.

IT IS SO ORDERED.



The Honorable J. Cordell Maddox, Jr.
South Carolina Tenth Judicial Circuit Court Judge

Anderson, South Carolina
June 3, 2016



STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

COUNTY OF OCONEE

Alexander Pastene,

Plaintiff,

v.

Marion R. McMillan and Synergy Spine
Center, P.A.,

Defendants.

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

Case No.: 2012-CP-37-00902

FILED OCONEE COUNTY, SC
BEVERLY H. WHITFIELD
CLERK OF COURT
JUN 13 2 21 PM '16

DEFAULT JUDGMENT
AGAINST

PLAINTIFF ALEXANDER PASTENE



This matter came before the court on April 12, 2016 for a damages hearing in connection with the counterclaims brought by Defendant Marion R. McMillan and Synergy Spine Center, P.A.

The Defendant Marion R. McMillan, individually and as sole owner of Defendant Synergy Spine Center, P.A. was present at the call of the case with his attorney David A. Wilson of The Law Offices of David A. Wilson, LLC. The Plaintiff Alexander Pastene was not present at the call of the case.

From review of the court's file, the Plaintiff was provided written notice of this hearing by the court on March 24, 2016. The Clerk of Court's office also confirmed via telephone that Plaintiff had notice of the hearing. The court waited approximately twenty minutes after the scheduled start of the hearing before proceeding. The Plaintiff did not show up before the conclusion of the hearing.

The Plaintiff initially filed this action in Magistrate's Court for breach of contract. The Defendants filed and served an Answer and Counterclaim alleging causes of action for intentional interference with prospective contractual relations and defamation. The Defendants requested actual and punitive damages in connection with their counterclaims.

On November 14, 2012, the Defendants filed an "Affidavit of Default as to Defendant's Counterclaims." By Order of Default Judgment filed June 17, 2014, this court held Plaintiff Alexander Pastene to be in default and ordered a damages hearing on the issues raised in the counterclaims. The Plaintiff filed a Motion for Reconsideration which was denied by Order Denying Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration filed April 1, 2016.

At the damages hearing, the Defendants established by testimony and documentary evidence actual damages in the amount of up to \$480,000.00. Based upon the evidence presented, including but not limited to, the Defendants' prior experience with direct patient marketing seminars, I find that the Defendants sustained \$100,000.00 in actual damages directly and proximately caused by the Plaintiff's conduct related to the cancellation of the direct patient marketing seminar scheduled to take place at Tide Pointe in Hilton Head, South Carolina as well as Plaintiff's actions related to filing a complaint against Defendant McMillan with the South Carolina Department of Labor, License and Regulation.


I also find, upon review of the factors set forth in Gamble v. Stevenson, 305 S.C. 104, 406 S.E.2d 350 (1991), that the Defendants are entitled to an award of \$200,000.00 as punitive damages against the Plaintiff. Punitive damages in this case serve as a vindication of private rights as it has been shown that Plaintiff Pastene wantonly, willfully and maliciously violated the rights of the Defendants in this case. The Plaintiff was aware of his conduct and that it was improper. The record in this case establishes the need to deter the Plaintiff and others from like conduct. I find that a punitive damages award of \$200,000.00 is reasonably related to the harm likely to result from such conduct. I find that a punitive damages award of \$200,000.00 in connection with the actual damages of \$100,000.00 not to be grossly disproportionate to the severity of the offense. I find that punitive damages are allowed in the interest of society in the nature of punishment and as

a warning and example to deter the wrongdoer and others from committing like offenses in the future.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that judgement be entered against Plaintiff Alexander Pastene in favor of the Defendants Marion R. McMillan and Synergy Spine Center, P.A. in the amount of \$100,000.00 for actual damages and \$200,000.00 for punitive damages resulting in a total judgment of \$300,000.00.

AND IT IS SO ORDERED.

FILED OCONEE COUNTY, SC
BEVERLY H. WHITFIELD
CLERK OF COURT
2016 JUN 13 P 2:44



Honorable Cordell Maddox
Tenth Judicial Circuit

A TRUE COPY
JUN 13 2016
CLERK OF COURT - OCONEE COUNTY

6/9/ 2016

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
 COUNTY OF OCONEE
 IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

FORM 4

JUDGMENT IN A CIVIL CASE

CASE NO. 2012 CP-37-00902

FILED OCONEE COUNTY,
 BEVERLY H. WHITFIELD
 CLERK OF COURT

Alexander Pastene

Marion R. McMillan and Synergy Spine Center, P.A.

2016 JUN 13 P 2

PLAINTIFF(S)

DEFENDANT(S)

Submitted by: David A. Wilson

Attorney for: Plaintiff Defendant
 or
 Self-Represented Litigant

DISPOSITION TYPE (CHECK ONE)

- JURY VERDICT.** This action came before the court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and a verdict rendered.
- DECISION BY THE COURT.** This action came to trial or hearing before the court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision rendered. See Page 2 for additional information.
- ACTION DISMISSED (CHECK REASON):** Rule 12(b), SCRPC; Rule 41(a), SCRPC (Vol. Nonsuit); Rule 43(k), SCRPC (Settled); Other
- ACTION STRICKEN (CHECK REASON):** Rule 40(j), SCRPC; Bankruptcy; Binding arbitration, subject to right to restore to confirm, vacate or modify arbitration award; Other
- DISPOSITION OF APPEAL TO THE CIRCUIT COURT (CHECK APPLICABLE BOX):**
 Affirmed; Reversed; Remanded; Other

NOTE: ATTORNEYS ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR NOTIFYING LOWER COURT, TRIBUNAL, OR ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCY OF THE CIRCUIT COURT RULING IN THIS APPEAL.

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED: See attached order (formal order to follow) Statement of Judgment by the Court:

ORDER INFORMATION

This order ends does not end the case.

Additional Information for the Clerk:

INFORMATION FOR THE JUDGMENT INDEX

Complete this section below when the judgment affects title to real or personal property or if any amount should be enrolled. If there is no judgment information, indicate "N/A" in one of the boxes below.

| Judgment in Favor of (List name(s) below) | Judgment Against (List name(s) below) | Judgment Amount To be Enrolled (List amount(s) below) |
|---|--|--|
| Marion R. McMillan and Synergy Spine Center, P.A. | Alexander Pastene | \$300,000.00 |
| | | \$ |
| | | \$ |

If applicable, describe the property, including tax map information and address, referenced in the order:

The judgment information above has been provided by the submitting party. Disputes concerning the amounts contained in this form may be addressed by way of motion pursuant to the SC Rules of Civil Procedure. Amounts to be computed such as interest or additional taxable costs not available at the time the form and final order are submitted to the judge may be provided to the clerk. Note: Title abstractors and researchers should refer to the official court order for judgment details.

Circuit Court Judge

A TRUE COPY

2131
 Judge Code

6/19/16
 Date

JUN 13 2016
 CLERK OF COURT - OCONEE COUNTY

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 08 12:01 PM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2012CP3700902

For Clerk of Court Office Use Only

This judgment was entered on the 13th day of June 2016 and a copy mailed first class or placed in the appropriate attorney's box on this 13th day of June 2016 to attorneys of record or to parties (when appearing pro se) as follows:

Alexander Pastene, pro se
P.O. Box 22298
Hilton-Head Island SC 29925
ATTORNEY(S) FOR THE PLAINTIFF(S)

David A. Wilson
200 Whitsett Street, Suite 100-B
Greenville, SC 29601
ATTORNEY(S) FOR THE DEFENDANT(S)
Beverly H. Whitfield
CLERK OF COURT

Court Reporter:

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION REGARDING DECISION BY THE COURT AS REFERENCED ON PAGE 1.

This action came to trial or hearing before the court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision rendered.
See attached Default Judgment Against Plaintiff Alexander Pastene

Multiple horizontal lines for additional information.

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 08 12:01 PM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2012CP3700902

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

APPEAL FROM OCONEE COUNTY
CIRCUIT COURT

CORDELL MADDOX, CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE

RECEIVED

JAN 18 2018

SC Court of Appeals

CASE NO.: 2012-CP-37-00902
APPELLATE CASE NO. 2017-000294

Alexander Pastene.....Appellant,

v.

Marion R. McMillan and Synergy Spine Center, P.A.....Respondents.

RESPONDENTS'
DESIGNATION OF MATTER TO BE INCLUDED
IN THE RECORD ON APPEAL

Respondents, Marion R. McMillan and Synergy Spine Center, P.A., propose the following to be included in the Record on Appeal in support of Initial Brief of Respondents:

1. Complaint filed June 21, 2012
2. Order of Magistrate dated August 16, 2012 denying Respondents' Motion to Dismiss
3. Answer and Counterclaim dated August 29, 2012
4. Order of Magistrate dated September 18, 2012
5. Notice of Transfer of Case to Court of Common Pleas filed October 12, 2012
6. Affidavit of Default filed November 14, 2012
7. Motion for Default Judgment filed April 22, 2013
8. Order for Default Judgment filed June 17, 2014
9. Motion for Reconsideration Order Clocked June 17, 2014 filed August 11, 2014
10. Order Denying Defendant's (sic) Motion for Reconsideration
11. Notice of Motion Scheduling dated March 10, 2016
12. Return Receipt signed by Appellant on March 18, 2016
13. Form 4 Judgment filed April 19, 2016

EXHIBIT


tabbles

2

14. Plaintiff's Motion to Vacate Judge Maddox Order 4-1-16 Reconsider, and Set Date for Trial by Jury filed April 29, 2016
15. Order Denying Plaintiff's Motion to Vacate Judgment filed June 13, 2016
16. Default Judgment *Against Plaintiff Alexander Pastene* filed on June 13, 2016
17. Plaintiff's Motion for Relief from Judgment under Rules 60 SCRPC & 55 SCRPC dated June 28, 2016
18. Defendant's Return to Plaintiff's Motion Relief from Judgment under Rules 60 SCRPC & 55 SCRPC filed December 8, 2016
19. Order Denying Plaintiff's Motion for Relief from Judgment filed January 17, 2017
20. April 12, 2016 Transcript pp. 1-25
21. December 12, 2016 Transcript pp. 1-28
22. September 16, 2015 Transcript pp. 1-20

I certify that this designation contains no matter which is irrelevant to this appeal.

Respectfully submitted,



David A. Wilson
Wilson & Englehardt, LLC
200 Whitsett Street, Suite B
Greenville, South Carolina 29601
(864) 232-2329
ATTORNEY FOR RESPONDENTS

January 16, 2018



WILSON & ENGLEBARDT, LLC
LITIGATION • APPEALS • DISPUTE RESOLUTION

David A. Wilson
Eric K. Englehardt

dwilson@GreenvilleSCLaw.com
eric@GreenvilleSCLaw.com

May 30, 2018

VIA EMAIL: pastenhalalexander@gmail.com
AND REGULAR U.S. MAIL

Alexander Pastene
P.O. Box 22298
Hilton Head Island, SC 29925

RE: Alexander Pastene v. Marion R. McMillan and Synergy Spine Center, P.A.
Case No.: 2012-CP-23-00902

Dear Mr. Pastene:

In reviewing the Amended Record on Appeal, I noticed that you did not include the Exhibits attached to and incorporated into Defendant's Return to "Plaintiff's Motion Relief from Judgment under Rules 60 SCRCP & 55 SCRCP." Attached is the complete copy of the Defendant's Return with the Exhibits. Please let me know if you will prepare a supplemental record on appeal by consent or whether I need to file a motion with the Court of Appeals.

If you have any questions or concerns, please feel free to contact me in writing.

Sincerely,



David A. Wilson

DAW/ccb
Enclosures

Cc: Dr. Marion McMillan
Honorable Jenny Kitchings, Clerk of Court, Court of Appeals



THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In The Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM OCONEE COUNTY
Court of Common Pleas

Cordell Maddox, Circuit Court Judge

Case No: 2017-000294

Alexander Pastene

Appellant,

v.

Marion R. McMillan and
Synergy Spine Center, PA

Respondents.

APPELLANT'S ANSWER to RESPONDENT'S LETTER OF 05/30/18,
ON MISSING ATTACHMENTS TO HIS RETURN OF 12/8/16. in the ROA, AND,
ANSWER TO RESPONDENT'S FINAL BRIEF RECEIVED JUNE 7TH, 2018.

Comes Now, the Appellant, Alexander Pastene, on this June 8th, 2018, and answers the Respondent's letter dated May 30, 2018, in reference to missing exhibits to Respondent's Return to Plaintiff's Motion dated December 8, 2016, whereby, although all of the attachments already are in the case at bar, the Appellant acquiesces to the Respondent's request and reproduced the exhibits from the Respondents' copies, and is submitting them by consent as a supplement to his amended ROA.

Notwithstanding, it is puzzling that someone who owes money to another can avoid paying his debt simply by hiring an attorney to countersue under an unsupported claim, using procedure to prolong the case, and hoping that the creditor will give up and abandon his claim. Wouldn't such a system boycott justice?

Albeit, the Appellant notices the Respondent's concern for procedural fault and




detail, while consistently failed to ignore factual evidence and the merits of the case at bar, *inter alia*:

- He evaded arguing the merits of the case (payment of a debt) by removing the case from Magistrate Court to Common Pleas, and countersuing on unsupported claims;
- Falsely accused the Appellant of not Answering his unsupported Counterclaim;
- Failed to remove, cross out, or post notice, about the invalidity of his address printed in his official stationary, inducing the Appellant to respond to that address;
- Missed to apprise the Easley Postal Service that he was moving out of Easley, and did not leave a forwarding address;
- Had the Respondent left a forwarding address with the Easley Post Office he would've received the Appellant's Answer on time a long time ago;
- The Appellant submitted the sealed envelope, returned by the Post Office, to the lower court showing that he had timely answered the Respondent's counterclaim.

Wherefore, the Appellant argues that all proceedings and orders that followed his timely Answer to the Respondent's Counterclaim *ab initio* are moot, and the case should be allowed to take its course and resolved on the merits, i.e., payment of a debt, before a judge or jury, so, that justice can be done between the parties.

Respectfully Submitted,



ALEXANDER PASTENE, Esq.
Appellant, appearing *pro se*
Post Office Box 22298
Hilton Head Island, S.C. 29925

David A. Wilson, Esq. for Respondent
WILSON & ENGLEBARDT, LLC
200 Whitsett Street-Suite 100-B
Greenville, S.C., 29601

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In The Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM OCONEE COUNTY
Court of Common Pleas

Cordell Maddox, Circuit Court Judge

Case No. 2017-000294

Alexander Pastene

Appellant,

v.

Marion R. McMillan and
Synergy Spine Center, PA


Respondents.

APPELLANT'S RESPONSE TO HONORABLE CLERK
JENNY A. KITCHINGS DATED JUNE 15TH 2018.


Comes Now, the Appellant, Alexander Pastene, on this June 21, 2018, in response to Honorable Clerk Kitchings communication of June 15th, 2018, regarding a possible supplemental record on appeal, and requires no further action from this court.

At this time, and in the name of economy of justice, and having already acquiesced to the Respondent's demands in every possible way, the Appellant hereby passes up further relief in accordance to Rule 240 SCACR, regarding the exhibits attached by the Respondent to his Defendant's Return to Plaintiff's Motion Relief, for, these have already been incorporated in the case at bar, which could be redundant, so, he leaves it up to the Respondent's discretion to file a motion if he so desires.

Respectfully Submitted,


ALEXANDER PASTENE, Esq.
Appellant, appearing *pro se*
Post Office Box 22298
Hilton Head Island, S.C. 29925
843-605-5266

David A. Wilson, Esq. for Respondent
WILSON & ENGLEBARDT, LLC
200 Whitsett Street-Suite 100-B
Greenville, S.C., 29601
864-232-2329 ✓



THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

APPEAL FROM OCONEE COUNTY
CIRCUIT COURT

CORDELL MADDOX, CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE

CASE NO.: 2012CP-37-00902
APPELLATE CASE NO. 2017-000294

Alexander Pastene.....Appellant,

v.


Marion R. McMillan and Synergy Spine Center, P.A.....Respondents.

PROOF OF SERVICE

I certify that I have served the Motion to Supplement the Record on Appellant by depositing a copy to him in the United States Mail, Postage prepaid, on July 5, 2018 addressed as follows:

Alexander Pastene
P.O. Box 22298
Hilton Head Island, SC 29925

Respectfully submitted,



David A. Wilson
Wilson & Englehardt, LLC
200 Whitsett Street, Suite 100B
Greenville, South Carolina 29601
(864) 232-2329

RECEIVED
JUL 09 2018
SC Court of Appeals

July 5, 2018

ATTORNEY FOR RESPONDENTS



WILSON & ENGLEBARDT, LLC
LITIGATION • APPEALS • DISPUTE RESOLUTION

David A. Wilson
Eric K. Englehardt

dwilson@GreenvilleSCLaw.com
eric@GreenvilleSCLaw.com

July 5, 2018

The Honorable Jenny Kitchings
Clerk of Court
South Carolina Court of Appeals
P.O. Box 11629
Columbia, SC 29211

**Re: Alexander Pastene, Appellant v. Marion R. McMillan and Synergy
Spine Center, P.A., Respondents
Appellate Case No.: 2017-000294**

Dear Ms. Kitchings:

Enclosed please find the original and seven (7) copies of Respondents' Motion to Supplement the Record in the above-referenced case along with the proof of service upon Appellant.

Also, enclosed is our firm's check in the amount of \$25.00 as the required filing fee for this request. Please return the filed copies to me in the enclosed self-addressed stamped envelope.

If you have any questions or concerns, please feel free to contact me.

Thank you for your assistance.

Sincerely,

David A. Wilson




DAW/ccb
Enclosures
cc: Marion R. McMillan
Alexander Pastene

RECEIVED

JUL 09 2018

SC Court of Appeals

Wilson & Englehardt, LLC
200 Whitsett Street, Suite 100B
Greenville, SC 29601

| | | |
|---|--|---------------------------------|
| P | US POSTAGE & FEES PAID 3 LB PRIORITY MAIL RATE ZONE 2 NO SURCHARGE ComBasPrice | 062S0008224847 FROM 29601 |
| |  | stamps.com 07/05/2018 |
| PRIORITY MAIL 2-DAY™ | | |
| DAVID WILSON, ESQUIRE WILSON & ENGLEBARDT, LLC 200 WHITSETT STREET, SUITE 100B GREENVILLE SC 29601 | | 0024 |
| B012 | | |
| SHIP TO: | The Honorable Jenny A. Kitchings Clerk of Court South Carolina Court of Appeals P.O. Box 11629 Columbia SC 29211-1629  | |
| USPS TRACKING # | | |
|  | | |
| 9405 5118 9956 0315 7334 26 | | |

RECEIVED
JUL 09 2018
SC Court of Appeals