

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
COUNTY OF Richland  
IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

JUDGMENT IN A CIVIL CASE

CASE NO. 2017CP4006169

City Of Columbia  
PLAINTIFF(S)

Edward Huffman  
DEFENDANT(S)

**DISPOSITION TYPE (CHECK ONE)**

- JURY VERDICT.** This action came before the court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and a verdict rendered.
- DECISION BY THE COURT.** This action came to trial or hearing before the court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision rendered.
- ACTION DISMISSED (CHECK REASON):**  Rule 12(b), SCRPC;  Rule 41(a), SCRPC (Vol. Nonsuit);  Rule 43(k), SCRPC (Settled);  
 Other
- ACTION STRICKEN (CHECK REASON):**  Rule 40(j), SCRPC;  Bankruptcy;  
 Binding arbitration, subject to right to restore to confirm, vacate or modify arbitration award;  
 Other
- STAYED DUE TO BANKRUPTCY**
- DISPOSITION OF APPEAL TO THE CIRCUIT COURT (CHECK APPLICABLE BOX):**  
 Affirmed;  Reversed;  Remanded;  
 Other

NOTE: ATTORNEYS ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR NOTIFYING LOWER COURT, TRIBUNAL, OR ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCY OF THE CIRCUIT COURT RULING IN THIS APPEAL.

**IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED:**  See attached order (formal order to follow)  Statement of Judgment by the Court:

Respondent's Motion Pursuant to Rule 59(e) is DENIED.

**ORDER INFORMATION**

This order  ends  does not end the case.  See Page 2 for additional information.

**For Clerk of Court Office Use Only**

This judgment was electronically entered by the Clerk of Court as reflected on the Electronic Time Stamp, and a copy mailed first class to any party not proceeding in the Electronic Filing System on 06/27/2018 .

Edward Huffman for Edward Huffman  
Edward Huffman for Edward Huffman

**RECEIVED**  
JUL 20 2018  
SC Court of Appeals

NAMES OF TRADITIONAL FILERS SERVED BY MAIL

**Court Reporter:**

**E-Filing Note:** The date of Entry of Judgment is the same date as reflected on the Electronic File Stamp and the clerk's entering of the date of judgment above is not required in those counties. The clerk will mail a copy of the judgment to parties who are not E-Filers or who are appearing pro se. See Rule 77(d), SCRCP.

---



Richland Common Pleas

**Case Caption:** City Of Columbia VS Edward Huffman  
**Case Number:** 2017CP4006169  
**Type:** Order/Electronic Form 4

So Ordered

Jocelyn Newman

Electronically signed on 2018-06-27 10:15:24 page 3 of 3

## Certificate of Electronic Notification

### Recipients

**Jessica Mangum** - Notification transmitted on 06-27-2018 10:59:34 AM.

\*\*\*\*\* IMPORTANT NOTICE - READ THIS INFORMATION \*\*\*\*\*  
NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING [NEF]

A filing has been submitted to the court RE: 2017CP4006169

**Official File Stamp:** 06-27-2018 10:59:27 AM

**Court:** CIRCUIT COURT

Common Pleas

Richland

**Case Caption:** City Of Columbia VS Edward Huffman

**Document(s) Submitted:** Form 4 Order Respondent's Motion Pursuant to Rule 59(e) is D Form 4 Order Respondent's Motion Pursuant to Rule 59(e) is DENIED.

**Filed by or on behalf of:** Jocelyn Newman

This notice was automatically generated by the Court's auto-notification system.

**The following people were served electronically:**

Jessica Mangum for City Of Columbia

**The following people have not been served electronically by the Court. Therefore, they must be served by traditional means:**

Edward Huffman for Edward Huffman

Edward Huffman for Edward Huffman