

FIVE
PAGES LINE OF CONTAINERS FIVE
S.C.C.P.

The South Carolina Court of Appeals
Appeal from Berkeley County
Honorable Matic Murphy, Circuit Court Judge

V. CLAIRE ALLEN
DEPUTY CLERK

JULY 05, 2018

Appellate

RECEIVED Supplement
Brief And
Proof of Service

JUL 12 2018

Re: Fred Freeman v. State

Appellate No. 2017-001833 SC Court of Appeals

Appellate respectfully proceed by matters of Supplement to add following facts that of trial, Jury trial held on August 28 and 29, 2017. That during course of trial and before, where records of transcript, Prosecutor ALFARO proceeded by falsifying records, Deputy Prosecutor ALFARO made false statements and using documents known to be false, and provided false records against Appellate of conviction for Armed robbery. Provided to Jury.

Prosecutor ALFARO knowingly knew by making false entries or otherwise tampering with public records with intent to deceive or injure, or conceal wrong doing, 18USCA 1506, 2071, 2073, Model Penal Code 224.4, Prosecutor ALFARO proceeded by contempt and corruption in office and against administration of justice, Prosecutor ALFARO proceeded by full contentions to deceive Jury by providing false conviction of Armed robbery, that following clearly is formal obstructions of Justice out of DA's office

Prosecutor ALFARO, knowingly and intelligently proceeded against against administration on Justice, Also knowing Grand Jury process is not suppose to be blunt instrument

TWO OF FIVE

SCCA

Suppose to be blunt instrument, where records in case at Bar, as well clearly shows Prosecutor ALFANO Prosecutorial misconducts and against administration of Justice, Appellate in case at Bar, clearly was prejudice by such formal obstruction(s) of Justice out of DA's office,

Prosecutor ALFANO, also knowingly and intelligently falsify records, stating that on December 04, 2015, Preliminary hearing judge only dismissed parts of charges against Appellate,

Prosecutor ALFANO, proceeded as well by false statements, using documents known to be false, December 04, 2015 Preliminary hearing judge dismissed all charges against Appellate,

Prosecutor ALFANO used blunt instrument of abusing grand jury process, Prosecutor ALFANO full contention as records shows as well he deceive grand jury, Prosecutor ALFANO, knew trial court lack subject matter jurisdiction.

The records shows Prosecutor ALFANO, did not appeal Preliminary hearing judge order of dismissal's, there was no evidence for such threshold, the grand jury did not order warrants to be issue.

Prosecutor ALFANO forms of Prosecutorial misconducts, where records shows fatal variance, "they say that Prosecutor ALFANO and David Swakee are after hour partners", Appellate was convicted by matters of obstructions of Justice out of DA's office, Prosecutorial misconducts, as records also shows violations Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments of United States Constitution,

The trial judge did not pride herself on her basic sense of fairness, a judge is not suppose let her personal feeling get in way of her as judge, Trial Judge, P. 246 did acted as Controller of Jury trial, and proceeded as decision maker, that as such shift burden from state to Appellate, you the jury may find that this specific element has been Proven beyond a reasonable doubt,

Trial Court does not reference reasonable doubt for defense, Appellate was prejudice.

Trial Judge pages. 245-23-5-P, 246. In this case

THREE OF FIVE

sect

rather than seek to have each of Prior convictions admitted into evidence, trial court stipulations referred to many Prior convictions, to include Prosecutor ALFARO, Prosecutorial misconducts, formal obstructions of Justice out of DA'S office, Falsifying records, false statements, Contempt and Corruptions in office and other crimes against administration of Justice, P. 245, sections 10-15 Question number 6, deals with other two convictions for, and it states, with weapon Armed Robbery, Trial court refusal to answer Jury Question 6 Armed Robbery, left Jury to assume that appellate was convicted for Armed Robbery with weapon.

"Trial Court told Jury, rather than to have ^{ALL} of appellate Prior convictions admitted into evidence, Trial Court STEP beyond stipulation."

Based upon formal obstructions of ^{Justice} out of DA'S office, trial Judge was fact became aware of same.

Jury was told appellate had Prior conviction for weapon and Armed Robbery, Trial Court did not answer Jury Question number 6, Trial Judge duty is to examine all materials before admitting to Jury,

That following proceeded against administration of Justice, and harmful to appellate, in addition to with respect to South Carolina rules limits the use of evidence of other crimes, or acts to those enumerated in state v. Lyle, further when the ~~probative~~, Prejudicial effect of evidence substantially outweigh the Probative value, stipulation(s) occurred without Jury Question 6 being answered, that in fact trial court never answered Jury Question No. 6.

Appellate case at Bar before South Carolina Court of Appeals, there not such conviction for Armed Robbery on appellate records, The court allowed Prior bad acts of Burglary and agreed to stipulations of same without appellate taking witness stand. Jury Question 6, deals with formal obstruction of Justice out of DA'S office and that is alleged conviction of Armed Robbery, clearly its

FOUR OF FIVE

S.C.C.A.

Contempt and Corruptions in office and other crimes against administration of Justice. There no conviction of Armed Robbery with weapon, The Court, trial Court response to Deputy Prosecutor ALFARO, When we cross that Bridge, The records before South Carolina Court of appeals, Shows fatal, Fatal variance,

These matters of Formal obstruction of Justice out of DA's office, clearly developed, Grand Jury as well is not suppose to be blunt instrument, Prosecutor ALFARO, records Shows Contempt, Corruption in office and other crimes, He took convenient position in office, Now its on records, of also abuse of Grand Jury, is not suppose to be blunt instrument,

Formal obstructions of Justice out of DA's office,

Appellate was denied of his Fourth, Fifth and Fourteenth of United States Constitutional Amendments.

S.C.C.A., FIVE OF FIVE

The South Carolina Court of Appeals
Appeal from Berkeley County
Honorable Mattie Murphy, Circuit Court Judge

July 05, 2018

Appellate no. 2017-001833

Proof of Service

Proof of Service is Presented in support of a Appellate Supplement Brief, Total Pages are Five, The following is respectfully Forward to South Carolina Court of Appeals, in support thereof.

cc/ Enclosures

Respectfully

July 05, 2018

Fred Fulman

RECEIVED

JUL 12 2018

SC Court of Appeals

JUL 12 2018

The South Carolina Court of Appeals
APPEAL from Berkeley County
Honorable Matie Murphy, Circuit Court Judge

SC Court of Appeals

V. CLAIRE ALLEN
DEPUTY CLERK

July 05, 2018
Appellate
Supplement
Brief And
Proof of service

Re: Fred Freeman v. State
Appellate No. 2017-001833

Appellate respectfully proceeds by matters of supplement, to add following facts that of trial, jury trial held August 28 and 29, 2017, that during course of trial and before trial, where records of transcript, Prosecutor ALFARO proceeded by falsifying records, Deputy Prosecutor ALFARO made false statements, and using documents known to be false and provided false records against Appellate of conviction for ARM ROBBERY, provided to jury.

Prosecutor ALFARO knowingly knew by making false entries or otherwise tampering with intent, public records with intent to deceive or injure, or to conceal wrong doing.

18 USC 1506, 2011, 2013, Model Penal Code 224.4, Prosecutor ALFARO knowingly proceeded by contempt and corruption in office and other crimes against the administration of Justice.

Prosecutor ALFARO proceeded by full, full contentions to deceive jury by providing false conviction of ARM ROBBERY, that following clearly is formal obstruction of Justice out of DA's office.

Prosecutor ALFARO knowingly and

F.F. TWO OF FIVE

intelligently Proceeded against administration of Justice, Also knowing Grand Jury Process is not suppose to be blunt instrument, where records in case at Bar, as well clearly shows Prosecutor ALFARO Proceeded by such form of Prosecutorial misconducts, and against administration of Justice, Appellate in case at Bar, clearly was prejudice by such formal obstruction(s) of Justice, ^{DACS} office

Prosecutor ALFARO, Also knowingly and intelligently, intelligently falsify records, stating that on December 04, 2015, Preliminary hearing judge only dismissed parts of charges against Appellate, Prosecutor ALFARO, Proceeded as well by false statements, using documents known to be false, December 04, 2015 Preliminary hearing judge dismissed all charges against Appellate.

Prosecutor ALFARO used a blunt instrument of abusing the grand jury process, Prosecutor ALFARO full contention as records shows as well he deceive grand jury process, Prosecutor ALFARO, knew trial court lack subject matter jurisdiction.

The records shows Prosecutor ALFARO, did not appeal preliminary hearing order of dismissal, there was no evidence for such threshold, the grand jury did not order warrants to be issued. Prosecutor ALFARO forms of Prosecutorial misconducts, where records shows fatal variance. They say that Prosecutor ALFARO and David Swakee are after hour Parters, Appellate, Appellate

FF. THREE OF FIVE

was convicted by matters of obstructions of justice out of DA's office, Prosecutorial misconducts, AS records also shows violations Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments of United States constitution.

The trial Judge did not prided herself on her basic sense of fairness, a judge is not suppose let her personal feeling get in way of her as Judge, Trial Judge, P. 246 did not acted as Controller of Jury trial, and proceeded as decision maker, that as such shifted burden from State to appellate, You, the Jury may find that this specific element has been Proven beyond a reasonable doubt, Trial Court does not reference reasonable doubt for defense, Appellate was Prejudice,

trial Judge Page 245-23-5 - P. 246, In this case rather than seeking to have each of Prior convictions admitted into evidence, trial Court stipulations referred to many Prior convictions, to include Prosecutor ALFARO Prosecutorial Misconducts, formal obstruction(s) of Justice out of DA's office, Falsifying records, false statements, Contempt and Corruptions in office and other crimes against administration of Justice, P. 245, sections 10-15, Question number 6 deals with other two convictions for, and it states, With Weapon, Armed Robbery,

trial Court refusal to answer Jury question number 6 Armed Robbery convictions, left Jury to assume appellate was convicted for Armed Robbery,

Trial Court told Jury, rather than to have each of appellate Prior convictions admitted into evidence, trial Court step beyond stipulation.

Based upon formal obstructions of Justice out of DA's office, trial Judge was in fact became aware of same, Jury was told appellate had Prior conviction for Armed Robbery, trial

F.F. FOUR OF FIVE

Court did not answer jury question number 6. Trial Judge duty is to examine all materials before admitting to jury, that following proceeded against administration of justice and harmful to Appellate. In addition with respect to South Carolina rules limits the use of evidence of other crimes, or acts to those enumerated in State v. Lyle. Further, when the prejudicial effect of evidence substantially outweigh the probative value,

stipulations(s) occurred without jury question number 6 being answered, that in fact trial court never answered jury question No. 6

Appellate case at Bar before South Carolina Court of Appeal, there not such conviction for Armed Robbery on appellate records,

The court allowed prior bad acts of Burglary, and agreed to stipulations of same without appellate taking witness stand. Jury question 6 deals with formal obstruction of justice out of the DA's office and that is alleged convictions of Armed Robbery, clearly its contempt and corruptions in office and other crimes, crimes against the administration of justice. There no conviction for Armed Robbery with weapon, the courts, trial court response to Deputy Prosecutor ALFAZO, when we cross that Bridge 609. The records before South Carolina Court of Appeals, shows fatal variance.

These matters of formal obstruction of justice out of DA's office, clearly developed, Grand Jury as well, is not suppose to be a blunt instrument. Prosecutor ALFAZO, records shows contempt, corruptions in offices and other crimes, that he took convenient position in office, now its on records of also abuse of Grand Jury, is not suppose to be blunt instrument, formal obstructions of justice out of DA's office.

Appellate was denied of his Fourth, Fifth and Fourteenth, of United States Constitutional Amendments.

The South Carolina Court of Appeals
Appeal from Berkeley County
Honorable Matic Murphy, Circuit Court Judge

July 05, 2018

Appellate No. 2017-001833

Proof of Service

Proof of service is presented in support of Appellate Supplement Brief. Total pages are five, the following is respectfully forwarded to South Carolina Court of Appeals, in support thereof.

cc/ Enclosures

Respectfully,
July 05, 2018
Fred Freeman

RECEIVED

JUL 12 2018

SC Court of Appeals

Fred Freeman 235180 WB 141
Lieber Corr Institution
P.O. Box 205
Tidgeville, South Carolina 29472

RECEIVED

JUL 12 2018

SC Court of Appeals

RECEIVED

JUL 09 2018

MAIL ROOM
LIEBER C.I.

FOR LEGAL USE ONLY

South Carolina Court of Appeals
JENNY ABBOTT KITCHINGS, CLERK
POST OFFICE BOX 11629
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29211