

# The Supreme Court of South Carolina

Dan Temple, Jr., #254316, Petitioner,

v.

State of South Carolina, Respondent.


Appellate Case No. 2018-000624


---


## ORDER


---


In the explanation required by Rule 243(c) of South Carolina Appellate Court Rules (SCACR), petitioner has failed to show that there is an arguable basis for asserting that the determination by the lower court was improper. In addition, petitioner did not file a response to the circuit court's conditional order of dismissal, nor did he provide an explanation to the Clerk of Court stating why the notice of appeal should not be dismissed under *Edith v. State*, 369 S.C. 408, 632 S.E.2d 844 (2006). Accordingly, this matter is dismissed. The remittitur will be sent as provided by Rule 221(b), SCACR.

  
\_\_\_\_\_ C.J.

  
\_\_\_\_\_ J.

  
\_\_\_\_\_ J.

  
\_\_\_\_\_ J.

  
\_\_\_\_\_ J.

Columbia, South Carolina

August 02, 2018

cc:

Megan Harrigan Jameson, Esquire

Kelly Oppenheimer, Esquire

Dan Temple, Jr.