

RECEIVED

M.1

AUG 03 2018

Pro se response

S.C. SUPREME COURT

The Supreme Court of South Carolina, I Jerry Scantling would like to address some matters and issues on my behalf... from what I know and came across dealing with my case on why I been convicted... I can see my "Due Process and rights to a fair trial was violated... I'm aware one of my main argument and issues ineffective assistance counsel... and that's on my "Lawyer" but I'm asking The Supreme Court to look at the injustice and prejudiced in my case... it's errors in my case that was prejudiced and overlooked... I'm saying if I wasn't indigent and had any type of a right lawyer I'm sure it might, haven't made it this far in my case... Proof: right after I been convicted I went through the motion starting with an Appeal... My Appeal Lawyer David Alexander I must say I'm satisfied in his job on my Appeal... but I got denied because My Trial Lawyer Matthew Walker" never preserve any grounds for me an Appeal... his objection were untimely and it were times he suppose to object he never did... Same for the PCR Lawyer I had, James K. Falk... like I said about my Appeal Lawyer the same for my PCR Lawyer MR. Falk... Good ATTORNEY" no issue on how he handle my case, pretty same situation... State reasons and I'm being denied I keep hearing and seeing

in the State's argument is what
 my Lawyer done, Matthew Walker!"
 Seeing his objections were un-
 timely or never objected on certain
 issues he should had... MY trial the
 Judge an Prosecutor was in favor
 of supporting each other to help
 convict me... they didn't convict me
 off evidence an ~~proof~~ "Proof" alone...
 they ~~mis~~ mis-use Authority for
 conviction... example: Judge Carmen
 Mullen! told Prosecutor Thornton to
 make sure his witness understands
 we are on trial on murder... that
 Brittany Haines, Cythia Padgett, Mother
 an Daughter... Jail House informants
 can not mention other incidents
 in crimes... VOL. 3 PG. 555 line 21
 Prosecutor told Judge Mullen's he's
 going to make sure his witness
 knows an doesn't do that... from vol.
~~3~~ 3. PG 555 in my TRIAL Motion up
 till PG. 583 VOL. 3 on line 13 to 21
 you can see he coach her to blurt
 out "Burglary! that was pending but
 till this day I haven't went to
 court for it cause the charge was
 weak an bogus... she was warn!"
 not to do this during trial" her
 an Daughter was BUT when she
 does it anyway... my trial lawyer
 move for a motion for ~~mis~~ ^{mis}-trial an
 she denies it with this an that
 an she doesn't see it harmful...

Pro se response

But you have a witness blurted out crimes." She had no reasoning doing... in front of the Jury." So why would Judge Mullen stress to make sure the witness doesn't do or say something in trial and you contradict your warn... these same witness they use against me... Brittany Rained, Cythia Padgett are unreliable witness." they had a history for fraud, false info to law enforcement, and other crimes... and how she Cythia Padgett blurted things in my trial that's mis-use of Criminal Informant's Goldstein vs. Los Angeles, North Carolina vs. Alfred, over zealous Prosecutor... Vol. 2 Pg. 305 in trial motion, the chief investigator John Adams" admitted through cross-examination he didn't look fully and carefully into investigation he was focus on just scanning. You can see even the judge was kinda shakey using Brittany Rained for a witness on trial motion Vol. 3 Pg. 546 Vol. 3 Pg. 550 line 1 to 12 Judge admitted she concern about what Brittany testimony going to be off what she heard and what her mom says and not what she knows Vol. 3 Pg. 545 1 to 15 you can see don't use trial but

Couldn't IMPEACH Brittany Rained
 an Cythia Padgett... they were un-
 reliable from the beginning they
 pretty much mix a story together
 off the info. they got from de-
 tectives... mother an daughter
 already had history with officers
 an co-hurstring... from my un-
 derstanding you suppose to let
 Jury know mother an daughter
 works for the State... Informants
 not random witness, they an
 I were in Beaufort County Jail
 for separate charges different in-
 cidents... they went off word of
 mouth from informant's an Junkie's
 that's known for giving false in-
 formation to Authority figure...
 in they're in someone else trial
 with someone life in there hands
 on a circumstical case of word
 of mouth... BRADY VS. Maryland
 with holding info from the jury
 Brittany an mom suppose to be
 sented to the ~~jury~~ jury as
 employee of the State" these
 people violate my rights an
 amendment for "Due Process" an
 through my lawyer gross negligence
 I never had a fair trial... I can
 write down plenty of errors an
 cases where my situation fit... but
 I know through the Supreme
 Court the doubt's going to be

Obvious... what I don't understand how I'm charge with ~~murder~~ murder, POSS. Firearm dur comm. of crime, Robbery, I never been with the victim... never been seen with him never had any thing belongs to this guy... never got caught with firearm on person or surveillance... nobody can say I saw Jerry Scantling Shoot Leneard Green... have the murder weapon with the victim an someone else! DNA! Not mines! You can't even prove I was there when the guy got murdered... they don't know the nature of the crime" what really transpired Man. Slaughter ~~murder~~ Invol man. Slaughter. Murder, how they just gonna convict me with no ~~proof~~ proof of what really took place... it's all kinds of doubts in my case" to be honest I didn't even had a fair Jury selection... a lot of jury members I had to chose from knew the guy some way or the other an they was trying to run 2 High Profile cases at the same time... that's not fair on me if I got 2nd dibbs I would think" I just hope I have a chance to a fair Trial Please!

Terry Scantling #259057
Lieber. Corr. Inst
P.O. Box 205
Ridgerville Sc 29472

RECEIVED
JUL 31 2018
MAILROOM
LIEBER CL.
FOR LEGAL USE ONLY

The Supreme Court of
South Carolina
Daniel E. Stearns
Clerk of Court
Post office, Box 11330
Columbia, SC 29211