

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In the Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM CHARLESTON COUNTY
Court of Common Pleas

Diane Schafer Goodstein, Circuit Court Judge

Appellate Case No. 2018-001090
Trial Court Case No. 2017-CP-10-3324

RECEIVED

AUG 27 2018

SC Court of Appeals

Rosa Maritza Galban Aranda, Respondent,

v.

Rigoberto Ortega, Appellant.

INITIAL BRIEF OF APPELLANT

Thomas O. Sanders, IV
Sanders Law Firm, LLC
1738 Three Oaks Avenue
Charleston, SC 29407
(843) 573-8828
ATTORNEY FOR APPELLANT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table of authorities..... ii
Statement of Issues on Appeal..... 1
Statement of the Case..... 1
Arguments
 1. RES JUDICATA BARS THE SECOND COMPLAINT AND THE
 ORDER OF DEFAULT ARISING FROM IT..... 1
 2. THE TRIAL COURT ERRED WHEN IT DENIED PLAINTIFF’S
 MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM JUDGMENT..... 2
Conclusion..... 3

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

CASES

Belle Hall Plantation Homeowner’s Assn., Inc. v. Murray, 419 S.C. 605, 799 S.E.2d 310 (2010)..... 2
Garris v. Governing Bd. of S.C. Reinsurance Facility, 333 S.C. 432, 511 S.E.2d 48 (1998)..... 2
Jones v. City of Folly Beach, 326 S.C. 360, 483 S.E.2d 770 (Ct. App. 1997)..... 3
Thompson v. Ballentine, 298 S.C. 289, 379 S.E.2d 896 (1989)..... 2
Town of Sullivan’s Island v. Felger, 318 S.C. 340, 457 S.E.2d 626 (Ct. App. 1995)..... 2

STATUTES

None

OTHER AUTHORITIES

S.C.R.Civ.P. 60(b)..... 2
S.C.R.Civ.P. 41(a)(1)..... 3

STATEMENT OF ISSUES ON APPEAL

1. ARE THE SECOND COMPLAINT, AND THE ORDER OF DEFAULT ARISING FROM IT, BARRED BY RES JUDICATA?
2. DID THE TRIAL COURT ERR WHEN IT DENIED APPELLANT'S MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM JUDGMENT?

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

On January 27, 2017, Respondent filed a Complaint bearing Civil Action No.: 2017-CP-10-0432 ("the First Complaint"). On August 8, 2017, Respondent filed a Notice of Voluntary Dismissal with Prejudice, pertaining to the First Complaint.

Meanwhile, Respondent filed a second Complaint bearing Civil Action No.: 2017-CP-10-3324 ("the Second Complaint") alleging the same causes of action between the same parties as contained in the First Complaint.

On September 15, 2017, an Order of Default was entered as to the Second Complaint. On November 14, 2017, a Notice of Appearance was entered on behalf of Appellant, as well as a Motion for Relief from Judgment. On March 21, 2018, Appellant filed its Memorandum in Support of its Motion for Relief from Judgment in accordance with S.C.R.Civ.P. 60(b). (P. 1, Memo in Support).

On March 21, 2018, Appellant's motions were heard. On April 27, 2018 the trial court denied Appellant's request to relieve it from judgment.

ARGUMENTS

- I. RES JUDICATA BARS THE SECOND COMPLAINT AND THE ORDER OF DEFAULT ARISING FROM IT.

Res judicata precludes a party from re-litigating issues actually litigated and those

which might have been litigated in the first action. Town of Sullivan's Island v. Felger, 318 S.C. 340, 457 S.E.2d 626 (Ct. App. 1995). For the doctrine of res judicata to apply, the following elements must be shown: (1) the identities of the parties are the same as in the prior litigation; (2) the subject matter is the same as in the prior litigation; and (3) there was a prior adjudication of the issue by a court of competent jurisdiction. Garris v. Governing Bd. of S.C. Reinsurance Facility, 333 S.C. 432, 511 S.E.2d 48 (1998).

In both complaints, the parties are the same. In both complaints, the subject matter is the same in that it concerns alleged injuries sustained from a fall. Respondent's claims were adjudicated in the Charleston County Court of Common Pleas when the Notice of Voluntary Dismissal with Prejudice, pertaining to the First Complaint, was filed. As a result, both the Second Complaint and the Order of Default arising from it are barred.

II. THE TRIAL COURT ERRED WHEN IT DENIED PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM JUDGMENT.

On motion, the court may relieve a party from a final judgment, order or proceeding for mistake, inadvertence, surprise or excusable neglect *or if the judgment is void* (emphasis added). S.C.R.Civ.P. 60(b). Relief from a final judgment must be had through Rule 60, which is the mechanism for relief from a judgment or order. Thompson v. Ballentine, 298 S.C. 289, 379 S.E.2d 896, 898 (1989). The motion shall be made within a reasonable time not more than one year after the judgment, order or proceeding was entered or taken. Id. A void judgment is one that, from its inception, is a complete nullity and is without legal effect. Belle Hall Plantation Homeowner's Assn., Inc. v. Murray, 419 S.C. 605, 799 S.E.2d 310, 316 (2010). The definition of "void" under the

rule only encompasses judgments which failed to provide proper due process or which lacked subject matter jurisdiction. *Id.* An action may be dismissed with prejudice and without order of the court by filing a notice of dismissal at any time before service by the adverse party of an answer. S.C.R.Civ.P. 41(a)(1). “[D]ismissal with prejudice indicates an adjudication on the merits and *precludes subsequent litigation* to the same extent as if the action had been tried to final adjudication (emphasis added). Where an action has been dismissed with prejudice, the judgment operates in subsequent litigation to the same extent as if the action had been tried to a final adjudication.” Jones v. City of Folly Beach, 326 S.C. 360, 483 S.E.2d 770, 773 (Ct. App. 1997).

On November 14, 2017, Appellant filed its Motion for Relief from Judgment. This occurred only two months after the Order of Default was entered, which is within one year as required.

Respondent’s August 8, 2017 *Notice of Voluntary Dismissal with Prejudice* of the First Complaint voids the Second Complaint, as well as the Order of Default (a final judgment) arising from it. Both complaints contain the same, exact, claims. Because Respondent’s claims were finally adjudicated by dismissal with prejudice, the Order of Default is jurisdictionally defective and void, because it was considered by the trial court and issued *after* the final adjudication of Respondent’s claims.

CONCLUSION

For the reasons stated above, this Court should reverse the judgment of the trial court to relieve Appellant from judgment, declare the Order of Default void, and remand to the trial court for dismissal with prejudice of the Second Complaint and the Order of Default arising from it.

SANDERS LAW FIRM, LLC

/s/ Thomas O. Sanders, IV
Thomas O. Sanders, IV
1738 Three Oaks Avenue
Charleston, South Carolina 29407
(843) 573-8828
ATTORNEY FOR APPELLANT

August 24, 2018
Charleston, South Carolina

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In the Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM CHARLESTON COUNTY
Court of Common Pleas

Diane Schafer Goodstein, Circuit Court Judge

Appellate Case No. 2018-001090
Trial Court Case No. 2017-CP-10-3324

RECEIVED
AUG 27 2018
SC Court of Appeals

Rosa Maritza Galban Aranda, Respondent,

v.

Rigoberto Ortega, Appellant.

PROOF OF SERVICE

I certify that I have served the *Initial Brief of Appellant* and the *Designation of Matter to be Included in the Record on Appeal* on Rosa Maritza Galban Aranda by depositing a copy of it in the United States Mail, postage prepaid, on August 24, 2018, addressed to its attorney of record: Johnny F. Driggers, Esquire (PO Box 757, Goose Creek, SC 29445).

SANDERS LAW FIRM, LLC

/s/ Thomas O. Sanders, IV
Thomas O. Sanders, IV
1738 Three Oaks Avenue
Charleston, South Carolina 29407
(843)573-8828
ATTORNEY FOR APPELLANTS

August 24, 2018
Charleston, South Carolina

SANDERS LAW FIRM, LLC

1738 THREE OAKS AVENUE
CHARLESTON, SOUTH CAROLINA 29407
WWW.SANDERSLAWFIRM.COM

THOMAS O. SANDERS, IV, ATTORNEY AT LAW
Certified Mediator by S.C. Supreme Court

Tel. (843) 573-8828
Fax. (843) 573-9288
E-mail tosanders@sanderslawfirm.com

August 24, 2018

The Honorable Jenny Abbott Kitchings
Clerk, S.C. Court of Appeals
Post Office Box 11629
Columbia, South Carolina 29211

RECEIVED

AUG 27 2018

SC Court of Appeals

RE: Aranda v. Ortega, 2018-001090


Dear Ms. Kitchings:

Enclosed please find the following: 1) Initial Brief of Appellant; 2) Designation of Matter to be Included in the Record on Appeal; and 3) Proof of Service. Please file the originals and return to me a clocked copy of each in the self-addressed, stamped envelope provided.

By copy of this letter with enclosures to opposing counsel, I am serving him with the foregoing.

Thank you in advance for your help. I look forward to hearing from you.


Respectfully,



Thomas O. Sanders, IV

TOS/jm

cc: Mr. Rigoberto Ortega ✓
Johnny F. Driggers, Esquire ✓



SANDERS LAW FIRM, LLC

1738 THREE OAKS AVENUE
CHARLESTON, SOUTH CAROLINA 29407

ADDRESS SERVICE REQUESTED

RECEIVED
AUG 27 2018
SC Court of Appeals

The Honorable Jenny Abbott Kitchings
Clerk, S.C. Court of Appeals
Post Office Box 11629
Columbia, South Carolina 29211