

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA) IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
COUNTY OF FAIRFIELD) OF THE SIXTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

2012 DEC -6 A 11:05
FAIRFIELD COUNTY
CLERK OF COURT
BETTY JO BECKHAM

Rawley E. Schofield,) Case Number: 2011-CP-20-00298

v.)

Fairfield County, South Carolina, a political)
subdivision of the State of South Carolina,)

Defendant.)

ORDER

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA) IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
COUNTY OF FAIRFIELD) OF THE SIXTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

Mary R. Medlin,) Case Number: 2011-CP-20-00299

Plaintiff,)

v.)

Fairfield County, South Carolina, a political)
subdivision of the State of South Carolina,)

Defendant.)

ORDER

This matter is before the Court on Defendant's Motion to Alter or Amend, or, in the Alternative, for Reconsideration of this Court's Order Awarding Attorney's Fees and Costs. In accordance with Rule 59(f), SCRPC, the Court holds that it is not necessary to hear oral arguments on this matter. After reviewing the memoranda and other documents submitted to the Court, and the applicable authority, the Court hereby denies Defendant's Motion.

In its Motion, Defendant has raised the issue of the sufficiency of its new condemnation plan. Any matters relating to the sufficiency of the re-evaluated condemnation plan were not and are not before this Court. This Court ordered Defendant to re-evaluate its condemnation plan in a

way consistent with South Carolina case law. Following that order, Plaintiffs moved for an award of attorney's fees relating to that order, which this Court granted. The new plan proposed by Defendant has not been challenged. Any dispute as to the new plan must follow the procedures codified in S.C. Code Title 28.

THEREFORE, Defendant's Motion to Alter or Amend, or, in the Alternative, for Reconsideration is DENIED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.



The Honorable Paul M. Burch
Presiding Judge

Pageland, South Carolina
December 5, 2012