



September 17, 2018

RECEIVED

SEP 17 2018

S.C. SUPREME COURT

ALAN WILSON  
ATTORNEY GENERAL

The Honorable Daniel E. Shearouse  
Clerk of Court, Supreme Court of South Carolina  
Post Office Box 11330  
Columbia, South Carolina 29211

Re: Roderick McRae v. State of South Carolina<sup>1</sup>  
Appellate Case No. 2018-001615

Dear Mr. Shearouse:

On September 7, 2018, Respondent received a letter from this Court instructing Respondent to address why two *pro se* documents filed by Mr. McRae were not addressed as a return to the motion to dismiss or as a response to the conditional order of dismissal. The first document captioned "Traverse Motion to Return and Motion to Dismiss, and Traverse to Conditional Order of Dismissal and Request for Summary Judgment" was filed with the Oconee County Clerk of Court on June 19, 2018. However, Respondent neither received a copy of this document from Applicant nor received a copy of the filed document from the Clerk of Court. The second document captioned "Opposition to Conditional Order of Dismissal" was filed on July 24, 2018, after Respondent submitted its proposed final order of dismissal to the Chief Administrative Judge for the Tenth Judicial Circuit on July 19, 2018. For these reasons, the filed documents listed above were not treated as a return to the motion to dismiss or as a response to the conditional order of dismissal. Please let me know if I can provide this Court with any additional information.

Respectfully,

Kelly Oppenheimer  
Assistant Attorney General  
SC Bar No. 103245

KO

cc: Roderick McRae, #236188

<sup>1</sup> Additionally, Respondent notes it has not been served with Mr. McRae's notice of appeal and was unaware an appeal was pending until receiving this Court's September 7, 2018, letter.