

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

In the Supreme Court

RECEIVED

OCT 22 2018

APPEAL FROM CHARLESTON COUNTY  
Court of Common Pleas

S.C. SUPREME COURT

Thomas L. Hughston, Jr., Circuit Court Judge

Circuit Court Case No. 2009-CP-10-3010  
Appellate Court Case No. 2018-000566

Betty Fisher and Lisa Fisher, .....Appellants

v.

Bessie Huckabee, Kay Passailaigue Slade and Sandra Byrd,.....Respondents

In the Matter of the Estate of Alice Shaw-Baker.

**SUPPLEMENTAL RECORD ON APPEAL  
BY STIPULATION**

LISA FISHER, ESQUIRE (*Pro Se*)  
P.O. Box 91112  
Long Beach, CA 90809-1112  
562-965-3267  
[lfisher6736@yahoo.com](mailto:lfisher6736@yahoo.com)

BETTY FISHER (*Pro Se*)  
P.O. Box 91112  
Long Beach, CA 90809-1112

(Appellant)

(Appellant requesting Joinder)

**INDEX**

***APPENDIX***

		Page no.
124.	Email dated March 16, 2018, the entire email conversation including the pages inadvertently excluded from no. 51 of the Record on appeal.	1669

**CERTIFICATE OF APPELLANTS:**

I certify that this Supplemental Record on Appeal by Stipulation contains all material proposed to be included by the stipulation filed herein.

October 15, 2018

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

By: 

LISA FISHER, PRO SE PO Box 91112  
Long Beach, CA 90809-1112  
(562) 965-3267  
email: [lfisher6736@yahoo.com](mailto:lfisher6736@yahoo.com)



Jessica Crowley &lt;jcrowleylawfirm@gmail.com&gt;

---

**Shaw Baker: 2009-cp-10-3010**

1 message

---

**lisa fisher** <lfisher6736@yahoo.com>

Fri, Mar 16, 2018 at 4:13 PM

To: "Thomas L. Hughston" <thughstonj@sccourts.org>, John Hughes Cooper <shiplaw@jhcooper.com>, "W. Westbrook Wills III" <wwills@wwillslaw.com>, Jessica Crowley <jcrowleylawfirm@gmail.com>, Jaci Crowley <harper.jaci@gmail.com>, John Townsend Cooper <jtc@jhcooper.com>

On Friday, March 16, 2018, 12:56 PM, lisa fisher <lfisher6736@yahoo.com> wrote:

Dear Judge Hughston,

I am in receipt of the correspondence from Ms. Crowley and Mr. Wills.

I am in a no win situation. As the former conservator of the estate of Alice Shaw Baker, I had a duty to ensure that the assets were distributed to the right person. I had substantial information that Kay Passailague Slade was not the right person, and in fact, that Alice Shaw Baker was under the belief that she ran a dog charity, which turned out not to be true. This court issued this order prior to the conclusion of plaintiff's case, so I believe my concerns re favoritism are well taken. I have tried to put these concerns aside to only be more confounded by the actions of defendants.

These attorneys know that you made your original order that the documents would be for your eyes only, and yet they do not verify that fact with you. (Rule 402)

I will seek judicial review of your order as set forth. I would like to avoid that review, but I can not allow involuntary disclosure of my financial status. South Carolina is a state which provides

even more rights than the United States Constitution, with a specific right to privacy in Article 1, § 8.

Moreover, the court has asked for this information without statutory or common law authority. The problem with the court's decision is that it creates a type of litmus test for litigants, subjecting litigants with financial problems to embarrassment and rich litigants to early disclosure for the defendants.

In light of The unreasonable positions of defendants, I can see no way to overcome the confusion over the scope of the order, the inadvertent disclosure of protected information, and the unfair prejudice that we have suffered at this juncture. Please issue an order that protects my financial privacy rights and allows judicial review.

Thank you for this opportunity to explain my position.

With respect,

Lisa Fisher



Jessica Crowley &lt;jcrowleylawfirm@gmail.com&gt;

---

**Re: Fisher v. Huckabee 2009-CP-10-3010**

1 message

---

**W. Westbrook Wills III** <wwills@wwillslaw.com>

Fri, Mar 16, 2018 at 2:24 PM

To: Jessica Crowley &lt;jcrowleylawfirm@gmail.com&gt;

Cc: lisa fisher &lt;lfisher6736@gmail.com&gt;, "Hughston, Thomas L." &lt;thughstonj@sccourts.org&gt;, John Townsend Cooper &lt;jtc@jhcooper.com&gt;, John Cooper &lt;shiplaw@jhcooper.com&gt;

Mr. Wills joins in Ms. Crowley's position.

On Mar 16, 2018, at 2:20 PM, Jessica Crowley <jcrowleylawfirm@gmail.com> wrote:

1. I consent to you submitting your information in a separate email. I do this as a courtesy to you due to the approaching deadline.
2. I do not waive any right the law may afford me to review this information in the future. I do not waive my right to request or review your financial information in this or any other action should it be relevant and lawful for me to do so.
3. Attorney Wills, I imagine, will respond separately.

--

**Jessica Crowley****Attorney at Law**

8 Gillon Street, Ste. B

Charleston, SC 29401

Mail: PO Box 30189

Charleston, South Carolina 29417

Telephone (843) 789-9614

Facsimile (843) 559-3162

Email: harper.jaci@gmail.com

***PROBATE ADMINISTRATION OF ESTATES, WILL DRAFTING, ESTATE PLANNING AND ADULT CARE GUARDIANSHIPS.*****CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE**

This message is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This communication may contain information that is proprietary, privileged, confidential, or otherwise legally exempt from disclosure. If you are not the named addressee, or if you received this email in error, then you are not authorized to read, print, retain, copy or disseminate this message or any part of it. If you have received this message in error, please then notify the sender immediately either by phone (843-789-0498) or reply to this e-mail and delete all copies of this message. You will notice that any federal tax advice that may be contained in this email (or attachments) would require further analysis to ensure compliance with IRS requirements.

On Mar 16, 2018, at 1:26 PM, lisa fisher <lfisher6736@gmail.com> wrote:

1673

Well this is quite different then judge Houston's understanding of your consent. The rest of your statement is unintelligible, furthermore you are not stating whether or not you have the ability to consent for Mr. Wills. It sounds to me like you certainly understand that disclosure would be a waiver of privacy, and this causes great concern for me. Please clarify your position, so I can ensure that I understand I have a legal aspects of this case.

Thank you.

Lisa Fisher

Sent from my iPad

On Mar 16, 2018, at 9:06 AM, Jessica Crowley <jcrowleylawfirm@gmail.com> wrote:

I consent to the ex parte communication only. My recollection was that Judge Hughston requested you communicate with his law clerk. I have no issue with this. As far as privacy of the information involved, I would expect that its availability for the parties to review what is submitted would be consistent with the law and with the Judge's order.

Jessica

**Jessica Crowley**  
**Attorney at Law**  
 8 Gillon Street  
 Charleston, SC 29401  
Mail: PO Box 30189  
 Charleston, SC 29417  
 Telephone (843) 789-9614  
 Facsimile (843) 559-3162  
 Email: jcrowleylawfirm@gmail.com

*PROBATE ADMINISTRATION, WILL DRAFTING, ESTATE PLANNING AND  
 ADULT CARE GUARDIANSHIPS.*

**CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE**

This message is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This communication may contain information that is proprietary, privileged, confidential, or otherwise legally exempt from disclosure. If you are not the named addressee, or if you received this email in error, then you are not authorized to read, print, retain, copy or disseminate this message or any part of it. If you have received this message in error, please then notify the sender immediately either by phone (843-789-0498) or reply to this e-mail and delete all copies of this message. You will notice that any federal tax advice that may be contained in this email (or attachments) would require further analysis to ensure compliance with IRS requirements.

On Fri, Mar 16, 2018 at 11:42 AM, lisa fisher <lfisher6736@gmail.com> wrote:

Thank you for your prompt reply. I appreciate Ms. Crowley's consent, and ask if she has authority to consent on behalf of Mr. Wills. I would appreciate this information.

Thank you.

Lisa Fisher

Sent from my iPad

> On Mar 16, 2018, at 8:28 AM, Hughston, Thomas L.  
 <thughstonj@sccourts.org> wrote:

1674

>  
> Thank you for your email and I am certainly sorry to hear of your illness.  
>  
>  
>  
> I do not recall saying that your information would not be disclosed to the other side, for I believe that they are entitled to it. Therefore, it would not be an ex parte communication. However, Ms. Crowley apparently does not object to my receiving this information directly from you and not going to her at this time. I will keep it completely to myself, put it in a sealed envelope, and write on it that it will only be opened on an as needed basis in the future. In other words, it is for my eyes only.  
>  
>  
>  
> I hope that this answers all your questions and that you will promptly supply this information to me.  
>  
>  
>  
> Thomas L. Hughston, Jr.  
>  
>  
>  
> From: lisa fisher <lfisher6736@gmail.com>  
> Sent: Friday, March 16, 2018 11:06 AM  
> To: Hughston, Thomas L.  
> Cc: W. Westbrook Wills III; John Townsend Cooper; John Cooper; jcrowleylawfirm@gmail.com  
> Subject: Re: Fisher v. Huckabee 2009-CP-10-3010  
>  
>  
> Dear Judge Houston,  
>  
> I apologize for the delay in responding to your most recent correspondence. As I'm sure you recall at trial I was coughing excessively. Unfortunately it resulted in a pretty serious illness. I am only starting to recover.  
>  
> Although I am licensed to practice in California, I have reviewed the lawyers oath under rule 402, SCACR, and seek to comply with the requirements of South Carolina law.  
>  
> I believe in making this inquiry before final determination of my compliance with your order is appropriate and justified in requesting clarification. I do not wish to disobey your order, however I do not wish to inadvertently waive my rights to financial privacy especially when I can't find any statutory or common law Authority for your request.  
>  
> The court indicated earlier that the information would not be disclosed to the other side. However, that would require me to violate the ex parte communication prohibition, unless the other side waives the right to this information. The next problem would be is the information sealed and prohibited from release and or destroyed?  
>  
> I hope you understand the reason that I am concerned with complying. Although, I certainly don't have anything to hide that doesn't mean that I should not protect these important rights.  
>  
> In any event, I hope the Court considers these important questions. I have copied all parties to ensure that they too understand my questions and as required by law.  
>  
> Thank you.  
>  
> Lisa Fisher  
>

1675

> On Mar 14, 2018 8:24 AM, "Hughston, Thomas L."  
<thughstonj@sccourts.org<mailto:thughstonj@sccourts.org>> wrote:  
> Dear Ms. Fisher:  
>  
>  
>  
> Following up on my oral Order to you regarding a written statement from  
you as an officer of the Court telling me your net worth and your net income  
for 2017 if you have that figure available, and if not, your net income for  
2016. I am putting this in writing as an Order. It is relevant to the issues  
before me. I hope that you will comply with this by Friday, March 16, 2018.  
Failure to do so will be dealt with as civil contempt of court.  
>  
>  
>  
> Thank you for your consideration, cooperation and attention to this Order,  
and I shall look forward to hearing from you.  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>  
> Thomas L. Hughston, Jr.  
>  
> ~~~ CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE ~~~ This message is intended only for  
the addressee and may contain information that is confidential. If you are not  
the intended recipient, do not read, copy, retain, or disseminate this message  
or any attachment. If you have received this message in error, please contact  
the sender immediately and delete all copies of the message and any  
attachments.

1676

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

In the Supreme Court

APPEAL FROM CHARLESTON COUNTY  
Court of Common Pleas

Thomas L. Hughston, Jr., Circuit Court Judge

Circuit Court Case No. 2009-CP-10-3010  
Appellate Court Case No. 2018-000566

RECEIVED  
OCT 22 2018  
S.C. SUPREME COURT

Betty Fisher and Lisa Fisher, .....Appellants

v.

Bessie Huckabee, Kay Passailaigue Slade and Sandra Byrd,.....Respondents

In the Matter of the Estate of Alice Shaw-Baker.

PROOF OF SERVICE

I certify that I have served **SUPPLEMENTAL RECORD ON APPEAL BY STIPULATION (and STIPULATION RE: SUPPLEMENTAL RECORD ON APPEAL)** postage prepaid, on October 15, 2018 (and via email) addressed as follows:

Jessica L. Crowley, Esquire  
PO Box 30189  
Charleston, SC 29417

W. Westbrook Wills III, Esquire  
PO Box 822

17  
*[Handwritten signature]*

1677


Folly Beach, SC 29439

Courtesy Copy via email to:

Hon. Mary France Jowers, Esquire  
Assistant Attorney General  
PO Box 11549  
Columbia, SC 29211

October 15, 2018

17 R



---

Lisa Fisher  
PO Box 91112  
Long Beach, CA 90809-1112  
562-965-3267

1678