

DANIEL L. JUNK, J.D.

Pro Se Appellant

November 21, 2012

Via Facsimile and Express Mail

The Honorable Jenny Abbott Kitchings
Clerk of Court
The South Carolina Court of Appeals
1015 Sumter Street
Columbia, South Carolina 29201

RECEIVED

NOV 26 2012

SC Court of Appeals

Re: Junk v. MERS, et al.
Civil Action No. 2009-CP-07-04301

and

CitiMortgage v. Junk, et al.
Civil Action No. 2009-CP-07-05088

Orders on Appeal: April 11, 2011 (Order Dismissing Quiet Title Action w/out Prejudice) ***and*** February 23, 2012 (Order Granting Motion to Dismiss Third-Party Complaint) ***and*** (Order Denying Defendants' Motion to Join Third Parties as Counterclaim Defendants)

Case Tracking No. 2012-210910

and

CitiMortgage v. Junk, et al.
Civil Action No. 2009-CP-07-05088

Order on Appeal: April 23, 2012 (Order Granting CitiMortgage's Motion to Dismiss Counterclaims)

Case Tracking No. 2012-212115

and

CitiMortgage v. Junk, et al.
Civil Action No. 2009-CP-07-05088

Order on Appeal: May 3, 2012 (Form 4 Order Denying Motion for Default Judgment against American Home Mortgage)

Case Tracking No. 2012-212148

Dear Ms. Kitchings,

I write to confirm that Appellants have received a copy of the February 23, 2012, transcript for the Notice of Appeal in Case Tracking Nos. 2012-212115, 2012-212148, per the Court's correspondence dated November 8, 2012. I further write requesting clarification of the November 8, 2012 Order issued by the Court, consolidating the pending appeals for Case

Tracking Nos. 2012-210910, 2012-212115, 2012-212148 with regard to the page limit for the briefs of the consolidated appeals.

Under Rule 208(b)(5), SCACR, the page length for the Initial Brief is up to fifty (50) pages with the Reply Brief not to exceed twenty-five (25) pages. Since the Court's November 8, 2012, Order consolidates three appeals, does this mean we are permitted up to one hundred fifty (150) pages for the Initial Brief and up to seventy five (75) pages for the Reply Brief, or are we required to move the Court for an extension of the page limits beyond the limits set forth for a single appeal? Your clarification on this issue is appreciated so that we can move timely for an extension if the rules so require.

Finally, please change my address with the court for sending correspondence to the following:

Daniel L. Junk, J.D.
35 North Fourth Street
Suite 200
Columbus, OH 43215
(843) 290-8469

I appreciate the Court's attention to my request for clarification and notice of a change in my address for correspondence. Thank you.

Sincerely,



Daniel L. Junk, J.D.
Pro se Appellant

cc: A. Parker Barnes, Jr., Esq. via email
Michael Anzelmo, Esq. via U.S. Mail
Brian Crotty, Esq. via U.S. Mail
Amanda Coney Williams, Esq. via U.S. Mail
Susan Taylor Wall, Esq. via U.S. Mail

RECEIVED
NOV 26 2012
SC Court of Appeals