

## FAX COVER SHEET

TO	JennyKitchings
COMPANY	South Carolina Court of Appeals
FAX NUMBER	18037341839
FROM	CatherineBishop
DATE	2018-10-26 16:41:29 GMT
RE	URGENT ATTENTION NEEDED!

### COVER MESSAGE

Please take notice of communication sent to both Jane Schuler and Lynne Short

**RECEIVED**

OCT 26 2018

SC Court of Appeals

October 25, 2018

RECEIVED

OCT 26 2018

SC Court of Appeals

Dear Jane O. Schuler,  
SUBJECT: YOU WERE LIED TO BY Ms. KITCHINGS


You were told or led to believe that, **EVERYONE**, in order to file an Appeal "MUST" order TRANSCRIPTS!

**This fact is disputed on the South Carolina Judicial Website itself!**

I ask that you, yourself, go to: <https://www.sccourts.org/appeals/FAQ.cfm#contentsNOA>

Take Note that for which I am referring to is the section called "Why do I need to order transcripts?"

To ensure that you are seeing the absolutely same thing as me, I will now provide you a screen shot of this exact section:



So. Let me walk you through Common Sense here:

**Point 1** – The first paragraph states, and I quote **“The transcript shows what evidence and arguments were put before the lower court. This information is required for the Court’s review of the appeal. In some cases, a transcript is not required, because all necessary information appears in court papers.”**

So, as denoted the first sentence states **“The TRANSCRIPT shows what evidence and arguments were put before the lower court.”** do you dispute that it states this?

The second sentence states, and I quote **“This information is required for the Court’s review of the appeal.”** Now do I not proclaim that this information is not required for a proper appeal! Can you not understand that **“MY”** Appeals Case has all this information **“REQUIRED”** within the Court Papers. Documents, Exhibits, and Evidence?

The third sentence states, and I quote **“In some cases a transcript is not required, because all necessary information appears in court papers.”** do you dispute that it states this?

Again, let me reiterate **“MY”** Appeals Case has all this information **“REQUIRED”** within the Court Papers. Documents, Exhibits, Evidence, and Rulings.”

**Point 2** – The first sentence of the second paragraph states **“Rule 207 requires the appellant to order the lower court transcript from the court reporter when a transcript is required for the appeal.”** Now do you dispute that it states this?

Did you pay attention to the wording?

Do you not see that Rule 207 applies **“WHEN”** a transcript is required? As denoted I even bolded and underlined the word **“WHEN”** to bring your attention to it!

**WHEN** refers to when someone needs this/these TRANSCRIPTS as the information **“REQUIRED”** is not within the Court Papers, Documents, Exhibits, Evidence, and Rulings. However, **“MY”** Appeals has my issues declared with multiple motions and Rulings. Unlike most people who never use written motions because they are unaware as to how to write, much less use them, that is not the issue with **MY** case! Therefore, I have no need of transcript(s) to substantiate my appeals Case!

**Point 3** – This brings us to the last sentence in the section denoted as **“Why do I need to order transcripts?”** which states, and I quote **“If a transcript is not required for the appeal, you need not order it.”** Do you dispute that this is stated?

Again, let me reiterate **“MY”** Appeals Case has all the information **“REQUIRED”** within the Court Papers. Documents, Exhibits, Evidence, and Rulings.” therefore a transcript is **NOT REQUIRED**; and as stated **“If a transcript is not required for the appeal, you need not order it.”**

This also brings us to the purpose of the section FAQs for the Appeals process and who it was made to inform. To anyone with common sense this site was made to inform the Public, namely those representing themselves! Likewise, **NO WHERE**, I repeat **NO WHERE**, does it state that it is the decision of the Clerk of Court to decide if an Appellant needs a transcript, but it is in fact a decision of the Appellant. Now, if one deems that they lack enough documentation as to the pertaining appeal then they made need to order transcript(s) to substantiate their case; and it is then and then only that Rule 207 is applicable!

Nowhere, within the **RULES** does it state that it is the decision of the Clerk of courts to decide what an Appellant needs, in reference to their appeal, for which the Appellant must now pay additional money for the Clerk to decide!

The Clerk could not make a decision, due to the fact that they are dismissing the cases before the Initial Brief has ever been filed! Therefore, they are ASSUMING that NO ONE has sufficient Documents, Exhibits, Evidence, and Rulings before any Brief has even been filed!

Now, as I have addressed this point by point and have provided evidence of the TRUTH as stated on the State's own Judicial website please state where that for which I have stated is not the TRUTH in this matter! Thus, Ms. Kitchings threatening to dismiss my case unless I pay her money and let her decide is not within the Rules, not Ethical, and as it comports to the definition of EXTORTION; it is a CRIME being committed by those working for the State!

Ms. Kitchings now proclaiming that I need to file a Motion and render her another \$50.00 because she took it upon herself to denote dismissal of MY Appeals case based upon Rule 207 which was never, and is not, applicable to MY Appeals Case is not acceptable!

Likewise, I would highly suggest that Clerks and Deputy Clerks of the court have a proven capability of "Reading Comprehension" before being allowed to be hired as a State Employee!!

Please, address Ms. Kitchings on the fact that Rule 207 is not applicable to EVERYONE, and likewise it is not her decision, nor her underlings, to decide that you lack sufficient Court Documents/Papers to make your Claim prior to having knowledge as to what you are utilizing in your argument(s)!

For the Record let it be known that Lynne Short, and Jenny Kitchings has been provided this same letter; therefore no one can proclaim ignorance of the fact, (TRUTH), in regards to this matter! Likewise, while this is being provided to Ms. Kitchings, let it be known that she was already provided this FACT previously!

Sincerely,

*Catherine L. Bishop*

Catherine L. Bishop  
Cathy\_bishop2002@yahoo.com  
(803) 341-4278