

RECEIVED

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

OCT 29 2018

SC Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW COURT
Ralph K. Anderson, III, Administrative Law Judge

Appellate Case No. 2018-001741

Docket No. 17-ALS-04-0572-AP
[Grievance No.: ECI 681-17]

Shawndell Monte McFarlin, # 200701,
Appellant,


v.

South Carolina Department of Corrections,
Respondent.

MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME

1. The ALC is negligent in its duties.
2. The Order requested has to be reordered, in hopes that the ALC does not disregard the Appellant's letter of inquiry.
3. Appellant motion for an extension of time because it is going to be necessary.

October 24, 2018.


Shawndell Monte McFarlin
SCDC Number 200701
610 Hwy. # 9, West
Bennettsville, S.C. 29512

RECEIVED

OCT 29 2018

SC Court of Appeals

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
COUNTY OF MARLBORO

IN THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS

Shawndell Monte McFarlin,)
SCDC Number 200701,)
Appellant,)

Appellate Case No. 2018-001741
Docket No. 17-ALS-04-0572-AP
[Grievance No. ECI 681-17]
Hon. Ralph E. Anderson, III

v.


S.C. Dept of Corrections,)
Respondent.)

CERTIFICATE
OF COUNSEL

By presenting this certificate of counsel to the court the Appellant is certifying that to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief, formed after an inquiry reasonable under the circumstances -

1. Appellant's motion for extension of time is not being presented for any improper purpose, such as to harass or to cause unnecessary delay or needless increase in the cost of litigation;
2. the claims, defenses, and other legal contentions ~~therein~~ are warranted by existing law or by a nonfrivolous argument for the extension, modification, or reversal of existing law or the establishment of new law;
3. the allegations and other factual contentions have evidentiary support after a reasonable opportunity for further investigation or discovery; and
4. the denials of factual contentions are warranted on the evidence or, if specifically so identified, are reasonably based on a lack of information or belief.
5. the ALC was negligent in failing to forward the Appellant's original Notice of Appeal, Proof of Service and record of the proceedings to the reviewing authority pursuant to Rule 66, Rules of Administrative Law Court.

October 24, 2018.


Shawndell Monte McFarlin
SCDC Number 200701
610 Hwy. # 9, West
Bennettsville, S.C. 29512

Shawndell Monte McFarlin
SCDC Number 200701
610 Hwy. # 9, West
Bennettsville, S.C. 29512

The Honorable Ralph K. Anderson, III
S.C. Administrative Law Court
Edgar A. Brown Building, Suite 224
1205 Pendleton Street
Columbia, S.C. 29201

RECEIVED

OCT 29 2018


RE: McFarlin, #200701, vs. SCDC
Docket No. 17-ALS-04-0572-AP SC Court of Appeals
Appellate Case No. 2018-001741

Dear Judge Anderson:

Appellant filed a Notice of Appeal in the S.C. Court of Appeals concerning your Order of Dismissal in the above referenced case; however, the only copy I had had hand-written statements on it and the Court refused to accept it. Appellant was given (10) days to correct this deficiency.


Can you please send me another copy, or provide the S.C. Court of Appeals with a clean, clear copy of the order, in my behalf? Appellant proceeded in forma pauperis, so I am unable to pay for the copy, at this time.

October 24, 2018.

Respectfully,

Shawndell Monte McFarlin
SCDC Number 200701

Shawndell K. McFarlin
SCOE Number 200701
610 Hwy. # 9, West
Bennettsville, S.C. 29512

COLUMBIA
SC 290
25 OCT '18
PM 3 L



RECEIVED

OCT 29 2018

SC Court of Appeals

The Honorable V. Claire Allen
Post Office Box 11629
Columbia, S.C. 29211

LEGAL MAIL USE ONLY

29211-162929

