

# The South Carolina Court of Appeals

Bank of America, N.A., Respondent,

v.

Catherine L. Bishop, Appellant.

Appellate Case No. 2018-001768

---

## ORDER

---

This court has received several letters, which we construe together as a motion to proceed without ordering the transcript as required by Rule 207(a), SCACR. Initially, this court notes it is bound to follow the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules. Any other writings found analyzing or interpreting the rules must be read in conjunction with the appellate court rules and other relevant laws. It appears from the filings in this case there was a court reporter present who recorded the proceedings below. Under Rule 207(a)(1), Appellant is required to order the transcript of the entire proceedings below "[u]nless the parties otherwise agree in writing." This court has not been provided with a copy of an agreement between both parties agreeing to order less than the entire proceedings below. Accordingly, after careful consideration, Appellant's motion to proceed without complying with Rule 207 is respectfully denied. In order to be able to properly review this case on appeal, this court must have the opportunity to review all relevant portions of the court reporter's transcript along with any other relevant court documents.

Within ten days of the date of this order, Appellant shall comply with Rule 207(a)(1) by either providing proof Appellant has made "satisfactory arrangements (including agreement regarding payment for the transcript), in writing with the court reporter for furnishing the transcript," or provide proof the parties have all agreed in writing to proceed without ordering the entire transcript. Failure of Appellant to comply may result in the dismissal of this appeal.

This court also notes it has received communication from Darren L. Watters on behalf of Appellant. Because Mr. Watters is not a licensed member of the South

Carolina bar, Mr. Watters may not communicate with the court on behalf of Appellant. Appellant may appear before this court pro se or she can retain the services of a licensed member of the South Carolina bar. Mr. Watters may not represent Appellant on appeal.

  
FOR THE COURT

Columbia, South Carolina

cc:

Catherine L. Bishop

Chad Wilson Burgess, Esquire

**FILED**

October 31, 2018