



# The Supreme Court of South Carolina

DANIEL E. SHEAROUSE  
CLERK OF COURT

BRENDA F. SHEALY  
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

POST OFFICE BOX 11330  
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA  
29211

1231 GERVAIS STREET  
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29201  
TELEPHONE: (803) 734-1080  
FAX: (803) 734-1499  
[www.sccourts.org](http://www.sccourts.org)

November 2, 2018

The Honorable Beulah G. Roberts  
Clerk of Court, Clarendon County  
PO Box 136  
Manning SC 29102-0136

## REMITTITUR

Re: Bucky Mock v. Clarendon County Board of Voter Registration  
Lower Court Case No. 2018-CP-14-00237  
Appellate Case No. 2018-001520

Dear Clerk of Court:

The above referenced matter is hereby remitted to the lower court or tribunal. A copy of the judgment of this Court is enclosed.

Very truly yours,

CLERK

cc:

Ronnie Alan Sabb, Esquire

Kimberly Veronica Barr, Esquire

Kimberly Michele Hodge, Esquire

Robert E. Tyson, Jr., Esquire

Vordman Carlisle Traywick, III, Esquire

Joseph Odell Thickens, Esquire

James Eric Cavanaugh, Esquire

Harrison David Brant, Esquire

Thomas Parkin C. Hunter, Esquire

Shaun Courtney Kent, Esquire

**THIS OPINION HAS NO PRECEDENTIAL VALUE. IT SHOULD NOT BE  
CITED OR RELIED ON AS PRECEDENT IN ANY PROCEEDING  
EXCEPT AS PROVIDED BY RULE 268(d)(2), SCACR.**

**THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
In The Supreme Court**

Bucky Mock, Respondent-Appellant,

v.

Clarendon County Board of Voter Registration &  
Elections, Clarendon County Democratic Party, LaNette  
Samuels-Cooper, South Carolina Democratic Party, and  
South Carolina Election Commission, Defendants,

Of whom LaNette Samuels-Cooper is Appellant-  
Respondent.

And Clarendon County Board of Voter Registration &  
Elections, Clarendon County Democratic Party, South  
Carolina Democratic Party, and South Carolina Election  
Commission are Respondents.

Appellate Case No. 2018-001520

---

Appeal From Clarendon County  
R. Ferrell Cothran, Jr., Circuit Court Judge

---

Memorandum Opinion No. 2018-MO-034  
Submitted October 15, 2018 – Filed October 17, 2018

---

**AFFIRMED IN PART, DISMISSED AS MOOT IN  
PART**

---

Ronnie A. Sabb, Kimberly V. Barr, and Kimberly M. Hodge, all of Kingstree, for Appellant-Respondent.

Robert E. Tyson and Vordman Carlisle Traywick, III, both of Robinson Gray Stepp & Laffitte, LLC, of Columbia, for Respondent-Appellant.

Joseph O. Thickens and J. Eric Cavanaugh, both of Cavanaugh & Thickens, LLC., Harrison David Brant, all of Columbia; and Shaun C. Kent, of Kent Law Firm, of Manning, all for Respondent.

---

**PER CURIAM:** In this cross-appeal, both Appellant-Respondent LaNette Samuels-Cooper and Respondent-Appellant Bucky Mock appeal the circuit court's order finding Samuels-Cooper is not a qualified candidate for the office of coroner but that Mock is barred from contesting her qualifications because he failed to exhaust his administrative remedies.

Samuels-Cooper contends the circuit court should not have considered Mock's claim that she does not meet statutory qualifications and that the circuit court erred in finding she is not qualified. We affirm pursuant to Rule 220(b)(1), SCACR, and the following authorities: S.C. Code Ann. § 17-5-130(A)(2) (setting forth the education, training, and experience requirements for a person to be qualified to serve as coroner); *Anderson v. S.C. Election Comm'n*, 397 S.C. 551, 555, 725 S.E.2d 704, 706 (2012) (observing "[t]he construction of a statute is a judicial function").

Mock argues he was not required to exhaust administrative remedies and, alternatively, that doing so would have been futile. We dismiss Mock's notice of appeal as moot. *Curtis v. State*, 345 S.C. 557, 567, 549 S.E.2d 591, 596 (2001) ("A case becomes moot when judgment, if rendered, will have no practical legal effect upon the existing controversy.") (citation omitted).

**AFFIRMED IN PART, DISMISSED AS MOOT IN PART.**

**BEATTY, C.J., KITTREDGE, HEARN, FEW and JAMES, JJ., concur.**