

LISA FISHER
P.O. Box 91112
Long Beach, CA 90809-1112
(866) 206-9066 Ext. 9861 [Toll-free]
cell: (562) 965-3267
fax: (866) 6 10-4371
lfisher6736@yahoo.com

RECEIVED

NOV 06 2018

S.C. SUPREME COURT

November 6, 2018

Via US Mail and Fax:
1-803-734-1499

Honorable Daniel E. Shearouse, Clerk of Court
South Carolina Supreme Court
PO Box 11330
Columbia, SC 29211

Re: Ex Parte Lisa Fisher
Appellate Case No. 2018-001332

To the Honorable Daniel E. Shearouse:

Enclosed please find the following documents with the proper copies for filing:

- 1) **APPELLANT LISA FISHER'S OBJECTION TO FACTUAL ASSERTIONS IN RESPONDENT'S REPLY;**
- 2) **PROOF OF SERVICE (attached hereto)**

Please conform the face pages of the documents and return in the Self Addressed Stamped Envelope.

Thank you for your assistance. As set forth below, we are serving this letter on all parties. My cell phone number is (562) 965-3267 if you have any questions.

Sincerely,



Lisa Fisher, Esq.

Enclosures

cc: Jessica Crowley (via mail and email)
Neil D. Thompson (via mail and email)

RECEIVED

NOV 06 2018

S.C. SUPREME COURT

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

In the Supreme Court

APPEAL FROM CHARLESTON COUNTY
Court of Common Pleas

Diane Schafer Goodstein, Circuit Court Judge

Circuit Court Case No. 2017CP1006629
Appellate Court Case No. 2018-001332

Ex Parte Lisa Fisher,Appellant

In re Bessie Huckabee.....Respondent

v.

Candace Rickborn.....Defendant

**APPELLANT LISA FISHER'S OBJECTION
TO FACTUAL ASSERTIONS IN RESPONDENT'S REPLY**

LISA FISHER, ESQUIRE (*Pro Se*)
P.O. Box 91112
Long Beach, CA 90809-1112
562-965-3267
lfisher6736@yahoo.com

(Appellant)

COMES NOW Lisa Fisher, Appellant in the above appeal files objection to the purported “factual” assertions in *Respondent Bessie Huckabee’s Reply to Appellant Lisa Fisher’s Opposition to Motion for Costs* (received November 5, 2018).

Respondent fails to request judicial notice of the dates and factual assertions in her reply. Appellant Objects to all references to” factual statements” in said document which is unsworn. As such, said document should be ignored.

The main purpose of these objections by Appellant is to ensure that the continued manipulation and lies by Respondent do not go unnoticed, where she claims that:

“Appellant’s suggestion that this Court acted with impropriety by informing the Respondent that because the appeal was dismissed, she would need to file a separate pleading in order to pursue sanctions further illustrates the frivolous actions of Appellant.” (Reply, p. 2, fn. 1)

Appellant did not suggest that this Court acted with “impropriety”, she specifically stated that “the accommodations [given] to Respondents create *an appearance* of favoritism.” (Emphasis added) This case has been fraught with “appearance[s] of favoritism” and accommodations, as noted by the grant of \$5,000.00 without legal authority.¹ Appellant has a right to make this legitimate argument of an *appearance* of favoritism, however Respondent does not have a right to manipulate this legitimate argument into a claim that Appellant accused the court of acting improperly.

Respondent has no shame that she obtained a judgement by committing a fraud on the court and against an innocent woman *when she knows that the woman was only at Alice Shaw Baker’s property because of Appellant*, requiring payment of \$5,000.00 when there is no legal

¹ Moreover, the dismissal acknowledged that Lisa Fisher may have standing upon determination by the magistrate.

authority for such payment, seeking attorney fees when there is no final determination in the underlying case—no shame, however she tries to shame Appellant before this court by claiming that she accused the court of illegal conduct. Appellant did not.

For all these reasons, the “factual assertions” by Respondent should not be considered and should be stricken as hearsay, lacking in foundation, argumentative, and not relevant.

November 5, 2018

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

By: 

LISA FISHER, PRO SE

PO Box 91112

Long Beach, CA 90809-1112

(562) 965-3267

email: lfisher6736@yahoo.com

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

In the Supreme Court

APPEAL FROM CHARLESTON COUNTY
Court of Common Pleas

Diane Schafer Goodstein., Circuit Court Judge

Circuit Court Case No. 2017CP1006629
Appellate Court Case No. 2018-001332

Ex Parte Lisa Fisher,Appellant

In re Bessie Huckabee.....Respondent

v.

Candace Rickborn.....Defendant

PROOF OF SERVICE

I certify that I have served **APPELLANT LISA FISHER'S OBJECTION TO FACTUAL ASSERTIONS IN RESPONDENT'S REPLY** upon the following parties by depositing a copy of it in the United States Mail, postage prepaid, and by sending via email, on November 6, 2018 addressed as follows:

Neil D. Thomson, Esquire
Ford Wallace Thomson LLC
715 King Street
Charleston, SC 29403

//

RECEIVED

NOV 06 2018

S.C. SUPREME COURT

Jessica L. Crowley, Esquire
PO Box 30189
Charleston, SC 29417

November 6, 2018



By: _____
LISA FISHER, PRO SE
PO Box 91112
Long Beach, CA 90809-1112
(562) 965-3267
email: lfisher6736@yahoo.com