

# The Supreme Court of South Carolina

Karriem Provet, Petitioner,

v.

State of South Carolina, Respondent.

Appellate Case No. 2018-000480


---

## ORDER

---

Respondent moves to strike the petition for a writ of certiorari filed by petitioner on the ground that it exceeds the twenty-five page limit of Rule 243(e)(3), SCACR. In addition, respondent asks that petitioner be compelled to include an Index in the Appendix as required by Rule 243(f)(3), SCACR; serve the State with the corrected Appendix, as required by Rule 243(d), SCACR; and file the corrected Appendix with this Court, as required by Rule 243(d), SCACR. In an untimely response, petitioner has filed an amended petition and Appendix and a request to exceed the page limit of Rule 243(e)(3).

We grant respondent's motion to strike the petition for a writ of certiorari, deny petitioner's motion to exceed the page limit, and direct petitioner to serve and file a petition for a writ of certiorari that complies with Rule 243(e)(3) within ten (10) days of the date of this order. Because a corrected Appendix has been served and filed, the request to require petitioner to serve and file the corrected Appendix is denied as moot.



FOR THE COURT

C.J.

Columbia, South Carolina

November 08, 2018

cc:

William G. Yarborough, III, Esquire

DeShawn Herman Mitchell, Esquire

Lauren Carole Hobbis, Esquire