

Lucas, Jessica

From: Kitchings, Jenny
Sent: Thursday, November 8, 2018 12:25 PM
To: Lucas, Jessica
Subject: FW: Time to Choose
Attachments: Meredith Brenton - Deprivation of Rights.docx; ATT00001.htm

RECEIVED

NOV 08 2018

SC Court of Appeals

Jenny Abbott Kitchings
Clerk of Court
South Carolina Court of Appeals
1220 Senate Street
Columbia, SC 29201
Ph: (803) 734-1891

From: Brenton, Meredith
Sent: Thursday, November 8, 2018 12:24 PM
To: Kitchings, Jenny <jkitchings@sccourts.org>
Subject: Fwd: Time to Choose

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Cathy Bishop <cathy_bishop2002@yahoo.com>
Date: November 8, 2018 at 10:24:39 AM EST
To: "mbrenton@sccourts.org" <mbrenton@sccourts.org>
Subject: Time to Choose

Loyalty and Service is to God and the Constitution or to the BAR and ILLEGAL and UNCONSTITUTIONAL Legislation to violate the Liberties of "We the People"!

Ms. Catherine Bishop

November 8, 2018

RECEIVED

NOV 08 2018

SC Court of Appeals

Dear Meredith Brenton

SUBJECT: "We the People" or the "BAR"

As denoted by my call with you on Monday (November 5, 2018) you have deemed that due to Legislation and or Rules/Regulations that you have the Power/Authority to deprive me of my RIGHT of "LIBERTY" inclusive of: (Freedom of Choice, Freedom to Contract). Thus, you proclaiming yourself to be a Lawyer then I am quite sure that your actions are being done so with INTENT!

Therefore, you are hereby given notice that you have and are engaged in:

§ 241 - Conspiracy against rights

§ 242 - Deprivation of rights under color of law

Both of which are FELONIES for which I am sure you are aware.

Now as my case on appeal is in regards to violations of rights by that of a judge, and you yourself have engaged yourself of the same actions I will feel free in listing you as an accomplice in the action before court; in that of extortion and theft via fraud, coupled with Deprivation of Rights!

Thus, find attached a set of Interrogatives for the proceedings.

The answering of these Interrogatives will expose the TRUTH to everyone. Your, denial to respond to the interrogatives with an answer for which references LAW, will be deemed that you yourself is complicit with the criminal action of EXTORTION, Deprivation of Rights, and Deprivation of Rights under Color of Law that is being perpetrated by your Clerk and Deputy-Clerk under the supervision of Judge James E. Lockemy, and as it involves numerous players of the bank, and court, it falls as well in that of the legal definition of RACKETEERING!

It now comes a moment of TRUTH in which one must decide to uphold the God-Given Rights of the People as you are sworn to do as a "SERVANT" of "We the People" or be criminally and civilly charged when found to be engaged in Denial of RIGHTS bestowed upon man-kind by GOD!

As to confirm that you and the BAR Association has NO POWER or AUTHORITY to strip me, or any other American Citizen of ANY of MY God-Given RIGHTS, I provide the following cases as STANDING of the TRUTH:

"The State cannot diminish rights of the people."

Hertado v. California, 110 U.S. 516 (1884).

"Where rights secured by the Constitution are involved, there can be no rule making or legislation which would abrogate them."

Miranda v. Arizona, 384 U.S. 436, 491 (1966).

"The claim and exercise of a constitutional right cannot be converted into a crime."

Miller v. U.S., 230 F 2d 486, 489 (1956).

"The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights as a citizen." "His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State, and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution."

Hale v. Henkel, 201 U.S. 43, 74 (1905).

"It is manifest it was not left to the legislative power to exact any process which might be devised. The [due process] article is a restraint on the legislative as well as on the executive and judicial powers of government, and cannot be so construed as to leave congress free to make any process 'due process of law,' by its mere will."

Murray's Lessee v. Hoboken Imp. Co., 18 How. (59 U.S.) 272, 276 (1855);

French v. Barber Asphalt, 181 U.S. 324, 330 (1900).

"An act of the legislature is not necessarily the 'law of the land.' A state cannot make anything 'due process of law' which, by its own legislation, it declares to be such."

Burdick v. People, 36 N.E. 948, 949, 149 Ill. 600 (1894).

"'The law of the land,' as used in the constitution, has long had an interpretation, which is well understood and practically adhered to. It does not mean an Act of the Legislature; if such was the true interpretation, this branch of the government could at any time take away life, liberty, property and privilege, without a trial by jury."

Saco v. Wentworth, 37 Maine 165, 171 (1852).

"[T]he provision [due process clause] is designed to exclude oppression and arbitrary power from every branch of government."

Dupuy v. Tedora, 15 So.2d 886, 890, 204 La. 560 (1943).

TRUTH STATED BY THE FOUNDING FATHERS OF AMERICA

"The Legislative has no right to absolute, arbitrary power over the lives and fortunes of the people. The Legislative cannot justly assume to itself a power to rule by extempore arbitrary decrees..."

Samuel Adams, The Rights of the Colonists (1772).

"It is not unfrequent to hear men declaim loudly upon liberty, who, if we may judge by the whole tenor of their actions, mean nothing else by it but their own liberty, to oppress without control or the restraint of laws all who are poorer or weaker than themselves."

Samuel Adams

"Liberty must at all hazards be supported. We have a right to it, derived from our Maker. But if we had not, our fathers have earned and bought it for us, at the expense of their ease, their estates, their pleasure, and their blood."

John Adams, 1765

"Without liberty, law loses its nature and its name, and becomes oppression. Without law, liberty also loses its nature and its name, and becomes licentiousness."

James Wilson, Of the Study of the Law in the United States, 1790

"The accumulation of all powers, legislative, executive, and judiciary, in the same hands, whether of one, a few, or many, and whether hereditary, self-appointed, or elective, may justly be pronounced the very definition of tyranny."

James Madison, Federalist 47, 1788

ARTICLE VI – UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION FOR AMERICA

"This Constitution, and the Laws of the United States which shall be made in Pursuance thereof;..shall be the supreme Law of the Land; and the judges in every State shall be bound thereby, any Thing in the Constitution or Laws of any State to the Contrary notwithstanding".

"The Senators and Representatives before mentioned, and the Members of the several State Legislatures, and all executive and judicial Officers, both of the United States and of the several States, shall be bound by Oath or Affirmation, to support this Constitution;". - ART. 6 U.S. CONST.

Please see the attached Interrogatives

The South Carolina Court of Appeals

Bank of America)	Case No: 2018-001768
Respondent)	
)	
v.)	RULE 26
)	RULE 33
)	
Catherine Bishop)	
Appellant,)	Interrogative

APPELLANT SET OF INTERROGATORIES TO LAW CLERK MEREDITH V. HYMAN

COMES NOW Catherine Bishop, In Pro Propria Personam (hereinafter “Appellant”) who forthwith propounds the attached Interrogatories in regards to Court Process and engagement in Deprivation of Rights, pursuant to:

FRCP Rule 26. Duty to Disclose; General Provisions Governing Discovery
FRCP Rule 33. Interrogatories to Parties (inclusive to Court)

Enclosed is Interrogative denoted as Document EBTCADOR-2:
Page 05-05: INTERROGATIVES

INTERROGATIVES

1. Do you dispute that ALL American Citizens are entitled to Fair and Honest Hearings/Trials as a matter of RIGHT?
2. Do you dispute that God-Given RIGHTS cannot be stripped from any American Citizen unless through Due-Process which has incarcerated them?
3. Do you dispute that "NO" RIGHTS can be fined, fee'd, or taxed? (if so please state any law that you believe bestowed upon YOU, The BAR Association, or even the Government that allows for the RIGHTS to be stripped away from that of "We the People")
4. Is LIBERTY (Freedom of Choice, Freedom of Thought, Freedom to Contract) a God-Given RIGHT?
5. Is your oath and loyalty to that of upholding and protecting the God-Given Rights of "We the People" or is your loyalty and oath to the "BAR" Association?
6. If your Rules and or laws are contradictive to the United States Constitution for America then do you uphold them, or do you uphold the God-Given RIGHTS of "We the People"?
7. As the BAR Association, and therefore its Members have declared "We the People" to be too stupid, too incompetent, too ignorant in choosing who we wish to represent us; therefore allowing the BAR to be a MONOPOLY then one must ask how the same Members of the BAR have deemed us more than COMPETENT enough to represent ourselves in Court?
8. As ALL "BAR" members have declared that legislation and or laws/rules was implemented (Illegally and Unconstitutionally) that stripped away a God-Given RIGHT under the FRAUDULENT EXCUSE of protecting us then one must ask "If I have no knowledge of Rules, Processes, or Law, much less research skills yet I have a friend who does have knowledge of the Rules, Processes, Law, and research skills" then how am I being hurt if that individual represents me in court, as I have already acknowledged that this is the issue with my friend of the family, Mr. Watters? (Please state how I would not be hurt more by myself representing myself, than my friend!)
9. Do you believe that I am a child or that of a slave for which you have the Authority and or Power to decide what is best for me against my will? (We the People are SOVEREIGN and our Guaranteed Government is a REPUBLIC, not the BAR Association!)
10. Do you believe that following unconstitutional/illegal laws or rules gives you immunity when you are well aware that your said action being engaged in violates MY RIGHTS; just as it does to all "We the People?"
11. Do you believe that using the Nuremburg Defense of "I was just following orders" gives you immunity from prosecution?
12. Please provide proof within the United States Constitution "Enumerated Powers" that you believe gives you the Power and or Authority to strip away one's RIGHTS bestowed upon them by GOD!

I, Cathy Bishop, (Appellant), do hereby certify that on this 8th day of the month of November, 2018, a true copy of a 4-page letter and an attached Request for Interrogatories was furnished via Certified US Mail to:

South Carolina Court of Appeals
Attn: Meredith Brenton (Law Clerk of James E. Lockemy)
1220 Senate Street
Columbia, SC 29201

And Faxed to (843)-774-0788
And E-Mailed mhyman@sccourts.org

Submitted by: *Catherine L. Bishop*
Cathy Bishop
cathy_bishop2002@yahoo.com
(803) 341-4278
306 Coral Way
Belvedere, SC29841