

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In The Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW COURT
Judge Ralph K. Anderson, III
Docket No. 18-ALJ-15-0008-AP

Thomas Thompson #80681 - - - - - Appellant

v.

SC Department of Probation,
Parole and Pardon Services - - - - - Respondent

Appellate Case No. 2018-001557

REPLY BRIEF

Thomas Thompson #80681
Pro Se Litigant
Tyger River CI 1-225b
200 Prison Road
Enoree, SC 29335

APPELLANT

RECEIVED
NOV 16 2018
SC Court of Appeals

STATEMENT OF THE ISSUE ON APPEAL

1. Has the Parole Board violated the Appellant's right to Equal Protection of the law by using its discretion to impose an extremely harsher punishment on him than other similarly situated persons?

FACTS AND EVIDENCE IN THIS CASE

Appellant (Thompson) has put forth that he is part of the class of persons sentenced for the offense of murder to a term of life imprisonment with parole eligibility upon service of ten years. Thompson has put forth that the reasons the Board has used to deny his parole 18 times apply to all these individuals. Thompson would here put forth that these facts are eternally applicable to all these persons and therefore neither parole nor death itself can erase them. Thompson has put forth that the Board has granted parole to the overwhelming majority of these persons after a considerably lesser amount of time served than himself. Thompson cites an article in the Greenville News Paper circa January 1991 which stated that approximately 400 inmates serving life sentences for murder had been paroled after an average of twelve years. As of Thompson's last parole hearing he had served over 42 years in prison. The entire justice system uses the amount of time a person is sentenced to be incarcerated as a measure of the harshness of punishment given. Thompson has clearly suffered an extremely harsher punishment than these other persons. The Board has never put forth any other reasons for denial of parole to Thompson.

The Board states in its brief that it is required to notify certain individuals of Thompson's impending parole hearings. Thompson here puts forth that these are the very people who participated in the negotiations which preceded his plea agreement and also would have been contacted by the SCDC when it conducted Community Response screening prior to placing

him on a Work Release program two years before his initial parole hearing. Surely having among them Judges, Lawyers and Solicitors the knowledge of the laws giving sole authority for parole to the Board, these honorable public servants would not have made this plea agreement with it's stated intentions unless they had a reasonable expectation it would be carried out. The fact that he was approved to go on overnight pass and weekend furlough in the same community reinforces this fact.

CONCLUSION

The Board has abused it's discretion and subjected Thompson to a harsher punishment than the majority of persons situated as him without due cause and against the stated intentions of the sentencing Court and the feelings in the community regarding his punishment. This renders the Board's decision arbitrary and capricious. Thompson asks this Court to remedy this situation.

Respectfully submitted,



Thomas Thompson #80681
Pro Se Litigant
Tyger River CI 1-225B
200 Prison Road
Enoree, SC 29335

November 9, 2018

November 9, 2018

The Honorable Jenny Abbott Kitchings
Clerk of SC Court of Appeals
Post Office Box 11629
Columbia, SC 29211


Re; Thomas Thompson v SCDPPPS
Case No. 2018-001557

RECEIVED
NOV 16 2018
SC Court of Appeals

Dear Ms. Kitchings:

Please find enclosed the Reply Brief of Appellant dated
November 9, 2018, along with Proof of Service on Respondent.

Sincerely,



Thomas Thompson #80681
Tyger River CI 1-225B
200 Prison Road
Enoree, SC 29335

Thomas Thompson #80681
Tyger River CI 1-225B
200 Prison Road
Enoree, SC 29335

GREENVILLE SC 296

14 NOV 2018 PM 4 L

FOREVER



USA

Jenny Abbott Kitchings

Clerk of SC Court of Appeals
Post Office Box 11629
Columbia, SC 29211

RECEIVED

NOV 14 2018

TYRCI MAILROOM

RECEIVED

NOV 16 2018

SC Court of Appeals

29211-162929

