

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
In the Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM SOUTH CAROLINA  
Workers' Compensation Commission  
Appellate Panel

Appellate Case No. 2018-001234

RECEIVED

NOV 29 2018

SC Court of Appeals

Pamela Cartee, Claimant,

v.

SC Judicial Department, Employer,  
and State Accident Fund, Carrier, Defendants,

IN RE:

Preston F. McDaniel, Esquire,  
and John M. Milling, Esquire, ..... Appellants,

v.

SC Workers' Compensation Commission.....Respondent.

REPLY TO RETURN TO  
MOTION TO DISMISS APPEAL  
BASED ON A LACK OF JURISDICTION  
OF THE COURT

By way of Reply to the Return filed to the Motion to  
Dismiss filed by the Appellants, the Appellants would  
respectfully reply:

1. That while the Motion sets forth specific statutory and case law holding that the judicial authority of the Commission lies only with the Commission and only the Commission is empowered to perform all judicial dispositions, one of which is the issuance of an Order of dismissal as being untimely; the Return conspicuously sets forth no statutory or case law to the contrary as there is none. The letter, and the Appellants would reiterate the letter, not an Order was issued not only not by the Commission or a Commissioner, but by a, "judicial docketing director".

In the absence of express statutory authority, neither the SC Workers' Compensation Commission nor its Commissioners can delegate the duty to make, "judicial dispositions" to an employee of the Commission. 1985 Op. Atty. Gen., No. 85-77, p. 207, 1985 WL 1660 47; SC Code §42-3-20, "the Commissioners shall handle . . . and such other matters as may come before the Department for judicial disposition." This Court has specifically held that:

"where the Legislature gives quasi-judicial powers to an administrative agency or officer, only the agency or person granted the authority may exercise it and . . . (a) decision by a person not authorized, notwithstanding approval by an administrative agency or officer, is without legal force and effect." Vulcan Materials Co. v. Greenville County Board of Zoning Appeals, 342 S.C. 480, 536 S.E.2d 892 at 898 (SC App. 2000).

The decision to dismiss a Petition as being untimely is a judicial action/determination requiring a, "judicial disposition".

2. That the Attorneys from the Attorney General's office on behalf of the Commission erroneously on p. 2 refer to the letter of Ms. Hollmon as, "Ms. Hollmon's Order".

3. That the Return is factually and legally inaccurate in that the Notice of Appeal filed in this case specifically noted the jurisdictional flaws in both service and in the failure to enter a final Order, and the refusal of the Commission to comply with the dictates of the Act, all of which are jurisdictional, substantive and procedural to the authority of the Commission and the jurisdiction of this Court.

4. That while Rule 260(c), SCACR, is accurately cited as to its content in the Return, it is inapplicable to alter the remedy requested in the Motion. The Respondent cannot alter or amend the prayer for relief or the issue before the Court. The issue before the Court is a Motion to Dismiss for a lack of jurisdiction. The issue is a simple one; either the Court finds it lacks jurisdiction because a final Order has not been issued by the Commission under its authority to make, "judicial dispositions" and the matter should be dismissed or the Court finds that the letter of Ms. Hollmon is a "judicial disposition"

and Order of the SC Workers' Compensation Commission and the Motion to Dismiss for lack of jurisdiction should be denied. There is no question that pursuant to the Appellate Court Rules that the Notice of Appeal was properly perfected according to the Rules if, and the Appellant would reiterate if, this letter issued by an employee of the Commission constitutes a final "judicial disposition" by the Commission. Either the appeal is premature due to a lack of jurisdiction and lack of finality at the administrative agency or it is not.<sup>1</sup>


WHEREFORE, for the above-referenced reasons, the Motion to Dismiss for a lack of finality should be granted.<sup>2</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> In reference to the footnote in the Return it is factually inaccurate. Counsel for the Commission well knows that the Circuit Court issued a Stay to the Hearing Commissioner's Decision and then lifted that Stay as to any further action by the Commission at their request to allow for a decision by the Full Commission. After that Full Commission decision and the Appellants filed the Motion for Rehearing, the Appellants filed the Motion to Stay further action in any regard to allow time for the Appellants to file an amended and supplemental Complaint to include and incorporate the actions and irregularities before the Full Commission.

<sup>2</sup> Query: since there is a pending declaratory judgment action in the Circuit Court which has the authority to render a decision on all substantive and procedural issues involved after developing and narrowing the Record, which can save the time and expense of briefing and arguing an appeal, and whose decision will apply only to this fact-specific situation, why is the Commission so Hell-bent on the rush to judgment as to its handling of this matter and forcing an appeal?

Respectfully submitted,



---

Preston F. McDaniel  
MCDANIEL LAW FIRM  
1315 Elmwood Avenue  
Columbia, South Carolina 29201  
(803) 771-7211

and

John M. Milling  
MILLING LAW FIRM  
Post Office Box 519  
Darlington, South Carolina 29532  
(843) 393-4083

APPELLANTS

November 29, 2018

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
In the Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM SOUTH CAROLINA  
Workers' Compensation Commission  
Appellate Panel

Appellate Case No. 2018-001234

Pamela Cartee, Claimant,

v.

SC Judicial Department, Employer,  
and State Accident Fund, Carrier, Defendants,

RECEIVED

NOV 29 2018

SC Court of Appeals

IN RE:

Preston F. McDaniel, Esquire,  
and John M. Milling, Esquire, ..... Appellants,


v.

SC Workers' Compensation Commission.....Respondent.

PROOF OF SERVICE

I certify that I have served the **REPLY TO RETURN TO MOTION TO DISMISS APPEAL BASED ON A LACK OF JURISDICTION OF THE COURT** thereof by depositing a copy of same in the United States Mail, postage prepaid, on November 29, 2018 addressed to:

T. Parkin C. Hunter, Esquire  
Harley L. Kirkland, Attorney at Law  
Post Office Box 11549  
Columbia, South Carolina 29211-1549



---

Preston F. McDaniel  
MCDANIEL LAW FIRM  
1315 Elmwood Avenue  
Columbia, South Carolina 29201  
(803) 771-7211

and

John M. Milling  
MILLING LAW FIRM  
Post Office Box 519  
Darlington, South Carolina 29532  
(843) 393-4083

APPELLANTS

November 29, 2018

**McDANIEL LAW FIRM**  
ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW  
1315 ELMWOOD AVENUE  
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29201

Proudly representing injured workers  
for over 30 years.

Preston F. McDaniel  
Matthew Robertson

Telephone (803) 771-7211  
Facsimile (803) 252-0709

November 29, 2018

VIA FACSIMILE - 734-1839  
AND US MAIL

Honorable Jenny Abbott Kitchings  
Clerk of Court  
SC Court of Appeals  
Post Office Box 11629  
Columbia, South Carolina 29211

RECEIVED

NOV 29 2018

SC Court of Appeals


RE: McDaniel and Milling v SCWCC  
Appellate Case No. 2018-001234

Dear Ms. Kitchings:

Enclosed please find the original and seven (7) copies of my  
REPLY TO RETURN TO MOTION TO DISMISS APPEAL BASED ON A LACK OF  
JURISDICTION of the Court. I would appreciate your returning the  
clocked-in copy to me in the enclosed self-addressed stamped  
envelope.

By copy of this letter, I am notifying and serving Counsel for  
the Respondent with a copy of same. As always, I appreciate all  
the courtesies and kindnesses shown to me by the Court in this  
and all matters.

Sincerely yours,



Preston F. McDaniel

PFM/kth  
Enclosures

cc: John M. Milling, Esquire  
T. Parkin Hunter, Esquire  
Harley L. Kirkland, Attorney at Law

MCDANIEL LAW FIRM  
ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW  
1315 ELMWOOD AVENUE  
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29201

Proudly representing injured workers  
For over 30 years.

Preston F. McDaniel

Matthew C. Robertson

Telephone (803) 771-7222

Facsimile (803) 252-0709

FAX TRANSMISSION COVER SHEET

RECEIVED

Date: 11/29/18  
From: Preston F. McDaniel  
To: clerk of court  
Fax Number Called: 734-1839  
Regarding: McDaniel v. Sewcc Case# 2018-001234  
Comments:

NOV 29 2018

SC Court of Appeals

Please see attached Reply  
to Return to Motion to Dismiss  
Appeal Based On A Lack of Jurisdiction.

Thank you in advance.

We are transmitting 9 pages, including face sheet.

If you have any problems with this transmission, please call me at 803-771-7211.

Transmitted from Fax Number 803-252-0709

Transmitted by: Hum

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS FACSIMILE MESSAGE IS ATTORNEY PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY NAMED ABOVE. IF THE READER OF THIS MESSAGE IS NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION OR COPYING OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS COMMUNICATION IN ERROR, PLEASE IMMEDIATELY NOTIFY US BY TELEPHONE, AND RETURN THE ORIGINAL MESSAGE TO US AT THE ABOVE ADDRESS VIA U.S. POSTAL SERVICE. THANK YOU.