

The South Carolina Court of Appeals

Andrew Ryan Maupin, Respondent,

v.

The City of Rock Hill, Appellant.

Appellate Case No. 2017-002616

ORDER

The parties have filed a motion requesting approval of a settlement agreement. After careful consideration; the motion for the approval of the settlement agreement is denied because the motion fails to "set forth the facts that warrant [the] extraordinary relief" of vacating the circuit court's order. *See* Rule 261(d), SCACR ("In the [settlement] agreement, the parties may request vacation of opinions, orders, decisions and judgments previously issued in the matter. The agreement must set forth the facts that warrant this extraordinary relief. . . . If an agreement containing a request for vacation is rejected, the parties may resubmit the agreement without the request for vacation.").


FOR THE COURT

Columbia, South Carolina

cc:

Christopher Edward Barton, Esquire
Michael Langford Brown, Jr., Esquire

FILED

December 6, 2018

The Honorable John C. Hayes, III