

# The South Carolina Court of Appeals

Darris Hassel, Respondent,

v.

The City of Columbia, Appellant.




Appellate Case No. 2017-001750

---

## ORDER

---

On December 31, 2018, this court granted Appellant's petition to stay the circuit court's sanctions hearing. Respondent filed a motion for reconsideration, which this court granted to the extent it allowed Respondent to serve and file a return out of time. After careful consideration of the parties' filings, this court adheres to its original order staying the circuit court's sanctions hearing. *See* Rule 205, SCACR; *see also* *Pee Dee Health Care, P.A. v. Estate of Thompson*, 424 S.C. 520, 541–42, 818 S.E.2d 758, 770 (2018) (Kittredge, J., concurring) ("The better practice under these circumstances would be to file a Rule 11 motion not later than ten days following entry of judgment in the trial court. This approach would have the added benefit of allowing a Rule 11 motion to be considered in tandem with a motion for sanctions under the FCPSA. . . . If the motion relates to the matters on appeal, then further proceedings in the trial court are stayed, pending resolution of the appeal. The point here is that the parties would have notice of any alleged sanctionable conduct as the appeal proceeds.").

 C.J.  
 J.  
 J.

**FILED**

January 25, 2019

Columbia, South Carolina

cc:

Paul L. Reeves, Esquire

Blake A. Hewitt, Esquire

The Honorable L. Casey Manning