



Thus, the following information is now being resent a second time, and to a second set of email addresses.

Do let it be known that by the end of today, unless notified that there is a temporary injunction on the foreclosure auction sale of 306 Coral Way, Belvedere, SC 29841 case number 2016CP0200381 of Aiken County SC 29841 there is a Criminal Complaint to be filed based upon the same information provided which proves the use of forged documents, robo-signing by Aiken County Clerk of Court, no to mention numerous other issues of PERJURY, SUBORNING PERJURY, Lack of Due Process, Bias, Conflict of Interest (Commission based on foreclosure sale), Deprivation of Right of Counsel of Choice, Deprivation of Right to Contract, Deprivation of Right Under Color of Law, and EXTORTION.

As noted a Black Robe does not preclude the adherence to Law, which makes it clear that these Judicial Members, which could take action to stop the ILLEGAL THEFT of said property from which the State is party to the action by that of it's members, deems they will be held accountable as either Misprision of Felony and Accessories After the Fact, if not outright COMPLICIT in the said action entirely!

Therefore, I expect notification by END OF DAY that there is a Temporary Injunction of this said foreclosure, else the criminal complaint that will be forced to be filed will be inclusive of said individuals listed above. This is not to be taken as a threat or extortion, but as merely a statement of fact as these individuals have and had a DUTY to take action once notified of the trespass of rights and criminal conduct of individuals under their purview! Thereby there lack of action and then not themselves not notifying the said Executive Branch to take Appropriate Action when they themselves have abrogated their DUTY to Protect the Public and therefore are no longer under any protection afforded them as being judges. If they have knowledge of CRIMINAL ACTIONS and fail to act or forward the information to someone that can act then they themselves are in violation of:

18 U.S. Code § 4 - Misprision of felony

18 U.S. Code § 3 - Accessory after the fact

Therefore, this letter and the attachment of information is to be treated as not an attempt, but second attempt to rectify Corruption within the Court for which the above individuals may not proclaim IGNORANCE of the FACT when said charges are brought in regards to the INFORMATION now provided a second time in regards to this issue before us!

Please Contact us at (803) 341-4278 today to inform us of action being undertaken by the said individuals listed above in regards to this issue/case!to



October 28, 2018

Dear Judge James Lockemy,

SUBJECT: Your Assistance, as a Servant of The People, is Needed Immediately!

As denoted I come unto you requesting that you intercede on behalf of me as not only an American Citizen, but as a resident of South Carolina. Let it also be known that I would have sought your assistance sooner, but the information of who was the supervisor of Ms. Kitchings and the Court Staff was withheld from me, by that of Becky (Front Desk), Jessica (Front Desk), Ms. Claire Allen (Deputy Clerk), and Ms. Jenny Abbott Kitchings (Clerk of court). The information in reference to you being their Supervisor, and your contact information is a well HIDDEN SECRET from the Public. The standard claim is that the information in regards to you, inclusive of your name, is stated by them to us in the public as being PRIVATE INFORMATION. It is apparent that they lack any Common Sense in regards as to what is and is not private information; as the name, position/title, and contact information in regards to Public Servants supervisors is to be given upon request of anyone of the Public! The only information that can be withheld is that of your home address, personal phone number, personal email address; all government information in regards to contact information is not to be withheld from the public when it is being requested! Therefore, you might want to retrain the personnel under your supervision.


Likewise, it is demanded that you read this letter in its entirety to understand the issue that is being placed at your feet. I only state this, as it is apparent that neither the Deputy Clerk Claire Allen, or Clerk of Court Ms. Jenny Abbott Kitchings believe that reading the information being provided to them is NOT a part of their Function and or Duty in that of their jobs! If they have read the information then they need to be FIRED IMMEDIATELY! I don't make this statement on the basis of revenge but based upon the fact that if they have read it, and yet have continued with their actions, then they are either INCOMPETENT in Reading Comprehension or are knowingly involved in EXTORTION, EXTORTION via the American Mail System, Deprivation of Rights, and Deprivation of Right under Color of Law! In either case their immediate firing is required; as they are either INCOMPETENT or are knowingly engaged in Criminal Activity and Deprivation of Rights which is counter to their sworn oath of being a United States of America "Servant of the People; noted as being a government employee!"

Let us begin with the information that was provided to both Deputy Clerk Claire Allen, and Clerk Jenny Abbott Kitchings:

I ask that you, yourself, go to: <https://www.sccourts.org/appeals/FAQ.cfm#contentsNOA>

Take Note that for which I am referring to is the section called "Why do I need to order transcripts?"

To ensure that you are seeing the absolutely same thing as me, I will now provide you a screen shot of this exact section:



So, let me walk you through Common Sense here:

**Point 1** – The first paragraph states, and I quote **“The transcript shows what evidence and arguments were put before the lower court. This information is required for the Court’s review of the appeal. In some cases, a transcript is not required, because all necessary information appears in court papers.”**

So, as denoted the first sentence states **“The TRANSCRIPT shows what evidence and arguments were put before the lower court”** do you dispute that it states this?

The second sentence states, and I quote **“This information is required for the Court’s review of the appeal.”** Now do I not proclaim that this information is not required for a proper appeal? Can you not understand that **“MY”** Appeals Case has all this information **“REQUIRED”** within the Court Papers, Documents, Exhibits, and Evidence?

The third sentence states, and I quote **“In some cases, a transcript is not required, because all necessary information appears in court papers.”** do you dispute that it states this?

Again, let me reiterate **“MY”** Appeals Case has all this information **“REQUIRED”** within the Court Papers, Documents, Exhibits, Evidence, and Rulings.

**Point 2** – The first sentence of the second paragraph states **“Rule 207 requires the appellant to order the lower court transcript from the court reporter when a transcript is required for the appeal.”** Now do you dispute that it states this?

Did you pay attention to the wording?

Do you not see that Rule 207 applies **“WHEN”** a transcript is required? As denoted I even bolded and underlined the word **“WHEN”** to bring your attention to it!

WHEN refers to when someone needs this/these TRANSCRIPTS as the information 'REQUIRED' is not within the Court Papers, Documents, Exhibits, Evidence, and Rulings. However, "MY" Appeals has my issues declared with multiple motions and Rulings. Unlike most people who never use written motions because most are unaware as to how to write, much less use them, that is not the issue with MY case, as a friend of the Family made sure that, after learning of my issue, that I filed Interrogatives, Document Discovery Requests, and then filed numerous motions covering the issues within the case to ensure that there would ample documentation of not only the case but the irregularities and actions by the judge in the case. Therefore, I have no need of transcript(s) to substantiate my appeals Case! Likewise, let it be known that the Court Reporter was CAUGHT huddled together with the Plaintiff in the Judge's Secretary's Office with inner connecting door with the Judge's Chamber, prior to the hearing. Therefore, why would I TRUST any transcript prepared by this individual? This was just one of many issues being addressed within my appeal, much less the Jury Trial that I was denied, and the Court's disregard of Rule 53(b) before engaging in such a hearing/trial to begin with!

Point 3 – This brings us to the last sentence in the section denoted as "Why do I need to order transcripts?" which states, and I quote "If a transcript is not required for the appeal, you need not order it." Do you dispute that this is stated?

Again, let me reiterate "MY" Appeals Case has all the information 'REQUIRED' within the Court Papers, Documents, Exhibits, Evidence, and Rulings." therefore a transcript is NOT REQUIRED, and as stated "If a transcript is not required for the appeal, you need not order it."

This also brings us to the purpose of the section FAQs for the Appeals process and who it was made to inform. To anyone with common sense this site was made to inform the Public, namely those representing themselves! Likewise, NO WHERE, I repeat NO WHERE, does it state that it is the decision of the Clerk of Court to decide if an Appellant needs a transcript, but it is in fact a decision of the Appellant. Now, if one deems that they lack enough documentation as to the pertaining appeal then they made need to order transcript(s) to substantiate their case, and it is then and then only that Rule 207 is applicable!

Nowhere, within the RULES does it state that it is the decision of the Clerk of courts to decide what an Appellant needs, in reference to their appeal, for which the Appellant must pay additional money for the Clerk to decide! Likewise, if one were to apply "COMMON SENSE" it is impossible for the Clerk to make a decision if an Appeals Case has sufficient documentation separate from that of a transcript before an Initial Brief has been presented! Yet, that is exactly what has happened! Ms. Claire Allen sent me a letter on October 9, 2018 which THREATENED me with dismissing my case unless I purchased transcript(s) from the Court Reporter at the cost of \$500.00-\$600.00 per transcript and paid an additional \$50.00 to process a motion requesting more time for said purchase of a transcript! As has been explained to Jessica, Ms. Claire Allen, and Ms. Jenny Abbott Kitchings I neither need, want, or for that matter even TRUST any transcript(s) done by said Court Reporter, as the Court Reporter himself was caught huddled up with the plaintiff in the Judge's Secretary's Office with inner adjacent door to the Judge's Office,(Chamber), prior to the hearing. Likewise, both him and the Plaintiff were aware of their unseemly act as they both did their best to hide within a corner of the Secretary's office as she hurriedly came to her door to brush us back into the hallway, as my party entered the secretary's office to inform her that we were here for the hearing/trial! WOULD YOU TRUST THAT INDIVIDUAL AFTER THAT? As noted, Rule 207 does not apply if one does not wish to use, much less need, in their Appeal! Ms. Kitchings has taken it upon herself to proclaim everyone must use a transcript for their case, despite your own website that contradicts this! The second letter sent on October 18<sup>th</sup>, stated that in accordance with Rule 207, SCACR, that in order for my Appeal to move forward I am required to purchase the transcript(s) of the entire proceedings. THIS IS FALSE, AS RULE 207 ONLY APPLIES TO THOSE WISHING TO USE TRANSCRIPT(S) IN THEIR APPEAL. JUST BECAUSE MOST OTHERS HAVE NOT HAD INTERROGATIVE, DOCUMENT DISCOVERY REQUESTS, UMPTEEN MOTIONS AND RULINGS TO RELY UPON TO SUBSTANTIATE THEIR APPEALS DOES NOT MEAN THAT I DON'T! I HAVE AMPLE COURT DOCUMENTS, PAPERS, EVIDENCE, TO SUBSTANTIATE MY APPEALS WITHOUT THE SAID TRANSCRIPTS FOR WHICH Ms. Kitchings is trying to force me to buy, else THREATENING to dismiss my case! (CAN WE SAY EXTORTION!)

After the end of the first notice that I received from Ms. Claire Allen, I then received another for which stated if I wished to REINSTATE my said Appeals Case that it would then cost me \$50.00 for which Mr. Watters on my behalf asked "would that resolve their claim of me needing TRANSCRIPT(S) in contradiction to that which is stated on the

South Carolina Judicial Website?" She answered "NO" that I would still have to buy these transcript(s) if I wanted to appeal. Now, this was followed by Mr. Watters talking to Ms. Kitchings who stated that my appeal had not been dismissed, but that I needed to file a motion and pay her, (the court), \$50.00 in order for her to decide if I need such transcripts, despite the website which is copyrighted by the South Carolina Judicial Department making it quite clear that I need NOT order such transcript(s) if I proof of my claim within the Court Papers, documents, Motions, Rulings, etc...; for which I do!

Thus, Ms. Kitchings threatening to dismiss my case unless I pay her money and let her decide is not within the Rules, not Ethical, and as it comports to the definition of EXTORTION; it is a CRIME being committed by those working for the State!

Ms. Kitchings now proclaiming that I need to file a Motion and render her another \$50.00 because she took it upon herself to denote dismissal of MY Appeals case based upon Rule 207 which was never, and is not, applicable to MY Appeals Case is not acceptable!

Likewise, I would highly suggest that Clerks and Deputy Clerks of the court prove their capability of "Reading Comprehension" before being allowed to be hired as a State Employee!!

Please, address Ms. Kitchings on the fact that Rule 207 is not applicable to EVERYONE, and likewise it is not her decision, nor her underlings, to decide that an Appellant lacks sufficient Court Documents/Papers to make your Claim prior to having knowledge as to what you are utilizing in your argument(s)!

It is also noted that Ms. Kitchings was informed that her actions and the actions of those under her supervision/guidance were in violation of:

**18 U.S. Code § 872 - Extortion by officers or employees of the United States:**

Whoever, being an officer, or employee of the United States or any department or agency thereof, or representing himself to be or assuming to act as such, under color or pretense of office or employment commits or attempts an act of extortion, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than three years, or both; but if the amount so extorted or demanded does not exceed \$1,000, he shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than one year, or both.

**18 U.S. Code § 876 - Mailing threatening communications:**

(d)Whoever, with intent to extort from any person any money or other thing of value, knowingly so deposits or causes to be delivered, as aforesaid, any communication, with or without a name or designating mark subscribed thereto, addressed to any other person and containing any threat to injure the property or reputation of the addressee or of another, or the reputation of a deceased person, or any threat to accuse the addressee or any other person of a crime, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than two years, or both. If such a communication is addressed to a United States judge, a Federal law enforcement officer, or an official who is covered by section 1114, the individual shall be fined under this title, imprisoned not more than 10 years, or both.

**18 U.S. Code § 241 - Conspiracy against rights:**

If two or more persons conspire to injure, oppress, threaten, or intimidate any person in any State, Territory, Commonwealth, Possession, or District in the free exercise or enjoyment of any right or

privilege secured to him by the Constitution or laws of the United States, or because of his having so exercised the same; or

If two or more persons go in disguise on the highway, or on the premises of another, with intent to prevent or hinder his free exercise or enjoyment of any right or privilege so secured—

They shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than ten years, or both; and if death results from the acts committed in violation of this section or if such acts include kidnapping or an attempt to kidnap, aggravated sexual abuse or an attempt to commit aggravated sexual abuse, or an attempt to kill, they shall be fined under this title or imprisoned for any term of years or for life, or both, or may be sentenced to death.

**18 U.S. Code § 242 - Deprivation of rights under color of law:**

Whoever, under color of any law, statute, ordinance, regulation, or custom, willfully subjects any person in any State, Territory, Commonwealth, Possession, or District to the deprivation of any rights, privileges, or immunities secured or protected by the Constitution or laws of the United States, or to different punishments, pains, or penalties, on account of such person being an alien, or by reason of his color, or race, than are prescribed for the punishment of citizens, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than one year, or both; and if bodily injury results from the acts committed in violation of this section or if such acts include the use, attempted use, or threatened use of a dangerous weapon, explosives, or fire, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than ten years, or both; and if death results from the acts committed in violation of this section or if such acts include kidnapping or an attempt to kidnap, aggravated sexual abuse, or an attempt to commit aggravated sexual abuse, or an attempt to kill, shall be fined under this title, or imprisoned for any term of years or for life, or both, or may be sentenced to death.

Rule 207 only applies to those who have **chosen to utilize** a transcript or transcripts for their appeal. Nowhere does it state within the appeals process that everyone doing an appeal must use such transcript(s)! If it did then the Court refusal to let me record the proceeding was itself a violation of my Rights on its own merit, because then by the Court stating that I may not appeal unless buying a product is in itself UNCONSTITUTIONAL! I am quite sure that you being a Judge are quite aware that no government employee from any branch of government was given the POWER/Authority to Legislate or Regulate that of any RIGHT of "We the People!" This is clearly established within the Enumerated Powers as put forth in Article 1, Section 8 of the United States Constitution of America!

Thus, thanks to a Senator I have finally now been availed to your Name and Phone Number and therefore can finally bring this issue before you to be rectified!

As noted, I would highly suggest that all prior Appeals by all other residents of South Carolina be reviewed to ensure that this tactic was not utilized to deprive them of their RIGHT to a trial as well!

P.S. Let it be known, that Mr. Watters has a standing Power of Attorney with me, as I TRUST HIM! He has 17 years experience working in Military Intelligence with a Top Secret SCI/SBI clearance with polygraph and his IQ is North of 140. His past involved researching Laws, Regulations, and Policies in regards to communications, as well as providing Daily, Weekly, and Monthly briefings to GRSOC Commander, Special Operations Officers, and visiting Dignitaries in regards to Military Operations! He even has a coin of special recognition that was bestowed upon him by a 4-Star General!

Thus, I trust both his Intelligence, Research skills in regards to the Law, and his HONESTY! As denoted within my Power of Attorney attached as well, you will see that he has never espoused himself to be that of an Attorney or Lawyer, nor has even made any promise of any outcome of this case. Likewise, he also has not requested one red cent for his helping me! He is simply a family friend doing the best that he can to help someone in need. It was thanks to Mr. Watters that I learned how to do Interrogatives, document Discovery Requests, and even file Motions (all of which is many!) He, has also helped to show me how to research the law, and how to apply it! Therefore, while I have learned a lot, my knowledge is still that of maybe 5% of that of him in regards to my issue!

One cannot proclaim, that they are protecting me from him by not letting him represent me as he has not only much more knowledge than me, as well as briefing skills, debating skills, and has requested absolutely no money from me! So who is better to represent me, me or him?

As I stated feel free to see my legally binding Power of Attorney Attached!

I look forward, to you resolving this issue with Ms. Kitchings and then being called back today to inform me that my Appeals Case has been reinstated,(at no cost), and that I have 2 weeks to get my Initial Brief turned into the Court! Likewise, I would like a letter sent to me stating this as a matter of fact as well!

I look forward to your assistance in this matter!

Sincerely,

*Catherine L. Bishop*

Catherine L. Bishop  
Cathy\_bishop2002@yahoo.com  
(803) 341-4278

## Power of Attorney

THIS Power of Attorney is given by me Catherine L. Bishop (the "Principal"), presently of 306 Coral Way, Belvedere, SC, 29841, on this 4th day of June, 2018. CB

**Previous Power of Attorney**

1. **I REVOKE** any previous power of attorney granted by me.

**Attorney-in-fact: Private Attorney General: Next Friend: Counsel**

2. **I APPOINT** Darren L. Watters, of 308 Coral Way, Belvedere, SC, 29841 to act as my Next Friend, Attorney-in-fact, Private Attorney General, or Counsel on my behalf.

**Governing Law**

3. This document will be governed by the Laws of South Carolina State, Common Law, and Cannon Laws of Ethics with Jurisdiction the deciding factor as to Scope.

**Liability of Attorney-in-fact: Private Attorney General: Next Friend: Counsel**

4. My Next Friend, Attorney-in-fact, Private Attorney General, and Counsel, will not be liable to me, my estate, my heirs, successors or assigns for any action taken or not taken under this document, accept for willful misconduct or gross negligence.

**Effective Date**


5. This Power of Attorney will not come into effect until 12:01 AM local time on the 5<sup>th</sup> Day of June, 2018, and will cease to be in effect on 12:01 AM local time on the 5<sup>th</sup> Day of June, 2038, or upon any time of my choosing prior to said date, and substantiated by that of Declaration of the revoking of said powers, before that of a, South Carolina State, recognized Notary.

**Powers of Attorney-in-fact: Private Attorney General: Next Friend: Counsel**

6. My Attorney-in-fact, Private Attorney General, Next Friend, and Counsel will have the authority to do anything on my behalf that I may lawfully do.

A TRUE AND CORRECT COPY

*Robert J. White*  
By: Anita Kucapka Date: 6/8/18  
Deputy Clerk ASK



Specific Powers

7. Without restricting its generality in any way, the following power(s) are specifically included within the foregoing General Power:

Initials

X CB Real Estate Transactions

a. To deal with any interest I may have in real property and sign all documents on my behalf concerning my interest, including, but not limited to, real property I may subsequently acquire or receive. These powers include but are not limited to, the ability to:

- i. Purchase, sell, exchange, accept as gift, place as security on loans, convey with or without covenants, to pay or contest taxes or assessments, control any legal claim in favor of or against me, partition or consent to partitioning, mortgage, charge, manage or otherwise deal with real estate and any interest therein; and
- ii. Execute and deliver deeds, transfers, mortgages, charges, leases, assignments, surrenders, releases, satisfactions and other instruments required for any such purpose.
- iii. Act as Legal Representative in all Legal and Court proceedings in reference to my Mortgage, Promissory Note, and all other matters as it applies to my property located at 306 Coral Way, Belvedere, South Carolina, 29841.

X CB Banking Transactions

b. To do any act that I can do through an Attorney-in-fact, Private Attorney General, Next Friend, or Counsel with a bank or other financial institution. This power includes, but is not limited to, the power to:

- i. Open, maintain or close bank accounts (including, but not limited to, checking accounts, savings accounts, demand deposit accounts, certificates of deposit, and promissory notes), brokerage accounts, and other similar accounts with financial institutions.

- ii. Conduct any business with any banking or financial institution with respect to any of my accounts, known or unknown, including, but not limited to, making deposits and withdrawals, negotiating or endorsing any checks or other instruments with respect to any such accounts, obtaining bank statements, obtaining procedural practice documents in regards to any of my accounts, passbooks, drafts, money orders, warrants, and certificates or vouchers payable to me by any person, firm corporation, or political entity;
- iii. Borrow money from any banking or financial institution if deemed necessary by my Attorney-in-fact, Private Attorney General, and or Counsel, and to manage all aspects of the loan process, including the placement of security and the negotiation of terms;
- iv. Perform any act necessary to deposit, negotiate, sell or transfer any note, security, draft of the United States of America, including U.S. Treasury Securities, or International Promissory Notes, and Personal Promissory Notes;
- v. Have access to any safe deposit box that I might own, or having been unknowingly established in my name, including its contents; and
- vi. Create and deliver any financial statements necessary to or from any bank or financial institution.

X CB **Claims and Litigation Matters**

- c. To institute, maintain, defend, compromise, arbitrate or otherwise dispose of, any and all actions, suits, attachments or other legal proceedings for or against me. This power includes, but is not limited to, the power to: appear on my behalf, and the power to settle any claim against me in whichever forum or manner my Attorney-in-fact, Private Attorney General, Next Friend, Counsel deems prudent, and to receive or pay any resulting settlement.

X CB **Tax Matters**

- d. To act for me in all matters that affect my local, state and federal taxes in relation to my property located at 306 Coral Way, Belvedere, South Carolina, 29841 to include: prepare, sign, and file documents with any governmental or private body or agency.

X CA Chattel and Goods Transactions

- e. To purchase, sell or otherwise deal with any type of personal property I may currently or in the future have an interest in. This includes, but is not limited to, the power to purchase, sell, exchange, accept as a gift, place as security on loans, to pay or contest taxes or assessments, mortgage or pledge.

X CB Estate Transactions

- f. To do any act that I can do through an Attorney-in-fact, Private Attorney General, Next Friend, or Counsel with regard to all matters that affect any trust or TRUST, probate estate, conservatorship, or other fund from which I may receive payment as beneficiary. This power includes the power to disclaim any interest which might otherwise be transferred or distributed to me from any person, estate, trust, or other entity, as may be appropriate. However, my Attorney-in-fact, Private Attorney General, Next friend, Counsel cannot disclaim assets to which I would be entitled, if the result is that the disclaimed assets pass directly or indirectly to my Attorney-in-fact's, Private Attorney General's, Next Friend's, Counsel's estate.

Attorney-in-fact, Private Attorney General, Next friend, Counsel Compensation

8. My Attorney-in-fact, Private Attorney General, Next Friend, Counsel will receive no compensation associated with the carrying out of my wishes.

Personal Gain from Managing My Affairs

9. My Attorney-in-fact, Private Attorney General, Next Friend, Counsel is not allowed to personally gain from any transaction he may complete on my behalf.

Delegation of Authority

10. My Attorney-in-fact, Private Attorney General, Next Friend, Counsel may not delegate any authority granted under this document.

Attorney-in-fact, Private Attorney General, Next Friend, Counsel Restrictions

11. This Power of Attorney is not subject to any conditions or restrictions other than those noted above.

**Notice to Third Parties**

12. Any third party who receives a valid copy of this Power of Attorney can rely on and act under it. A third party who relies on the reasonable representations of my Attorney-in-fact, Private Attorney General, Next Friend, Counsel as to a matter relating to a power granted by this Power of Attorney will not incur any liability to the Principal or the Principal's heirs, assigns, or estate as a result of permitting the Attorney-in-fact, Private Attorney General, Next Friend, Counsel to exercise authority granted by this Power of Attorney up to the point of revocation of this Power of Attorney. Revocation of this Power of Attorney will not be effective as to a third party until the third party receives notice and has actual knowledge of the revocation.

Acknowledgement

13. I, Catherine J. Bishop, being the Principal named in this Power of Attorney hereby acknowledge:

- a. I have read and understand the nature and effect of this Power of Attorney;
- b. I am of legal age in the State of South Carolina to grant a Power of Attorney; and
- c. I am voluntarily giving this Power of Attorney.

IN WITNESS WHERE OF I hereunto set my hand and seal at the City of NAUGUSTA in the State of South Carolina, this 4<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2018.

SIGNED, SEALED, AND DELIVERED  
In the presence of:

Witness: William B. Hardcell III (Sign)  
 Witness Name: WILLIAM B. HARDCELL III  
 Address: 306 CORAL WAY  
NAUGUSTA SC 29841

Catherine J. Bishop  
 (Principal)

Witness: Karen R. Newweather (Sign)  
 Witness Name: Karen R. Newweather  
 Address: 537 Edgefield Rd  
North Augusta, SC 29841

NOTARY ACKNOWLEDGMENT

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
COUNTY OF Aiken

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this 4<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2018,

by Elizabeth J. Ruse

My Commission Expires  
July 16, 2020

# The South Carolina Court of Appeals

Bank of America, N.A., Respondent,

v.

Catherine L. Bishop, Appellant.

Appellate Case No. 2018-001768

---

## ORDER


---

This court has received several letters, which we construe together as a motion to proceed without ordering the transcript as required by Rule 207(a), SCACR. Initially, this court notes it is bound to follow the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules. Any other writings found analyzing or interpreting the rules must be read in conjunction with the appellate court rules and other relevant laws. It appears from the filings in this case there was a court reporter present who recorded the proceedings below. Under Rule 207(a)(1), Appellant is required to order the transcript of the entire proceedings below "[u]nless the parties otherwise agree in writing." This court has not been provided with a copy of an agreement between both parties agreeing to order less than the entire proceedings below. Accordingly, after careful consideration, Appellant's motion to proceed without complying with Rule 207 is respectfully denied. In order to be able to properly review this case on appeal, this court must have the opportunity to review all relevant portions of the court reporter's transcript along with any other relevant court documents.

Within ten days of the date of this order, Appellant shall comply with Rule 207(a)(1) by either providing proof Appellant has made "satisfactory arrangements (including agreement regarding payment for the transcript), in writing with the court reporter for furnishing the transcript," or provide proof the parties have all agreed in writing to proceed without ordering the entire transcript. Failure of Appellant to comply may result in the dismissal of this appeal.

This court also notes it has received communication from Darren L. Watters on behalf of Appellant. Because Mr. Watters is not a licensed member of the South

Carolina bar, Mr. Watters may not communicate with the court on behalf of Appellant. Appellant may appear before this court pro se or she can retain the services of a licensed member of the South Carolina bar. Mr. Watters may not represent Appellant on appeal.

  
FOR THE COURT

Columbia, South Carolina

cc:  
Catherine L. Bishop  
Chad Wilson Burgess, Esquire

**FILED**  
October 31, 2018

Sent Nov 2nd  
(Early AM)

November 1, 2018

Dear Judge James Lockemy ✓  
SUBJECT: DEPRIVATION of RIGHTS

I was totally dismayed to find out from my friend of the family, Mr. Watters, that you have proclaimed that neither yourself, nor your Assistant Meredith, from this point forward will communicate with Mr. Watters in regards to my situation, despite myself having provided you a legally binding Power of Attorney!

Let it be known that as a government employee your first and foremost duty is the upholding and the protection of the RIGHTS of the American People.

The Preamble of the United States Constitution of America makes this very clear: **“We the People of the United States, in Order to form a more perfect Union, establish Justice, insure domestic Tranquility, provide for the common defence, promote the general Welfare, and secure the Blessings of Liberty to ourselves and our Posterity, do ordain and establish this Constitution for the United States of America.”**

So, as we can see the Constitution for the United States of America was established and ordained for the explicit purpose of: Establishing Justice, Insuring Domestic Tranquility, Providing for the Common Defence, Promoting the general Welfare, and Securing the Blessings of Liberty to ourselves and our Posterity!

Now the main focus here is (Securing the Blessings of Liberty to ourselves and our Posterity)!

Thus, Judge Lockemy, one of the Prime reasons for the United States Constitution was to **“SECURE THE BLESSINGS OF LIBERTY TO OURSELVES AND OUR POSTERITY”**?

Therefore, one of your most important, if not the most important, duty is the action to secure MY RIGHT known as **“LIBERTY”** for which is a Natural (God-Given Right) which is MY FREEDOM to make any and all choices in regards to MY OWN WELFARE.

As denoted the PREAMBLE of the United States Constitution of America made it very clear that the protection of OUR RIGHTS (Life, Liberty, and the Pursuit of Happiness) was not only to that of our forefathers but even us this very day; as POSTERITY is in reference to future generations of **“We the People!”**

Likewise, be aware that the **“Practice of Law”** as you call it, was already declared by the United States Supreme Court, prior to the establishment of the **“BAR”** Association on American soil, to be that of a **“COMMON RIGHT!”**

**“ The “COMMERCIAL” practice of law, pleading for hire, should not be confused with the common law right to practice law. The former being fully subject to the regulation of law as a commercial endeavor, and the latter being the exercise of a common right beyond legislation.”**

*Sims v. Aherns, 271 SW 720 (1925).*

**\*\* The State legislature lacks any authority whatsoever to enact legislation that abridges, abrogates or diminishes the RIGHT to the pursuit of happiness via an occupation of common right.**

**“The practice of Law CAN NOT be licensed by any state/State.”**

*Schware v. Board of Examiners, 353 U.S. 238, 239 (1957)*

Thereby, as we can see due to the FACT that Mr. Watters is not and has not requested any payment for his services, he is not engaged in that of a commerce function and therefore I have a God-Given Right to utilize him as a representative for me; as this clearly falls within the scope of me exercising a Common Right for which is beyond that for which can be legislated!

**Likewise, LIBERTY is denoted as follows:**

A condition in which a man's will regarding his own person and property is unopposed by any other will. That is the bedrock. From there you can add other aspects if you wish, but you cannot deviate from this core and still be talking about “liberty.”

**Thomas Jefferson used the same core idea (notice the inclusion of “will”), but added a political aspect:**

**“Rightful liberty is unobstructed action according to our will within limits drawn around us by the equal rights of others. I do not add “within the limits of the law” because law is often but the tyrant's will, and always so when it violates the rights of the individual.”**

**The great John Locke also held to this core, but took it in a more philosophical direction:**

**“All men are naturally in a state of perfect freedom to order their actions, and dispose of their possessions and persons as they think fit, within the bounds of the law of Nature, without asking leave or depending upon the will of any other man.**

**“the term [liberty] ...denotes not merely freedom from bodily restraint but also the right of the individual to contract, to engage in any of the common occupations of life, to acquire useful knowledge, to marry, to establish a home and bring up children, to worship God according to the dictates of his own conscience... The established doctrine is that this liberty may not be interfered with, under the guise of protecting public interest, by legislative action.”**

*Meyer v. Nebraska, 262 U.S. 390, 399, 400.(1923)*

Do consider yourself informed that by refusing to converse with Mr. Watters, and likewise forbidding your Assistant, from communicating with him on my behalf as dictated within the confines of my Power of Attorney, will be deemed as violating my Civil Rights!

Please be informed that I would not have even needed to contact you at all had it not been for the Clerk and Deputy Clerk under your supervision engaging in tactics of EXTORTION! Likewise, even had I not given Mr. Watters permission to contact you, he still had a LEGAL OBLIGATION to do so! (Unless, are you going to state that when someone sees an action of extortion being committed by government employees that they are to turn a blind-eye to it?) Do you deny that in accordance with 18 U.S. Code § 4 - Misprision of felony Mr. Watters did not have an obligation to report the noted CRIMINAL ACT being engaged by those working under your supervision?

**Does the Law not state “Whoever, having knowledge of the actual commission of a felony cognizable by a court of the United States, conceals and does not as soon as possible make known the same to some judge or other person in civil or military authority under the United States, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than three years, or both.”**

Your refusal to communicate with Mr. Watters on my behalf, much less on an issue for which he legally was required to REPORT can only surmise by your reaction that their ILLEGAL ACTION was being done so with your complete knowledge?

Likewise, you yourself being a Judge I am sure you are well aware that “NO LEGISLATION” may be passed that strips any American Citizen of ANY of their RIGHTS! This includes MY LIBERTY as applicable to both MY RIGHT to choose MY Counsel of MY choosing, and MY RIGHT to Contract!

If you insist that your Duty as a Judge is not to uphold the RIGHTS of the American People, but instead uphold a RULE and or UNCONSTITUTIONAL LEGISLATION passed by members of the BAR who have infiltrated the Legislative and Executive Branches of Government thereby violating the Separation of Powers Clause, then you yourself are engaged in TREASON, as you

are engaged in the overthrow of the guaranteed REPUBLIC and establishing a Monarch or Class System of government controlled by a non-government entity (BAR) British Accredited Registry. Just Because you guys throw the word American before the word BAR does not make it any more American than does putting the word "Federal" before the word Reserve make it a Federal Entity; i.e. the Federal Reserve has already been exposed as a non-government foreign entity working on American Soil (The same as the BAR)!

Therefore I am quite sure that you are fully aware of:

**18 U.S Code § 241 (Conspiracy Against Rights):**

If two or more persons conspire to injure, oppress, threaten, or intimidate any person in any State, Territory, Commonwealth, Possession, or District in the free exercise or enjoyment of any right or privilege secured to him by the Constitution or laws of the United States, or because of his having so exercised the same; or

If two or more persons go in disguise on the highway, or on the premises of another, with intent to prevent or hinder his free exercise or enjoyment of any right or privilege so secured—

They shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than ten years, or both; and if death results from the acts committed in violation of this section or if such acts include kidnapping or an attempt to kidnap, aggravated sexual abuse or an attempt to commit aggravated sexual abuse, or an attempt to kill, they shall be fined under this title or imprisoned for any term of years or for life, or both, or may be sentenced to death.

AND;

**18 U.S. Code § 242 (Deprivation of rights under color of law):**

Whoever, under color of any law, statute, ordinance, regulation, or custom, willfully subjects any person in any State, Territory, Commonwealth, Possession, or District to the deprivation of any rights, privileges, or immunities secured or protected by the Constitution or laws of the United States, or to different punishments, pains, or penalties, on account of such person being an alien, or by reason of his color, or race, than are prescribed for the punishment of citizens, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than one year, or both;

Thereby, you may want to reconsider ordering your Assistant not to communicate with Mr. Watters in regards to my issue, as it is also his issue once he became aware of your underlings actions, as set forth by the requirements of your own laws!

I await a response proclaiming that you will honor my RIGHTS, which includes my Choice of Counsel, and my Right to Contract!

Likewise do you dispute that the BAR Association proclamation that the reasoning behind requiring that only members of the BAR being allowed to Practice Law is for the SAFETY of us the public?

Well, how do you respond to:

**“The established doctrine is that this liberty may not be interfered with, under the guise of protecting the public interest, by legislative action”**

*Meyer v. Nebraska, 262 U.S. 390, 399, 400*

As you feign that MY RIGHTS have been taken from me via by the illegal and unconstitutional means of conversion, then please answer me this:

As you, meaning the BAR, have deemed me STUPID, IGNORANT, and TOO INCOMPETENT to even choose MY own counsel, then how is it that you at the same time deem me more than competent enough to represent myself in any court?

Likewise, Next Friend (28 USC) clearly delineates that those who are incompetent are entitled to use a friend to represent us in court; and as the BAR have deemed ALL the American People who are not members of the BAR to be INCOMPETENT then WE are all entitled to use our friends to represent us, as we are too incompetent to represent ourselves (AS STIPULATED BY THE “BAR”).

How about LIBERTY? (Do you as a Judge deny that LIBERTY is a RIGHT)?

What is LIBERTY?

Freedom; exemption from extraneous control. The power of the will, in its moral freedom, to follow the dictates of its **unrestricted choice**, and to direct the external acts of the individual **without restraint, coercion, or control from other persons**. See:

Booth v. Illinois, 184 U. S. 425, 22 Sup. Ct. 425, 46 L. Ed. 623 ;

Munn v. Illinois, 94 U. S. 142. 24 L. Ed. 77;

People v. Warden of City Prison. 157 N. Y. 116, 51 N. E. 1006. 43 L. R. A. 264, 68 Am. St. Rep.

Therefore, I now await you answering these questions, and change your order to your Assistant (Meredith) in being cooperative with me in regards to Mr. Watters, else if you still feign that I have no RIGHT to Choose my Counsel nor Contract then let it stand that you yourself are engaged in the VIOLATION of MY RIGHTS!

Failure of you to email me or leaving me notice telephonically will be deemed as you had your Assistant inform me, that not only do you refuse to uphold my RIGHTS, but have proclaimed that you and the BAR Association has and have the Power to strip me of MY God-Given Rights, despite those powers never bestowed upon you by "We the People" as clearly set forth in the Enumerated Powers of Article I, Section VIII of the United States Constitution of America; (You know, that pesky Supreme Law of the Land)!

Thereby, that if you insist on the DEPRIVATION of MY RIGHTS, DEPRIVATION OF RIGHTS UNDER COLOR OF LAW, then I may seek legal remedy.

P.S. Let me know if this is really how you wish to proceed!

Sincerely,

*Catherine S. Bishop*

Catherine Bishop

Cathy\_bishop2002@yahoo.com

(803) 341 - 4278


November 8, 2018

Dear Judge James E. Lockemy  
SUBJECT: You Stand In-Error

As denoted by the letter that I received on Saturday the 3<sup>rd</sup> of November 2018 it is clear that you have misconstrued myself having you address EXTORTION by those for which you are their supervisor as being that of a Motion. I was not doing a Motion! I was bringing the issue of a criminal action being perpetrated by those under your supervision!

To ensure that you no longer feign Incompetence or Ignorance as to the facts at issue I am attaching an Interrogative so that NOTHING can be MISCONSTRUED!

Likewise, let us take notice of:



This will be referenced as "SCREENSHOT" in the Interrogatives attached; for which mirrors many of the questions that were already submitted to you but for which you acted like they did not exist when responding to the issue brought before you of Deprivation of Rights and EXTORTION, both of which are CRIMINAL FELONIES!

Thus, the answering of the Interrogatives will expose the TRUTH to everyone. Your, denial to respond to the interrogatives with an answer for which references LAW, will be deemed that you yourself is complicit with the criminal action of EXTORTION, Deprivation of Rights, and Deprivation of Rights under Color of Law that is being perpetrated by your Clerk and Deputy-Clerk under your supervision!

Likewise, it will denote that the TRUTH is that NO ONE is REQUIRED to order Court Transcripts in order to file an Appeal if all the necessary information for their Appeal appears within the court papers; and therefore the Court Clerk and Deputy Clerk under your supervision are engaging in EXTORTION, Deprivation of Rights, and Deprivation of Rights under Color of Law. Likewise, it is also denoted that since challenging the action being engaged by the court, those under your supervision have had the FAQ Section removed from being reviewed by the Public! Thereby, this action confirms both acknowledgement of the TRUTH that I have put before you, but also shows that your cohorts have tried to hide their actions (now when it was challenged)!

It now comes a moment of TRUTH in which one must decide to uphold the God-Given Rights of the People as you are sworn to do as a "SERVANT" of "We the People" or be criminally and civilly charged when found to be engaged in Denial of RIGHTS bestowed upon man-kind by GOD! As denoted one cannot be forced to buy a product in order to engage in a RIGHT!

As to confirm that you and the BAR Association has NO POWER or AUTHORITY to strip me of ANY of MY God-Given RIGHTS I provide the following cases as STANDING of the TRUTH:

**"The State cannot diminish rights of the people."**

*Hertado v. California, 110 U.S. 516 (1884).*

**"Where rights secured by the Constitution are involved, there can be no rule making or legislation which would abrogate them."**

*Miranda v. Arizona, 384 U.S. 436, 491 (1966).*

**"The claim and exercise of a constitutional right cannot be converted into a crime."**

*Miller v. U.S., 230 F 2d 486, 489 (1956).*

**"The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights as a citizen." "His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State, and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution."**

*Hale v. Henkel, 201 U.S. 43, 74 (1905).*

**"It is manifest it was not left to the legislative power to exact any process which might be devised. The [due process] article is a restraint on the legislative as well as on the executive and judicial powers of government, and cannot be so construed as to leave congress free to make any process 'due process of law,' by its mere will."**

*Murray's Lessee v. Hoboken Imp. Co., 18 How. (59 U.S.) 272, 276 (1855);*

*French v. Barber Asphalt, 181 U.S. 324, 330 (1900).*

**"An act of the legislature is not necessarily the 'law of the land.' A state cannot make anything 'due process of law' which, by its own legislation, it declares to be such."**

*Burdick v. People*, 36 N.E. 948, 949, 149 Ill. 600 (1894).

**"The law of the land,' as used in the constitution, has long had an interpretation, which is well understood and practically adhered to. It does not mean an Act of the Legislature; if such was the true interpretation, this branch of the government could at any time take away life, liberty, property and privilege, without a trial by jury."**

*Saco v. Wentworth*, 37 Maine 165, 171 (1852).

**"[T]he provision [due process clause] is designed to exclude oppression and arbitrary power from every branch of government."**

*Dupuy v. Tedora*, 15 So.2d 886, 890, 204 La. 560 (1943).

### **TRUTH STATED BY THE FOUNDING FATHERS OF AMERICA**

**"The Legislative has no right to absolute, arbitrary power over the lives and fortunes of the people. The Legislative cannot justly assume to itself a power to rule by extempore arbitrary decrees..."**

Samuel Adams, The Rights of the Colonists (1772).

**"It is not unfrequent to hear men declaim loudly upon liberty, who, if we may judge by the whole tenor of their actions, mean nothing else by it but their own liberty, to oppress without control or the restraint of laws all who are poorer or weaker than themselves."**

Samuel Adams

**"Liberty must at all hazards be supported. We have a right to it, derived from our Maker. But if we had not, our fathers have earned and bought it for us, at the expense of their ease, their estates, their pleasure, and their blood."**

John Adams, 1765

**"Without liberty, law loses its nature and its name, and becomes oppression. Without law, liberty also loses its nature and its name, and becomes licentiousness."**

James Wilson, Of the Study of the Law in the United States, 1790

**"The accumulation of all powers, legislative, executive, and judiciary, in the same hands, whether of one, a few, or many, and whether hereditary, self-appointed, or elective, may justly be pronounced the very definition of tyranny."**

James Madison, Federalist 47, 1788

**ARTICLE VI – UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION FOR AMERICA**

"This Constitution, and the Laws of the United States which shall be made in Pursuance thereof;..shall be the supreme Law of the Land; and the judges in every State shall be bound thereby, any Thing in the Constitution or Laws of any State to the Contrary notwithstanding".

"The Senators and Representatives before mentioned, and the Members of the several State Legislatures, and all executive and judicial Officers, both of the United States and of the several States, shall be bound by Oath or Affirmation, to support this Constitution;". - ART. 6 U.S. CONST.

Therefore, I now await you to intercede and bring this criminal activity within the court to a halt! This is not a motion but a notice of CRIMINAL ACTIVITY by that of the Court for you to correct!! Likewise, ALL previous cases handled by the Clerk off Court Ms. Jenny Abbott Kitchings, and Deputy Clerk of Court Ms. Claire Allen need to be reviewed for DENIAL of Due Process if any cases were dismissed for non-payment of transcripts for which like me were not necessary for other Appeals Cases!

Please see that my case is not and will not be dismissed for refusing to buy something that I do not want, nor need for me to proceed with my Appeals Case. Likewise, I also request, as you have taken up two weeks of my time for preparing an Initial Appeal that was brought to a halt by corruption within the courts, that I be provided these two weeks back to finish my Initial Appeal!

As you have proclaimed that "WE" in your RESPONSE LETTER, I request that you apprise me of who this whole "WE" includes that decided instead of answering the questions and addressing the issue, feigned that you all were construing my intention to being that of a motion, when to anyone with common sense and a fifth grade education could and can comprehend that for which was stated!

Therefore one must ask if you are feigning at being incompetent of the English Language, Ignorant of the English Language, or are engaged in corruption and are doing your best to hide-the fact by even having the existence of the FAQ Section removed from public viewing. If you proclaim that none of this applies to you, then one must ask "Are you Smarter than a Fifth-Grader?" Don't mean to question your action, but your response to the issue, and letter that was faxed to you, clearly indicates that you fall within one of these descriptions as were put forth!

Please see the attached Interrogatives

The South Carolina Court of Appeals

	)	Case No: 2018-001768
Bank of America	)	
Respondent	)	
	)	RULE 26
v.	)	RULE 33
	)	
Catherine Bishop	)	
Appellant,	)	Interrogative

**APPELLANT SET OF INTERROGATORIES TO JUDGE JAMES E. LOCKEMY**

**COMES NOW** Catherine Bishop, In Pro Propria Personam (hereinafter “Appellant”) who forthwith propounds the attached Interrogatories in regards to Court Process under Judge James E. Lockemy, pursuant to:

FRCP Rule 26. Duty to Disclose; General Provisions Governing Discovery  
FRCP Rule 33. Interrogatories to Parties (inclusive to Court)

Enclosed is Interrogative denoted as Document EBTCADOR-1:  
Page 06-08: INTERROGATIVES  
Page 06-06: SC Court of Appeals - FAQ Screenshot

**INTERROGATIVES**

1. Were you not made aware in the First Paragraph on the First Page of the Letter faxed to you, dated 28 October, that I was seeking for you to intercede on my behalf and that your contact information was being withheld from me by those under your supervision?
2. Were you not made aware in the Second Paragraph on the First Page of the Letter faxed to you, dated 28 October, that I demanded that you read the letter in its ENTIRETY so that you would understand the issue that was being placed at your feet?
3. Were you not made aware in the Second Paragraph on the First Page of the Letter faxed to you, dated 28 October, that Clerk Jenny Abbott Kitchings and Deputy Clerk Claire Allen were engaged in: EXTORTION, EXTORTION via the mail system, Deprivation of Rights, and Deprivation of Rights under Color of Law?
4. Were you not made aware on the third sentence up from the bottom of the First Page of the letter faxed to you, dated 28 October, and even provided a link to the SCCOURTS CONTENT (FAQ SECTION) under that of Court of Appeals?
5. Were you not made aware on the last sentence on the bottom of the First Page of the letter faxed to you, dated 28 October, that I was even providing you a screen shot on the SECTION for which was addressing the issue of transcripts?
6. At the TOP of the Second Page of the letter faxed to you, dated 28 October, not show a screen shot of the SECTION addressing the issue of transcripts?

To refresh your memory I happily provide you another screenshot of this same said SECTION:

party in an appeal to receive costs from the losing party.

[Return to Table of Contents](#)

### Why do I need to order transcripts?

The transcript shows what evidence and arguments were put before the lower court. This information is required for the Court's review of the appeal. In some cases, a transcript is not required, because all necessary information appears in court papers.

**Rule 207** requires the appellant to order the lower court transcript from the court reporter when a transcript is required for the appeal. Generally, this must be done within ten days of serving the notice of appeal in civil cases and within 30 days of serving the notice in criminal cases. Refer to **Rule 207** for exact details.

The transcript referred to in this rule is the circuit court transcript, not the transcript from proceedings before a magistrate, municipal judge, probate judge, or the like.

This transcript must be ordered from the circuit court reporter. Do not attempt to order it from the Court of Appeals, from the Supreme Court, from the lower court judge, from the Circuit Court Clerk, or from the Office of Court Administration. If you do not know the name of the court reporter, you may contact the Office of Court Administration to learn the name. However, you must still order the transcript within the time limits established in the Rule.

If a transcript is not required for the appeal, you need not order it.

[Return to Table of Contents](#)

7. Within Point 1 on the Second Page of the letter faxed to you, dated 28 October, did I not provide you with the following three questions, for which in your response you neither addressed nor answered?

Question 1: "So, as denoted the first sentence states "The **TRANSCRIPT** shows what evidence and arguments were put before the lower court." Do you dispute that it states this?"

Question 2: "The second sentence states, and I quote "This information is required for the Court's review of the appeal." Now do I not proclaim that this information is not required for a proper appeal! Can you not understand that "MY" Appeals Case has all this information "REQUIRED" within the Court Papers, Documents, Exhibits, and Evidence?"

Question 3: "The third sentence states" and I quote "**In some cases, a transcript is not required, because all necessary information appears in court papers.**" Do you dispute that it states this?"

8. I now once again ask that you refer to the screenshot, as seen after question 6 in this set of Interrogatives, and answer: The third sentence states" and I quote "**In some cases, a transcript is not required, because all necessary information appears in court papers.**" Do you dispute that it states this?
9. Within Point 2 on the Second Page of the letter faxed to you, dated 28 October, did I not state: "The first sentence of the second paragraph states "Rule 207 requires the appellant to order the lower court transcript from the court reporter when a transcript is required for the appeal." Now do you dispute that it states this?" (for which you refused to address or answer in your response dated October 31<sup>st</sup>, 2018)
10. I now once again ask that you refer to the screenshot, as seen after question 6 in this set of Interrogatives, and answer: The first sentence of the second paragraph states "Rule 207 requires the appellant to order the lower court transcript from the court reporter when a transcript is required for the appeal." Now do you dispute that it states this?
11. Do you dispute that the statement/wording "when a transcript is required for the appeal" does not imply, if not outright infer, that a transcript is not always required for an appeal?
12. Do you dispute that on page three of the letter faxed to you, dated 28 October, (in reference to the screenshot as shown again after question 6 in this set of Affidavits) did I not state in Point 3 "This brings us to the last sentence in the section denoted as "**Why do I need to order transcripts?**" which states, and I quote "**If a transcript is not required for the appeal, you need not order it.**" Do you dispute that this is stated?"
13. Do you dispute that on page three of the letter faxed to you, dated 28 October, (in reference to the screenshot as shown again after question 6 in this set of Affidavits) did I not state in Point 3, the second paragraph "Again, let me reiterate "MY" Appeals Case has all the information "REQUIRED" within the Court Papers, Documents, Exhibits, Evidence, and Rulings." Therefore a transcript is not REQUIRED; and as stated "if a transcript is not required then for the appeal, you need not order it."?

14. Do you dispute that after you were notified of these criminal actions that you or someone from within the Clerk of Court had the FAQ Section removed from sight of the Public; on that of the noted links under that of Court of Appeals?
15. Do you dispute that Rule 207 does not apply to everyone; as it only applies to those who do not have sufficient claims as already substantiated with court Papers, Exhibits, Motions, Rulings, and Evidence?
16. If you feign ignorance and proclaim that Rule 207 is applicable to all then provide proof; i.e. the original legislation which brought that rule into existence for which we can see the INTENT of the Rule!
17. Do you dispute that ALL American Citizens are entitled to Fair and Honest Hearings/Trials as a matter of RIGHT?
18. Do you dispute that God-Given RIGHTS cannot be stripped from any American Citizen unless through Due-Process which has incarcerated them?
19. Do you dispute that no RIGHTS can be fined, fee'd, or taxed? (if so please state any law that you believe bestowed upon YOU, The BAR Association, or even the Government that allows for the RIGHTS to be stripped away from that of "We the People")
20. Is LIBERTY (Freedom of Choice, Freedom of Thought, Freedom to Contract) a God-Given RIGHT?
21. Is your oath and loyalty to that of upholding and protecting the God-Given Rights of "We the People" or is your loyalty and oath to the "BAR" Association?
22. If your Rules and or laws are contradictive to the United States Constitution for America then do you uphold them, or do you uphold the God-Given RIGHTS of "We the People"?
23. As the Judges and the BAR Members have declared "We the People" to be too stupid, too incompetent, too ignorant in choosing who we wish to represent us; therefore allowing the BAR to be a MONOPOLY then one must ask how the same Judges, and Members of the BAR have deemed us more than COMPETENT enough to represent ourselves in Court?
24. As ALL "BAR" members have declared that you all have attempted to legislate (illegally and Unconstitutionally) away a God-Given RIGHT under the FRAUDULENT EXCUSE of protecting us then one must ask "If I have no knowledge of Rules, Processes, or Law, much less research skills yet I have a friend who does have knowledge of the Rules, Processes, Law, and research skills" then how am I being hurt if that individual represents me in court, as I have already acknowledged that this is the issue with my friend of the family, Mr. Watters? (Please state how I would not be hurt more by myself representing myself, than my friend!)
25. Do you believe that I am a child or that of a slave for which you have the Authority and or Power to decide what is best for me against my will? (We the People are SOVEREIGN and our Guaranteed Government is a REPUBLIC, not the BAR Association!)

I, Cathy Bishop, (Appellant), do hereby certify that on this 8th day of the month of November, 2018, a true copy of a 4-page letter and an attached Request for Interrogatories was furnished via Certified US Mail to:

South Carolina Court of Appeals  
Attn: Judge James E. Lockemy (Supervisor of Clerk of Court)  
1220 Senate Street  
Columbia, SC 29201

And Faxed to (843)-774-0788  
And E-Mailed [jlockemy@sccourts.org](mailto:jlockemy@sccourts.org)

Submitted by: *Catharine L. Bishop*  
Cathy Bishop  
[cathy\\_bishop2002@yahoo.com](mailto:cathy_bishop2002@yahoo.com)  
(803) 341-4278  
306 Coral Way  
Belvedere, SC29841