

FINAL BRIEF
THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In The Court of Appeals

APPEAL ADMINISTRATIVE LAW COURT

Carolyn C. Matthews, Administrative Law Judge

RECEIVED

OCT 30 2012

Docket no. 10-AJL-30-0910-CC

SC Court of Appeals

Charles Moore,

Appellant,

v.

S C Department of Employment and
Workforce,

Respondent.

FINAL BRIEF

E.B. "Trey" McLeod
P.O. Box 8597
Columbia S.C. 29202
803-737-2666
Attorney for Respondent

Charles A. Moore II
618 Waterway Villa Blvd
Apt 23d
Myrtle Beach SC 29579
Pro Se

STATEMENT OF ISSUES ON APPEAL

1. Do I, Charles Austin Moore II, as a citizen of the State of south Carolina have the right to reasonably rely and depend on the decisions made by the South Carolina Employment and workforce and its employees?
2. Did the South Carolina Administrative Law Court give Charles Austin Moore II the proper platform for his evidence to be heard in court?

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

On February 23, 2011 Charles Austin Moore II appealed the decision of the South Carolina Employment and Workforce, (SCDEW,) with the South Carolina Administrative Law Court, (SCALC). The SCDEW claims an overpayment to Charles Austin Moore II of 9,128.00. The SCLAC dismissed the the case because Charles moore missed the appeals hearing provided to him by the SCDEW. The Honorable Judge Matthews ruled the point moot because there was no controversy.

There remains a huge controversy and Charles Moore was never given the opportunity to provide evidence in his defense.

FACTS

I applied for unemployment benefits with the state of South Carolina. The case was reviewed by the proper authorities in the SCDEW and benefits were approved (ROA index exhibit 1. page 2). I have provided the letter of approval to the SCLAC and The Court of Appeals. I relied on the decisions of the SCDEW and followed the rules of this governmental agency. I have provided the payment history and work log to the courts as well (ROA index exhibit 2. pages 4-7). I utilized the proceeds (ROA index exhibit 6. pages 17-25). In turn I was informed I did not qualify for the unemployment benefits (ROA index exhibit 3. page 10). This error of the SCDEW has caused and will continue to jepordize (exhibit 9. page 30) and harm (ROA index exhibit 9 .page 30.) my financial situation (ROA index exhibit 10. page 34-A.). In turn, the appeal hearing(s) was set up as a phone call @ 11:30 am (originally) during my work days (ROA index exhibit 4,5. pages 12-16). I was told by my local SCDEW that if I could not answer the call I had ten minutes to call the number back. I recieved no answer or call back. And the SCDEW Officer for my case cannot be located.

Carly
10-24-2012

CERTIFICATE OF COUNSEL ON FINAL BRIEF

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In The Court of Appeals

APPEAL ADMINISTRATIVE LAW COURT

Carolyn C. Matthews, Administrative Law Judge

Docket no. 10-AJL-30-0910-CC

Charles Moore,

Appellant,

v.

S C Department of Employment and
Workforce,

Respondent.

CERTIFICATE OF COUNSEL ON FINAL BRIEF

E.B. "Trey McLeod
P.O. Box 8597
Columbia S.C. 29202
803-737-2666
Attorney for Respondent


Charles A. Moore II
618 Waterway Villa Blvd
Apt 23d
Myrtle Beach SC 29579
Pro Se

RECEIVED

OCT 30 2012

SC Court of Appeals

I, Charles Austin Moore II, Appellant, certify that the Final Brief contains all material proposed to be included , to the best of my knowledge and experience, by any other party and not any other material.


① - 24 2012

E.B. "Trey McLeod
P.O. Box 8597
Columbia S.C. 29202
803-737-2666
Attorney for Respondent

Charles A. Moore II
618 Waterway Villa Blvd
Apt 23d
Myrtle Beach SC 29579
Pro Se