

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

COUNTY OF BERKELEY

DOCKET NO: 2015-CP-08-00965

PrimeLending, A PlainsCapital Company
Plaintiff

V

Ronnell Demar Walker a/k/a Ronnell D.
Walker; South Pointe Homeowners
Association;

Defendants,

RECEIVED
FEB 25 2019
SC Court of Appeals

RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF'S NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR ORDER OF REFERENCE

FACTS

I Ronnell Demar Bey the real party in Interest Status inpropria persona proceeding Sui Juris in line with my Cherokee/ Aniyunwiya Nationality. I do not consent to the use of my estate, or to be forcefully Assimilated.

Notice to the Principles is Notice to the Agent and Notice to the Agent is Notice to the principles! Exhibit A: notice is an essential element of due process. I am not a Sovereign Citizen, Black, misnomered, Negro or any other misnomers placed on me by state and federal agents. I am an indigenious American Indian Moor as stated in South Carolina historical Archives and Oxford English Dictionary. **Notice** any further Libel or Slander will not be tolerated, I will sue all offenders for personal Injury!

1. If any statement, within any law, which is passed, is unconstitutional, the whole law is unconstitutional.

Void Order State of South Carolina

2. **Notice South Carolina A void judgment** is one that, from its inception, is a complete nullity and is without legal effect." Thomas & Howard Co. v. T.W. Graham and Co., 318 S.C. 286, 291, 457 S.E.2d 340, 343 (1995). The definition of void under the rule only encompasses judgments from courts which failed to provide proper due process, or judgments from courts which lacked subject matter jurisdiction or personal jurisdiction." McDaniel v. U.S. Fid. & Guar. Co., 324 S.C. 639, 644, 478 S.E.2d 868, 871 (Ct. App.

1996). It is fundamental that no judgment or order affecting the rights of a party to the cause shall be made or rendered without notice to the party whose rights are to be affected." *Tyron Fed. Sav. & Loan Ass'n v. Phelps*, 307 S.C. 361, 362, 415 S.E.2d 397, 398 (1992). Generally, a person against whom a judgment or order is taken without notice may rightly ignore it and may assume that no court will enforce it against his person or property. The requirements of due process not only include notice, but also include an opportunity to be heard in a meaningful way, and judicial review. *Grannis v. Ordean*, 234 U.S. 385, 394 (1914) ("The fundamental requisite of due process of law is the opportunity to be heard."); *S.C. Dep't of Soc. Servs. v. Holden*, 319 S.C. 72, 78, 459 S.E.2d 846, 849 (1995).

3. Notice: I Ronnell Demar Bey the real party in Interest makes this Demand pursuant to constitutional right and established law noticing THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA, BERKELEY COUNTY COURT 9TH CIRCUIT, the Clerk of Court, The Trier of Fact, And the Trier of the Case, Erica Greer Lybrand representation for Prime lending a plains capital company, as well as any and all agents or representatives That The Order is Void Under Rule 60(b)(4), relief where a judgment is void is non-discretionary and a matter of right. *Richardson Construction Co. v. Meck Engineering & Construction Co.*, 274 S.C. 307, 262 S.E.2d 913 (1980).

4. (a) I Ronnell Demar Bey the real party in Interest notifying all parties inclusive of Erica Greer Lybrand representation for Prime lending a plains capital company, and their Agents, Authorized Designee, County Agents and Berkeley County Master IN Equity court Judges. That I Ronnell Demar Bey the real party in Interest an aggrieved man Object to Berkeley County Mater In Equity Court Violation of Due process, invasion of my privacy, Abuse Of process, Malicious Prosecution, Piecemeal practices, procedures and rules of administration which are void according to SC Con Article V section 1 also see *Spartanburg County Dept. of Social Services vs Padgett* (1988) 296 SC 79, 370 SE2d 872., for these reasons that were omitted by Erica Greer Lybrand , in her motion for order of reference, Master In Equity Dale Van Slambrook recused himself from the case because he was actively being sued for these violations.

(b) There is no Probable Cause to justify the Lack of Due Process of law and the denial of my Rights to a competent witness who has no proof of injury. No proof exists that show I owed a debt; *See, e.g., Franklin Credit Mgmt. Corp. v. Nicholas*, 3 Conn.App. 830, 812 A.2d 51, 57-58 (2002) ("In a mortgage foreclosure action, to make out its prima facie case, the foreclosing party had to prove by a preponderance of the evidence that it was the owner of the note and mortgage and that the [defendant] had defaulted on the note.") (internal quotations omitted) (internal citations omitted); *Campaign v. Barba*, 23 A.D.3d 327, 805 N.Y.S.2d 86, 86 (N.Y.App.Div. 2005) ("To establish a prima facie case in an action to foreclose a mortgage, the plaintiff **must** establish the existence of the mortgage and mortgage note, ownership of the mortgage, and the defendant's default in payment."); *In re Foreclosure of Real Prop, for \$143,600.00*, 156 N.C.App. 477,577 S.E.2d 398,

406 (2003) ("In a foreclosure proceeding, the lender bears the burden of proving that there was a valid debt, default, right to foreclose under power of sale, and notice."); 55 Am. Jur. 2d *Mortgages* § 604 ("[T]he burden of proof of any particular issue rests upon the party asserting the affirmative of that issue under the pleadings."); cf. *Paramount Fund, Inc. v. Cusaac*, 282 S.C. 497, 499, 319 S.E.2d 354, 355 (Ct.App. 1984) (holding the mortgagee has the burden of proving a disputed mortgage by the preponderance of the evidence).

(c) I Ronnell Demar Bey Noticed the Master IN Equity Court that I do not waive of my rights protected under the state and federal constitutions or any other rights not expressed, and implied. 1. In my motions, counter Claims, notices, Discovery, and affidavits which were ignored violating my right to Due Process of Law and a proper Judicial Court hearing inclusive of my Primary rights and personal Liberties etc... 2. Dale Van Slambrook Used Berkeley County Master IN Equity Court own separate rules of administration, practices and procedures which are Bias and piecemeal alteration of jurisdiction and not a part of the Unified System of General Law see Cort Industrial Corp. Vs Swirl Inc 1975. 3. The Master IN Equity court is bound by the Mandate of Article V Section 1 of the SC Constitution, rules of Judicial Conduct, as well as the Civil Procedures which are not fully practiced by local Courts. They are subject to The Limitations place on both federal and state Governments, Officers and agents by authority of the U.S. Constitution for the United States of America.

According to the SC definitions of void order, if due process is denied and lack of proper notice the order is void. Furthermore do to multiple investigations I found fraud within the contract and exercised my right to discovery to obtain the proof that the plaintiff had in fact lost anything leading to having the right to sue. I requested from the so called Lenders of the alleged original Loan on many occasions the debt related questions. Representatives for prime lending a plains capital company throughout the course of these issues several of the attorneys quit the case causing me to repeat multiple times the same Discovery to different attorneys who claim to have no knowledge of the case. By the time I received any kind of response I had already requested the debt related information several times over a year and they continued to say that they believe that my request for Discovery of the check and accounts was irrelevant even though they have a duty to produce the evidence to have a true claim which I constantly asserted and was ignored which violated Due process; see ("In a foreclosure proceeding, the lender bears the burden of proving that there was a valid debt, default, right to foreclose under power of sale, and notice."); 55 Am. Jur. 2d *Mortgages* § 604 ("[T]he burden of proof of any particular issue rests upon the party asserting the affirmative of that issue under the pleadings."); cf. *Paramount Fund, Inc. v. Cusaac*, 282 S.C. 497, 499, 319 S.E.2d 354, 355 (Ct.App. 1984) (holding the mortgagee has the burden of proving a disputed mortgage by the preponderance of the evidence).

Furthermore did they indeed have a personal financial injury? They only partially produced a heavily redacted discovery after I got the court to compel them to do what was already required of them. The

Master- IN-Equity was biased and abused his discretion in his determination because before the court makes a ruling they should first find out if the claim is true, He took it upon himself to determine Discovery was fulfilled without the plaintiff proving the burden according to the law above, he could of easily asked them to produce what I asked as it would not be a burden on the court at all being that the questions are relevant to the Case. It is irrefragable that the constitutions protects my right to Due process before my property could be claimed See SWEEZER v. GREEN, 360 Mo. 1249 (Mo. 1950).

The witness stated on the record that he has no firsthand knowledge of anything in connection to the loan. Therefore it was an abuse of discretion on the Master IN equity to allow his testimony which is not aligned with rule 803 a custodian or competent witness to testify. I was overlooked brushed aside, and rushed not having the proper time or access to the heavily redacted documents which left me at a clear handicap and disadvantage. In which The Plaintiff and MASTER-IN EQUITY knew and willfully deprived me of a fair trial.

By using their own practices, procedures, and, rules of administration which are void and unconstitutional to remove my property. See Spartanburg County Dept. of Social Services vs Padgett (1988) 296 SC 79, 370 SE2d 872.

5. There is no Due process Of Law involved See State v. Earle, 44 S.E. 781 (S.C. 1903) Supreme Court of South Carolina **Filed:** April 22nd, 1990. Article 1 SECTION 3. Privileges and immunities; due process; equal protection of laws. The privileges and immunities of citizens of this State and of the United States under this Constitution shall not be abridged, nor shall any person be deprived of life, liberty, property without due process of law, nor shall any person be denied the equal protection of the laws. (1970 (56) 2684; 1971 (57) 315.) Article 1 SECTION 23. Provisions of Constitution mandatory. The provisions of the Constitution shall be taken, deemed, and construed to be mandatory and prohibitory, and not merely directory, except where expressly made directory or permissive by its own terms. (1970 (56) 2684; 1971 (57) 315.),
6. ***"The court is to protect against any encroachment of constitutionally secured liberties."*** *Frost v. Railroad Commission of California*, 271 U.S. 583. ***Due Process must be invoked before removing rights secured under the constitutions***, Citing State v. Earle, 44 S.E. 781 (S.C. 1903) Supreme Court of South Carolina. These principles are recognized and followed by the Supreme Court of the United States. In *Turpin v. Lemon*, 25 Sup. Ct. Rep., 20, the Court quotes with approval the following definition of due process of law found in *Hagar v. Reclamation Dist.*, 111 U.S. 701: "It is sufficient to observe here that by 'due process' is meant one which, following the forms of law, is appropriate to the case, and just to the parties to be affected. It must be pursued in the ordinary mode prescribed by the law; it must be adapted to the end to be attained; and whenever it is necessary for the protection of the parties, it must give them an opportunity to be heard respecting the justice of judgment sought. The clause in question means, therefore, that there can be no proceeding against life, liberty or

property which may result in the deprivation of either, without the observances of those general rules established in our system of jurisprudence for the security of private rights.

7. **Trinsey Vs Parailgro**, all Statements made by the representation are not facts before the court. I object to the statements and frivolous claims made by the witness and Agent for the plaintiff. Berkeley County Master IN Equity court is in violation Of Article V Section 1 and Article 1 Section 22 of SC Constitution; The Berkeley County 9th Judicial Circuit Master IN Equity Court used Abuse of process and malicious Prosecutions to deprive me of my primary rights and natural rights to my property harassing me unlawfully without factual injury and they performed no true investigation. they are bound by the Rules of Judicial conduct, SC Supreme Court, and U.S. Supreme Court decisions.
8. In order to establish standing, three elements must be established. First, the party must have suffered an injury in fact---an invasion of a legally protected interest which is (a) concrete and particularized and (b) actual or imminent, not conjectural or hypothetical. Second, there must be a causal connection between the injury and the conduct complained of---the injury has to be fairly traceable to the challenged action of the adverse party and not the result of independent action of some third party not before the court. Third, it must be likely as opposed to merely speculative, that the injury will be redressed by a favorable decision. See *Lujan v. Defenders of Wildlife*, 504 U.S. 555, 112 S.Ct. 2130, 2136, 119 L.Ed.2d 351 (1992); *Chambers Medical Technologies*
9. Article 1 Section 1 of the U.S. Constitution states all legislative power is vested in a Congress. Administrative Rule is no different than an enacted statute. An administrative rule is not a statue adopted by our chosen representative and it is not legally binding it is a mere command/Order a mere edict an administrative adjudication it has no due process elements as the law demands as was originally understood Due process comes from the constitutionally created courts with a competent judge who upholds his assent and oath to the Constitutions and protects the encroachment of my rights; Citing *Boyd Vs United states 1886*. Administrative Rule or Agencies have no proper jury's, Due process and Congressional regulation. When a Judges (Fiduciary) or Administrator (Agents) goes out their way to accommodate or enforce administrative rule they become systematically bias and corrupt Due Process of Law.
10. The Law was made to protect and not to compel. Pleadings have been made that are fraudulent in nature that have caused me great injury. The Plaintiff(s), State Agents, and Officers acting criminally produced an Irregular Complaint not based in fact pursuant to law with no proof or evidence that support the claim of debt. Causing the deprivation of my rights and a Void Order. They have not and cannot prove any set of Facts that my House was in Default at the time under my care. The plaintiff has no personal Knowledge of such debt SCRCF Rule 602.

11. State Vs Adams it is a well-established principle, often advanced by the State in criminal prosecutions, "that ignorance of the law is no excuse." State v. Binnarr, 400 S.C. 156, 160 n.7, 733 S.E.2d 890, 892 n.7 (2012). There would be a "fundamental unfairness [in] holding citizens to 'the traditional rule that ignorance of the law is no excuse,' while allowing those 'entrusted to enforce' the law to be ignorant of it." United States v. Chanthasouvat, 342 F.3d 1271, 1280 (11th Cir. 2003) (internal citation omitted) (quoting Bryan v. United States, 524 U.S.

12. An abuse of process and malicious prosecution by Dale Van Slambrook, Berkeley County Master IN Equity BERKELEY COUNTY COURT 9TH CIRCUIT, Erica Greer Lybrand representation for Prime lending a plains capital company, and their Agents negligent actions caused me pain and suffering. Berkeley's County Clerk of Court, The Trier of Fact, And the Trier of the Case willfully filed an alleged civil action in conspiracy with an agent for alleged "Plaintiff", with no valid complaint or evidence to support the claim is clear "Fraud by the Court" and its officials which is unlawful and void. The Plaintiff cannot meet the requirements to establish Standing stated above in number 8. and did not take an oath according to Rule 603 of the federal civil procedure and South Carolina Civil procedure Rule 30. Therefore he and his representation have not entered any valid testimony or factual injury. Judges are charged to know without Full Due process of law, evidence or factual testimony in connection to the alleged claim the court order is void. And is a violation of I Ronnell Demar-Bey of the family name: Walker Civil and personal Liberties.

13. I Ronnell Demar-Bey Object to the Testimony, without Discovery and a proper investigation, Judicial review Article 1 Section 22 SC CON, Further Though I am not an Attorney I have in the past sent multiple pleadings and notices to the plaintiff's representation and to the court on several occasions See. Boag vs Mcdougall; asking them to prove by what relevant evidence gave the Plaintiff the right to sue without proof of debt.

14. I found fraud in the instruments called mortgage Contract, as it does not identify a valid debt by its own written testimony states credit which is not money or valid to satisfy a contract. No one has yet produced any evidence of Moneta being exchanged that has obligated me. I have on multiple occasions produced multiple Notices of my concerns and desires about not getting Discovery. I have found through research and study That **MERS** (Mortgage Electronic Registration System) Was the assigner which is clear fraud. I Ronnell Demar-Bey have been ignored by the Bias attitude of Judges and the court official because of my choices on how I wish to Identify Self. I have willingly returned Christianity back to the European and am following Islamism. If a State Court can tell free Indigenous people what they can or cannot do without it being constitutional Article V Sec 1 SC CON pg 87 Code of laws South Carolina 1976. And then forcefully deprive me of constitutional protection like Due Process without Relevant evidence then we do not have equal protection of the law or the rights to life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness we are State slaves. SC Con Article 1 Section 3 the

privileges and immunities of citizens of this State and of the United States under this Constitution shall not be abridged, nor shall any person be deprived of life, liberty, or property without due process of law, nor shall any person be denied the equal protection of the laws. (1970 (56) 2684; 1971 (57) 315.)

15. Further A federal and State agency cannot in manner through administrative convenience adopt a regulation or through nonfeasance permits under the color of State and federal law inferior authorities to deny me my secured Constitutional rights or ignores Congress' intent.
16. Without Standing, an agreement/contract or, Relevant Evidence there is no duty to comply with a Void Order, sham legal proceedings and Fraudulent Claims not based in Facts from a constitutional court judge competent in the nature of Law. I Ronnell Demar-Bey the real party in Interest is the only party immensely injured by the Void Order Case No. 2015-CP-08-00965. The courts provide pro se parties wide latitude when construing their pleadings and papers. When interpreting pro se papers, the Court should use common sense to determine what relief the party desires. S.E.C. v. Elliott, 953 F.2d 1560, 1582 (11th Cir. 1992). See also, United States v. Miller, 197 F.3d 644, 648 (3rd Cir. 1999) (Court has special obligation to construe pro se litigants' pleadings liberally); Poling v. K.Hovnanian Enterprises, 99 F.Supp.2d 502, 506-07 (D.N.J. 2000).
17. The state and federal government has a Duty to their assent and oath to the Constitutions to uphold the Law of the land and its rules by not suppressing liberty or converting rights secured by the constitution into privileges without factual authority. Without possessing and having a factual injury and without a conjectural or moral victim the pleadings are false. I Ronnell Demar-Bey of the family name: Walker for the Record has made several objections to the testimony of plaintiff and practices of the Master IN Equity.
18. In conclusion I Ronnell Demar-Bey the real party in Interest aggrieved by Dale Van Slambrook , Berkeley County Master IN Equity BERKELEY COUNTY COURT 9TH CIRCUIT, Erica Greer Lybrand representation for Prime lending a plains capital company, and their Agents inclusive representation.. I Ronnell Demar-Bey the real party in Interest is immensely injured by Void Claims and the Unlawful Practices of SOUTH CAROLINA BERKELEY COUNTY MASTER IN EQUITY COURT and the allege claims of the plaintiff, Case No.2015-CP-08-00965,.. Attorneys and Bar Members have a duty under and by authority of the constitutions and rules of professional Conduct to report and void fraud due to constitutional violations and errors of abuse of discretion.

WHEREFORE, I Ronnell Demar-Bey of the family name: Walker the real party in Interest reserves all his rights without prejudice and would like to resolve this Matter Peacefully if Possible. And request, Demand and pray that the court, representation and, plaintiff fulfill the Law and Rules associated and Promptly Deny the Motion for Order of Reference for the facts stated above as this case is in the final stages of Appeal and the Appeals Court now has the jurisdiction over this matter

as I was advised on 02/15/2019 via phone call to the Appeals Court...noting that the 30 day limit to have another Judge preside over the case has expired. Please deny the Motion for lack of Due process, Fraud do to MERS (Mortgage Electronic Registration System), no Discovery, no competent Witness, and or relevant Evidence. So that my primary rights will not continue to be infringed and allow me to continue the fight for my home based on the multiple injuries caused by the plaintiff employee's officers under color and their official capacity enforcing void Claims, without full Due process, and state codes that deprived me and my Family of our Constitutional rights. **Notice** I reserve all my rights and do not waive any of them including my right to a Jury Trial.

The use of notary below is for identification only, and such use does NOT grant any jurisdiction to anyone.

Subscribed and sworn, without prejudice, and with all rights reserved.

Ronnell Demar Bey ©TM
Principal, by Special Appearance, in Propria Persona, proceeding Sui Juris.

Ronnell Demar Bey ©TM
Signature of Affiant

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

state of SOUTH CAROLINA

county of Dorchester

On this 21st day of February, 2019, before me

personally appeared Ronnell Demar Bey, to me known to be the person described in and who executed the foregoing instrument and acknowledged that he executed the same as his free act and deed, for the purposes therein set forth.

Shaun G Muldrew
(Notary Public)

My Commission Expires March 9, 2021

ucc 1-207 1-308 1-103, 3-305 3-306

Respectfully submitted,

February 21, 2019

I Am: Ronnell Demar-Bey ©™
Ronnell Demar-Bey
C/o 412 Eastover Circle
Summerville, South Carolina, near [29483]
Zip Exempt/ Nonresident/ Non-Domestic/ Republic;
Without the U.S. by order of *lex domicilii*
(Al Moroc/ Amexem/ Washitaw Territory & Empire)



Notice by:

Ronnell Demar-Bey
C/o 412 Eastover Circle
Summerville, South Carolina, near [29483]
Zip Exempt/ Nonresident/ Non-Domestic/ Republic;
Without the U.S. by order of *lex domicilii*
(Al Moroc/ Amexem/ Washitaw Territory & Empire)

Notice for: Henry McMaster, Governor of South Carolina or the holder of the seat
State House, 1100 Gervais Street, Columbia, SC 29201; Via Certified Mail
No: 9590 9402 3956 8060 3896 69, with return receipt

Judicial Notice and Proclamation of Nationality

I. (I) S. (SELF) L. (LAW) A. (AM) M. (MASTER) *Moorish Americans - Northwest Amexem*

To All Elected United States Republic Officials and Public Servants of Federal, State, City, and Municipal Governments, Personnel and Corporate Entities: Concerning the Constitution and all Statutory and Civil Law Codes of the Land, etc., Know All Men by These Presents:

Upon my inherited Nobility, and upon my Private Aboriginal / Indigenous, Proper Person Status and Commercial Liability, I, **Ronnell Demar-Bey**, have chosen this new name as my connection to my Aboriginal Indigenous Muurish/Moorish Ancestors; being duly Affirmed under Consanguine Unity; pledge my National, Political, and Spiritual Allegiance to my Moabite / Moorish Nation - being the archaic Aboriginals / Indigenes of Amexem (the Americas); standing squarely affirmed upon my Oath to the 'Five Points of Light' - Love, Truth, Peace, Freedom, and Justice; do squarely Affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth; and having knowledge and firmly - established belief upon the historical, lawful, and adjudicated Facts contained herein. Being competent (In My Own Proper Person) to Attest to this Affidavit upon which I place my Signature; Whereas, I State, Proclaim, and Declare the following to be true, correct, certain, complete, not misleading, supreme, and not intended to be presented for any misrepresented, 'colored' or improper use or purpose, to wit:

That I, Ronnell Demar-Bey, Am a Noble of the Al Moroccan Empire (North America) In Propria Persona (my own proper self); being Moorish American - a Descendant of the Ancient Moabites / Moors, by Birthright, Freehold, Primogeniture and Inheritance; being Aboriginal and Indigenous to the Land /s (Amexem / Americas) Territorium of my Ancient Moabite / Moorish Fore-Mothers and Fore-Fathers - to wit:

The Al Moroccan (American) Continents - are the Land of the Moors; being North America South America; Central America; including the adjoining Islands (Americana / Ameru / Al Moroc). I have, acknowledge, claim and possess, by said Inheritance and Primogeniture, the Freehold Status thereto; all Unalienable and Substantive Rights, to Be, to Enjoy, and to Act, distinct in my Aboriginal Customs and Culture; and

determining my own political, social, and economic status of the State. Turning my heart and mind back to my Ancient Mothers and Fathers - Moors / Muurs, by Divine and Natural Right. Being Moorish American, we have and possess the internationally recognized Rights to determine our own 'Status of the State' absent of threat, coercion, or acquiescence to a Color-of-Law, a Color-of-Office, nor to be subjected to an imposed Color-of- Authority. Further note, I hereby return the colonial slave status of the chattel branded, 14th **Amendment U.S. Citizen , Person Corporation** as found in **26 USC 7701 et seq**, known as **RONNELL DEMAR WALKER, RONNELL D. WALKER, RONNELL WALKER** all derivatives thereof, as it was prepared by former colonial masters for **their** earthly salvation. I, as Ronnell Demar-Bey, hereby declare that all physical and spiritual equity, property, commercial rights to property and all other assets and entitlements or cargo of the commercial vessel known as **RONNELL DEMAR WALKER, RONNELL D. WALKER, RONNELL WALKER** are now my property; as **I Am** the only **authorized agent** of the commercial vessel with full control, and hold a superior interest with **rights of claim** under the Treaty of Peace and Friendship Between the United States and Morocco of – Seventeen Eighty-Seven (1787) – superseded by the Treaty of Eighteen Thirty-Six (1836). Please see UCC 7-103 and 9-311 for the Supremacy of Treaties in Commerce.

Moors / Moorish Americans / Muurs Have, Proclaim and Possess the Unalienable, Substantive Rights and Birthright - Inheritance to our AI Moroccan Names and Nationality by Nature's Laws, Divine Law, Primogeniture, and by the recognized Laws of the Nations of the Earth (International). Being the true, Ancient, Aboriginal / Indigenes of the Land (America) - North, being the heart-land of the Moroccan Empire. Moors / Muurs are the 'De jure' Freeholders by Birthright, Inheritance and Primogeniture Status; and have, Claim and Possess the Secured Rights to Travel upon the Public Roadways, Byways and Highways of our Continental United States (the Organic Land) absent of foreign 'colored' or imposed excise taxation constructs invented, by the racketeering States' Legislators, to abridge and steal Rights belonging to the Natural Peoples. These Substantive Rights are supported by, and asserted by, Royal Law; Moorish Law; Moslem / Muslim Law; The Law of the Great Peace (Algonquian/Iroquois Confederate Republic as originated by Indigenous Muurs/Moors); The Laws of Nature; Divine Law; Nature's God; The Laws of Nations; The Free Moorish Great Seal Zodiac Constitution; and Affirmed by Articles IV and VI of the Constitution Covenant of 1774 - 1781 A.D. = 1201 M. C., as lawfully adopted for The United States Republic, establishing its Republican Form of Government. Said Constitution established the Peoples' 'Supreme Law of the Land' to secure the Rights of the People, and to keep Government bound and in check by Official Oath, and by Official Bond. Down from the Ancients Ones, our Primogenitors, comes the Supreme Law of the Land!

Egypt, The Capital Empire of the Dominion of Africa. The Inhabitants of Africa are the Descendants of the Ancient Canaanites from the Land of Canaan. The Moabites from the Land of Moab who received permission from the Pharaohs of Egypt to settle and inhabit North-West Africa; they were the founders and are the true possessors of the present **Moroccan Empire**. With their Canaanite, Hittite and Amorite brethren who sojourned from the Land of Canaan seeking new homes. Their Dominion and Inhabitation extended from North-East and South-West Africa, across the great Atlantis even unto the present **North, South and Central America** and also **Mexico** and the **Atlantis Islands**; before the great earthquake, which caused the great Atlantic Ocean.

The 'Great Seal Pyramid' is the 'National Emblem and Insignia' of The Moorish Nation / Empire of North America (geographical location). The Great Pyramid is also the archaic symbol for Civilization on the planet Earth. The honorable Moors' acknowledgement of our 'Great Seal' indicates those Heirs who own up to, who support, and who proclaim, our 'Free National Government'. Moors who are 'Active' and NOT 'Passive' in the Social, Civilization, Culture and Custom matters, involving Law, Order and Governmental Principles, are hereby entreated to support this Affirmation. Moors / Muurs who strive toward this end, with honor, are entrusted by Noble Drew Ali, to help in the great humanitarian work of uplifting ourselves, our fellow-man, and humanity at large. We seek, at all times, to be conscious of the works, instructions, and acts necessary to teach, preserve and defend the Birthrights of All Moorish Americans (AI Moroccans), etc.

The Noble Moors / Muurs (Heirs Apparent) are the Natural Members / Citizens of the Ancient Al Moroccan Empire (North America) and are duty-bound to recognize and to support our 'Great Seal' Sovereign Moorish Government and Nation of the Natural People, and command the enforcement of our Constitution. Thus, such organized communication Orders are referred to as "The Great Seal National Association of Moorish Affairs". The Free Moorish Nation - inclusive of all the Aboriginal / Indigene Tribes and Provinces of the Natural People, etc., are the rightful bearers of the Names and Titles, **Ali, El, Bey, Dey, and Al**. The Free Moors / Muurs, by Freehold Inheritance, retain all Substantive Rights and Immunities; enjoy the exercising of Substantive Rights, and operate upon consummated, Right-Law, Isonomi - Principles; having vested Constitution -secured Rights and Immunities from TAXATION, and from Criminal and Civil Jurisdiction by, and of, the Union States Rights Republic (U.S.A.), pursuant to, but not limited to, the United States Republic Supreme Court, and the 'Acts of State' to wit:

"Every Sovereign State (People) is bound to respect the independence of every other Sovereign State (People) and the courts of one country (People) will not sit in judgment on the acts of the government of another, done within (the same or) its own territory..."

The present Union States Municipal and Civil Laws and Codes of the Land are an 'incorporated unit of self-government' established by the political powers of the 'General Assembly' of each State of the Union, and initiated at Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, North America, in the year Eighteen fifty-four (1854). It governs 'ONLY' the rights and conduct of "WHITE PEOPLE", Christians and Jews, of the Eighteen sixty-three (1863) Union States Rights Republic, under the Magna Charta (Charter), the Knights of Columbus Code, and the Ku Klux Klan Oath. Forever said Union States Rights Republic denies citizenship in the United States Republic (U.S.A.) to the descendants of the Moorish Nation in the Western Hemisphere, erroneously referred to, and 'branded' and mislabeled as, Negroes, Blacks, Coloreds, and African Americans, etc., etc. In addition, the Supreme Court of the United States (in the landmark case) of "Dred Scott v. Sandford" 60 US (19 Howard) 393 (1857) held that Negroes—whether held to slavery or free—were not included and were not intended to be included in the 'category' of 'citizen' (subjects) of the Union States Rights Republic. Resultantly, the True Indigene Nobles of the Al Moroccan Empire (Free Moors), bearers of the Names / Titles, Ali, El, Bey, Dey and Al, are excluded from the Union States Rights Republic (U.S.A.) jurisdiction. The True Nobles of the Al Moroccan Empire are Sovereign, Private, and Self-Governed, by 'Right- Law' Principles and customs; and ONLY Obligated to the 'Free Moorish Zodiac Constitution' - Circle 7 - archaically established by our Ancient Fore-Mothers and Fore-Fathers. Such extended allegiance and 'Obligation' includes 'The Great Seal' and the High Principles and Moor-al Standards, embodied in the Moorish National Flag (Standard) - **Love, Truth, Peace, Freedom, and Justice**. The True Al Moroccan Noble Indigenes of the Land maintain a Constitutional and lawful, NON-OBLIGATORY tax 'Status' and position, relative to 'FOREIGN ENTITY TAXATION' (Indigenes Not Taxed) and maintain a NON- OBLIGATORY respect for the Union States Rights Republic (U.S.A.), its members, its laws; its ordinances; its codes; its customs and its traditions, pursuant to: The Free Moorish American Zodiac Constitution - Articles IV and VI; The Treaty of Camp Holmes made with the Washitaw Muurs in 1835 (changed to Witchetaw in the Treaty; as Arkansas is a part of Washitaw Proper, which is a part of the Amexem/ Al Moroccan Empire); The Treaty of Peace and Friendship Between the United States and Morocco -Seventeen Eighty-Seven (1787) - superseded by the Treaty of Eighteen Thirty-Six (1836); Resolution 75: Journals of The House of 3 Representatives; United States - April 17, 1933 A. D. - Moorish American Society of Philadelphia and the Use of Their Names; The United Nations "**Declaration of the Rights of the Child**" General Assembly Resolution 1386 (XIV), 14 U.N. GAOR Supp. (No, 16) at 19, U.N. Doc. A/4354 (1959); The United Nations "**Universal Declarations on Human Rights**" Article XV, General Assembly Resolution 217 A (III) of 10, December 1948 A.D.; "**Executive Order 13107**"—United States Republic, North America -The Implementation of Human Rights Treaties; The National Constitution for the Continental United States, Article III, Section 2; Amendment V - Liberty Clause; Amendment IX—Reservation of the Rights of the People; The United States Department of Justice Moorish Credentials; Free Moorish Zodiac Constitution, Truth A-1 Classified; The United States Copyright Certificate Number AA222141 Clock of Destiny; The Moorish Nationality and Identification Card; Moorish Holy Temple of Science / Moorish Science Temple Identification Card, etc.

Furthermore, I Assert My full Birthrights - Sovereignty and Substantive Rights and claim to Hereditaments - Being a Sundry Free Moor / Muur and a (Natural Being) pursuant to: Moabite / Moorish Pedigree; The Free Moorish Zodiac Constitution; The Great Seal of the Moorish Nation (Ab Antiquo); The Treaty of Peace and Friendship - 1787 / 1836; The Sundry Free Moors Act of 1790; The 1781 Organic United States Constitution; The Moorish Federal Financiers Act (Union States Army: 1861 -1863); The 1854 Roman Catholic Magna Charta; the Knights of Columbus Code; The Ku Klux Klan Oath; The United Nations Charter, Article 55(c); The Rights of Indigenous People: Part I, Articles 1, 2, 3, 4, 5; Part II, Article 6; The United States Supreme Court - 'Acts of State'; The foreign Sovereign Immunities Act 28 USC 1601; et Sequa., The Convention on International Road Traffic -Day 19, September 1949, The World Court Decision, The Hague, Netherlands - Day 21, January 1958 A.D = 1378 M.C. In reference to the Rights of the Natural People and Substantive Rights, etc., the following are pertinent Supreme Court Decisions, (Stare Decisis) to wit:

1. **The Right to Travel; The Right to Mode of Conveyance; The Right to Locomotion** are all Absolute Rights, and the Police can not make void the exercise of Rights. **State v. Armstead, 60 s. 778, 779, and 781:**
2. **The use of the highways for the purpose of travel and transportation** is not a mere privilege, but a common and Fundamental Right of which the public and Natural Beings cannot be deprived. **Chicago Motor Coach v. Chicago 337 Illinois 200, 169 NE 22, ALR, Ligare v. Chicago 139 ILL. 46, 28 HE 934, Boone v. Clark 214 SW 607, 25 AM jur (1st), Highways, sec. 163:**
3. **The Right to Park or Travel** is part of the Liberty of which the Natural Person, citizen cannot be deprived without "due process of law" under the 5th Amendment of the United States Constitution. **Kent v. Dulles 357 US 116, 125:**
4. **The Right of a citizen to Travel upon the public highways and to transport one's property thereon, either by carriage or automobile, is not a mere privilege, which a City may prohibit or permit at will, but a common Right, which he / she has under the Right to Life, Liberty, and the Pursuit of Happiness. Thompson v. Smith 154 SE 579:**
5. **State Police Power extends only to immediate threats to public safety, health, welfare, etc., Michigan v. Duke 266 US, 476 Led. At 449:** which driving and speeding are not. **California v. Farley Ced. Rpt. 89, 20 CA3rd 1032 (1971):**
6. **The state is prohibited from violating Substantive Rights. Owens v. City, 445 US 662 (1980); and it can not do by one power (eg. Police Power) that which is, for example, prohibited expressly to any other such power (eg. Taxation / Eminent Domain) as a matter of Law. US and UT v. Daniels, 22 p 159, nor indirectly that which is prohibited to it directly. Fairbanks v. US 181, US 283, 294, 300:**
7. **Traveling in an automobile on the public roads was not a threat to the public safety or health and constituted no hazard to the public, and such a traveler owed no other duty to the public (eg. The State); he / she and his / her auto, having equal right to and on the roadways / highways as horses and wagons, etc.; this same right is still Substantive Rule, in that speeding, running stop signs, traveling without license plates, or registration, are not threats to the public safety, and thus, are not arrestable offenses. Christy v. Elliot, 216 I 131, 74 HE 1035, LRA NS 1905—1910: California v, Farley 98 CED Rpt. 89, 20 CA 3d 1032 (1971).**
8. **Under the United States Republic's Constitutional system of Government and upon the individuality and intelligence of the citizen, the State does not claim to control one's conduct to others, leaving one the sole judge as to all that affects oneself. Mugler v. Kansas 1213 US 623, 4 659—60:**

9. *Where* Rights secured by the Constitution are involved, there can be no rule - making or legislation, which would abrogate them. **Miranda v. Arizona 384 US 436, 125:**

10. *The* claim and exercise of Constitutional Rights cannot be converted into a crime. **Miller v. Kansas 230 F 2nd 486, 489:**

11. *For* a crime to exist, there must be an injured party (Corpus Delicti). There can be no sanction or penalty imposed on one because of this Constitutional Right. **Sherer v. Cullen 481 F. 945:**

12. *If* any Tribunal (court) finds absence of proof of jurisdiction over a person and subject matter, the case must be dismissed. **Louisville v. Motley 2111 US 149, 29S. CT 42.** "The Accuser Bears the Burden of Proof Beyond a Reasonable Doubt".

13. "Lack of Federal Jurisdiction can not be waived or overcome by agreement of parties". **Griffin v. Matthews, 310 F Supra 341, 342 (1969):** and "Want of Jurisdiction may not be cured by consent of parties". **Industrial Addition Association v. C.I.R., 323 US 310, 313.**

Whereas, In light of the foregoing Jurisprudence 'Stare Decisis' Supreme Court Decisions, Facts, and Law; and counter to the negative and 'colorable' social conditions instituted by State Persons of the Union States Society, there exists a blatant 'WANT OF JURISDICTION' on the part of the Union States Rights Republic (U.S.A.), its agents, personnel, contractors, and assigns. Axioms are legally in force under National and International Law attending these issues. And this Affiant (Natural Person - In Propria Persona) does not waive any rights; does not transfer power of attorney; and does not willingly consent to any public trial or hearing in any 'colorable' tribunal venue or non-Article III, unconstitutional jurisdiction. The Official Oaths, the Obligations, and the Fiduciary duties of all accusers and bound 'claimants' to National Law and Order; Civilization Principles fixed in Constitution Law, still stands! Definition and Truth still Rules. NON-COMPLIANCE is a Federal and International Law offence.

Whereas, there is no question that a 'Bench Appearance Summons', Detention, Arrest and Ticket or Citation issued by a Police Officer or others for traveling with no driver's license, foreign driver's license, not having current registration, or mandatory insurance, etc., which carries a fine or jail time, is a penalty or sanction and is indeed "converting a right into a crime"; thus violating Substantive Rights. It is reasonable to assume that these Supreme Court judicial decisions are straight and to the point, that there is no lawful method for government to put restrictions or limitations on Rights belonging to the People.

That the Organic United States Republic Constitution (derived from Ancient Moabite / Moorish Law) remains 'The Supreme Law of the Land'. And all Treaties made, or which shall be made, under the Authority of The United States Flag of Peace, pursuant to United States Code, Title 4, Chapter 1. Any law that is Repugnant to the Constitution, shall remain forever 'colorable' and is Null and Void. **Marbury v. Madison 5 U.S. 137, 174, 176 (1803).** Any Municipal Officer, Person, Personnel, Employee or Contractor who violate the Rights of the People or Citizens are subject to suit in their personal and / or official capacity to wit:

Title 18, Part 1, Chapter 13 §241 of United States Codes of Law:

If two or more persons conspire to injure, oppress, threaten, or intimidate any person in any State, Territory, commonwealth, Possession, or district in the free exercise or enjoyment of any right or privilege secured to him by the Constitution or Laws of the United States, or because of his having so exercised the same; or...

If two or more persons go in disguise on the highway, or on the premises of another, with the intent to prevent or hinder his free exercise or enjoyment of any right or privilege so secured –

They shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than ten years, or both; and if death results from the acts committed in violation of this section, or if such acts include kidnapping or an attempt to kidnap, aggravated sexual abuse or an attempt to commit aggravated sexual abuse, or an attempt to kill, they shall be fined under this title or imprisoned for any term of years or for life, or both, or may be sentenced to death.

Title 18, Part 1, Chapter 13 §242 of United States Codes of Law: 5

Whoever, under 'color' of any law, statute, ordinance, regulation, or custom, willfully subjects any person in any State, Territory, Commonwealth, Possession, or District to the deprivation of any rights, privileges, or immunities secured or protected by the Constitution or Laws of the United States, or to different punishments, pains, or penalties, on account of such person being an alien, or by reason of his color, or race, that are prescribed for the citizens, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than one year, or both; and if bodily injury results from the acts committed in violation of this section, or if such acts include the use, attempted use, or threatened use of a dangerous weapon, explosives, or fire, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than ten years or for life, or both, or may be sentenced to death.

Therefore, in preservation of 'The Rights of Indigenous Peoples' and the Preservation of the Rights of the People, in accord and defence of the Constitution for the United States Republic of North America and its Republican Form of Government - being the 'Supreme Law of the Land'; and primal to the contractual liabilities, Oath - bound Obligations, and Fiduciary Duties of the Officers of the Courts - Federal, State, City, and Municipal, etc., I hereby, Demand the enforcement of the De jure Laws of the United States, and all Treaties made under the Authority of The United States, in accord with Article VI of the Constitution; The Bill of Rights; The Declaration of the Rights of the Child; The Rights of Indigenous Peoples; The Universal Declaration of Human Rights; The United Nations Charter, Article 55(c); The United States Supreme Court - 'Acts of State'; The Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act 28 USC 1601; et Sequa., The Convention on 'International Road Traffic'—Day 19, September 1949, The World Court Decisions, The Hague, Netherlands, Day 21, January 1958 A.D = 1378 M.C.; and "**Executive Order 13107**" - United States Republic, North America: The Implementation of Human Rights Treaties; The National Constitution for the Continental United States, Article III, Section 2; Amendment V - Liberty Clause; Amendment IX, etc., etc. I hereby, Demand a Dismissal of any and all unconstitutional sanctions, claims, or other warrants or charges made or issued, which are devoid of true identity personages; a denial of 'Due Process' of a 'Trial' by a Jury of my own National Peers; or absent of a verified and lawful Indictment, sanctioned by an assembled Grand Jury; and that I be availed all lawful Constitutional - secured safeguards, established by the Supreme Law; with documented proper Jurisdiction and Venue confirmed and in place.

Wherefore all parties of interest are Authorized by this Writ, pursuant to National and International Law, to honor all Substantive Rights and Constitutional Immunities reserved for, and to, this Aboriginal / Indigenous Free and Sovereign Moor / Muur*. All Officials are to enlist all available and appropriate measures to ensure, and assure, that all My Substantive Rights and Constitutionally - secured Rights and Immunities are not violated, not breached, nor abridged. The Sovereign, Natural Being, named herein, is not to be Arrested nor held for Detention under any 'colorable' circumstances! You are to notify the active Ministers of the Aboriginal / Indigenous Moorish Nationals of the Territory (Organic Land). The Natural Person named herein is NONOBLIGATORY and thus Exempt from Customs, Tariffs, Taxation, 'Owner in Fee' permit-deception Constructs, and from any other hindrance or restriction of His or Her Freedoms, Allodial Properties, Compensations, Rights of Travel, or Freedom of Movement on, in, or within, any member or nonmember States of the United States Union, etc. The Moor / Muur (bearer of this Indigenous Peoples' Document) is to be treated with all due Respect and 'Due Process' Rights under the Law. All available and appropriate

measures are to be taken to prevent injustice, harm, false arrest, trumped-up charges, or attack on the Natural Being's Person, Property, Personalty, Conveyances, Freedoms, and / or Dignity.

Explicit Reservation and use of 'All Rights Reserved Without Prejudice' U.C.C. 1-207 / 308, U.C.C. 1-103, is Noted To All Federal, State, City, and Municipal Peace Officers; in harmony with State's Statutes, and indicates the Reservation of My Rights. Whereby I may Reserve My Substantive Rights and Constitutional - secured Rights and Immunities to 'NOT' be Compelled to perform under any Contracts or Agreements that I have not entered into knowingly, voluntarily, willingly, or unintentionally. I do not accept any actual or implied 'Liabilities' associated with any 'COMPELLED - BENEFITS' of any 'unrevealed' or deceptively-imposed commercial contracts. I, furthermore, do not sanction any 'unconstitutional' rules or policies, nor acts of Misprision committed by any U.S. Government or State Officials, at any level, claimed by any of them, in the name of the United States Republic, nor do I assent to any implied colorable policies made by alleged representatives, as being sanctioned by the People and Citizens. Consider any formerly assumed constructs alleged to be related to me as being misrepresentations and thusly 'Cured' forthwith. Let it be known....:

Represent means to 'Depict' to 'Portray', to 'Symbolize' and to 'Stand for'. Let it be known that the Union States Society 'Bar Association' Lawyers, Esquires, and Attorneys of European Colonial descent, and foreign corporation, cannot depict, portray or symbolize a Free Moor; as they are not of the same Nation Jurisdiction, Customs, or National Peers; and cannot sit in judgment of any Free Moor (Acts of State). Europeans are not Indigenes to the Land (Americas) - Moors are Aboriginal! Union States Lawyers and Attorneys operate in Demo - political format, which is contrary to Article IV, Section 4 of the Constitution for the United States. Moors operate in a Republican Form of Government, conjoined with Isonomi Principles - being in harmony with the Constitution. Moors respect Constitution Principles. The unconstitutional Tribunals operating under the Union States Society conflicts with, and is repugnant to, "Due Process" under Constitution Principles, and functions primarily in 'colorable' procedures. Therefore, no 'Fair', 'Just' trial, or remedy is availed to the Natural Peoples of the Land, through such 'colorable' processes! These violating acts constitute a 'Conflict of Interest', a 'Conflict of Law' and clearly establishes the 'Federal Questions' of 'Diversity of Citizenship'; a Conflict of Identity; and of Nationality etc. Thus, a clear 'Averment of Jurisdiction' is also hereby proclaimed and advanced. Only Moors can 'Present' and 'Depict' themselves as being Moors / Al Moroccans, and Aboriginal /Indigenes of the Land! Thus, only Moors can '**Present**' 'Self'!

I, Ronnell Demar-Bey, A real, live flesh and blood, breathing, nonfictional, and Natural Being, born of a natural Mother, do solemnly, sincerely, and squarely Affirm that the foregoing facts contained in this Constructive and Actual Judicial Notice and Proclamation, by Affirmed Affidavit, are true, to the best of my knowledge, Culture, Customs and Beliefs; being actual, correct, not misleading, etc.; and being the Truth, the whole Truth, and nothing but the Truth.

Hibu (Love), **H**aqq (Truth), **J**alaam (Peace), **H**urryatun (Freedom), Adl (Justice),
All Rights Reserved Without Prejudice; U.C.C. 1-207 / 308, U.C.C. 1-103.

I Am: Ronnell Demar Bey
Natural Person - In Propria Persona - Authorized Representative; All Rights Reserved Free Moor / Muur; Northwest (Amexcm / Northwest Africa / North America)

Aboriginal / Indigenous, free Sovereign Moor - Natural Person of the Land; 'In Propria Persona'
(Not Pro Se Nor Colorable)

*Moors / Muurs: The Aboriginal and Indigenous Natural Peoples and True Inheritors of the Lands (Territories) - North America, Central America, South America, and the Adjoining Islands Al Moroc / Ameru / Americana)


County of Dorchester)

) SS:
State of South Carolina)

By Special Appearance, before me on Day 2nd of August, 2018, in Honor, the Divine Being, Ronnell Demar-Bey, Affirms that He is the Natural Person / Divine Being herein named, existing in His own Proper Person; meeting the 'law of evidence' as required and defined in 'Identity'; affirmed by Lawful, Substantive Right; by Birthright; and respectively acknowledged - being lawfully qualified and competent to execute this Document **Judicial Notice and Proclamation of Nationality** and affirming that the above facts are true to the best of hi knowledge. I therefore place my hand and seal thereto.

Signature By Shawn L Muldrew , Notary Public
Vizier / Minister / Public Officer: Notary Act of 1850

Seal:



Notary: SHAWN L. MULDREW Commission Expires: March 9th 2021 County: Dorchester

Witness: Eric Lamon Bey | Eric Lamon Bey
Print Name: Natural Person - In Propria Persona - All Rights Reserved. Sign Name: Natural Person - In Propria Persona - All Rights Reserved.

Witness: Lavin' Deon Bey, Travis Deon Bey
Print Name: Natural Person - In Propria Persona - All Rights Reserved. Sign Name: Natural Person - In Propria Persona - All Rights Reserved.

Aboriginal and Indigenous Peoples' Documents: Northwest Amexem / Northwest Africa / North America / The Moroccan Empire - Continental United States.



STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

COUNTY OF BERKELEY

PrimeLending, A PlainsCapital Company
Plaintiff

V

Ronnell Demar Walker a/k/a Ronnell D.
Walker; South Pointe Homeowners
Association;

Defendants,

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

DOCKET NO: 2015-CP-08-00965

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

RECEIVED
FEB 25 2019
SC Court of Appeals

I certify that I have served the **RESPONSE TO THE NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR ORDER OF REFERENCE** by depositing a copy of it in the United States Mail, postage prepaid, on February 21, 2019, addressed to Leah Guerry Dupree , Berkeley County Clerk of Court, 300 California Avenue, Moncks Corner, SC 29461

I certify that I have served the **RESPONSE TO THE NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR ORDER OF REFERENCE** by depositing a copy of it in the United States Mail, postage prepaid, on February 21, 2019, addressed to The Honorable Roger M Young Sr. S.C. Circuit Judge 2134 at Charleston County Judicial Center, 100 Broad St., Suite 368, Charleston, SC 29401.

I certify that I have served the **RESPONSE TO THE NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR ORDER OF REFERENCE** by depositing a copy of it in the United States Mail, postage prepaid, on February 21, 2019, addressed to Eric G. Lybrand, Rogers Townsend Attorney at Law at 1221 Main Street 14th Floor Columbia, SC 29201.

I certify that I have served the **RESPONSE TO THE NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR ORDER OF REFERENCE** by depositing a copy of it in the United States Mail, postage prepaid, on February 21, 2019, addressed to The Honorable Jenny Abbott Kitchings, Clerk of Court, South Carolina Court of Appeals at 1220 Senate Street Columbia, South Carolina 29201. Case No. 2015-CP-08-00965. Appellate Case No. 2016-002234

I Am: Ronnell Demar-Bey [®] Tm
Ronnell Demar-Bey
C/o 412 Eastover Circle
Summerville, South Carolina, near [29483]
Zip Exempt/ Nonresident/ Non-Domestic/ Republic;
Without the U.S. by order of *lex domicilii*
(Al Moroc/ Amexem/ Washitaw Territory &

February 19, 2019

RECEIVED
FEB 25 2019
SC Court of Appeals

Leah Guerry Dupree
Berkeley County Clerk of Court
300 California Avenue
Moncks Corner, SC 29461

RE: PrimeLending, A PlainsCapital Company, V. Ronnell Demar Walker a/k/a
Ronnell D. Walker; and South Pointe Homeowners Association
C/A # 2015-CP-08-00965
RTT File # 506951.00015

Dear Ms. Dupree:

Please find enclosed the Response to the Notice of Motion and Motion for Order of Reference and Proof of Service for the above referenced matter.

I Am: Ronnell Demar-Bey © Tm.
Ronnell Demar-Bey
C/o 412 Eastover Circle
Summerville, South Carolina, near [29483]
Zip Exempt/ Nonresident/ Non-Domestic/ Republic;
Without the U.S. by order of *lex domicilii*
(Al Moroc/ Amexem/ Washitaw Territory & Empire)

Enclosure:

Judicial Notice and Proclamation of Nationality

cc:



Erica Greer Lybrand
Rogers Townsend Attorney at Law
1221 Main Street, 14th Floor
Columbia, SC 29201

The Honorable Roger M Young Sr. S.C. Circuit Judge 2134
Charleston County Judicial Center
100 Broad St., Suite 368
Charleston, SC 29401.

The Honorable Jenny Abbott Kitchings
Clerk of Court, South Carolina Court of Appeals
1220 Senate Street
Columbia, SC 29201
Case No. 2015-CP-08-00965. Appellate Case No. 2016-002234

Ronell Demar-Bey
C/O 412 Eastover Cir
Summerville, SC near [29483]

Zip Exempt / Non resident / Non-Domestic / Republic;
without the U.S. by order of lex domicilii;
(Almoroc / Amexem / Washitaw Territory & Empire)



RECEIVED
FEB 25 2019
SC Court of Appeals

Jenny Abbott Kitchings
Clerk of Court, SC Court of Appeals
1220 Senate Street
Columbia, SC 29201