



# The South Carolina Court of Appeals

JENNY ABBOTT KITCHINGS  
CLERK

V. CLAIRE ALLEN  
DEPUTY CLERK

POST OFFICE BOX 11629  
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29211  
1220 SENATE STREET  
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29201  
TELEPHONE: (803) 734-1890  
FAX: (803) 734-1839  
[www.sccourts.org](http://www.sccourts.org)

March 01, 2019

The Honorable Chastity Copeland  
528 Monument Street Rm #114  
Greenwood SC 29646

## REMITTITUR

Re: Shred with Us, LLC v. Steffanie Dorn  
Lower Court Case No. 2017CP2400009  
Appellate Case No. 2017-000847

Dear Clerk of Court:

The above referenced matter is hereby remitted to the lower court or tribunal. A copy of the judgment of this Court is enclosed.

Very truly yours,

*V. Claire Allen, Deputy*

CLERK

Enclosure

cc: Clarence Rauch Wise, Esquire  
James Graham Padgett, III, Esquire  
Danny Calvert Crowe, Esquire

**THIS OPINION HAS NO PRECEDENTIAL VALUE. IT SHOULD NOT BE  
CITED OR RELIED ON AS PRECEDENT IN ANY PROCEEDING  
EXCEPT AS PROVIDED BY RULE 268(d)(2), SCACR.**

**THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
In The Court of Appeals**

Shred with Us, LLC, Appellant,

v.

Steffanie Dorn, City of Greenwood Business License  
Official, Respondent.

Appellate Case No. 2017-000847

---

Appeal From Greenwood County  
Frank R. Addy, Jr., Circuit Court Judge

---

Unpublished Opinion No. 2019-UP-064  
Submitted January 1, 2019 – Filed February 13, 2019

---

**AFFIRMED**

---

Clarence Rauch Wise, of Greenwood, for Appellant.

James Graham Padgett, III, of Bacot & Padgett, LLC, of  
Greenwood, and Danny Calvert Crowe, of Crowe  
LaFave, LLC, of Columbia, both for Respondent.

---

**PER CURIAM:** Affirmed pursuant to Rule 220(b), SCACR, and the following  
authorities: *Gay v. City of Beaufort*, 364 S.C. 252, 254, 612 S.E.2d 467, 468 (Ct.  
App. 2005) ("Where the city council of a municipality has acted after considering  
all of the facts, the court should not disturb the finding unless such action is

arbitrary, unreasonable, or an obvious abuse of its discretion."); *id.* ("This court will not disturb on appeal such findings of the city council, concurred in by a circuit judge, unless they are without evidentiary support or against the clear preponderance of the evidence."); *Univ. of S. Cal. v. Moran*, 365 S.C. 270, 274, 617 S.E.2d 135, 137 (Ct. App. 2005) ("An issue regarding statutory interpretation is a question of law."); *Town of Summerville v. City of North Charleston*, 378 S.C. 107, 110, 662 S.E.2d 40, 41 (2008) ("[T]his [c]ourt reviews questions of law de novo."); S.C. Code Ann. § 58-23-620 (2015) ("[N]o city, town, or county shall impose a license fee or license tax on the holder of a certificate E[,] . . . except the city or town of such carrier's residence or the location of his principal place of business."); *Broadhurst v. City of Myrtle Beach Election Comm'n*, 342 S.C. 373, 380, 537 S.E.2d 543, 546 (2000) ("All rules of statutory construction are subservient to the one that the legislative intent must prevail if it can be reasonably discovered in the language used, and that language must be construed in the light of the intended purpose of the statute."); *Town of Mt. Pleasant v. Roberts*, 393 S.C. 332, 342, 713 S.E.2d 278, 283 (2011) ("A statute as a whole must receive practical, reasonable, and fair interpretation consonant with the purpose, design, and policy of lawmakers." (quoting *Sloan v. S.C. Bd. of Physical Therapy Exam'rs*, 370 S.C. 452, 468, 636 S.E.2d 598, 608-07 (2006))); *Lexington Cty. Health Servs. Dist. v. S.C. Dep't of Revenue*, 384 S.C. 647, 653, 682 S.E.2d 508, 510 (Ct. App. 2009) ("If [an] interpretation would lead to a result unintended by the legislature and plainly absurd, [this court] should reject it.").

**AFFIRMED.**<sup>1</sup>

**HUFF, SHORT, and WILLIAMS, JJ., concur.**

---

<sup>1</sup> We decide this case without oral argument pursuant to Rule 215, SCACR.