


IN CASE(S) 17-7410 AND 17-7428; MOTION TO EXCEED THE PAGE LIMIT;
MOTION FOR SANCTIONS AND MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR, ON THE 4TH.
CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS AND ALL INVOLVED PARTIES BY U.S. MAIL
POSTAGE PREPAID BY DEPOSITING IT IN THE INSTITUTION MAILBOX
ON DECEMBER 22, 2017.


RESPECTFULLY,
JAHJAH AL MAHDI



JASON MORRIS GOURDINE



CHRISTOPHER DARNELL WILSON



DECEMBER 22, 2017

COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE 4TH. CIRCUIT ET. AL.,

PETITION FROM SOUTH CAROLINA
(CASES 2:17-cv-1127-JMC-MGB ET. AL.,)

DOCKET NO.(S) 17-6693; 17-6925; 17-6960; 17-7139; 17-7137;
17-7134; 17-7068; 16-1953; 16-2141; 17-1415; 16-2299;
17-7186; 17-7410; 17-7428; 17-7532 ET. AL.,

CHRISTOPHER DARNELL WILSON; JASON MORRIS GOURDINE; LAWRENCE
L. CRAWFORD AKA JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE AKA JAHJAH
AL MAHDI ET. AL.,

PETITIONER(S)

Vs.

JUDGE ROBERT E. HOOD; THE JUDGES WHO SIGNED THE ORDER IN CASE
16-1953; THE UNITED STATES; WARDEN McFADDEN ET. AL.,

DEFENDANT(S)

AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; FILING
WRIT OF ERROR; MOTION FOR AN INJUNCTION AND OR PROTECTIVE
ORDER; MOTION FOR RECUSAL; MOTION TO CHALLENGE THE
COURT'S JURISDICTION UNDER CASE(S) 17-7532 AND 17-7186;
MOTION FOR A STAY; MOTION TO WAIVE THE (6) MONTH
STATEMENTS IN CASE(S) 17-7410 AND 17-7428; MOTION TO
EXCEED THE PAGE LIMIT; MOTION FOR SANCTIONS AND MOTION
TO MOTION THEREFOR

IN RE: TO GIVING ALL CASES CAPTIONED NOTICE OF EVENTS RELATED TO BOTH CASE(S) 17-7532 AND 17-7186.

TO: THE 4TH. CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS ET. AL.,

THE PETITIONERS IN THESE PARALLEL CASES GIVE THE COURT AND PARTIES JUDICIAL NOTICE. HERE THE COURT IN BOTH CASE(S) 17-7532 AND 17-7186 WILL FIND:

(1) A COPY OF EXHIBIT, "17-6960". THIS IS THE (65) PAGE AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; FILING WRIT OF ERROR;***, DATED OCTOBER 15, 2017. A TYPED VERSION OF THE PLEADING IS ALREADY FILED IN ALL PARALLEL CASES.

(2) PLRA APPLICATIONS TO PROCEED WITHOUT PAYMENT OR FEES FOR GOURDINE, WILSON AND CRAWFORD, THE KING-KHALIFAH AKA JAHJAH AL MAHDI.

(3) A COPY OF EXHIBIT, "GOURDINE". THE [14] PAGE AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE, FILING WRIT OF ERROR; NOTICE OF SEEKING LEAVE TO APPEAL;***, DATED JULY 2, 2017.

(4) EXHIBIT, "MAHDI". A COPY OF THE AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; MOTION TO SUPPLEMENT THE CAUSES OF ACTION AGAINST JUDGE HARWELL****, [23] PAGES DATED JULY 8, 2017.

(5) A COPY OF EXHIBIT, "TRUSTEE". THIS IS THE DOCUMENT THAT MAKES UP CASE 16-2299.

ALL CLAIMS, ISSUES, DEFENSES, PETITIONS, MOTIONS ARGUED WITHIN THESE DOCUMENTS ARE NOW BEING ARGUED WITHIN BOTH CASES 17-7532 AND 17-7186. ENOUGH GAMES HONORABLE JUDGES OF THE 4TH. CIRCUIT. YOU ARE TO GRANT THE INJUNCTION AND OR PROTECTIVE ORDER SOUGHT WITHIN THESE PARALLEL CASES. WE MOTION FOR THIS AND THAT MOTION IS TO BE NOW GRANTED BY YOU BY DECREE AND JUDGMENT OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE AND COURT WHOSE

SUPERSEDING ATTORNEY, JUDICIAL AND LEGISLATIVE POWER AND AUTHORITY ARE BINDING UPON THIS COURT DUE TO THE CLAIMS OF DEFAULT AND COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL EMERGING FROM CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 IN RICHLAND COUNTY S.C. TO WHICH THE UNITED STATES IS PARTY TO THAT DEFAULT WHICH IS ALSO PROTECTED UNDER BOTH ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 AND ARTICLE IV § 2 OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION. THE GRANTING OF THE INJUNCTION MUST NOW BE DEEMED FORFEITED DUE TO THE OBSTRUCTION, MACHINATION, CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY AND FRAUD ENGAGED IN BY THESE DEFENDANTS AND ALL COURTS INVOLVED ALSO PRODUCING STATE INTERFERENCE THAT RISES TO AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL LEVEL BY THE JUDGES ALSO USING THESE CLERKS AND CASE MANAGERS AS PROXY, HODGKINS-v.-PETERSON, F.Supp.2d., 2000 WL 33128726 (S.D.Ind.2000); U.S.-v.-SCOTT, 958 F.Supp. 761(1997); HANKINS v.-WETZEL, 2014 WL 4918813(D.C.Pa.2014); BARDRES-v.-HALEY, 58 F.Supp.3d. 514(DSC.2014); LORD-&-TAYLOR,LLC.-v.-WHITE-FLINT, L.P. 780 F3d. 211 CA4 (Md.2015); U.S.-v.-SOUTH-CAROLINA, 720 F3d. 518 CA4 (S.C.2013); WALL-v.-WADE, 741 F3d. 492 CA4 (Va.2014)

. IT IS SO ORDERED.

THE PETITIONERS GIVE THE COURT AND PARTIES JUDICIAL NOTICE TO ENSURE THERE IS NO MISREPRESENTATION OF THE FACTS. WE ARE NOT ARGUING "THEOCRATIC LAW". THIS IS A MISNOMER. WE ARE ARGUING "FOREIGN LAW", WHICH HAPPEN TO HAVE THEOCRATIC COMPONENTS WHICH WAS ARGUED UNDER RULE 44 OF S.C. RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE AND THE FOREIGN SOVEREIGN IMMUNITY ACT THAT WAS DEFAULTED ON BY THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL AND THE (193) MEMBER STATES OF THE UNITED NATIONS WHICH INCLUDE THE VATICAN AND THE UNITED STATES, WHERE CRAWFORD, THE KING-KHALIFAH, IS FOREIGN SOVEREIGN FIDUCIARY HEIR TO THE (4) GLOBAL THRONES WITH SUPERSEDING ATTORNEY, JUDICIAL AND LEGISLATIVE POWER AND AUTHORITY THAT IS BINDING UPON THIS COURT, BY HIS ORIGINAL STATUS AS SUCH BEFORE THIS NATION WAS FORMED VIA INTERNATIONAL AND FEDERAL PROBATE LAW, BY LEGAL BINDING CONTRACT THAT CANNOT BE MADE OR UNMADE BY THE COURTS WHICH WAS ARGUED AND ESTABLISHED IN CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 REMOVED TO THE FEDERAL COURT. SO WHEN I TELL YOU THAT THE RULES ARE SUSPENDED WITHIN ALL OF THESE PARALLEL CASES, THEY ARE SUSPENDED UNLESS YOU CAN SHOW THAT THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL AND OR THE UNITED STATES MOVED TO DEFEAT THE AFFIDAVIT(S) OF DEFAULT AND

VOIDING OF JURISDICTION IN A TIMELY MANNER OR PRODUCE AN ORDER THAT IS NOT TAINTED BY FRAUD OR REMOVAL. I, JAHJAH AL MAHDI, GIVE THE COURT AND PARTIES JUDICIAL NOTICE THAT I AM OFFICIALLY EXERCISING THAT POWER AND AUTHORITY GIVEN BY THE DEFAULT AND "CONTRACT", "COVENANT", ESTABLISHED BY THE SOLE CORPORATION WHICH IS PROTECTED UNDER BOTH ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 AND ARTICLE IV § 2 OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION. WHERE THERE IS CONTRACT AND FIDUCIARY DUTY,...THERE IS OBLIGATION. THE OBLIGATION OF THE CONTRACT IS THE LAW THAT BINDS JAHJAH AL MAHDI TO ACT RENDERING VOID CASE 17-7532 AND STAYING THAT CASE DUE TO ADDITIONAL ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT, AND RENDER VOID ANY ACT DONE BY ASHLEY BROWNLEE IN CASE 17-7186. THE 4TH. CIRCUIT CANNOT MAKE (OR ALTER) THE CONTRACT ESTABLISHED BY THE SOLE CORPORATION (MAKE ANOTHER INTERPRETATION OTHER THAN THAT WHICH IS ESTABLISHED BY THE SOLE CORPORATION, RENDERING ITS OBLIGATION OR POWER VOID BY JUDICIAL DETERMINATION OR USE OF EX POST FACTO LAW). I, JAHJAH AL MAHDI, AM SOVEREIGN BY WAY OF MY ORIGINAL STATUS AS SOVEREIGN WITH ALL SUPERSEDING ATTORNEY, JUDICIAL AND LEGISLATIVE ATTRIBUTES, (10) THOUSAND YEARS BEFORE THIS NATION WAS FORMED WHERE THE COURTS CANNOT MAKE A JUDICIAL DETERMINATION BASED UPON OR STANDING UPON SUCH EX POST FACTO LAW TO BURDEN THE OBLIGATION OF THE CONTRACT AND OBSTRUCT JAHJAH AL MAHDI IN HIS FIDUCIARY DUTIES TO MY GOD AND MY HOLY COMMONWEALTH WHO ARE BENEFICIARIES OF THE "TRUST", AMERICAN-MUT.-LIBERTY-INS.-CO.-v.-PLYWOOD-PLASTICS CORP., 81 F.Supp. 157(DSC.1948); OPARAH-v.-THE-NEW-YORK-CITY DEPT.-OF-EDUC., F.Supp.3d., 2015 WL 4240733(N.Y.D.C.2015); INTERNATIONAL-AIRCRAFT-LODGE-1652-v.-INTERNATIONAL-AIRCRAFT-SERVICE INC.-(CHARLESTON), 302 F2d. 808, 49 L.R.R.M. (BNA) 2976(4TH.Cir. 1962); ERIE-R.-CO.-v.-THOMPKINS, 304 U.S. 64(1938); OGDEN-v.-SANDERS, 25 U.S. 213(1827); TRUSTEES-OF-DARTHMOUTH-COLLEGE-v.-WOODWARD, 17 U.S. 518, 1819 WL 2201; PEUGH-v.-U.S., 133 S.Ct. 2072, 186 L.Ed.2d. 84, 81 U.S.L.W. 4372(2013); U.S.-v.-WELLS, 578 Fed. Appx' 234 CA4 (Va.2014); SPIRES-v.-SCHOOLS,--F.Supp.3d.--, 2017 WL 4174774(DSC.2017); FIFTH-THIRD-BANGOR-v.-DUDEN-HOFFER, 132 S.Ct. 2459, 189 L.Ed.2d. 457, 82 U.S.L.W. 4578(U.S. 2014); IN-RE:-GREEN, 980 F2d. 590(9th.Cir.1992); ANDERSON-v.-LIBERTY-LOBBY-INC., 477 U.S. 242, 106 S.Ct. 2505, 91 L.Ed.2d. 202(U.S.1986); MILLER-v.-PARRISH, F.Supp.2d., 2013 WL 1868028

THE "TERM" OR "CONCEPT" OF A "JUDGE" OR "ATTORNEY" DO NOT COME FROM YOU OR YOUR LAWS. THEY DO NOT COME FROM YOUR NATION, NOR DO THEY COME FROM ENGLAND OR ENGLISH LAW. THEY COME FROM US, THE SOLE CORPORATION, AND IS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GIVEN TO YOUR NATIONS AS A "GRANT" WITH RESTRICTIONS, AS IS THE RIGHT TO LEGALLY MARRY, SET IN PLACE THROUGH ADAM AND ABRAHAM, MEMBERS OF THE SOLE CORPORATION AS IS ARGUED WITHIN EXHIBIT, "TRUSTEE". THEIR OWNERSHIP IS WITH ME, JAHJAH, AS THE FIDUCIARY HEIR, KING, KHALIFAH OF THE SOLE CORPORATION BEING THE ORIGINAL FOUNTAIN OF ALL LAW AND SOVEREIGN POWER. YOU CANNOT LEGALLY ATTACH, ARREST OR EXECUTE THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OF A FOREIGN SOVEREIGN SOLE CORPORATION WITHOUT CONSENT, WHICH IS WITHDRAWN, ABSENT OF ITS RIGHTS AND PREROGATIVES. DUE TO THE DEFAULT EMERGING FROM CASE 2013-CP-400-0084, AND BY THE "COVENANT", "CONTRACT", AS FIDUCIARY HEIR PROTECTED UNDER BOTH ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 AND THE PRIVILEGE AND IMMUNITIES CLAUSE OF ARTICLE IV § 2 OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION, THE COURT CANNOT BY MY ORIGINAL STATUS UNDER CONTRACT TAKE AWAY THE KING'S RIGHTS OR PREROGATIVES, OR BURDEN THE OBLIGATION OF THE CONTRACT. THE EXPROPRIATION EXCEPTION UNDER THE FOREIGN SOVEREIGN IMMUNITY ACT IS ALSO INVOKED WHERE THE TAKING, ATTACHING ETC. RELATED TO THIS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY IS IN VIOLATION OF FEDERAL AND INTERNATIONAL PROBATE LAW, ALSO INVOLVING THE OTHER (192) MEMBER STATES OF THE UNITED NATIONS IN EFFORTS TO DISMANTLE MY VOTING AND DISCRETIONARY POWERS ON THESE MATTERS, DESTROYING THE RESTRICTIONS PLACED UPON THIS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY WHERE THERE IS NO "JUSTICE OR FAIRNESS" IN VIOLATION OF THE CONTRACT, THUS DESTROYING MY CONTROL AS THE SOLE CORPORATION. THE F.S.I.A. APPLIES WHERE BY YOUR ACTS THERE IS DISCRIMINATION BASED UPON NATIONALITY, RELIGION, SUCH AS MY ISRAELI DESCENT AND THE FACT THAT I AM MUSLIM, CHRISTIAN AND JEW COMBINED, A NOVELTY, AND I AM OF AFRICAN BLOOD. THIS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY, THESE LEGAL TERMS OR CONCEPTS, ARE IMMOVABLE, WHICH INCLUDE THE RIGHT TO LEGALLY MARRY BEING IMMOVABLE PROPERTY HERE IN THE UNITED STATES FALLING UNDER THE F.S.I.A. THE U.N. GENERAL ASSEMBLY, CONVENTION ON JURISDICTIONAL IMMUNITY

OF STATES AND THEIR PROPERTY, RES. 59/38, ARTS. 5, 10-12 (DEC. 2, 2004) (ADOPTING A RESTRICTIVE THEORY OF IMMUNITY AND WITHDRAWING IMMUNITY FOR LOSS OF PROPERTY WHERE, AMONG OTHER REQUIREMENTS, "THE ACT OR OMISSION OCCURRED IN WHOLE OR IN PART IN THE TERRITORY OF THE OTHER STATE"); UNITED NATIONS GENERAL ASSEMBLY, REPORT OF THE AD HOC COMMITTEE ON JURISDICTIONAL IMMUNITY OF STATES AND THEIR PROPERTY, SUPP. A/59/22 NO. 1, PP.7-11 (MAR 1-5, 2004). ALSO SEE EXHIBIT, "TRUSTEE". ALSO SEE THE CONTRACT UNDER LUKE 11:52; ISAIAH 11:1-5; ZECHARIAH 6:12-13; ISAIAH 16:5; ISAIAH 32:1-4; ISAIAH 42:18-22; JEREMIAH 23:5-6; JEREMIAH 33:15-21 (CRUCIAL ONE); EZEKIEL 34:22-30; EZEKIEL 37:22; DANIEL 11:1-3; ALSO SEE SIMON v. REPUBLIC OF HUNGARY, --F.Supp.3d.--, 2017 WL 4402293 (D.D.C.2017); BOLIVIA REPUBLIC OF VENEZUELA v. HELMERICH & PAYNE INTERN. DRILLING CO., 137 S.Ct. 1312, 197 L.Ed.2d. 663, 85 U.S.L.W. 4221 (U.S.2017).

STATE AND OR FEDERAL REGULATION IN THIS CASE, OF DESCENT AND DISTRIBUTION OF DECEDENT'S ESTATE MUST GIVE WAY IF SUCH REGULATION IMPAIRS THE FIDUCIARY DUTY AND SUPERSEDING ATTORNEY, JUDICIAL, LEGISLATIVE AND EXECUTIVE POWER OF THE CROWN, IMPAIRING EFFECTIVE EXERCISE OF U.S. FOREIGN POLICY RELATED TO OUR FOREIGN LEGAL SYSTEM TO INCLUDE THE SUPERSEDING AUTHORITY AND POWER OF THE KING-KHALIFAH'S DECREES, ZSCHERNIG v. MILLER, 389 U.S. 429, 88 S.Ct. 664, 19 L.Ed.2d. 683 (U.S.1968); DOE v. FEDERAL DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC OF ETHIOPIA, 189 F.Supp.3d. 6 (D.D.C.2016); ARMIDILLO DISTRIBUTION ENTERPRISES INC. v. HAIYUN MUSICAL INSTRUMENTS MANUFACTURE CO. LTD., F.Supp.3d., 2014 WL 2815943 (D.C.Fla. 2014); CASSIER v. THYSSEN BORNEMISZA COLLECTION FOUNDATION, 737 F3d. 613 (9th.Cir.2013); AL SHIMARI v. C.A.C.I. INTERN. INC., 679 F3d. 205 (4th.Cir.2012).

IN THE GLOBAL NATIONS CONSPIRING TO ATTACH, EXECUTE OR ARREST THE KING-KHALIFAH'S INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY IN GIVING SODOMITES AND GOMORRAHRITES THE RIGHT TO LEGALLY MARRY BY JUDICIAL DETERMINATION. SUCH ACTION PRODUCES VIOLATIONS OF THE HOBBS ACT WHICH SET FORTH PROHIBITION AGAINST CONSPIRACY TO INTERFERE WITH COMMERCE BY ROBBING, ATTACHING, EXECUTING OR ARRESTING THIS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY IS VALID UNDER COMMERCE CLAUSE ON

ITS FACE AND IS APPLIED TO THESE DEFENDANTS WHO HAVE ESSENTIALLY ROBBED AND HIGH-JACKED THE KING-KHALIFAH'S INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY, REMOVING THE RESTRICTIONS PLACED UPON IT BY THE "GRANT" UNDER "CONTRACT", WHERE CATERING AND WEDDING SERVICES, HOTEL AND HONEY-MOON ACCOMMODATIONS, FINANCIAL VENTURES SUCH AS CREDIT CARD AND OTHER BANKING USAGES NATIONALLY AND GLOBALLY PRODUCE COMMERCE ACTIVITIES AND ARE DONE AND MADE ACROSS STATE AND INTERNATIONAL BORDERS. THE APPLICATION OF YOUR STATE AND OR FEDERAL, EVEN INTERNATIONAL LAWS COULD BE BASED ON DE MINIMUS NEXUS TO INTER-STATE COMMERCE, SO LONG AS STATUTE OR LAW REGULATED ACTIVITY WHICH, THROUGH REPETITION, IN AGGREGATE HAD SUBSTANTIAL EFFECT ON INTERSTATE COMMERCE, WHERE MANY OF THESE WEDDING SERVICES ARE EVEN DONE ON THE INTERNET, WHICH INCLUDE WEDDING GIFTS SUCH AS THOSE MATTERS THAT ARE PRESENTLY BEFORE THE U.S. SUPREME COURT IN THE MASTERPIECE CAKESHOP v. COLORADO CIVIL RIGHTS COMMISSION...ADDRESSING FREE SPEECH, RELIGIOUS RIGHTS AND PUBLIC ACCOMMODATION PRODUCING INTERSTATE COMMERCE CLAIM. YOU ARE IN VIOLATION OF THE HOBBS ACT, GUSTO-v.-U.S., 523 U.S. 1011, 118 S.Ct. 1201 (MEM) 140 L.Ed.2d. 329(U.S.1998); U.S.-v.-MILES, 122 F3d. 235(5th.Cir.1997); U.S.C.A. CONST. ART. 1, § 8 Cl. 3; 18 U.S.C.A. § 1951; UNITED-STATES-v.-HENDERSON, F.Supp.3d., 2016 WL 6084637(S.D.Tex.2016); UNITED-STATES-v.-RUE, F.Supp.3d., 2015 WL 5007930(S.D.Tex.2015); SIBLEY-v.-HERGENROEDER, F.Supp.2d., 2006 WL 3354137(D.C.Md.2006).

DUE TO THE AIDS THAT'S PRODUCED BY SUCH ACTIVITY, THE DEBAUCHERY AND VIOLATIONS OF RELIGIOUS BELIEFS ASSOCIATED WITH SUCH PRACTICES, THAT STAND IN BLATANT DEFIANCE TO THE TERMS OF THE "CONTRACT" WHICH CLEARLY STATE, "THOU SHALT NOT LAY WITH A MAN AS THOU LAYETH WITH A WOMAN", "THE WOMAN SHALL NOT WEAR THAT WHICH PERTAINETH TO A MAN AND A MAN SHALL NOT WEAR THAT WHICH PERTAINETH TO A WOMAN FOR ALL THAT DO SO ARE AN ABOMINATION UNTO THE LORD THY GOD", "THERE SHALL BE NO WHORE OF THE BELIEVERS NOR A SODOMITE OF THE BELIEVERS". SEE DEUTERONOMY 22:5 AND 23:17. THIS IS CLEAR VIOLATION OF THE "GRANT" GIVEN TO YOUR NATIONS WITH RESTRICTIONS AS THUS STATED IN PART. THE FOREIGN SOVEREIGN POWER WHOSE AUTHORITY OVER THIS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY EXISTING VIA THE SOLE CORPORATION HAS THE PARAMOUNT RIGHT TO PROTECT

THE LIVES, HEALTH, MORALS, COMFORT AND GENERAL WELFARE OF THE PEOPLE WHICH INCLUDE HIS HOLY COMMONWEALTH WHO RESIDE WITHIN ALL GLOBAL AND OR NATIONAL BORDERS, HOME-BLDG.--&-LOAN-ASS'N v.-BAISDELL, 290 U.S. 398, 54 S.Ct. 231, 88 A.L.R. 1481, 78 L.Ed. 413(U.S.1934); ELLIOTT-v.-BOARD-OF-SCHOOL-TRUSTEES-OF MADISON-CONSOLIDATED-SCHOOLS,--F3d.--, 2017 WL 5988226(7th.Cir. 2017); NORTH-CAROLINA-ASS'N-OF-EDUCATORS,-INC.-v.-STATE, 368 N.C. 777, 786 S.E.2d. 255(N.C.2016). SINCE THE KING-KHALIFAH WAS NOT PARTY TO ANY OF THESE ILLEGAL PROCEEDINGS IN QUESTION DEALING WITH THIS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY AND YOUR COURTS HAD NO CONSENT, THE RULINGS ARE VOID FOR FRAUD AND DUE PROCESS VIOLATION, GORDON-v.-T.B.C.-RETAIL-GROUP,-INC., F.Supp.3d., 2016 WL 4247738(DSC.2016); REED-v.-BIG-WATER-RESORT,-LLC., F.Supp.3d., 2016 WL 2935891(DSC.2016); DAN-RYAN-BUILDERS,-INC.-v.-CRYTAL RIDGE-DEVELOPEMENT,-INC., 783 F3d. 976, 91 Fed. R. SERV.3d. 625(4th.Cir.2015); WEAVER-v.-MASSACHUSETTS, 137 S.Ct. 1899, 198 L.Ed.2d. 420, 85 U.S.L.W. 4433(U.S.2017); PIRELA-v.-HORN, --Fed. Appx'--, 2017 WL 4176224(3rd.Cir.2017).

THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL MUST RESPOND, NOT THE 4TH. CIRCUIT JUDGES OR YOUR CLERKS OR CASE MANAGERS ACTING AS PROXY FOR THE JUDGES CONSPIRING UNDER COLOR OF LAW OR AUTHORITY IN ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT TO ABSTAIN FROM ADDRESSING SUBSTANTIAL FEDERAL QUESTIONS TO CONCEAL MATERIAL FACTS IN VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. §§ 242 AND 1001 RENDERING ILLEGAL THESE ENTIRE PROCEEDINGS. THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL OR THE FEDERAL ATTORNEYS MUST RESPOND AND DEMONSTRATE THAT THEY TIMELY SOUGHT TO DEFEAT THE DEFAULT AND VOIDING OF JURISDICTION DONE BY AFFIDAVIT(S) IN CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 OR ALL RIGHTS, PRIVILEGES, TITLES AND IMMUNITIES STAND. IN SUCH, THE 4TH. CIRCUIT, NOR YOUR CLERKS OR CASE MANAGERS ACTING AS PROXY FOR THE JUDGES CONSPIRING TO CREATE AN INCOMPLETE RECORD AND CIRCUMVENT RULING, ABSTAINING FROM ANSWERING FEDERAL QUESTION, NOR THE STATE MAY EXCLUDE A PERSON, NAMELY, THE FOREIGN SOVEREIGN FIDUCIARY KING-KHALIFAH WITH SUPERSEDING ATTORNEY, JUDICIAL AND LEGISLATIVE POWER AND AUTHORITY BY HIS ORIGINAL STATUS AS SUCH BEFORE THIS NATION WAS FORMED, TO PRACTICE LAW (LAWGIVER OF GOD, ATTORNEY, JUDGE, LEGISLATOR), OR ANY OTHER OCCUPATION GIVEN TO HIM BY "COVENANT",

"CONTRACT" (FIDUCIARY-KING-KHALIFAH), IN A MANNER OR FOR REASONS THAT CONTRAVENE THE DUE PROCESS POWER AND AUTHORITY GIVEN TO HIM OR IN A MANNER THAT VIOLATES THE EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS CLAUSE OR ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 OR ARTICLE IV § 2 OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION, SCHWARE-x.-BOARD-OF-EXAMS-OF-THE-STATE-OF-N.M. 353 U.S. 232, 7~~7~~ S.Ct. 752, 64 A.L.R.2d. 288, 1 L.Ed.2d. 796(U.S.1957); RACIRE-x.-SULLIVAN, 2017 WL 3710066(D.C.Nev.2017); VIRGINIA-BOARD-OF-MEDICINE-x.-ZACKRISON, 67 Va. App. 461, 796 S.E.2d. 866(2017); DOE-x.-ROGERS, 139 F.Supp.3d. 120(D.C.C.2015); BOLLS-x.-VIRGINIA-BD.-OF-BAR-EXAMINERS, 811 F.Supp.2d. 1260 (E.D.Va.2011); PEREZ-x.-CHIMES-DISTRICT-OF-COLUMBIA,-INC. F.Supp.3d., 2016 WL 6124679(D.C.Md.2016); IN-RE:-GREEN, 980 F2d. 590(9th.Cir.1992). WE MOTION TO EXCEED THE PAGE LIMIT. IT IS GRANTED.

I, JAHJAH AL MAHDI, FULFILLED MY DUTY BY YOUR NATION'S DUE PROCESS LAWS AND PEACEFULLY, AS A NON-COMBATANT, FILED LEGAL ACTION TO ESTABLISH ALL RIGHTS, TITLES, PRIVILEGES AND IMMUNITIES . I GAVE PROPER NOTICE AND PROPERLY SERVED ALL PARTIES INCLUDING THE UNITED STATES AND BROUGHT THE MATTERS TO THEM UNDER CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 WHERE WE WERE SUBJECTED TO OUTRAGEOUS ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT, CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE, WHERE THEY DEFAULTED AND JURISDICTION WAS MADE VOID FOR DUE PROCESS VIOLATION AND OTHER UNCONSTITUTIONAL ACTION, THEREUPON THAT CASE WAS REMOVED TO THE FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT WITHIN THESE PARALLEL CASES SUB-JUDICE. THE PRIVILEGE AND IMMUNITIES CLAUSE PROTECTS RIGHTS OF CITIZENS, TO INCLUDE OUT OF STATE AND OR EVEN FOREIGN STATE CITIZENS IN THIS INSTANCE VIA THE F.S.I.A. CONNECTIONS, TO PLY THEIR TRADE, PRACTICE THEIR OCCUPATION AND PURSUE A COMMON CALLING. IN THIS INSTANCE THE COMMON CALLING OF ALL MEMBERS OF THE SOLE CORPORATION IS THAT OF PROPHET , KING, KHALIFAH, IMAM, LAWGIVER AND HIGH PRIEST WITH SUPERSEDING ATTORNEY, JUDICIAL AND LEGISLATIVE POWER AND AUTHORITY AND THE COURTS CANNOT IMPAIR THE KING-KHALIFAH ON ACCOUNT OF HIS OUT OF STATE CITIZENSHIP ACTING FOR PROTECTORATE PURPOSES, McBURNEX-x.-YOUNG, 569 U.S. 221, 133 S.Ct. 1709, 185 L.Ed.2d. 758(U.S. 2013); HENRY-x.-VERMONT, 2017 WL 2167123(2017); SCHOENEFFELD-x.-SCHNELDERMAN, 821 F3d. 273(2nd.Cir.2016).

NOW LETS WITH THIS FRAUD UPON THE COURT THE 4TH. CIRCUIT PRODUCED IN THE FORM OF CASE 17-7532 AS THEY DID IN CASE 17-6960 RENDERING THIS CASE VOID AND IN FORFEITURE FOR FRAUD AND DUE PROCESS VIOLATION, WHICH INCLUDE ALL ORDERS PRODUCED THEREIN MAKING THIS CASE ILLEGAL AND A CRIMINAL ACT TO PROCEED WITH IT AS FILED. THIS INCLUDE WHAT ASHLEY BROWNLEE DID IN CASE 17-7186. THIS IS CHALLENGE TO THE 4TH. CIRCUIT'S JURISDICTION. JURISDICTION LIES WITH TRUSTEE JUDGE AUSTIN WHERE THE 4TH. CIRCUIT'S JURISDICTION IS LIMITED AND RESTRICTED. SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION IS RAISED IN BOTH CASES 17-7532 AND 17-7186 AS IT WAS IN CASE 17-6960 AND THIS CASE AND ALL PARALLEL CASES SHALL NOT FAIL TO TAKE NOTICE. SEE EXHIBIT, "17-6960".

WHEN DETERMINING WHETHER A PERSON HAS STANDING TO SUE, THE COURT MUST FOCUS ON THE STATUS OF THE PARTY WHO HAS FILED THE COMPLAINT. BY THE PLEADINGS WITHIN THESE CASES TO INCLUDE THOSE UNDER CASE 16-2299, EXHIBIT, "TRUSTEE". I, JAHJAH AL MAHDI, AM FOREIGN SOVEREIGN FIDUCIARY HEIR, KING, KHALIFAH BY "CONTRACT" WITH SUPERSEDING ATTORNEY, JUDICIAL AND LEGISLATIVE POWER AND AUTHORITY WHICH CANNOT BE MADE OR UNMADE BY THE COURTS PROTECTED UNDER BOTH ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 AND THE PRIVILEGE AND IMMUNITIES CLAUSE UNDER ARTICLE IV § 2 OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION, WHERE ALL ACTS WERE DONE SPECIFICALLY TO THE KING AND THE OTHER PLAINTIFFS WHO ARE BENEFICIARIES OF THE "TRUST", WHO SOUGHT TO AID THE KING-KHALIFAH EXERCISE CONSTITUTIONALLY PROTECTED RIGHTS IN VIOLATION OF 42 U.S.C.A. § 12203(a)(b) OF THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT. WHAT AND WHO I, JAHJAH AL MAHDI AM, AS WELL AS MY HOLY COMMONWEALTH IS, THE PETITIONERS IN THESE PARALLEL APPEALS, WAS ESTABLISHED BY "COVENANT", "CONTRACT" BY MY, OUR, ORIGINAL STATUS BEFORE THIS NATION WAS FORMED WHICH CANNOT BE UNMADE BY THE COURTS WITH EX POST FACTO LAW. THE FIDUCIARY AND JUDICIAL DUTY COMMANDS IT, 29 U.S.C.A. § 1104; SPIRES-v.-SCHOOLS, --F.SUPP.3d.--, 2017 WL 4174774(DSC.2017); FIFTH-THIRD-BANCORP v.-DUDENHOEFFER, 132 S.Ct. 2459, 189 L.Ed.2d. 457, 82 U.S.L.W. 4578(U.S.2014); PEREZ-v.-CHIMES-DISTRICT-OF-COLUMBIA-INC., F.Supp.3d., 2016 WL 6124679(D.C.Md.2016); SCOTT-v.-SOUTH-CAROLINA 2017 WL 875858(DSC.2017); MILLER-v.-SCATURRO, 2016 WL 3951668 (DSC.2016); GRUPO-DALAEFLUX-v.-ATLAS-GLOBAL-GROUP-L.P. 541 U.S.

567, 124 S.Ct. 1920, 158 L.Ed.2d. 866(U.S.2004); LOUMIET-v.-UNITED-STATES, 65 F.Supp.3d. 19 (2014); U.S.-v.-TISDALE, F.Supp.2d., 2007 WL 2156666(DSC.2007); SEBELIUS-v.-AUBURN-REGIONAL-MEDICAL-CENTER, 133 S.Ct. 817, 184 L.Ed.2d. 627, 81 U.S.L.W. 4053(U.S.2013); SIZWARD-v.-RIDDLE, F.Supp.2d., 2013 WL 707018(DSC.2013).

THE CASE 17-7532, AS DID CASE 17-6960, POSSESS SEVERAL FATAL STRUCTURAL DEFICIENCIES THAT ARE NOT SUBJECT TO THE HARMLESS ERROR DOCTRINE RENDERING CASE 17-7532 AND 17-7186 VOID FOR FRAUD UPON THE COURT AND DUE PROCESS VIOLATION ESTABLISHING FORFEITURE BY SANCTIONS SOUGHT AND GRANTED BY DECREE OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC COURT. FIRST, WE MOTION FOR THE RECUSAL OF JUDGES DIAZ, THACKER, DAVIS, HAMILTON, GREGORY, DUNCAN AND SHEDD IN BOTH CASES 17-7532 AND 17-7186. AS LONG AS ANY OF THESE JUDGES SIT UPON THESE AND THE OTHER PARALLEL APPEALS YOU HAVE A STRUCTURAL ERROR THAT VOIDS YOUR JURISDICTION. UNTIL THE ISSUE OF RECUSAL IS RULED ON, ON THE COURT RECORD, UNDER THE PROVISIONS OF § 1983, NOT A MANDAMUS OR § 2254, WHICH YOU IN ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT FILED THE APPEAL OF CASE 17-7532 UNDER. THE PROCEEDINGS ARE ILLEGAL DUE TO THE FRAUD AND BECAUSE CASE 17-7532 POSSESSING THIS STRUCTURAL ERROR AS DO CASE 17-7186 IF THESE JUDGES SIT. THE LANGUAGE THE U.S. SUPREME COURT USED IN WILLIAMS-v.-PENNSYLVANIA, 136 S.Ct. 1899, 195 L.Ed.2d. 132, 84 U.S.L.W. 4359(U.S.2016) IS CLEAR AND UNEQUIVOCAL GIVING CLARITY TO ALL PREVIOUSLY ADJUDICATED CASES ON THE SUBJECT. THERE ARE (3) PRONGS. IF ONE EXIST RECUSAL IS MANDATORY. WATCH THE LANGUAGE USED BY THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT. NO MAN SHALL SIT UPON HIS OWN CASE NOR CAN A MAN TRY A CASE TO WHICH HE HAS AN INTEREST IN THE OUTCOME NOR SHALL HE SIT IF THE POTENTIAL FOR BIAS RISES TO AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL LEVEL. EXTRA-JUDICIAL BIAS WAS ONLY "ONE OUT OF MANY" EXAMPLES THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT WAS REFERRING TO AND ADDRESSING. WHEN THE OBJECTIVE RISK OF ACTUAL BIAS "OF ANY KIND" (EMPHASIS ADDED) RISES TO AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL LEVEL, UNDER THE DUE PROCESS CLAUSE, THE FAILURE TO RECUSE IS "[N]OT" A HARMLESS ERROR BECOMING STRUCTURAL IN NATURE WHICH VOIDS THE COURT'S JURISDICTION FOR DUE PROCESS VIOLATION. IN CASE 9:17-cv-1803-TMC SUBJUDICE

AS WELL AS IN THE PARALLEL APPEALS IS A COPY OF THE (44) PAGE COMPLAINT. BY THIS DOCUMENT, THE JUDGES SOUGHT WITHIN THE 4TH. CIRCUIT MUST RECUSE THEMSELVES. THE 4TH. CIRCUIT IS REQUIRED BY DUE PROCESS LAW IN CASE 17-6960, ALL PARALLEL CASES, AS WELL AS IN CASES 17-7532 AND 17-7186 TO RULE ON THE MOTION FOR RECUSAL NOT UNDER MANDAMUS, NOT UNDER SOME FRAUDULENTLY PRODUCED § 2254 APPEAL, BUT UNDER § 1983 TO WHICH THEY ARE PARTY TO THESE PROCEEDINGS EVEN VIA THE WRIT OF ERROR WHICH MUST BE ADJUDICATED UNDER § 1983. THUS, ANY SUBSEQUENT ACTION UNTIL THIS IS DONE CREATES A DUE PROCESS CLAIM THAT VOIDS THE COURT'S JURISDICTION BY THESE ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT CONSPIRING UNDER COLOR OF LAW AND OR AUTHORITY IN ACTS OF OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE TO DENY US APPEALABLE ISSUES FOR WRIT OF CERT., POTENTIALLY ORDERING US TO BE PARTY TO AN ILLEGAL PROCEEDING WITHOUT FIRST RULING ON THE SUBMITTED MOTION FOR RECUSAL. FURTHER, THE 4TH. CIRCUIT IN ADDITIONAL ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT TO ABSTAIN FROM ANSWERING FEDERAL QUESTION, GETS JENNIFER RICE TO ISSUE A RULE 40(d) NOTICE IN CASES 16-1953 AND 16-2141 WHEN THOSE PROCEEDINGS ARE ILLEGAL AND NO SUCH NOTICE CAN ATTACH BY LAW. THE CONSPIRING JUDGES THEN UNDER CASE 17-7186 USING ASHLEY BROWNLEE AS A JUDGE/PROXY, TO ABSTAIN FROM ANSWERING FEDERAL QUESTIONS IN ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT, BLOCKS THE FILING OF THE (70) PAGE DOCUMENT DATED OCTOBER 5, 2017 WHICH IS SUBSTITUTED AS THE INFORMAL BRIEF TO AGAIN DENY US APPEALABLE ISSUES AND OBSTRUCT JUSTICE USING THESE CLERKS AS PROXY TO PREVENT FULL AND PROPER JUDICIAL REVIEW OF THE ISSUES WITHIN THESE CASES IN VIOLATION OF THE EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS CLAUSE. WE OBJECT TO THIS CONTINUAL FRAUD BY THESE 4TH. CIRCUIT JUDGES AND ACTS OF MACHINATION REQUIRING THEIR RECUSAL AND FORFEITURE OF THE ISSUES SOUGHT DUE TO SANCTIONS WHICH ARE SOUGHT AND GRANTED BY DECREE OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC JUDGE, JAHJAH AL MAHDI. SEE LETTER DATED DECEMBER 5, 2017 FILED IN CASE 17-7186. IT IS SO ORDERED.

THE 4TH. CIRCUIT CANNOT ABSTAIN FROM DECIDING FEDERAL QUESTIONS BEFORE CASE 17-7532 OR 17-7186 PROCEED CONSPIRING UNDER COLOR OF LAW AND OR AUTHORITY TO DENY US ISSUES ON APPEAL WHICH VOID YOUR JURISDICTION FOR DUE PROCESS VIOLATION AND FOR VIOLATION OF THE EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS CLAUSE. STOP USING

THESE CLERKS AND CASE MANAGERS AS PROXY, AS JUDGES TO SKIRT RULING, TO HIDE YOUR ABUSES OF DISCRETION AND ARBITRARY JUDICIAL ACTION IN VIOLATION OF YOUR OATHS OF OFFICE. STOP RULING ON ISSUES OF APPEAL SUBSTITUTING MANDAMUS FOR APPEAL. A MANDAMUS CANNOT SUBSTITUTE FOR AN APPEAL AND THE CLERKS OR CASE MANAGERS CANNOT SUBSTITUTE FOR A JUDGE IN ACTS OF MACHINATION TO CREATE AN INCOMPLETE RECORD AND DENY US APPEALABLE ISSUES, ABSTAINING FROM RULING ON CRUCIAL FEDERAL QUESTIONS IN VIOLATION OF DUE PROCESS LAW. WE MOTION FOR SANCTIONS AND THE 4TH. CIRCUIT JUDGES IN QUESTION RECUSAL. YOU ARE IN VIOLATION OF CLEARLY ESTABLISHED STATUTES, ARTICLE III SECTION 1, AS WELL AS YOUR OATHS OF OFFICE MAKING YOUR ACTIONS CRIMINAL IN DEFIANCE TO CLEARLY ESTABLISHED LEGISLATIVE INTENT AND THAT OATH OF OFFICE HAVING THESE CLERKS ACTING AS JUDGES TO BLOCK, HINDER AND IMPEDE FULL REVIEW IN VIOLATION OF 42 U.S.C. § 1983, 1985(2), 1985(3) AND 1986 AS WELL AS 18 U.S.C. §§ 242 AND 1001, U.S. v. RON PAIR ENTERPRISES INC. 489 U.S. 235, 119 S.Ct. 1027, 103 L.Ed.2d. 290(U.S.1989); IN-RE: ARGO CREDIT, LLC --B.R.--, 2017 WL 4404269(2017); LAKE CARRIER ASS'N v. MacMILLIAN, 406 U.S. 498, 92 S.Ct. 1749(U.S. 1972); U.S. v. \$41,320 U.S. CURRENCY, 9 F.Supp.3d. 582, 2014 WL 1266240; 1997 WL 10291 U.S. (APPELLATE BRIEF) BRIEF OF SENATORS ORIN G. HATCH, STROM THURMOND ET AL.; FORRESTER v. WHITE, 484 U.S. 219, 108 S.Ct. 538(U.S.1988); RULLIAM v. ALLEN, 466 U.S. 522, 536-543, 104 S.Ct. 1970, 1971-1982, 80 L.Ed.2d. 565 (1984); EX PARTE VIRGINIA, 100 U.S. 339, 348-349, 25 L.Ed. 676 (1880); ROCHE v. EVAPORATED MILK ASS'N, 391 U.S. 21, 63 S.Ct. 938, 87 L.Ed. 1185(U.S.1943); IN-RE: WILLIAMS, 381 Fed. Appx' 284, 2010 WL 2231958(4th.Cir.2010); WILLIAMS v. UNITED STATES, 2015 WL 11109497, * 1, E.D.Va..

INASMUCH, ON THE QUESTION OF RECUSAL AS DETERMINED BY THE U.S. SUPREME COURT. "THE COURT ASKS '[N]OT' WHETHER A JUDGE HARBORS AN ACTUAL BIAS (FOR EXAMPLE EXTRA JUDICIAL OR PERSONAL BIAS AS IN AN ABUSE OF DISCRETION THE CONSPIRING JUDGES ASSERTED IN THEIR ACTS OF FRAUD), BUT 'INSTEAD' (EMPHASIS ADDED) WHETHER , AS AN OBJECTIVE MATTER THERE IS A '[P]OTENTIAL' FOR BIAS OF "ANY KIND" (EMPHASIS ADDED) THAT RISES TO AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL LEVEL", WHICH IS CONSPICUOUS THAT SUCH AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL LEVEL

OF BIAS DOES EXIST IN THESE PARALLEL AND RELATED CASES AS IS EVIDENCED BY WHAT OCCURRED INVOLVING THESE JUDGES OF CONCERN, WHICH INCLUDE HOW THIS CASE IS FRAUDULENTLY FILED UNDER 17-7532 AND THE JUDGES USING ASHLEY BROWNLEE IN CASE 17-7186, ATTEMPTING TO FRAUDULENTLY MANIPULATE CHRISTOPHER WILSON TO FILE IN FORMA PAUPERIS DOCUMENTS THAT DO NOT HAVE THE PLRA LANGUAGE ON THEM TO CIRCUMVENT THE STRUCTURAL ERROR, ACTING AS LEGISLATORS, NOT JUDGES, WHERE THE LEGISLATORS CLEARLY DETERMINED THAT FILING BEFORE THIS COURT MUST BE DONE PURSUANT TO THE PLRA, TO ABSTAIN FROM RULING ON THE SPECIFIC ISSUE OF THE CONSTITUTIONALITY OF THESE PROVISIONS, THE PLRA AND THE AEDPA, BEFORE THESE CASES PROCEED. THIS IS REQUIRED BY DUE PROCESS LAW OR THE CASES ARE ILLEGAL AND THE JUDGES, CLERKS AND CASE MANAGERS ARE IN CLEAR VIOLATION OF THEIR OATH OF OFFICE. FRAUD UPON THE COURT AND STRUCTURAL ERROR EXIST. WRIT OF ERROR IS NOW FILED TO ADDRESS IT. RULE ON THE MOTION FOR RECUSAL UNDER § 1983 BEFORE ANY SUBSEQUENT MATTER OCCURS OR ALL PROCEEDINGS ARE ILLEGAL AND VOID UNDER 17-7186, 17-7532 AND ALL OTHER PENDING PARALLEL APPEALS, CAREATON-V.-A.T.-MASSEY-COAL-CO.-INC., 556 U.S. 868, 129 S.Ct. 2252, 173 L.Ed.2d. 1208(U.S.2009); JOHNSON-V.-STEVENSON, 2016 WL 1156649(DSC.2016); KENWOOD-GARDENS-CONDOMINIUMS-INC.-V.-WHALEN PROPERTIES-LLC., 2016 WL 6788852, * 11+ (Md.2016); DACRES-V.-ATTORNEY-GENERAL-U.S., 615 Fed. Appx' 79 (3rd.Cir.2015); IN RE:-SYNTAX-BRILLIAN-CORPORATION, 2016 WL 7177615(D.Md.2016); IN-RE:-LUNDY, 2016 WL 6108524(D.OHIO.2016).

LETS FINISH DRIVING THE "STAKE" IN THESE RAT, STINKING, VAMPIRES HEARTS. WHAT'S GOING ON HERE CORRUPT JUDGES OF THE 4TH. CIRCUIT? THIS IS THE SAME STUPID CRIMINAL MESS AND STUNT YOU JUDGES PULLED UNDER CASE 17-6960. THE ENTIRE CASE UNDER 17-7532 IS CORRUPTED BY FRAUD RENDERING IT VOID. WHERE IS THE FILING FEE PAID FOR CASE 17-7532? WHERE ARE THE FILING IN FORMA PAUPERIS DOCUMENTS? AS IN CASE 17-6960, YOU DID NOT REQUIRE SUCH FOR CASE 17-7532. IF YOU DID, WHICH WE HAVE NOT SEEN, THE SAME STRUCTURAL ERROR EXIST IN CASE 17-7532 AS IT DOES IN CASES 17-6960, 17-6693, 17-6925 AND THE OTHER PARALLEL APPEALS PENDING BEFORE THE 4TH. CIRCUIT. ITS PERSPICUOUS IN YOUR FRAUD THAT YOU MADE A MISTAKE. SO WE ATTACHED THE PLRA IN FORMA PAUPERIS

DOCUMENTS FOR THIS CASE TO HELP YOU OUT A LITTLE BIT. WE DON'T WANT YOU TO FALL AND BUMP YOUR HEAD. WATCH THAT FIRST STEP, ITS A "DOOSEY". NOW RULE ON THE DOGGON' ISSUES!!! STOP THE FRAUD AND FOOLISHNESS. IT IS SO ORDERED. THE COURT UNDER CASE 17-7532 AS IN CASE 17-6960 DID NOT ISSUE ANY ORDER STATING THAT THE FILING FEES WERE WAIVED. THIS IS CLEAR ERROR AND MANIFEST INJUSTICE. THIS CONSTITUTES AN ABUSE OF DISCRETION AND PLAIN ERROR BY YOUR FRAUD AND MACHINATION. UNLESS THE COURT RULES THAT SUCH A FILING FEE OR FORMS ARE UNCONSTITUTIONAL, WHICH THE COURT DID NOT DO. THE COURT CANNOT ACT AS A LEGISLATOR IN VIOLATION OF THE SEPARATION OF POWERS CLAUSE AND UNDO A CLEAR LEGISLATIVE ENACTMENT REQUIRED FOR FILING WITHIN THIS COURT. THE JUDGES ARE NOT LEGISLATORS WHICH VOID YOUR JURISDICTION FOR DUE PROCESS VIOLATION. WE OBJECT, BANK-MARKAZI-x-PETERSON, 136 S.Ct. 1310, 194 L.Ed.2d. 463, 84 U.S.L.W. 4222(U.S.2016); U.S.-x-BASTON, 818 F3d. 651(11th.Cir.2016).

IT IS NOT THE 4TH. CIRCUIT'S OR ANY COURT'S PLACE TO CHANGE THE MEANING OF A CLEAR AND UNAMBIGUOUS STATUTE; WHERE STATUTE'S LANGUAGE IS PLAIN AND UNAMBIGUOUS, AND CONVEYS A CLEAR AND DEFINITE MEANING, RULES OF STATUTORY INTERPRETATION ARE NOT NEEDED AND THE COURT HAS NO RIGHT TO IMPOSE ANOTHER MEANING. WHAT THE LEGISLATORS SAY IN THE TEXT OF THE STATUTE IS CONSIDERED THE BEST EVIDENCE OF THE LEGISLATIVE INTENT AND WILL, STATE x-MILES--S.E.2d.--, 2017 WL 3611694(S.C.2017); SIGNOR-x-KEEL, S.E.2d, 2017 WL 117711(S.C.2017); SMITH-x-TIEFANY, 419 S.C. 548, 799 S.E.2d. 479(S.C.2017); STAR-ATHELETICA,LLC-x-VARSITY BRANDS,INC., 137 S.Ct. 1002, 197 L.Ed.2d. 354, 85 U.S.L.W. 4139(U.S.2017); ENCINO-MOTOR-CARS,LLC-x-NAVARRO, 136 S.Ct. 2117, 195 L.Ed.2d. 382, 84 U.S.L.W. 4424.

BY THAT WHICH WAS SET IN PLACE BY THE LEGISLATURE THE 4TH. CIRCUIT RULES AND DUE REQUIRE THAT A FEE OF \$505.00 BE PAID OR 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(1) REQUIRES AN AFFIDAVIT TO FILE IN FORMA PAUPERIS TO BE SUBMITTED BEFORE ANY MATTER CAN PROCEED. THIS IS JURISDICTIONAL, SO WE DECIDED TO HELP YOU OUT BY SENDING THE ONES ATTACHED. JUST LIKE THE COURT UNDER 17-6960; THE COURT UNDER CASE 17-7532 IS PROHIBITED FROM SAYING ANYTHING ABOUT

THIS CASE. THE RULES ARE SUSPENDED FOR CASE 17-7532 AS IT IS ALSO FOR CASE 17-7186. IT IS SO ORDERED.

THE COURT DOES NOT HAVE JURISDICTION UNDER CASE 17-7532 UNLESS YOU HAVE ENTERED AND SERVED UPON US AN ORDER WAIVING THE FILING FEES EXPLAINING WHY (EMPHASIS ADDED) SUCH FEES WERE WAIVED. WE GIVE YOU JUDICIAL NOTICE. SINCE THE DOCUMENTS FOR FILING IN FORMA PAUPERIS ARE NOW ATTACHED FOR BOTH CASES 17-7532 AND 17-7186, THE CONSTITUTIONALITY OF THE PLRA AND THE AEDPA MUST BE ADDRESSED ON THE COURT RECORD BEFORE THESE CASES CAN PROCEED OR THE PROCEEDINGS BECOME ILLEGAL. BY THIS FAILURE THE COURT ACTED AS A LEGISLATOR, NOT A JUDGE, OVERRULING CONGRESS WITHOUT A PROPER JUDICIAL DETERMINATION EXPLAINING "WHY", WHICH VOIDS YOUR JURISDICTION FOR FRAUD AND DUE PROCESS VIOLATION. THIS IS A JURISDICTIONAL DEFECT, U.S. v. RON PAIR ENTERPRISES INC., 489 U.S. 235, 119 S.Ct. 1027, 103 L.Ed.2d. 290(U.S.1989); IN-RE: ARGO CREDIT, LLC, --B.R.--, 2017 WL 4404269(2017); UNITED STATES v. STE BRI ENTERPRISES INC., 2017 WL 4226873(D.C.OHIO. 2017); THOMPSON v. FINN, 2016 WL 5724369(2016); DAHER v. OWENS, 2014 WL 1159629; IN-RE: DUROSER, 2015 WL 4068243(N.D.2015); STONE v. BANK OF NEW YORK MELLON N.A., 2014 WL 61480; ROBICK v. COMMISSION OF SOCIAL SEC., 32 F.Supp.3d. 157; ENCANNATION v. BRANDT, 2015 WL 7078682(N.D.N.Y.2015); WEST v. UNITED STATES, 2016 WL 5375782(2016); MOCHE v. GEORGIA PACIFIC CORPORATION, F.Supp.3d., 2015 WL 9307307(2015); IN-RE: GJEADE, 535 B.R. 329 (2015); BLAKELY v. WARD, 738 F3d. 607(4th.Cir.2013). WE MOTION FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME TO SUBMIT BRIEF AND THE COURT RULE ON THESE ISSUES UNDER CASE 17-7532 BEFORE ANY INFORMAL BRIEF BE REQUIRED TO BE SUBMITTED. THE 4TH. CIRCUIT CANNOT ABSTAIN FROM ANSWERING FEDERAL QUESTIONS OR ORDER US TO BE PARTY IN AN ILLEGAL PROCEEDING, LAKE CARRIER ASS'N v. MacMILLIAN, 406 U.S. 498, 92 S.Ct. 1749(U.S.1972); U.S. v. \$41,320 U.S. CURRENCY, 9 F.Supp.3d. 582, 2014 WL 1266240; BROWN v. U.S., 2014 WL 2871398 (DSC.2014); 1997 WL 10291 U.S. (APPELLATE BRIEF) BRIEF OF U.S. SENATORS ORIN G. HATCH, STROM THURMONG ET AL.; FORRESTER v. WHITE, 484 U.S. 219, 108 S.Ct. 538(U.S.1988); WILLIAM v. ALLEN, 466 U.S. 522, 536-543, 104 S.Ct. 1970, 1977-1982, 80 L.Ed.2d. 565(1984); BAGCUS v. MARCHANT, 2014 WL 1330984(DSC.2014); EX

LETS GO FURTHER. I WANT YOU TO LOOK AT THE APPEAL UNDER CASES 17-7186, 17-6960 AND 17-7532. WHAT'S THE DIFFERENCE IN THESE (3) APPEALS BESIDES THE 4TH. CIRCUIT JUDGES IN ACTS OF FRAUD USING ASHLEY BROWNLEE AS PROXY TO BLOCK THE (70) PAGE DOCUMENT DATED OCTOBER 5, 2017 AND CONSPIRING TO MANIPULATE WILSON INTO FILLING OUT DIFFERENT FILING IN FORMA PAUPERIS DOCUMENTS TO CIRCUMVENT RULING ON THE CONSTITUTIONALITY OF THE PLRA AND THE AEDPA? THE ANSWER,...IS NOTHING. THE STRUCTURE OF THE CASES SUB-JUDICE ARE ESSENTIALLY THE SAME. SO THEN WHAT THE HECK DO THESE CORRUPT JUDGES UNDER CASES 17-6960 AND 17-7532 DOING HAVING THESE CASES FILED AS HABEAS CORPUS AND CASE 17-7186 IS ESSENTIALLY FILED AS A § 1983 ACTION? AND IF CASE 17-7532 IS A HABEAS CORPUS WHICH IS WHY McFADDEN IS LISTED AS RESPONDENT. WHY IS IT NOT LISTED WITHIN THE RECORD UNDER CASE 17-7532 THAT THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL IS MAKING AN APPEARANCE AS IN CASE 17-6960? IF THEY ARE REQUIRED TO APPEAR IN CASE 17-6960, THEN THEY ARE REQUIRED TO APPEAR UNDER CASE 17-7532 DUE TO YOUR FRAUD. IT IS SO ORDERED, BY DECREE OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC COURT.

NONETHELESS, THE ANSWER FOR CASE 17-7532 IS THE SAME FOR CASE 17-6960. THE 4TH. CIRCUIT, SINCE THEY FAILED IN THEIR ACTS OF MACHINATION IN CASE 17-6960 THEIR PLAN IS TO USE CASE 17-7532 AND THE ACTIONS DONE BY ASHLEY BROWNLEE UNDER CASE 17-7186 TO DEFRAUD US BY FILING THE CASE(S) INCORRECTLY AND BLOCK THE FILING OF THE (70) PAGE DOCUMENT DATED OCTOBER 5, 2017 FROM BEING FILED AND CIRCUMVENT RULING ON THE CONSTITUTIONALITY OF THE PLRA BY FRAUDULENTLY MANIPULATING WILSON INTO FILLING OUT DIFFERENT FILING IN FORMA PAUPERIS DOCUMENTS TO ABSTAIN FROM RULING ON THE ISSUE. THEY NEVER EXPECTED US TO ENTER THE 4TH. CIRCUIT WITH THE DELUGE OF SUBSEQUENT CASES THAT FOLLOWED WHICH CAN NOW ACT AS A "MARKER" TO HIGHLIGHT AND PROVE THE FRAUD AND CRIMINAL INTENT CONSPIRED IN BY THE 4TH. CIRCUIT PARTIES, CLERKS, CASE MANAGERS AND JUDGES SOUGHT RECUSED IN THEIR EFFORTS TO PROTECT THE DEFENDANTS IN THESE CASES AVOID SUIT. THEY KNEW WE SOUGHT RECUSAL OF JUDGES. SUCH IS NOT WARRANTED UNDER § 2254,

BUT IT WOULD HAVE BEEN REQUIRED UNDER § 1983 AND THEY WANTED TO CONCEAL THE MATERIAL FACT THAT THEY WERE SITTING UPON THEIR OWN CASES IN VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. §§ 242 AND 1001 MAKING CASE 17-7532 ILLEGAL LIKE THE OTHERS AFOREMENTIONED. WE ARE SEEKING REPARATIONS FOR SLAVERY AND JIM CROW AS RULER OF THE UNITED ETHIOPIAN EMPIRE, ONE OF THE (4) GLOBAL THRONES; WE ARE SEEKING RELIEF FOR VIOLATIONS OF ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 AND ARTICLE IV § 2 OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION; VIOLATIONS OF THE HOBBS ACT, 28 U.S.C. § 2679, THE F.S.I.A., THE C.A.T. TREATY, THE RICO ACT AND OATH OF OFFICE AND OTHER § 1983 ISSUES WHICH COULD NOT HAVE BEEN GRANTED UNDER § 2254. SO IN ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT AND MACHINATION, JUST LIKE YOU DID UNDER CASE 17-6960, THEY ESTABLISHED THE APPEAL UNDER CASE 17-7532 TO DEFRAUD US AND PREVENT FAIR, PROPER AND FULL REVIEW OF THE ESSENTIAL ISSUES BEFORE THE COURT BY FILING THE APPEAL, NOT JUST UNDER CASE 17-6969, BUT ALSO UNDER CASE 17-7532 AS A § 2254 ACTION AS OPPOSED TO A § 1983 WHILE ASHLEY BROWNLEE IN CASE 17-7186 CONSPIRED TO BLOCK THE FILING OF THE (70) PAGE DOCUMENT DATED OCTOBER 5, 2017. WE OBJECT.

WE OBJECT TO CASE 17-7532'S USAGE FOR ANYTHING. STAY THESE CASES AS WE SOUGHT. IT IS SO ORDERED. THE ENTIRE CASE UNDER BOTH CASE 17-7532 AND 17-7186, DUE TO ASHLEY BROWNLEE'S RECENT ACTIONS INVOLVING THE 4TH. CIRCUIT JUDGES ARE CORRUPTED BY FRAUD UPON THE COURT AND THE CASES STAND IN EGREGIOUS VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. §§ 242 AND 1001 PRODUCED TO CONCEAL THE MATERIAL FACT THAT THE KING-KHALIFAH AND JASON M. GOURDINE DID NOT ENTER THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT UNDER § 2254 AND BROWNLEE, ACTING AS PROXY FOR THESE JUDGES IS BLOCKING THE FILING OF THE (70) PAGE DOCUMENT DATED OCTOBER 5, 2017 AND TRYING TO MANIPULATE WILSON INTO FILLING OUT DIFFERENT IN FORMA PAUPERIS DOCUMENTS TO CIRCUMVENT OR ABSTAIN RULING ON FEDERAL QUESTION. THE CASE SUB-JUDICE UNDER 17-7532 WAS ENTERED IN THE DISTRICT COURT PURSUANT TO WRIT OF ERROR WHICH BY THE MANDATES OF THE ALL WRITS ACT OF 28 U.S.C. § 1651 MUST BE HEARD UNDER § 1983 NOT § 2254, CONSPIRING UNDER COLOR OF LAW AND OR AUTHORITY TO DENY US THE EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS FOR PROTECTORATE PURPOSES AND BEHIND RELIGIOUS AND RACIAL HATRED, RAUL-ADAMS-v.-CALIFORNIA-INSTITUTION

, 2016 WL 6464444; DONATONI-x-DEPARTMENT-OF-HOMELAND-SECURITY-
--F.Supp.3d.--, 2016 WL 1755871; U.S.-x-HARE, 820 F3d. 93 (4th.
Cir.2016). THIS VOIDS BOTH CASE(S) 17-7532 AND 17-7186'S JURIS-
DICTION FOR FRAUD UPON THE COURT AND DUE PROCESS VIOLATION PLA-
CING YOU IN FORFEITURE FOR ALL RELIEF SOUGHT. IT IS SO ORDERED,
WHITE-x-MANIS, 2014 WL 1513280(DSC.2014); U.S.-x-ALEDEKCHA,
2010 WL 4054267(D.C.Md.2010); HUNT-x-U.S. F.Supp.2d., 2007
WL 5131716(DSC.2007).

ONCE THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGES, EVEN CONSPIRING
WITH PARTIES WITHIN THE 4TH. CIRCUIT, ENGAGED IN ACTS OF FRAUD
UPON THE COURT, MACHINATION, CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY AND OBSTRUCTION
OF JUSTICE, VIOLATIONS OF THEIR OATH OF OFFICE, VIOLATIONS OF
ARTICLE III SECTIONS 1 AND 2 OF THE U.S. CONST., VIOLATIONS
OF THE RICO ACT, THE C.A.T. TREATY, THE FOREIGN SOVEREIGN IM-
MUNITY ACT, THE A.D.A. AND OTHER PROVISIONS OF LAW CITED, BY
ESTABLISHING CASES IN A MANNER THAT WE DID NOT ORIGINALLY FILE
THEM, ALTERING OUR INTENDED PROCEEDINGS, SITTING UPON CASES
TO WHICH THEY ARE DEFENDANTS OR TO WHICH THE POTENTIAL FOR BIAS
RISES TO AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL LEVEL IN VIOLATION OF U.S. SUPREME
COURT PRECEDENT, SPOOLIATING WRITS OF ERROR, PROPERTY AND EVIDENCE
ALSO TO PREVENT OUR RIGHT TO TIMELY REINSTATE CASE 4:16-cv-2939,
3101-3107-MBS-TER WITHIN (10) DAYS OF ORDER, CONSPIRING WITH
THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL AND THE S.C. DEPT. OF CORRECTIONS
TO NOT PICK UP AND TRANSPORT THE KING-KHALIFAH AND ANTHONY COOK
TO THE STATE PROCEEDINGS UNDER CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 FOR THE
PURPOSE OF OBTAINING FRAUDULENT PROTECTIVE ORDERS SO THEY CAN
MAKE USE OF THEM WITHIN THESE FEDERAL PROCEEDINGS, INSTRUCTING
ASHLEY BROWNLEE TO OBSTRUCT FILING OF THE (70) PAGE DOCUMENT
DATED OCTOBER 5, 2017 IN CASE 17-7186 AND ATTEMPTING TO MANIPU-
LATE HIM INTO FILLING OUT DIFFERENT FILING IN FORMA PAUPERIS
DOCUMENTS TO CIRCUMVENT RULING ON ESSENTIAL ISSUES AND GET AROUND
THE STRUCTURAL ERROR THAT EXIST BY USE OF PLRA, JENNIFER RICE
CONSPIRING TO ATTACH A RULE 40(d) NOTICE TO ILLEGAL PROCEEDINGS
UNDER CASES 16-1953 AND 16-2141, INSTRUCTING S.C.D.C. IN PHYSICAL
ATTACKS UPON US, DESTROYING PROPERTY NEEDED TO ACCESS THE COURTS
AND TELLING S.C.D.C. NOT TO MAKE COPIES OF EVIDENCE AND LEGAL
DOCUMENTS OR DENY INJUNCTIONS TO PREVENT THIS EVIDENCE AND DOCU-

MENTS SUBMISSION SO THE FEDERAL COURTS COULD PRODUCE FRAUDULENT REPORTS, ORDERS, RECOMMENDATIONS OR DETERMINATIONS, IN VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. §§ 242 AND 1001 AS WELL AS IN VIOLATION OF THE HOBBS ACT. THE PROCEEDINGS IN THEIR TOTALITY BECAME IRREGULAR AND INVALID WARRANTING THE WRIT OF ERROR. WHERE CIVIL DISABILITY IN THIS CASE EXIST SUCH AS THE ILLEGAL DEPRIVING OF PARENTAL RIGHTS OF A FOREIGN SOVEREIGN KING-KHALIFAH, WHERE ALL COURTS WERE REPEATEDLY TOLD THAT I, JAHJAH AL MAHDI, THE KING-KHALIFAH DENOUNCE HIS AMERICAN CITIZENSHIP AND ADOPT THE CITIZENSHIP OF HIS ISRAELI FOREFATHER KING DAVID OF THE SOLE CORPORATION AS THE FIDUCIARY HEIR TO THE THRONE OF ISRAEL AND THE OTHER (3) GLOBAL THRONES, THE DEPRIVING OF SOVEREIGN RIGHTS IN VIOLATION OF THE F.S.I.A. DECLARED BY DECLARATION OF INDEPENDENCE FILED IN CASE 2013-CP-400-0084, TO INCLUDE THE ESTABLISHING BEFORE THE COURT THE BENEFICIARY RIGHTS WHERE THEY IMPAIRED THE OBLIGATION OF THE "CONTRACT", "COVENANT", "COMPACT" IN VIOLATION OF ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 OF THE U.S. CONST., VIOLATING RIGHTS ESTABLISHED UNDER THE PRIVILEGE AND IMMUNITIES CLAUSE OF ARTICLE IV § 2, AS WELL AS THE ILLEGAL ARRESTING AND OR ATTACHING AND OR EXECUTING OF OUR INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY IN VIOLATION OF THE RESTRICTIONS ESTABLISHED BY THE "GRANT", "CONTRACT" IN THE FORM OF THE RIGHT TO LEGALLY MARRY YOUR NATIONS GAVE THESE SODOMITES AND GOMORRAHRITES AS IS ARGUED IN CASE 16-2299 EFFECTING INTER-STATE COMMERCE IN VIOLATION OF THE HOBBS ACT; ALL ESTABLISHED BY CONTRACT, DEFAULT AND COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL EMERGING FROM CASE 2013-CP-400-0084; AND WHERE THE KING-KHALIFAH'S CONVICTION WAS OBTAINED BY FUNDAMENTAL STRUCTURAL ERROR, TO INCLUDE THE SUPPRESSION OF EVIDENCE OF ACTUAL INNOCENCE AND THE CONSPIRING STATE AND FEDERAL ACTORS ATTACKED THE BENEFICIARY PLAINTIFFS IN THE PARALLEL AND RELATED CASES DUE PROCESS MATTERS IN RETALIATION BECAUSE THEY SOUGHT TO AID HIM SEEK REDRESS FOR CONSTITUTIONALLY PROTECTED RIGHTS IN VIOLATION OF PLUIPA AND 42 U.S.C. §§ 2000, 12203(a)(b), 1985(2), 1985(3) AND 1986. WRIT OF ERROR WAS THE APPROPRIATE VEHICLE TO FILE NOT JUST UNDER CASE 8:14-cv-3555-RBH-JDA, BUT ALSO UNDER 9:17-cv-01803-TMC OR ANY OTHER RELATED CASE WHERE THESE ISSUES COULD NOT POSSIBLY BEEN HEARD UNDER § 2254. THE HOLDINGS MADE IN ROSS v. BLAKE, 136 S.Ct. 1850(2016) ATTACH AND APPLY TO THE STATE COURTS AND THE S.C.

DISTRICT COURT JUDGES AS WELL WHERE THEY ENGAGED IN EGREGIOUS ACTS OF FRAUD, MACHINATION AND MISREPRESENTATION, IT CREATES A SITUATION WHERE THERE ARE NO AVAILABLE REMEDIES. ARTICLE III DYNAMICS EXIST IN THESE CASES WARRANTING THE FILING OF THE WRIT OF ERROR THAT WAS SUBMITTED BEFORE THE DISTRICT COURT, NOT MERELY § 2254 WHICH WAS ATTACHED DUE TO THE CONVICTIONS ALREADY BEING INVALIDATED BY THE FALSE IMPRISONMENT TORT WHICH IS CASE 2013-CP-400-0084. THE WRIT OF ERROR IS THE APPROPRIATE VEHICLE TO USE UNDER THESE CIRCUMSTANCES WHERE NEITHER THE KING-KHALIFAH NOR JASON GOURDINE ENTERED THE FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. § 2254, BUT ENTERED THE COURT UNDER THE ALL WRITS ACT OF 28 U.S.C. § 1651, WRIT OF ERROR, WHICH WAS TO BE ADJUDICATED UNDER § 1983. THEREFORE, DUE TO THIS CONTINUAL FRAUD UPON THE COURT CONSPIRED IN BY ALL PARTIES CASE 17-7532 CANNOT PROCEED AS CONSTRUCTED UNTIL IT BE ACKNOWLEDGED IN THE SAME FASHION AS THE COURT DID UNDER CASE 17-7186, THAT WRIT OF ERROR IS FILED, AND REMAND TO CORRECT THE FRAUD. CASE 17-7186 CANNOT PROCEED UNTIL THE COURT ACCEPTS THE PLRA FILING IN FORMA PAUPERIS DOCUMENTS WE SENT THEM FOR THAT CASE, STOP BLOCKING THE (70) PAGE DOCUMENT DATED OCTOBER 5, 2017 SUBMISSION AS THE INFORMAL BRIEF IN CASE 17-7186 AND RULE ON THE CONSTITUTIONALITY OF THE PLRA AND THE AEDPA, STOP THE FRAUD!!! I, WE, MOTION FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT, UNITED STATES v. DENEDO, 556 U.S. 904, 129 S.Ct. 2213, 173 L.Ed.2d. 1235(U.S.2009); UNITED STATES v. APPLE-MAC-PRO COMPUTER, --F3d.--, 2017 WL 1046105 (3rd.Cir.2017); UNITED STATES v. GREGORY-HOLT-AKA-ABDUL-MAALIK-MUHAMMAD, 2017 WL 1181509(W.D. La.2017); STERN v. UNITED STATES, 2016 WL 6986704(N.C.2016); CLARKE v. UNITED STATES, 2017 WL 390294(N.C.2017); IN-RE: BARTLEY 2016 WL 6068862(4th.Cir.2016).

INSOMUCH, THE OATH OF OFFICE IS A QUID PRO QUO CONTRACT UNDER U.S. CONST. ARTICLE 6 Cl. 2 AND 3, DAVIS v. LAWYERS-SURETY CORPORATION, 459 S.W.2d. 655, 657 Tex. App., IN WHICH CLERKS, OFFICIALS AND OFFICERS OF THE GOVERNMENT PLEDGE TO PERFORM, SUPPORT AND UPHOLD THE UNITED STATES AND STATES CONSTITUTIONS WITH ITS MANDATES IN RETURN FOR SUBSTANCES SUCH AS WAGES, PERKS AND BENEFITS. THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT HAS HELD THAT NO STATE, NOR LEGISLATOR, NOR EXECUTIVE, NOR JUDGE CAN EVER

WAR AGAINST THE CONSTITUTION WITHOUT VIOLATING HIS UNDERTAKING TO SUPPORT IT WHICH IS THE HEART OF THEIR OATH OF OFFICE, COOPER v. AARON, 358 U.S. 1, 78 S.Ct. 1401(1958); IN-RE: NEELY, 390 P.3d. 728, 2017 Wy. 25.

ANY JUDGE, CASE MANAGER, CLERK OR OTHER STATE AND OR FEDERAL OFFICIAL WHO DOES NOT COMPLY WITH HIS OATH OF OFFICE REGARDING THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES WARS AGAINST THAT CONSTITUTION AND ENGAGES IN A FORM OF TREASONOUS ACTS IN VIOLATION OF THE SUPREME LAW OF THE LAND, AND IN THIS CASE IN VIOLATION OF THE SUPERSEDING AUTHORITY OF THE KING-KHALIFAH. IF A JUDGE OR CASE MANAGER OR CLERK DOES NOT FULLY COMPLY WITH THE CONSTITUTION THEN HIS ORDERS AND OR THEIR ACTS ARE VOID, THEY ARE WITHOUT JURISDICTION AND SUCH A PERSON ENGAGES IN ACTS OF TREASON AGAINST THE CONSTITUTION ITSELF. PROPONENTS ARE SUBJECTED TO PENALTIES AND REMEDIES FOR BREACH OF CONTRACT, CONSPIRACY UNDER 18 U.S.C. §§ 241, 242 AND IN THIS CASE 1001, AS WELL AS TREASON AGAINST THE CONSTITUTION AND VIOLATIONS OF ARTICLE III SECTIONS TO INCLUDE THIS OUTRAGEOUS FRAUD, AUERBOCK v. SAMUELS, 10 UTAH.2d., 152, 349 P.2d. 1112, 1114; ALLEGHANY CORP. v. KIRBY, D.C.N.Y. 218 F.Supp. 164, 183; KEETON-RACKING CO. v. STATE, 437 S.W. 20, 28.

REFUSING TO LIVE BY YOUR OATHS OF OFFICE PLACES ALL CONSPIRING PARTIES IN DIRECT VIOLATION OF YOUR OATHS IN EVERY CASE. VIOLATING YOUR OATHS OF OFFICE IS NOT JUST CAUSE FOR IMMEDIATE DISMISSAL AND REMOVAL FROM OFFICE, IT IS A FEDERAL CRIME.... FEDERAL LAW REGULATING OATH OF OFFICE BY GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS IS DIVIDED INTO (4) PARTS ALONG WITH AN EXECUTIVE ORDER WHICH FURTHER DEFINES THE LAW FOR PURPOSES OF ENFORCEMENT, 5 U.S.C. § 3331, PROVIDES THE TEXT OF THE ACTUAL OATH OF OFFICE MEMBERS OF CONGRESS ARE REQUIRED TO TAKE BEFORE ASSUMING OFFICE. 5 U.S.C. § 3333 REQUIRES MEMBERS OF CONGRESS SIGN AN AFFIDAVIT TO DEMONSTRATE THAT THEY HAVE TAKEN THE OATH OF OFFICE REQUIRED BY 5 U.S.C. § 3331 AND HAVE NOT OR WILL NOT VIOLATE THAT OATH OF OFFICE DURING THEIR TENURE OF OFFICE AS DEFINED BY THE 3rd. PART OF THE LAW, 5 U.S.C. § 7311 WHICH EXPLICITLY MAKES IT A FEDERAL CRIMINAL OFFENSE FOR VIOLATIONS OF THEIR OATH OF OFFICE

WHICH THERE ARE SIMILAR PROVISIONS THAT APPLY TO ALL JUDGES, CLERKS, CASE MANAGERS AND EVEN FOR STATE OFFICIALS. FOR ANYONE EMPLOYED WITHIN THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT, INCLUDING MEMBERS OF CONGRESS TO ADVOCATE THE OVERTHROW OR VIOLATE THE U.S. CONSTITUTION IN THE MANNER THAT OCCURRED WITHIN THESE CASES 18 U.S.C. § 1918 PROVIDES PENALTIES FOR VIOLATIONS OF "OATH OF OFFICE" DESCRIBED IN 5 U.S.C. § 7311. WHENEVER A JUDGE OR CASE MANAGER OR CLERK ACTS WHERE HE OR SHE DOES NOT HAVE JURISDICTION TO ACT, THE JUDGE OR CASE MANAGER OR CLERK ENGAGES IN ACT OR ACTS OF TREASON, ESPECIALLY AGAINST THE CONSTITUTION, UNITED STATES x. WILLIAMS, 449 U.S. 200, 216, 101 S.Ct. 471, 66 L.Ed.2d. 392, 406(1980); COHEN x. VIRGINIA, 19 U.S. (6 WHEAT) 264, 404, 5 L.Ed. 257(1821).

WHEN IT COMES TO THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL AND OR FEDERAL ATTORNEYS REQUIREMENT TO RESPOND TO THE PLEADINGS SINCE THEY APPEARED IN CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 REMOVED TO THESE FEDERAL CASES. THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT IN SCHEVER x. RHOADES, 416 U.S. 232, 94 S.Ct. 1683(1974) ADJUDICATED THAT "WHEN A STATE OFFICER ACTS UNDER A STATE LAW IN A MANNER VIOLATIVE OF THE FEDERAL CONSTITUTION, HE COMES INTO CONFLICT WITH THE SUPERIOR AUTHORITY OF THAT CONSTITUTION, AND HE IS IN THAT CASE STRIPPED OF HIS OFFICIAL OR REPRESENTATIVE CHARACTER AND IS SUBJECT IN HIS PERSON TO THE CONSEQUENCES OF HIS INDIVIDUAL CONDUCT. THE STATE HAS NO POWER TO IMPART TO HIM ANY IMMUNITY FROM RESPONSIBILITY TO THE SUPREME AUTHORITY OF THE UNITED STATES AND IN THIS CASE, TO THE SUPERSEDING ATTORNEY, JUDICIAL AND LEGISLATIVE POWER AND AUTHORITY OF THE KING-KHALIFAH OF THE (4) GLOBAL THRONES. BY LAW A JUDGE IS A STATE OFFICER AND OR FEDERAL EMPLOYEE. THE JUDGE THEN ACTS NOT AS A JUDGE BUT AS A PRIVATE INDIVIDUAL.

THERE IS TRUSTEE OVER THESE CASES AND THE KING-KHALIFAH'S DECREES SUPERSEDE ALL. UNDER FEDERAL LAW WHICH IS APPLICABLE TO ALL STATES, THAT IF A COURT OR PARTIES IS "WITHOUT AUTHORITY, ITS JUDGMENTS OR ACTS OR ORDERS ARE REGARDED AS NULLITIES. THEY ARE NOT VOIDABLE, BUT SIMPLY VOID, AND FORM NO BAR TO A RECOVERY SOUGHT, EVEN PRIOR TO A REVERSAL IN OPPOSITION TO THEM. THEY CONSTITUTE NO JUSTIFICATION, AND ALL PERSONS CONCERNED IN EXECU-

TING SUCH ACTS, JUDGMENTS, OR SENTENCES ARE CONSIDERED, IN LAW, AS TRESPASSERS". YOU CAN'T ATTACH A RULE 40(d) NOTICE TO CASES 16-1953 OR 16-2141 AND ASHLEY BROWNLEE CAN'T BLOCK THE PLRA FILING IN FORMA PAUPERIS DOCUMENTS OR THE (70) PAGE DOCUMENT DATED OCTOBER 5, 2017 FROM BEING FILED IN CASE 17-7186, ELLIOTT v. RIVERSQL, 1 PET. 328, 340, 26 U.S. 328, 340(1828).

WHEN JUDGES, CLERKS OR CASE MANAGERS ACT WHEN THEY DO NOT HAVE JURISDICTION TO ACT, OR THEY ENFORCE A VOID ORDER OR ACT (AN ORDER ISSUED BY JUDGES WITHOUT JURISDICTION) THEY BECOME TRESPASSERS OF THE LAW, AND ARE ENGAGED IN ACTS OF TREASON SET AGAINST THEIR OATHS AND THE CONSTITUTION. THE COURT IN YATES v. VILLAGE OF HOFFMAN ESTATES, ILLINOIS, 209 F.Supp. 757(N.D.Ill. 1962) HELD THAT "NOT EVERY ACTION BY A JUDGE OR A CLERK OR A CASE MANAGER IS AN EXERCISE OF THEIR SPECIFIC FUNCTION...IT IS NOT A JUDICIAL, CLERICAL OR CASE MANAGER FUNCTION FOR THEM TO COMMIT AN INTERNATIONAL TORT EVEN THOUGH THE TORT OCCURS IN THE COURTHOUSE". WHEN A JUDGE OR OFFICIAL ACTS AS A TRESPASSER OF THE LAW OR HIS OATH OF OFFICE, WHEN THE JUDGE OR OFFICIAL DOES NOT FOLLOW THE LAW OR HIS OATH OF OFFICE, THE JUDGE AND OR OFFICIAL IS SUBJECT TO DISMISSAL, CRIMINAL PENALTIES AND LOSES SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION AND THE OFFICIAL'S ACTS AND THE JUDGE'S ORDERS ARE VOID AND ARE OF NO LEGAL FORCE OR EFFECT.

ALL ACTS ARE ILLEGAL AND VOID, WHERE IN CONFLICT WITH THE U.S. CONSTITUTION. NO PERSON SHALL BE DEPRIVED OF LIFE, LIBERTY OR PROPERTY, WHICH INCLUDE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY, WITHOUT DUE PROCESS LAW, NOR SHALL HE BE ADJUDICATED OF OR TRIED FOR ANY OFFENSE BY AN EX POST FACTO LAW WHERE EX POST FACTO LAW SHALL BE PASSED AND ALL SAID RIGHTS, TITLES, PRIVILEGES AND IMMUNITIES ARE INVIOLETE AND WOULD VIOLATE THE EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS CLAUSE, R.A.M. OF SOUTH FLORIDA, INC. v. W.C.I. COMMUNICATORS, INC. 869 SO.2d. 1210, 29 FLA. L. WEEKLY D. 761.

CONSTRUCTIVE FRAUD IS BREACH OF LEGAL OR EQUITABLE DUTY WHICH, IRRESPECTIVE OF MORAL GUILT, IS DECLARED BY LAW TO BE FRAUDULENT BECAUSE OF ITS TENDENCY TO DECEIVE OTHERS OR VIOLATE CONFIDENCE, DAVES v. LAWYERS SUR. CORP. 459 S.W.2d. 655(1970);

CORDIAL-v.-ERNST-&-YOUNG, 199 W.Va. 119, 483 S.E.2d. 248(1996).

FORCED BREACH OF FIDUCIARY DUTY BY FRAUD TO BREACH CONTRACT IS PUNITIVE IN NATURE BY THESE CONSPIRING PARTIES. THE FIDUCIARY SOVEREIGN POWER HAS PARAMOUNT RIGHT TO PROTECT THE LIVES, HEALTH, MORALS, COMFORT AND GENERAL WELFARE OF HIS HOLY COMMONWEALTH WHO ARE BENEFICIARIES OF THE "TRUST", EDEN-v.-GOOD-YEAR-TIRE-&-RUBBER-CO., 858 F2d. 198(4th.Cir.1988); CURTIS-v.-CAFE-ENTERPRISES-INC., 2016 WL 6916786(N.C.2016); HOME-BLDG &-LOAN-ASS'N-v.-BLAISDELL, 290 U.S. 398, 54 S.Ct. 231, 88 A.L.R. 1481, 78 L.Ed. 413(U.S.1934); ELLIOTT-v.-BOARD-OF-SCHOOLS-TRUSTEE OF-MADISON-CONSOLIDATED-SCHOOLS, --F3d.--, 2017 WL 5988226(7th. Cir.2017); NORTH-CAROLINA-ASS'N-OF-EDUCATORS, INC.-v.-STATE, 368 N.C. 777, 786 S.E.2d. 255(N.C.2016).

THE LAW DICTATES THAT FRAUD DESTROYS THE VALIDITY OF EVERYTHING IT ENTERS INCLUDING THE ACTS OF ASHLEY BROWNLEE AND JENNIFER RICE ACTING AS PROXY FOR THE JUDGES. IT FATALLY EFFECTS EVEN THE MOST SOLEMN ACTS OR JUDGMENTS AND DECREES. LABEO DEFINES FRAUD TO BE ANY CUNNING DECEPTION OR ARTICLE USED TO CIRCUMVENT OR DECEIVE ANOTHER, MR. WELLS, IN HIS VERY WORK ON RES JUDICATA SAYS, SEC. 499, "FRAUD VITIATES EVERYTHING", NUDD-v.-BURROWS, 91 U.S. 667-683(1875); U.S.-v.-THROCKMORTON, 98 U.S. 61-71(1871); 24-SENATORIAL-DIST.-REPUBLICAN-COMMITTEE-v.-ALCORN, 820 F3d. 624(4th.Cir.2016).

JURISDICTION UNDER CASE 17-7532 AS IS 17-7186 IS VOID FOR DUE PROCESS VIOLATION, FRAUD AND STRUCTURAL ERRORS. THESE STRUCTURAL ERRORS DEFIES ANALYSIS BY HARMLESS ERROR DOCTRINE ESPECIALLY SINCE THERE IS TRUSTEE APPOINTED, WEAVER-v.-MASSACHUSETTS, 137 S.Ct. 1899, 198 L.Ed.2d. 420, 85 U.S.L.W. 4433(U.S. 2017); PIRELA-v.-HORN, --Fed. Appx'--, 2017 WL 4176224(3rd.Cir. 2017); GARCIA-FINANCIAL-GROUP-v.-VIRGINIA-ACCELERATORS-CORP., 3 Fed. Appx' 86, 2001 WL 117497(4th.Cir.2001) WHICH CAN BE RAISED AT ANY TIME TO VOID ALL ORDERS AND STOP THIS ARBITRARY JUDICIAL, CLERICAL OR OTHERWISE ACTIONS ISSUED AND OR DONE IN BOTH CASE 17-7532 AND 17-7186, BOARD-OF-TRUSTEES-OF-INTERNATIONAL-UNION OPERATING-ENGINEERS, 2016 WL 1253285; WELLS-FARGO-BANK-N.A.

SINCE THERE WAS NO RULING ON THE CONSTITUTIONALITY OF THE PLRA AND THE AEDPA AS THEY RELATE TO EX-PARTE-VIRGINIA, 100 U.S. 339, 348-349, 25 L.Ed. 676(1880) AND THE-SLAUGHTER-HOUSE-CASES, 83 U.S. (16 WALL) 36, 1873 AND INSTEAD THE 4TH. CIRCUIT CHOSE FRAUD UNDER CASE 17-7186 TO MANIPULATE WILSON INTO FILLING OUT FILING IN FORMA PAUPERIS DOCUMENTS USED PRIOR TO THE CLINTON ADMINISTRATION'S OMNIBUS CRIME BILL TO CIRCUMVENT RULING. THE 4TH. CIRCUIT AND PARTIES VIA SANCTIONS SOUGHT AND GRANTED BY DECREE OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC COURT MUST BE DEEMED IN FORFEITURE. ACTS OF CONGRESS OR THE COURTS THAT ARE REPUGNANT TO THE U.S. CONSTITUTION CANNOT BECOME LAW OR STAND AS LAW. THE CONSTITUTION IS SUPREME TO ANY ACT OF THE COURTS OR LEGISLATURE, EVANCHO-X.-RINE-RICHLAND-SCHOOL-DISTRICT, 237 F.Supp.3d 267(W.D.Pa.2017); TAYLOR-X.-U.S. 136 S.Ct. 2074, 195 L.Ed.2d. 456, 84 U.S.L.W. 4462(U.S.2016).

INSOMUCH, YOUR ACTIONS IN HANDLING THESE MATTERS VIOLATE THE CONTRACT, THE GRANT GIVEN TO YOUR NATIONS BY THE SOLE CORPORATION IMPAIRING THE OBLIGATION OF THE CONTRACT IN VIOLATION OF THE PRIVILEGE AND IMMUNITIES CLAUSE OF ARTICLE IV § 2 AND ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION ESTABLISHING CAUSE BEFORE ALL COURTS ALLOWING ME TO INTERVENE AND CORRECT YOUR FRAUD, CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE, PRESAULT-X.-I.C.G. 494 U.S. 1, 110 S.Ct. 914, 108 L.Ed.2d. 1 (U.S.1990); BROWN-X.-BROWN, F.Supp.2d., 2013 WL 2338233(D.C.Ky.2013); HARRIS-X.-HHCREEG-INC. F.Supp.2d., 2013 WL 1331166(N.C.2013); AMERICAN MUT.-LIBERTY-INS.-CO.-X.-PLYWOOD-PLASTICS-CORP. 81 F.Supp. 157(DSC.1948); ORARAH-X.-NEW-YORK-DEPT.-OF-EDUC. F.Supp.3d., 2015 WL 4240733(N.Y.D.C.2015); GORDON-X.-TBC-RETAIL-GROUP-INC. F.Supp.3d., 2016 WL 4247738(DSC.2016).

THE LAW AS DETERMINED BY THE U.S. SUPREME COURT IS CLEAR AND UNAMBIGUOUS ON ISSUES SUCH AS THE ONES BEING ARGUED WITHIN THESE CASES. IF A RULING, AND WE CAN ADD THE ACTS OF THE CLERKS AND CASE MANAGERS JENNIFER RICE AND ASHLEY BROWNLEE, HAS BEEN OBTAINED UNDER AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL STATUTE AND OR LEGISLATIVE

PROVISION AND OR INTERPRETATION OF LAW AND OR ACT, WHICH INCLUDE FRAUD. THE LAW EXPLAINED IF THIS POSITION IS WELL TAKEN, WHICH IT IS, IT EFFECTS THE "FOUNDATION" OF THE "WHOLE"(EMPHASIS ADDED) PROCEEDING. AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL LAW OR ACT OR JUDICIAL DETERMINATION IS VOID AND IS AS IF THERE WERE NO ACT, OR DETERMINATION MADE OR DONE AT ALL, BEING A STRUCTURAL CONSTITUTIONAL ERROR NOT SUBJECT TO THE HARMLESS ERROR DOCTRINE. THE GENERAL RULE IS THAT AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL STATUTE AND OR ACT AND OR LEGISLATIVE PROVISION OF LAW, THOUGH HAVING THE FORM AND NAME OF LAW, IT IS IN REALITY NO LAW BY SUCH ACTS, BUT IS WHOLLY VOID AND INEFFECTIVE FOR ANY PURPOSE, WHICH INCLUDE ATTACHING A RULE 40(d) NOTICE TO IT BY RICE IN CASES 16-1953 AND 16-2141 OR BROWLEE SENDING FRAUDULENT FILING IN FORMA PAUPERIS DOCUMENTS AND BLOCKING THE FILING OF THE (70) PAGE DOCUMENT DATED OCTOBER 5, 2017 IN CASE 17-7186 OR THIS CASE GOING FORWARD UNDER 17-7532, SINCE ITS UNCONSTITUTIONALITY DATES FROM THE DATE OF ITS ENACTMENT AND OR WHEN THE ACT WAS DONE....IN LEGAL CONTEMPLATION, IT IS INOPERATIVE AS IF IT HAD NEVER BEEN PASSED OR DONE....SINCE AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL LAW AND OR ACT IS VOID, THE GENERAL PRINCIPLE FOLLOWS THAT IT IMPOSES NO DUTY (CLERKS OR CASE MANAGERS ACTING AS PROXY FOR THESE JUDGES CANNOT BLOCK OUR FILINGS OR ATTACH TO THEM), CONFERS NO RIGHTS, CREATES NO OFFICE (JUDICIAL, CLERICAL, CASE MANAGERS), BESTOWS NO POWER (NONE OF YOU HAVE JURISDICTION INCLUDING THE CLERKS AND CASE MANAGERS TO DO WHAT THEY'VE DONE.) OR AUTHORITY ON ANY PERSON (EMPHASIS ADDED), AFFORDS NO PROTECTION (YOU ARE NOT IMMUNE ONCE WE'VE NOTIFIED YOU OF THE WRONGS, AND YOU FAIL TO CORRECT OR CONTINUE IN THEM.), AND JUSTIFIES NO ACTS PERFORMED UNDER IT (DONE BY JENNIFER RICE AND ASHLEY BROWNLEE ACTING AS PROXY FOR THESE JUDGES IN FRAUD AS WELL AS THE PLRA AND STATE V. GENTRY ISSUES.)....A VOID ACT CANNOT BE LEGALLY CONSISTENT WITH A VALID ONE. AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL LAW CANNOT OPERATE TO SUPERSEDE ANY EXISTING LAW (THIS MEANS THE LAW ESTABLISHED BEFORE THESE PROVISIONS OF LAW WERE ENACTED MUST NOW STAND ALLOWING US TO JOINTLY FILED, SEEK CLASS ACTION, ETC.). INDEED INSOFAR AS A STATUTE AND OR LEGISLATIVE PROVISION AND OR ACT RUN COUNTER TO THE FUNDAMENTAL LAW OF THE OF THE LAND (THE U.S. CONSTITUTION, EX PARTE VIRGINIA, SCHWARE, MCBURNEY, INDICTMENTS ARE TO BE ADJUDICATED UNDER THE DUE PROCESS

PRONG TO SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION), IT IS SUPERSEDED THEREBY, NO ONE IS BOUND TO OBEY FRAUD OR AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL LAW AND NO COURTS ARE BOUND TO ENFORCE IT. ALL LAWS, RULES (LIKE THE RULE 40(d) NOTICE FILED BY RICE), STATUTES (THE PLRA AND THE AEDPA) AND PRACTICES (LIKE THE JUDGES USING THESE CLERKS AND CASE MANAGERS TO BLOCK FILING OF DOCUMENTS, STEALING INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY IN VIOLATION OF THE HOBBS AND THE EXPROPRIATION EXCEPTION TO THE F.S.I.A.), WHICH ARE REPUGNANT TO THE CONSTITUTION ARE "NULL" AND "VOID", MARBURY v. MADISON, 5TH. U.S. (2 CRANCH) 137, 180; VINES v. UNITED STATES, 28 F3d. 1123 CRIM. LAW 1163(1), 1165(1); ROBINSON v. ARXONIO, 27 F3d. 877 REHEARING DENIED CERT. GRANTED VACATED 115 S.Ct. 1247, 513 U.S. 1186, 131 L.Ed.2d. 129; LOUMIET v. UNITED STATES, 65 F.Supp.3d. 19(2014); JOHNSON v. UNITED STATES, --S.Ct.--, 2015 WL 2473450(U.S.2015); MONTGOMERY v. LOUISIANA, 136 S.Ct. 718, 193 L.Ed.2d. 599, 84 U.S.L.W. 4063 (U.S. 2016); GEET-OUTDOORS-LLC v. CONSOLIDATION-CITY-OF-INDIANA-BOLISAAA, 187 F.Supp.3d. 1002, 1012, S.D.Ill.; HILL v. SNYDER, 821 F3d. 763, 765+ (6TH.Cir.MICH.); PEOPLE v. SOLO, N.E.3d., 2017 WL 1838423(2017); 24-SENATORIAL-DIST.-REPUBLICAN-COMMITTEE v. ALCORN, 820 F3d. 624(4th.Cir.2016).

THE 4TH. CIRCUIT NEVER EXPECTED THE DELUGE OF PROCEEDINGS THAT HAS NOW ENTERED INTO THE 4TH. CIRCUIT COURT WHICH NOW CREATE EVIDENCE TO SUBSTANTIATE THE FRAUD WHEN COMPARED WITH CASE(S) 17-7186 AND 17-6960. AS FOREIGN SOVEREIGN KING-KHALIFAH, I, JAHJAH AL MAHDI, GIVE THE 4TH. CIRCUIT COURT JUDICIAL NOTICE AS A MATTER OF LAW LET IT BE KNOWN IN AND FOR THE RECORD. I, AS KING-KHALIFAH, DEMAND THAT JENNIFER RICE, ASHLEY BROWNLEE, ALL CLERKS AND CASE MANAGERS, ALL JUDGES TO PRODUCE THEIR BOND AND OR OATH OF OFFICE AND OR WRIT OF COMMISSION THAT WOULD ALLOW ANY CLERK, CASE MANAGER OR JUDGE TO NEGATE THE TERMS OF THE CONTRACT ESTABLISHED BY THE SOLE CORPORATION PROTECTED UNDER BOTH THE PRIVILEGES AND IMMUNITIES CLAUSE OF ARTICLE IV § 2 AND ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION. FAIL TO DO THIS THEREUPON ALL RELIEF AND DEMANDS MUST BE GRANTED NOT DENIED ON THE COURT RECORD PRODUCING THE BOND AND OR OATH OF OFFICE AND OR WRIT OF COMMISSION AND CITING OF LAW BEFORE CASE 17-7532 OR 17-7186 CAN BE DEEMED LEGAL DUE TO THIS FRAUD AND STRUCTURAL

ERRORS AND ALL ISSUES BE PRESERVED AS DUE PROCESS REQUIRES. IT IS SO ORDERED. I, WE, WANT AND MOTION FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT. PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201, 2202. IN CASES OF ACTUAL CONTROVERSY, ...ANY COURT OF THE UNITED STATES, UPON THE FILING OF AN APPROPRIATE PLEADING, MAY DECLARE THE RIGHT AND OTHER LEGAL RELATIONS OF ANY INTERESTED PARTY SEEKING SUCH DECLARATION WHETHER OR NOT FURTHER RELIEF IS OR COULD BE SOUGHT***. I, WE MOTION FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME TO SUBMIT BRIEF TO RESET ONCE THESE MATTERS ARE RULED ON, ON THE COURT RECORD TO PRESERVE ALL ISSUES FOR ANY NEEDED APPEAL BEFORE THESE CASES PROCEED BY DUE PROCESS LAW, WILLIAM DOUGLAS BROWN AND BRENDA HARRIET BROWN v. CSX TRANSPORTATION INC., 2017 WL 1028579(4th.Cir.2017); ALLSTATE INSURANCE COMPANY v. INGRAHAM, 2017 WL 976301(DSC.2017); L-3 COMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION v. SERCO INC. --Fed. Appx'--, 2016 WL 7232118(4th.Cir.2016).

INASMUCH, BY THIS FIASCO OF DUE PROCESS THAT YOU CALL 17-7532. YOUR JURISDICTION IS MADE VOID PURSUANT NOT TO JUST CASE 17-6960, BUT CASE 17-7532 ALSO, TO INCLUDE ANY ORDER PRODUCED OR CONTAINED THEREIN. THE RULES ARE SUSPENDED BY DECREE OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC COURT WHOSE POWER AND AUTHORITY SUPERSEDES THIS COURT BINDING UPON YOU VIA CONTRACT AND DEFAULT PROTECTED UNDER BOTH ARTICLE IV § 2 AND ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION. BOTH CASES 17-7532 AND 17-7186 ARE ESSENTIALLY ON REMAND AND THE COURTS UNDER BOTH CASES 17-7532 AND 17-7186 JURISDICTION IS LIMITED AND RESTRICTED TO ADDRESSING THE FRAUD THAT ESTABLISHED THIS TRAVESTY OF PROCEEDINGS, AND TO DO NOTHING EXCEPT GRANT THE RELIEF DEMANDED. PRODUCE YOUR BOND AND OR OATH OF OFFICE AND OR WRIT OF COMMISSION THAT DEMONSTRATE THAT YOU CAN VIOLATE THE PROVISIONS OF BOTH ARTICLE IV § 2 AND ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION AND THE DECREES OF A FOREIGN SOVEREIGN KING-KHALIFAH WHO BY HIS ORIGINAL STATUS IS SOVEREIGN WITH SUPERSEDING ATTORNEY, JUDICIAL AND LEGISLATIVE POWERS BY CONTRACT AND DEFAULT OR STAND DOWN!!! THE 4TH. CIRCUIT, NOR ITS CLERKS OR CASE MANAGERS ACTING AS PROXY FOR CORRUPT JUDGES, NOR THE STATE MAY EXCLUDE A PERSON, NAMELY, THE FOREIGN SOVEREIGN FIDUCIARY KING-KHALIFAH WITH SUPERSEDING ATTORNEY, JUDICIAL AND LEGISLATIVE POWER AND AUTHORITY

BY HIS ORIGINAL STATUS AS SUCH (10) THOUSAND YEARS BEFORE THIS NATION WAS FORMED VIA THE SOLE CORPORATION STANDING UPON EX POST FACTO LAW, TO PRACTICE LAW (LAWGIVER OF GOD) OR ANY OTHER OCCUPATION GIVEN TO HIM BY "COVENANT", "CONTRACT" (FIDUCIARY, KING, KHALIFAH), IN A MANNER OR FOR REASONS THAT CONTRAVENE THE DUE PROCESS POWERS AND AUTHORITY GIVEN TO HIM OR IN A MANNER THAT VIOLATES THE EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS WHICH IN THIS CASE WOULD BE BEHIND RELIGIOUS AND RACIAL HATRED AND FOR PROTECTORATE PURPOSES WHICH WOULD ALSO VIOLATE BOTH ARTICLE IV § 2 AND ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION. THE SAME WAY THIS NATION DID FOR THEM SODOMITES AND GOMORRAHRITES BY ALLOWING THEM TO MARRY BY USE OF THE EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS CLAUSE. THE SAME WAY WE HAVE THE LEGAL RIGHT TO UNDO IT UNDER THE EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS CLAUSE WHERE YOU ATTACHED, EXECUTED OR ARRESTED THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OF A FOREIGN SOVEREIGN STATE IN VIOLATION OF CONTRACT WITH OUT OUR CONSENT. THE EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS AXE SWINGS BOTH WAYS. THE SOVEREIGN POWER HAS PARAMOUNT RIGHT TO PROTECT THE LIVES, HEALTH, MORALS, COMFORT AND GENERAL WELFARE OF HIS PEOPLE AS FIDUCIARY HEIR WHERE THEY ARE BENEFICIARIES OF THE "TRUST", ELLIOTT v. BOARD OF SCHOOL TRUSTEES OF MADISON CONSOLIDATED SCHOOLS, --F3d.--, 2017 WL 5988226(7th.Cir.2017); NORTH CAROLINA ASS'N OF EDUCATORS, INC. v. STATE, 368 N.C. 777, 786 S.E.2d. 255(N.C.2016); McBURNAY v. YOUNG, 569 U.S. 221, 133 S.Ct. 1709, 185 L.Ed.2d. 758(U.S.2013); SCHOENEFELD v. SCHNEIDERMAN, 821 F3d. 273 (2nd. Cir.2016); SCHWAB v. BOARD OF EXAM OF STATE OF N.M., 353 U.S. 232, 72 S.Ct. 752, 64 A.L.R.2d. 288, 1 L.Ed.2d. 796(U.S.1957); TRUSTEES OF DARTMOUTH COLLEGE v. WOODWARD, 17 U.S. 518, 1819 WL 2201; FIFTH THIRD BANCORP v. DUDENHOEFFER, 132 S.Ct. 2459, 189 L.Ed.2d. 457, 82 U.S.L.W. 4578(U.S.2014); FACIRE v. SULLIVAN, 2017 WL 3710066(D.C.Nev.2017); VIRGINIA BOARD OF MEDICINE v. ZACKRISON, 67 Va. App. 461, 796 S.E.2d. 866(2017); DOE v. ROGERS, 139 F.Supp.3d. 120(D.C.C.2015); BOLLS v. VIRGINIA BD. OF EXAMINERS, 811 F.Supp.2d. 1260(E.D.Va.2011); IN RE: GREEN, 980 F2d. 590(9th.Cir.1992).


YOU CANNOT OBTAIN JUDICIAL DETERMINATIONS TAINTED BY FRAUD. YOUR JURISDICTION IS LIMITED TO ADDRESSING THE FRAUD.

THE DEFAULT IS BINDING UPON THIS COURT AND NATION AS IS THE CONTRACT, U.S. v. ABDUL-WAHAB, 715 F3d. 521 CA4 (Va.2013); BROWN-INC. v. TIGER-EYE-BENEFIT-CONSULTING, 313 Fed. Appx' 656, 2009 WL 497391 CA4 (Va.2009); U.S. v. EX-REL-NATHAN v. TAKEDA-PHARMACEUTICAL-NORTH-AMERICAN-INC., 707 F3d. 451 CA4 (Va.2013); U.S. v. JONES, 716 F3d. 851 CA4 (Va.2013); CHARLEY-ENTERPRISE, INC. v. DICKEY-BARBEQUE-RESTAURANTS, INC., 807 F3d. 553(4th.Cir.2015) (DEMONSTRATING ALL MUST BE RULED UPON TO PRESERVE FOR U.S. SUPREME COURT REVIEW); NELSON v. U.S. BANK-N.A., 2015 WL 685271 (DSC.2015); U.S. v. ECCLESTON, --Fed. Appx'--, 2015 WL 4591890 CA4 (Md.2015); U.S. v. WELLS, 578 Fed. Appx' 234 CA4 (Va.2014); CHRISTIANSON v. M.B.N.A. AMERICAN-BANK-N.A., S.E.2d., 2013 WL 8507850 (S.C.App.2013); SPRINGOBS v. UNIVERSITY-OF-SOUTH-CAROLINA, 407 S.C. 490, 757 S.E.2d. 384 (S.C.2014); STRATTEN v. MECKLENBERG COUNTY-DEPT. OF SOCIAL-SERVICES, 521 Fed. Appx' 278, 2013 WL 2364587 CA4 (N.C.2013); GENTRY-TECHNOLOGY-OF-S.C.-INC. v. BAPTIST HEALTH-SOUTH-FLORIDA, 2015 WL 1219251(DSC.2015); LOUGHMAN v. U.S., 134 S.Ct. 2384(U.S.2014); SMITH v. CLARKE/SMOOT/RUSSELL --F3d.--, 2015 WL 4717932 CA4 (Md.2015).


WE MOTION TO STAY CASES 17-7532 AND 17-7186 AS WE DO THE VARIOUS OTHER PARALLEL APPEALS, ESPECIALLY IN LIGHT OF THE FRAUD THAT PRODUCED THEM OR THAT IS GOING ON IN THEM. STAY CASES 17-7532 AND 17-7186!!! AND STOP PLAYING THESE GAMES. RULE ON THE MOTIONS, ALL OF THEM UNDER § 1983 NOT § 2254. EXTENSION OF TIME IS SOUGHT UNTIL THIS IS DONE. THE 4TH. CIRCUIT CANNOT ABSTAIN FROM ANSWERING FEDERAL QUESTION IN ACTS OF FRAUD, CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY, OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE AND IN VIOLATION OF YOUR OATHS OF OFFICE WHICH IS A CRIMINAL OFFENSE, LAKE-CARRIER-ASS'N v. MacMILLIAN, 406 U.S. 498, 92 S.Ct. 1749(U.S.1972). WE INVOKE THE DOCTRINE OF COMITY. ALL ORDERS ISSUED UNDER CASE 17-7532 AND THE CLERK'S ACTS UNDER 17-7186 ARE VOID FOR FRAUD AND DUE PROCESS VIOLATION. BOTH CASES 17-7532 AND 17-7186 ARE TAINTED BY THE FRAUD. THE MOTION TO STAY WAS CIRCUMVENTED AS WELL AS THE MOTION FOR RECUSAL IN ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT. THE CASES WILL BE HEARD UNDER APPEAL OF CASES 17-6693, 17-7410 AND 17-7139. ALL OTHER CASES ARE SOUGHT STAYED DUE TO INVOKING THE DOCTRINE OF COMITY. SANCTIONS ARE IMPOSED AND ADDITIONAL PLEADING

MAY SOON FOLLOW. PRODUCE YOUR BOND AND OR OATH OF OFFICE AND OR WRIT OF COMMISSION THAT WOULD ALLOW YOU TO VIOLATE THE U.S. CONSTITUTION UNDER BOTH ARTICLE IV § 2 AND ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 AND THE DECREES OF A FOREIGN SOVEREIGN KING-KHALIFAH WHO IS UNDER CONTRACT VIA THE SOLE CORPORATION WITH EX POST FACTO LAW OR STAND DOWN, REED-v.-BIG-WATER-RESORT,-LLC, F.Supp.3d., 2016 WL 2935891(DSC.2016); DAN-RYAN-BUILDERS,-INC.-v.-CRYTAL-RIDGE-DEVELOPMENT,-INC., 783 F3d. 976, 91 Fed. R. SERV.3d. 625 (4th.Cir.2015); BLUE-SKY-TRAVEL-AND-TOURS,-LLC,-v.-AL-TAYYAR,- --Fed. Appx'--, 2015 WL 1451636 CA4 (Va.2015); BARLOW-v.-COLGATE PALMOLIVE-CO., 772 F3d. 1001, 90 Fed. R. SERV.3d. 85 CA4 (Md. 2014); JOHNSON-v.-U.S., --S.Ct.--, 2015 WL 2473450(U.S.2015); YATES-v.-FORD-MOTOR-CO., --F.Supp.3d.--, 2015 WL 6758983(E.D.N.C. 2015); W.-GULF-MAR.-ASS'N-v.-I.L.A.-DEEP-SEA-LOCAL-24, 751 F2d. 721, 728(5th.Cir.1985); IN-RE:-MORNING-SONG-BIRD-FOOD-LITIGATION, 2015 WL 12791472(D.C.Cal.2015); IN-RE:-NARANTO, 768 F3d. 332, 348(4th.Cir.2014); ULMET-v.-UNITED-STATES, 888 F2d. 1028(4th.Cir. 1989); SMITH-v.-BAYER-CORP., --U.S.--, 131 S.Ct. 2368, 2382, 180 L.Ed.2d. 341(2011); 202-NORTH-MONROE,-LLC,-v.-SOWER, 850 F3d. 265(6th.Cir.2017). IT IS SO ORDERED.

RESPECTFULLY,
JAHJAH AL MAHDI, KING, KHALIFAH,
CHIEF JUSTICE OF THE GLOBAL
THEOCRATIC STATE AND COURT



JASON MORRIS GOURDINE



CHRISTOPHER DARNELL WILSON

DECEMBER 20, 2017

