

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

---

Appeal from Lexington County  
The Honorable Steven H. John, Circuit Court Judge  
Case No. 2013-002417

---

THE STATE, ..... RESPONDENT

v.

STEVE YOUNG, ..... APPELLANT

---

FINAL BRIEF OF RESPONDENT

---

**Matthew C. Buchanan**  
**General Counsel**

**South Carolina Department of Probation,  
Parole and Pardon Services  
P.O. Box 11589  
Columbia, South Carolina 29211-1589  
(803) 734-1343**

**ATTORNEY FOR THE RESPONDENT**

RECEIVED

JUN 16 2014

SC Court of Appeals

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Table of authorities ..... 3

Statement of issue on appeal ..... 4

Statement of the case ..... 5

Arguments

    1) The Trial Court did not err because the period of probation had not expired .... 5

Conclusion ..... 8

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

**CASES**

Bartlett v. State, 288 S.C. 481, 343 S.E.2d 620 (1986) .....7

State v. Allen, 370 S.C. 88, 94, 634 S.E.2d 653, 655. ....7

State v. Dawkins, 352 S.C. 162, 573 S.E. 2d 783 (2002). ....7

State v. Hackett, 363 S.C. 177, 609 S.E.2d 553. ....6

State v. Miller, 404 S.C. 29, 744 S.E.2d 532 (2013) ..... 6

United States v. Green, 429 F.Supp. 1038 (W.D.Tex.1977). ....6

**STATUTES**

§24-21-440. ....5

**STATEMENT OF ISSUE ON APPEAL**

- 1) The Trial Court properly tolled the Appellant's probation through the finding of willful actions of the Appellant.**

## STATEMENT OF THE CASE

On December 1, 2011, Appellant was sentenced to ten years suspended to four years probation for the offense of assault and battery in the first degree in Newberry County. A special condition of probation was that supervision could end early if he paid his financial obligations in full. In August of 2012, after serving almost nine months on probation, Appellant pled guilty in Union County to reckless homicide and leaving the scene of an accident. He received a sentence of ten years incarceration. The Union County offenses occurred before the Appellant was placed on probation for the Newberry offense, so the conviction and sentence was not a violation of that probation.

On November 1, 2013, Appellant's probation agent brought him before the Honorable Steven H. John to request a tolling of his probation during his incarceration on the Union County offenses. Appellant's attorney objected; however, Judge John granted the request and tolled his probation. The court found that Appellant violated probation because he was behind on his supervision fees, three payments behind on restitution, and had not paid into the Public Defender Fund or his drug test fees. (R.p.15).

The Appellant now brings this appeal before this Court.

## ARGUMENT

**1) The Trial Court properly tolled the Appellant's probation because of the wrongful actions of the Appellant.**

"The period of probation or suspension of sentence shall not exceed a period of five years and shall be determined by the judge of the court and may be continued or extended within the above limit." §24-21-440.

“South Carolina’s appellate courts have expressly recognized the general authority of the circuit court to toll probation.” State v. Miller, 404 S.C. 29, 744 S.E.2d 532 (2013).

Tolling a defendant’s probation is appropriate when a defendant absconds from supervision. See State v. Hackett, 363 S.C. 177, 609 S.E.2d 553 (Ct.App.2005) (relying on United States v. Green, 429 F.Supp. 1038 (W.D.Tex.1977)).

Although the Appellant did not abscond in this case, he was placed into a situation that prevented his supervision by his own wrongful acts; those being his acts of reckless homicide and leaving the scene of an accident where death was involved.

Appellant relied on Miller because the Supreme Court held that the probationer’s supervision could not be tolled while he was involuntarily committed into the state’s Sexually Violent Predator program. But the Supreme Court focused on the involuntary nature of Miller’s commitment.

“The general rule applied in most jurisdictions is that the tolling of probation is appropriate where the authorities could not supervise the defendant due to the defendant’s wrongful acts. It is based on the principle that a defendant should not be allowed to profit from his own misconduct which prevents supervision by probationary authorities.” Miller, at 37, 537, *citing* 24 C.J.S. Criminal Law § 2153 (2006).

In the instant case, the trial court appropriately found that Appellant had violated probation. He was substantially behind on his financial obligations, and because of his incarceration due to his own wrongdoing, he was unlikely to be able to become current before the expiration of his probation. “[W]e conclude that the tolling of probation must be premised on a violation of a condition of probation or a statutory directive.” Id.

“The trial court must determine whether the State has presented sufficient evidence to establish that a probationer has violated the conditions of his probation.” State v. Allen, 370 S.C. 88, 94, 634 S.E.2d 653, 655 (2006). Therefore, it is the court that determines if a violation exists based on the information provided to the court by the probation agent. Even though the agent did not allege a violation in the citation, the court asked if Appellant was current on his fees. After the agent detailed Appellant’s financial arrearages, the court determined him to be in violation. R.p. 8, ll. 20-R.p. 10; ll. 1.

Appellant’s reliance on Bartlett v. State, 288 S.C. 481, 343 S.E.2d 620 (1986) is not appropriate. The Supreme Court in Bartlett ruled that probation could not be *revoked* when the only grounds is a non-willful failure to pay financial obligations. While Appellant is correct that Bartlett forbids a revocation of his probation, the trial court in this instance did nothing of the sort. The judge *tolled* Appellant’s probation for the period of time while he is incarcerated. The incarceration faced by Appellant was from another sentence entirely.

Instead, the court found that Appellant violated his probation by falling behind on his financial obligations.

**CONCLUSION**

Based on the foregoing reasons the Respondent respectfully submits that the Trial Court properly tolled probation for the duration of Appellant's incarceration.

Respectfully submitted,



**Matthew C. Buchanan**  
**General Counsel**

South Carolina Department of Probation,  
Parole and Pardon Services  
P.O. Box 50666  
Columbia, South Carolina 29250  
(803) 734-9220

Attorney for the Respondent

Columbia, South Carolina  
June 13, 2014

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

---

Appeal from Lexington County  
The Honorable Steven H. John, Circuit Court Judge  
Case No. 2013-002417

---

THE STATE, ..... RESPONDENT

v.


STEVE YOUNG, ..... APPELLANT

---

***CERTIFICATE OF COUNSEL***

---

The undersigned certifies that this Final Brief complies with Rule 211(b), SCACR and with the South Carolina Supreme Court's order dated August 13, 2007.

  
Matthew C. Buchanan  
General Counsel

June 13, 2014

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

---

Appeal from Lexington County  
The Honorable Steven H. John, Circuit Court Judge  
Case No. 2013-002417

---

THE STATE, ..... RESPONDENT

v.

STEVE YOUNG, ..... APPELLANT

---

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

---

I, Dawn K. Nichols, Executive Administrative Assistant, hereby certify that I have served the within *Final Brief of Respondent* dated June 13, 2014, on Appellant this 13th day of June, 2014, by depositing a copy of the same in the United States mail, postage prepaid, addressed to his attorney of record:

Lanelle Cantey DuRant, Esquire  
S.C. Commission on Indigent Defense  
PO Box 11589  
Columbia, South Carolina 29211-1589

I further certify that all parties required by Rule to be served have been served.

  
Dawn K. Nichols  
Executive Administrative Assistant

South Carolina Department of Probation,  
Parole, and Pardon Services  
P. O. Box 50666  
Columbia, South Carolina 29240


**RECEIVED**

JUN 16 2014

**SC Court of Appeals**

State of South Carolina  
Department of Probation, Parole and Pardon Services

NIKKI R. HALEY  
Governor



KELA E. THOMAS  
Director

2221 DEVINE STREET, SUITE 600  
POST OFFICE BOX 50666  
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29250  
Telephone: (803) 734-9220  
Facsimile: (803) 734-9440  
[www.state.sc.us/ppp](http://www.state.sc.us/ppp)

June 13, 2014

The Honorable Jenny Kitchings  
Clerk of the South Carolina Court of Appeals  
1015 Sumter Street- 5<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Columbia, South Carolina 29201


**RE: State v. Steve Young**

Dear Ms. Kitchings:

Enclosed please find the original and nine (9) copies of the Final *Brief of Respondent*, along with proof of service in the above-referenced case.

Thank you for your cooperation in this matter.

Sincerely,

  
Matthew C. Buchanan  
General Counsel

MCB:dn  
Enclosures  
cc: Lanelle DuRant, Esquire

**RECEIVED**

JUN 16 2014

**SC Court of Appeals**