

EXHIBIT B

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
COUNTY OF RICHLAND

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
FIFTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

Zachary M. Merritt as personal
representative of the Estate of James A
Merritt, Jr.

CASE NO: 2018-CP-40-02730

Plaintiff,

**ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT'S
MOTION TO RECONSIDER**

v.


Merritt, Webb, Wilson & Caruso, PLLC

Defendants.

THIS MATTER came before the Court on October 18, 2018 on the Motion to Dismiss, or in the Alternative, to Stay and Compel Arbitration, filed by Defendant, Merritt, Webb, Wilson & Caruso, PLLC. Following the hearing and review of arguments and pleadings submitted by the parties, this Court denied Defendant's Motion by an Order dated, January 24, 2019. Defendant filed a Motion to Reconsider on February 01, 2019, which was timely under Rule 59(e). After a review of the pleadings, the motion and arguments therein, and all the testimony including this Court's previous ruling, this Court denies Defendant's Motion to Reconsider without oral arguments presented.

Therefore, after reviewing Defendant's Motion and the arguments within being duly noted, Defendant's Motion to Reconsider this Court's ruling on Defendant's Motion to Dismiss, or in the Alternative, to Stay and Compel Arbitration is hereby **DENIED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED this 27 day of February, 2019


The Honorable L. Casey Manning
Presiding Judge

RECEIVED
MAR 18 2019
SC Court of Appeals

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
COUNTY OF RICHLAND

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
FIFTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

Zachary M. Merritt as personal
representative of the Estate of James A
Merritt, Jr.

CASE NO: 2018-CP-40-02730

Plaintiff,

**ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT'S
MOTION TO RECONSIDER**

v.

Merritt, Webb, Wilson & Caruso, PLLC

Defendants.

THIS MATTER came before the Court on October 18, 2018 on the Motion to Dismiss, or in the Alternative, to Stay and Compel Arbitration, filed by Defendant, Merritt, Webb, Wilson & Caruso, PLLC. Following the hearing and review of arguments and pleadings submitted by the parties, this Court denied Defendant's Motion by an Order dated, January 24, 2019. Defendant filed a Motion to Reconsider on February 01, 2019, which was timely under Rule 59(e). After a review of the pleadings, the motion and arguments therein, and all the testimony including this Court's previous ruling, this Court denies Defendant's Motion to Reconsider without oral arguments presented.

Therefore, after reviewing Defendant's Motion and the arguments within being duly noted, Defendant's Motion to Reconsider this Court's ruling on Defendant's Motion to Dismiss, or in the Alternative, to Stay and Compel Arbitration is hereby **DENIED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED this 25 day of February, 2019

RECEIVED
MAR 18 2019
SC Court of Appeals

L Casey Manning
The Honorable L. Casey Manning
Presiding Judge