



# The Supreme Court of South Carolina

DANIEL E. SHEAROUSE  
CLERK OF COURT

BRENDA F. SHEALY  
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

POST OFFICE BOX 11330  
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA  
29211  
1231 GERVAIS STREET  
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29201  
TELEPHONE: (803) 734-1080  
FAX: (803) 734-1499  
[www.sccourts.org](http://www.sccourts.org)

April 16, 2019

Mr. Lord Byron Slater, 279992  
McCormick Correctional Institution  
386 Redemption Way  
McCormick SC 29899

Re: Lord Slater v. State  
Appellate Case No. 2019-000628  
Lower Court Case No. 2018CP1003205

Dear Mr. Slater:

This Court has received your notice of appeal, and the case has been assigned the appellate case number that appears above. Please use this number on all future correspondence relating to this matter. While you did not provide a copy of the conditional order of dismissal in this case, this Court has obtained a copy of this order from the public case index for Charleston County.

All parties to this matter are advised that all filings must comply with the requirements of Rule 267 of the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules (SCACR). The SCACR are available online at [www.sccourts.org/courtreg](http://www.sccourts.org/courtreg). Additionally, any filings submitted by counsel admitted in South Carolina must include counsel's bar number.

The attention of the parties is directed to the order relating to the inclusion of personal data identifiers and other sensitive information in documents filed with

the Supreme Court of South Carolina and the South Carolina Court of Appeals. The order can be found at [www.sccourts.org/courtOrders/displayOrder.cfm?orderNo=2014-04-15-02](http://www.sccourts.org/courtOrders/displayOrder.cfm?orderNo=2014-04-15-02). Please note that the responsibility for insuring that information is redacted or sealed as required by this order rests with counsel and the parties. This office will not review filings for redaction or to determine if materials should be sealed.

Since the order of the circuit court determined that this action is barred as being successive and/or as being untimely under the statute of limitations, Rule 243(c) of the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules requires you to provide a written explanation as to why this determination was improper. This explanation must contain sufficient facts, argument and citation to legal authority to show that there is an arguable basis for asserting that the determination by the lower court was improper. The failure to make a sufficient showing may result in the dismissal of this matter.

Please provide the explanation required by Rule 243(c) within twenty (20) days of the date of this letter.

Since you have filed multiple post-conviction relief applications challenging the underlying criminal conviction(s), the Court, if it determines that you have failed to provide an adequate explanation under Rule 243(c), SCACR, may decide to prohibit you from filing a post-conviction relief application, habeas corpus action or any other action, motion or petition in the circuit court challenging this conviction(s) and sentence(s) (including a motion under Rule 29 of the South Carolina Rules of Criminal Procedure) without first obtaining the permission of this Court to do so. If you believe that there is some reason(s) why such a prohibition should not be imposed on future filings by you in the circuit court, those reasons should be provided within twenty (20) days of the date of this letter.

Very truly yours,

A handwritten signature in black ink, consisting of a large, stylized initial 'D' followed by a series of loops and a long horizontal stroke extending to the right.

CLERK

cc: Benjamin Hunter Limbaugh, Esquire