

Clerk of
Court please
cc Taylor Smith

April 17, 2019

RECEIVED

APR 22 2019

S.C. SUPREME COURT

Mr. Robert M. Dudek
SCCID
Division of Appellate Defense
1330 Lady Street, Suite 401
Columbia, South Carolina 29201

Re: 2019-000458

Dear Mr. Dudek:

I did not submit the affidavit of indigency because I don't trust SCCID or any agency that proves that is not working in the best interest of justice, me or my brother, Mr. Billy Roy Boyd. Furthermore, I will not divulge my personal financial information to an agency whose sole purpose for offering representation is to exploit and use this representation to its advantage. Notably, I filed a notice of appeal on March 21, 2019. I asked that the Clerk of Court send a copy of the notice and other documents in the prepaid envelopes provided.

However, I did not receive these documents, and on April 3, 2019, I called the Supreme Court to inquire about these documents and requested that the Court send these documents. Remarkably, I received these documents but noted that the Court again failed to provide the case number for the appeal. But, ironically, immediately after filing the notice of appeal, I noted that SCCID somehow informed of the notice of appeal and sent me a letter dated March 25, 2019, and an affidavit for indigency?

Mr. Boyd has representation, and yet the State has failed to decide his case for almost four (4) years? Moreover, Mr. Boyd's writ of certiorari filed with the Supreme Court on **May 21, 2018**, and on **October 3, 2018**, without notice to Mr. Boyd, inexplicably the State transferred Mr. Boyd's case to the South Carolina Court of Appeals. Notably, Mr. Boyd's appeal has been allegedly awaiting consideration for six (6) months. There is no "**Waiver of Counsel**" in the record, so what is the delay? Mr. Billy Roy Boyd SCDC#349065, Unlawfully Detained by the State of South Carolina.


Furthermore, to your point about retaining counsel to represent me, it is impossible to find any lawyer with an ounce of integrity in Greenville and surrounding areas to take on this case because he or she either turns a blind eye to the unlawful actions of fellow lawyers or too afraid to go against the system. To your point that I am not an attorney, you are an attorney but have failed to conduct yourself as an attorney, have not upheld the tenants of your profession or the rule of law. So, I am not exactly sure what 's your point? So, I am forced to represent myself due to these circumstances. Consequently, the Petitioner moves forward with self-representation

because the State has foreclosed her right to due process and her right to counsel under the Sixth Amendment.

P.S. expedite the transcription of the record. I requested the less than three hours transcript on March 14, 2019. Also, I reached out to Ms. Hollie Jenkins on April 3, 2019, to inquire of the status of the transcript. It is apparent that the State is purposely delaying the transcription of the record. Also, is it common practice to notify the Supreme Court that the Petitioner advised of the dangers of self-representation? And to your point that it is a very dangerous and bad idea to represent myself, as evidenced by my brother's case it's even more dangerous and a bad idea to have the State represent you. You feign concern about my self-representation. But your office colluded with the State and concealed that Mr. Boyd's representation was not of his own volition.

It was also very dangerous, and a bad idea by the State to force my brother to represent himself two days before trial, but it didn't stop the State. You got some nerve! As I stated previously, I will take my chances on me; at least I'm not conflicted about my interests or the law. Also, I cc'd Taylor Smith this letter and a copy of the letter dated April 4, 2019, since you failed to do so. Now without further delay, further waste of court resources and taxpayers dollars, decide my brother's case. I am moving forward with this complaint.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Debra Boyd", with a long, sweeping flourish extending to the right.

In the relentless pursuit of justice,

DCB

cc: Supreme Court
Taylor Smith, Esquire
cc: South Carolina Court Administration

4 April 2019

Chief Appellate Defender Robert M. Dudek,

No thank you for the offer of representation for the following reasons:

The Petitioner has spent tens of thousands of dollars vigorously opposing the heinous and unlawful actions against my brother, Mr. Billy Roy Boyd and myself. Consequently, the Petitioner's representation paid in full.

The Petitioner asserts that the false arrest resulted from strenuously opposing the State's unlawful actions against my brother. On January 25, 2019, the Petitioner delivered documents to Appellate Defender Kathrine H. Hudgins showing that your office (SCCID), State and other malefactors acting at its behest colluded and willfully concealed that there is "**No Waiver of Counsel**" in the record. Chief Dudek, I am sure that you received these pertinent documents as well.

Notably, SCCID has failed to respond to this significant discovery. Also, the State's Attorney received the same documents on January 25, 2019, concerning Mr. Boyd's case. Over two months later and my brother's so-called appointed counsel and the State to no surprise has not contacted or informed Mr. Boyd that it received the documents and that it is looking into the matter. So, it is evident that your office (SCCID, aka **South Carolina Commission on Indifferent Defense**), South Carolina Court of Appeals neither the State's Attorney Office is working in the interest of justice.

Based on my brother's current counsel and previous counsel (You, Hudgins, Pachak and Tripp), I am convinced that no one at the SCCID represents my best interest, my brother's best interest or the best interest of my people. For what valid reason would I allow SCCID to represent me? It has been said, "He who represents himself has a fool for a client." I will take my chances. I contend that I would be better off with Ned the "Wino." Fool me once shame on you; fool me twice shame on me. I will pass on the okey-doke and the offer of representation or (misrepresentation). No thanks to the proposal. I'm good! However, **without further delay inform Court Reporter Hollie Jenkins that she needs to go forward with the transcription of the record. I requested the transcript on March 14, 2019. So, I expect to receive the transcript soon, and if I don't receive it within the next week, I will forward my complaint and this letter.**

Also, the Petitioner faxed a copy of the letter sent to former Assistant Attorney Deshawn H. Mitchell to your attention on October 25, 2018. It is evident that SCCID does not operate independently of the State, which contravenes SCCID's mission and responsibility to those it represents. The SCCID is supposed to work independently of the State since it receives funds from the State to represent the indigent. But, as the evidence unequivocally shows, SCCID is in cahoots with the State.

Mr. Boyd's PCR hearing held on October 24, 2017, and denied on May 15, 2018, ridiculously almost seven months later. Notably, Mr. Boyd filed his PCR application on June 11, 2015. The State has delayed and purposely failed to decide Mr. Boyd's PCR matter for almost four (4) years. Where is the Due Process? Mr. Boyd's appointed counsel served notice of appeal to the South Carolina Supreme Court on May 21, 2018.

And Mr. Boyd received a letter dated August 14, 2018, informing that Robert Pachak submitted a petition for writ of certiorari indicating that Mr. Boyd's appeal is without merit. Significantly, Mr. Pachak argued whether the PCR court erred in ruling that standby counsel at petitioner's trial could not be held ineffective when standby counsel retained to represent petitioner, standby counsel asked to be relieved, and standby counsel sat at the table with petitioner and gave him help throughout the trial.

The Petitioner noted that Mr. Pachak involved in my brother's case at the appellate level, but notably, Appellate Defenders Robert Pachak and Benjamin Tripp failed to argue whether Mr. Boyd's paid counsel was ineffective. But instead purposely chose to raise a less significant issue and had Mr. Boyd's case dismissed under "Anders" even though there is "No Waiver of Counsel" in the record.

Moreover, the Court informed Mr. Boyd that within forty-five (45) days of the date of the letter, he could file with the Court a pro se response to the petition filed by Robert Pachak. Further said that upon receipt of his pro se response or the expiration of forty-five (45) days, the matter would go to the Supreme Court for consideration. However, after the forty-five (45) days expired, without prior notice, Mr. Boyd's case inexplicably transferred to the South Carolina Court of Appeals on October 3, 2018.


If Mr. Boyd's case indeed without merit as alleged by Mr. Pachak, why would the State refuse to send Mr. Boyd's claim to the Supreme Court? If Mr. Boyd's argument is without merit, the Supreme Court will concur with that decision. In other words, allow the judicial process to work, and stop intervening in the process! Remarkably, Appellate Robert Pachak retired on October 1, 2018, immediately after transferring Mr. Boyd's matter to the South Carolina Court of Appeals.

Significantly, Mr. Billy Roy Boyd's case is still awaiting consideration by the South Carolina Court of Appeals. The State and SCCID continue to maintain this false conviction and LIE when it remanded my brother's case to the court of appeals on October 3, 2018. It should be apparent by now that I will go to whatever lawful extent necessary and will not relent until my brother's conviction vacated, and the State and your office exposed for the devils that you are. It is beyond me how you sleep; arguably, you and your ilk don't have a conscience or a modicum of decency. How long will you and the State willfully maintain this LIE? As the adage goes, the chickens always come home to roost.

I sent this correspondence to the attention of Chief Appellate Defender Robert M. Dudek, South Carolina Commission on Indigent Defense via fax and certified mail on April 4, 2019. Since you all work for the same establishment, please inform your fellow 'good ole boys and gals' across the hall of this letter. I strongly advise the State to use what little time it has left to decide on my brother's case. Offering me representation but have yet to fulfill my brother's representation, you got to be kidding me?! With public defenders like you who needs prosecutors?!

Obadiah 1-4, Psalms 58: 3, Isaiah 14:1-5, Jeremiah 30:16, Joel 3, Revelations 13:9-10. Isaiah 45:17, But Israel(ites) shall be saved in the LORD with an everlasting salvation: ye shall not be ashamed nor confounded "World without end." (Also John 3:16).


Sincerely,


4 April 2019

In the relentless pursuit of justice,

P.O. Box 1168
Mauldin, SC 29662

CERTIFIED MAIL



7017 2620 0001 1613 0067

GREENVILLE
SC 29601
17 APR 19
PM 11



1000



29211

U.S. POSTAGE PAID
FCM LETTER
MAULDIN, SC
29662
APR 17 19
AMOUNT

\$7.00

R2304M115816-92

The Supreme Court of South Carolina
Daniel E. Shearouse, Clerk of Court
Post Office Box 11330
Columbia, South Carolina 29211

29211-193890

