

The Supreme Court of South Carolina

William O. Dickerson, Petitioner,

v.

State of South Carolina, Respondent.

Appellate Case No. 2018-001499




Lower Court Case No. 2012-CP-10-03216

ORDER

Respondent has filed an "Unopposed Motion to Seal Portion of Petitioner's Appendix" asking this Court, on behalf of both parties, to seal Volume 22 of the Appendix in this matter.

Volume 22 has been properly redacted pursuant to *In re Interim Guidance Regarding Personal Data Identifiers & Other Sensitive Information in Appellate Court Filings*, 375 S.C. 56, 56, 650 S.E.2d 462, 462 (2007). Further, the parties have not identified any private or sensitive information contained in the Volume that has not been redacted and have not addressed the factors of Rule 41.1(b), SCRCP, or *Ex parte Capital U-Drive-It, Inc.*, 369 S.C. 1, 12, 630 S.E.2d 464, 470 (2006), that warrant sealing the volume. *See Ex parte Greenville News*, 326 S.C. 1, 5-6, 482 S.E.2d 556, 558 (1997) (allegations of juror misconduct could be disclosed and juror privacy preserved by disclosure of the information with redaction of jurors' names and identifying information). Accordingly, we deny the motion to seal Volume 22. In accordance with *In re Interim Guidance Regarding Personal Data Identifiers & Other Sensitive Information in Appellate Court Filings*, 375 S.C. at 57, 650 S.E.2d at 462, the parties may file the unredacted documents containing personal data identifiers under seal, together with the redacted version for the public file.


C.J.

J.

J.

J.

J.

Columbia, South Carolina

May 13, 2019

cc:

Elizabeth Anne Franklin-Best, Esquire

Melody Jane Brown, Esquire

Alan McCrory Wilson, Esquire