

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In the Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM AIKEN COUNTY
Court of Common Pleas

R. Keith Kelly, Circuit Court Judge

Case No. 2018-NI-02-00003

RECEIVED
MAY 13 2019
SC Court of Appeals

Fonda E. Patrick and Andre Patrick.....Appellants,


v.

Gasnel E. Bryan, M.D., individually and as an agent and/or employee of Aiken Regional Medical Center, LLC; Frank Y. Chase, M.D., individually and as agent and/or employee of Aiken Regional Medical Center, LLC; and Aiken Regional Medical Center, LLC.....Respondents.

NOTICE OF APPEAL

Fonda E. Patrick and Andrew Patrick appeal the order of the Honorable R. Keith Kelly, dated April 15, 2019 (and the related Order denying Appellants' motion for reconsideration). Appellants received written notice of entry of the order, denying the Appellants' motion for reconsideration, on April 29, 2019.

May 13, 2019



Francis M. Hinson, IV (SC Bar No.: 74917)
FINKEL LAW FIRM LLC
1201 Main Street, Suite 1800
P.O. Box 1799 (29202)
Columbia, South Carolina 29201
T: 803-765-2935
F: 803-252-0786
Attorney for Appellants

OTHER COUNSEL OF RECORD:

<see following page>

RECEIVED
MAY 20 2019
SC Court of Appeals

Kenneth Norman Shaw, Esq.
J. Ben Alexander, Esq.
HAYNSWORTH SINKLER BOYD, P.A.
P.O. Box 2048
Greenville, SC 29602
T: 864-240-3200
Attorneys for Respondent Aiken Regional Medical Centers LLC

James D. Nance, Esq.
NANCE, McCANTS & MASSEY
218 Newberry Street, SW
P.O. Box 2881 (29802)
Aiken, SC 29801
T: 803-649-6200
Attorney for Respondent Gasnel E. Bryan, M.D.

Hutson S. Davis, Jr., Esq.
S. Harrison Williams, Esq.
WARD & BROMLEY, LLC
10 Pinckney Colony Rd.
Victoria Bldg, Ste. 200
Bluffton, SC 29909
T: 843-815-7121
Attorneys for Respondent Frank Y. Chase, M.D.

APPEAL FROM AIKEN COUNTY
Court of Common Pleas

R. Keith Kelly, Circuit Court Judge

Case No. 2018-NI-02-00003
Appellate Court Case No: 2019-000803

RECEIVED
MAY 20 2019
SC Court of Appeals

Fonda E. Patrick and Andre Patrick.....Appellants,

v.

Gasnel E. Bryan, M.D., individually and as an agent and/or employee of Aiken Regional Medical Center, LLC; Frank Y. Chase, M.D., individually and as agent and/or employee of Aiken Regional Medical Center, LLC; Jonathan H. Anderson, M.D., Individually and as an agent and/or employee of Aiken Regional Medical Centers, LLC and Aiken Regional Medical Centers, LLC, Defendants

Of which Gasnel E. Bryan, M.D., individually and as an agent and/or employee of Aiken Regional Medical Center, LLC; Frank Y. Chase, M.D., individually and as agent and/or employee of Aiken Regional Medical Center, LLC and Aiken Regional Medical Centers, LLC, areRespondents

PROOF OF SERVICE

I certify that we have served the Notice of Appeal on Aiken County Court of Common Pleas by filing it through E-File with the Aiken County Court of Common Pleas on May 17, 2019, a clocked in filed copy it attached.

May 20, 2019



Francis M. Hinson, IV (SC Bar No.: 74917)
Kelley R. Leddy (SC Bar No. 103257)
FINKEL LAW FIRM LLC
1201 Main Street, Suite 1800
P.O. Box 1799 (29202)
Columbia, South Carolina 29201
T: 803-765-2935
F: 803-252-0786
Attorneys for Appellants

EXHIBIT 'A'

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

COUNTY OF AIKEN

Fonda E Patrick and Andre Patrick,

Plaintiffs,

v.

Gasnel E. Bryan, M.D., Individually and as an agent and/or employee of Aiken Regional Medical Centers, LLC; Frank Y. Chase, M.D., Individually and as agent and/or employee of Aiken Regional Medical Center, LLC; Jonathan H. Anderson, M.D., Individually and as an agent and/or employee of Aiken Regional Medical Centers, LLC; and Aiken Regional Medical Centers, LLC,

Defendants.

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

C.A. No.: 2018-NI-02-00003

Order Granting Defendants' Motions to Dismiss Plaintiffs' NOI

RECEIVED
MAY 13 2019
SC Court of Appeals

This matter came before the Court on March 5, 2019 upon Defendants' motions to dismiss Plaintiffs' Notice of Intent ("NOI") pursuant to Rules 3 and 12(b)(2)(5)&(6) of the South Carolina Rules of Civil Procedure on the basis that Plaintiffs' claims were barred by the applicable statute of limitations. Having listened to oral arguments and reviewed the submissions of the parties, for the reasons more fully set forth below, I hereby grant Defendants' motions and dismiss this case with prejudice.

RELEVANT FACTS AND PROCDURAL HISTORY

This is a medical malpractice action. Plaintiffs filed their NOI on January 22, 2018; however, as discussed in more detail below, Plaintiffs did not serve the NOI upon the defendants until November 2018.

In their NOI, Plaintiffs alleged they were injured as a result of a surgical procedure and

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2019 May 17 2:47 PM - AIKEN - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2018NI0200003
ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2019 Apr 15 10:38 AM - AIKEN - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2018NI0200003

EXHIBIT 'A'

subsequent medical care provided by Defendants on or about January 30, 2015; however, Plaintiffs did not contemporaneously file an affidavit of an expert witness as required by S.C. Code § 15-79-125. Rather, Plaintiffs alleged they had reason to believe the statute of limitations was going to expire within ten days of the filing of the NOI; therefore, they stated they would file an expert affidavit within 45 days as allowed under S.C. Code § 15-36-100(C)(1). As a result, they had until March 8, 2018, to file an expert affidavit.

Shortly after filing the NOI, on February 14, 2018, H. Edward Smith, with consent of Plaintiffs, sought to be relieved as counsel (*see* Feb. 14, 2018 Consent Order). At the time Mr. Smith was relieved as counsel, the NOI had not been served on Defendants, Plaintiffs still had not filed an expert affidavit, and an extension of time to file an expert affidavit had not been requested.

On June 27, 2018, more than five months after they initially filed their NOI, Plaintiffs, at that point acting *pro se*, filed a motion for an extension of time to file an affidavit of an expert witness. Plaintiffs' motion was heard on September 24, 2018. As of the hearing date, the NOI still had not been served upon Defendants, nor were Defendants given any notice of Plaintiffs' motion. Following the hearing, the Court granted Plaintiffs a 45-day extension to file an expert affidavit (*see* September 28, 2018 Order).

On November 7, 2018, Plaintiffs, having recently obtained new counsel, filed a Supplement to Notice of Intent to Sue ("Supplement"), which included an expert affidavit. About a week later, Plaintiffs served the Supplement, the September 28, 2018, Order, and the NOI upon Defendants. That was the first time any of the Defendants had been served with any of the filings in this action, and constituted the first notice Defendants had of this action.

EXHIBIT 'A'

LEGAL ANALYSIS

The applicable statute of limitations in this case is three years. S.C. Code § 15-3-545. Filing a NOI tolls the applicable statute of limitations; however, that is subject to the NOI being served upon all defendants in accordance with the service rules for a summons and complaint outlined in the South Carolina Rules of Civil Procedure. S.C. § 15-79-125. Under the Rules, a summons and complaint must be served within the statute of limitations or within 120 days of filing. Rule 3, SCRCP; *Mims ex rel. Mims v. Babcock Center, Inc.*, 399 S.C. 341, 732 S.E.2d 395 (2012).

Plaintiffs filed their NOI on January 22, 2018. Plaintiffs have not disputed that the statute of limitations began to run at the time of, or within a couple weeks of, the surgery performed by Dr. Bryan on January 30, 2015; therefore, pursuant to S.C. Code § 15-3-545, Plaintiffs filed the NOI just before the statute of limitations was set to expire. However, once they filed the NOI, they were then required to properly serve it within 120 days of the filing as dictated by Rule 3, SCRCP, which meant Plaintiffs had until May 22, 2018, to complete service or the statute of limitations would begin to run again.

Unfortunately, Rule 3 mandates a firm deadline for commencing an action within the applicable statute of limitations, which no court has the jurisdiction to extend. *See Mims, supra*. Therefore, contrary to Plaintiffs' contention, while the Court's September 28, 2018, Order may have provided Plaintiffs additional time to file an expert affidavit, it did not extend the deadline for completing service. When Plaintiffs failed to serve their NOI by May 22, 2018, their claims immediately became barred by the applicable statute of limitations.

WHEREFORE, for the reasons stated herein, Plaintiffs failed to commence this action within the applicable statute of limitations and Defendants Bryan, Chase, and Aiken Regional

EXHIBIT 'A'

Medical Center¹ are all entitled to a dismissal as a matter of law.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that this action is hereby dismissed with prejudice.

AND IT IS SO ORDERED.

****JUDGE'S SIGNATURE PAGE TO FOLLOW****

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2019 May 17 2:47 PM - AIKEN - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2018NI0200003
ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2019 Apr 15 10:38 AM - AIKEN - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2018NI0200003

¹ Plaintiffs previously stipulated to the dismissal Jonathan H. Anderson, M.D. on March 4, 2019.

EXHIBIT 'A'



Aiken Common Pleas

Case Caption: Fonda E Patrick , plaintiff, et al VS Gasnel E Bryan , defendant, et al
Case Number: 2018NI0200003
Type: Order/Dismissal

It is so Ordered.

s/ R. Keith Kelly - 2165

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
COUNTY OF Aiken
IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

FORM 4 EXHIBIT "B"
JUDGMENT IN A CIVIL CASE
CASE NO. 2018NI0200003

Fonda E Patrick et al
PLAINTIFF(S)

Gasnel E Bryan et al
DEFENDANT(S)

DISPOSITION TYPE (CHECK ONE)

- JURY VERDICT. This action came before the court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and a verdict rendered.
- DECISION BY THE COURT. This action came to trial or hearing before the court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision rendered.
- ACTION DISMISSED (CHECK REASON): Rule 12(b), SCRPC; Rule 41(a), SCRPC (Vol. Nonsuit); Rule 43(k), SCRPC (Settled);
 Other
- ACTION STRICKEN (CHECK REASON): Rule 40(j), SCRPC; Bankruptcy;
 Binding arbitration, subject to right to restore to confirm, vacate or modify arbitration award;
 Other
- STAYED DUE TO BANKRUPTCY
- DISPOSITION OF APPEAL TO THE CIRCUIT COURT (CHECK APPLICABLE BOX):
 Affirmed; Reversed; Remanded;
 Other

NOTE: ATTORNEYS ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR NOTIFYING LOWER COURT, TRIBUNAL, OR ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCY OF THE CIRCUIT COURT RULING IN THIS APPEAL.

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED: See attached order (formal order to follow) Statement of Judgment by the Court:

After careful consideration of Plaintiff's arguments, the Court denies Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration in the above case.

ORDER INFORMATION

This order ends does not end the case. See Page 2 for additional information.

For Clerk of Court Office Use Only

This judgment was electronically entered by the Clerk of Court as reflected on the Electronic Time Stamp, and a copy mailed first class to any party not proceeding in the Electronic Filing System on 04/29/2019 .

Eugene A. Fallon, Jr.
 Andre Patrick for Andre Patrick
 Fonda E Patrick for Fonda E Patrick
 Aiken Regional Medical Centers Llc
 Aiken Regional Medical Centers Llc
 Aiken Regional Medical Centers Llc
 Andre Patrick for Andre Patrick
 Fonda E Patrick for Fonda E Patrick

RECEIVED
MAY 13 2019
SC Court of Appeals

NAMES OF TRADITIONAL FILERS SERVED BY MAIL

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2019 May 17 2:47 PM - AIKEN - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2018NI0200003
ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2019 Apr 29 1:10 PM - AIKEN - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2018NI0200003

EXHIBIT "B"

Court Reporter:

E-Filing Note: The date of Entry of Judgment is the same date as reflected on the Electronic File Stamp and the clerk's entering of the date of judgment above is not required in those counties. The clerk will mail a copy of the judgment to parties who are not E-Filers or who are appearing pro se. See Rule 77(d), SCRCP.

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2019 May 17 2:47 PM - AIKEN - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2018NI0200003
ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2019 Apr 29 1:10 PM - AIKEN - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2018NI0200003

EXHIBIT "B"



Aiken Common Pleas

Case Caption: Fonda E Patrick , plaintiff, et al VS Gasnel E Bryan , defendant, et al
Case Number: 2018NI0200003
Type: Order/Electronic Form 4

It is so Ordered.

s/ R. Keith Kelly - 2165