

**THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In The Court of Appeals**

**APPEAL FROM CHARLESTON COUNTY
Court of Common Pleas**

The Honorable Mikell R. Scarborough, Master in Equity

**Case No. 2014-CP-10-05407
2017-CP-10-04031**

RECEIVED
JUN 17 2019
SC Court of Appeals

Churchill Park, Respondent

v.

Alan G. Nix, Norma J. Nix and the Estate of Norma J. Nix, Defendants,

Of which Alan G. Nix is the Appellant

**Appellate Case Number 2018-000056 &
2018-000174**

**12 JUNE 2019 NOTIFICATION REGARDING 16 MAY 2019 ORDER AND 28 MAY 2019
MOTION**

BACKGROUND

On 20 May 2019 I received an Order signed by Judge Williams dated 16 May 2019, that among other things, required me to file and serve the initial brief and designation of matter within twenty days of that order, or the court would dismiss my appeal(s). On 28 May 2019, I sent the SC Court of Appeals a motion specifically asking the Court to back up its assertion in the 16 May 2019 Order with more than a simple assertion that the appeal had been restored and that my motion of 11 January 2019 to properly restore the appeal was "moot".

Today, 12 June 2019, is fifteen days past the date I mailed my motion to the Court, and 13 days past the date the Court received my motion, requesting an actual ruling on my motion of 11 January 2019 seeking the Court to properly restore the Appeals. Today is also five months past the date I mailed the 11 January 2019 motion to properly restore the cases, three months past Ms. Allen's rather curious letter of 13 March 2019, seven months past when I filed 60(b) motion in Charleston County for cases 2014-CP-10-05407 and 2017-CP-10-04031 and 19 months past when I filed motions for case 2017-CP-10-04031, which Judge Scarborough never heard because he quickly closed them without entering an order. As of today, I have not received any response whatsoever from the Court of Appeals related to the 16 May 2019 order or my 28 May 2019 motion. Consequently, it seems logical to conclude that SC Court of Appeals is seriously struggling to respond in a sufficient manner, supported by sufficient evidence, to justify Judge William's assertion of 16 May 2019 that no ruling on my motion of 11 January 2019 was required because it was so clearly "moot".

Furthermore, given this situation, and in particular the pattern of conduct by the Court of Appeals since 5 April 2018 related to these matters, this letter is meant to clearly put the South Carolina Judicial System, including but not limited to the SC Court of Appeals, on notice that over the next several years I will be pursuing such legal action is necessary and warranted against various persons and Persons of the South Carolina Judiciary, as a subset, but integral part of, a much larger group of Persons or persons which have been, either directly or indirectly, involved in this six plus year pattern of improper, and in some instances, illegal conduct. Clearly, the ultimate beneficiaries of this special treatment by numerous South Carolina judges and different levels of courts within the SC Judicial System must have significant influence over, and within, our State's Government.

These legal actions, based on my current intent and knowledge, will be composed of both South Carolina and Federal statutes designed to deal with just such conduct and organizations.

Based on this notification, it is understandable from a legal perspective if the Court of Appeals and State now wishes to save their response, arguments and alternative facts for those proceedings vice responding at this point to my motion of 28 May 2019. However, given the person and Persons in question in this specific notice are Governmental entities, and the Judiciary no less, I would also suggest from a layman's and South Carolina citizen's perspective

it would be appropriate to at least respond with an explanation to the degree the Court and State believes it is appropriate without compromising your legal situation relative to this or other future matters.

Back in the spring of 2017, long before I had any real understanding of how dysfunctional and compromised our State's judicial system was, I discussed the broader situation with a long time South Carolina law enforcement officer. That person's stated belief at that time was that "you can not win". That person's stated opinion, as I understood it, was not that my case was weak or strong, but that based on that person's knowledge of our judicial and governmental system, accumulated through their years being involved in the same, was such that there were persons and Persons in our State that are not subject to the same set of rules and laws as the rest of us poor mortals, including, but not limited to, their ability to manipulate the judicial system to avoid being properly held accountable for their conduct. Perhaps put more succinctly, and by way of example, the "powerful and politically connected" which has been on and off reported on during the last several years related to the scandals and misconduct in our State's legislature.

Consequently, the last 28 months in particular, and to a lesser degree the last 39 months, combined with my own multiple opportunities to observe such improper conduct up close and personally, has given me a much greater and deeper understanding of that law enforcement officer's comment back in 2017. Rest assured, I intend to correct this situation in such a public manner as is necessary to ensure that my children and their generation, as well as all future generations of South Carolinians, will never hear such a dismal assessment again. I do not, and will never, accept such conduct in the least, regardless of whether or not that is, and has been, the way it has always been. Put more specifically, I clearly intend to do, as it appears I must, due to multiple and various failures by many persons and Persons in the past to properly carry out their duties, deal with this insidious infection of our State's judiciary which has caused the judicial system to operate in a manner in direct contradiction to its specified role in our Governmental system.

It is quite possible I may not be able to ultimately prevail against the forces it appears controls and benefits from "Columbia's (South Carolina) legal corridor". However, for the sake of our State, our State's future generations, and the future of a Governmental system that at least

mostly operates in a manner consistent with how it was designed, and specifically in a manner that ensures each citizen is equally protected and has an equal opportunity to succeed, the rest of the State that isn't involved with or dependent on, either directly or indirectly, "Columbia's (South Carolina) legal corridor" should deeply hope so.

Perhaps the \$47M spent on giving the University of South Carolina School of Law a facelift would be better spent on a short term emergency training and certification session on Attorney and Judicial knowledge of, and compliance with, the Rules of Professional Conduct. The remainder of that money could then be spent to quickly design and implement a system that actually holds all persons and Persons involved in any way at all with South Carolina's legal system truly accountable for their conduct, to include aggressively weeding out all that design, participate in, further, profit from and or attempt to conceal illegal schemes and misconduct. A facelift to a building that is central to "Columbia's legal corridor" is, in reality, nothing more than putting very expensive lipstick on the same pig that has been instrumental in creating the population that has created South Carolina's embarrassing, backward and dysfunctional legal environment.

Dated: June 12, 2019

Respectfully submitted,



Alan G. Nix
1401 Densmore Circle
Mount Pleasant, SC 29466
(843) 991.4170
alan.g.nix@gmail.com

cc.

Ryan McCabe
McCabe Trotter & Beverly
4500 Fort Jackson Blvd.
Columbia, SC 29209

Charleston Legal Access
Attn: Newman and Schreiber
1630 Meeting St.
Charleston, SC 29405

Judge Scarborough
Master in Equity, Charleston County

Julie Armstrong
Clerk of Court, Charleston County.

100 Broad St., Ste. 266
Charleston, SC 29401

100 Broad Street
Charleston, SC 29401

Tonnya Kohn
Office of Court Administration
1220 Senate St.
Columbia, SC 29201

Mark Keel
SC Law Enforcement Division
4400 Broad River Rd.
Columbia, SC 29221

Richele Taylor
Chief Legal Counsel
Governor's Office
1100 Gervais St.
Columbia, SC 29201

Sherri Lydon
US Attorney's Office
1441 Main St.
Columbia, SC 29201

John Nichols
Office of Disciplinary Counsel
1220 Senate St.
Columbia, SC 29201

Luke Rankin
Chairman, State Judiciary Committee
101 Gressette Bldg.
Columbia, SC 29201

Alan Wilson
SC Attorney General
1000 Assembly St., Rm 519
Columbia, SC 29201

Judge Lockemy
SC Court of Appeals
1220 Senate St.
Columbia, SC 29201

Judge Knie
180 Magnolia St.
Spartanburg, SC 29306

SC Court of Appeals
Clerk of Court
1220 Senate St.
Columbia, SC 29201

Robert Wilcox
USC School of Law
1525 Senate St.
Columbia, SC 29208

Judge Young
100 Broad St.
Charleston, SC 29401

1401 DENSMORE CIRCLE
MT. PLEASANT, SC 29466




RECEIVED

JUN 17 2019

SC Court of Appeals

S.C. COURT OF APPEALS
CLERK OF COURT
1220 SENATE ST.
COLUMBIA, SC 29201



1000



29201

R2304M14150-02

\$4.05

U.S. POSTAGE PAID
FCM LETTER
MOUNT PLEASANT, SC
29464
JUN 13 19
AMOUNT

29201-378999

