

# The Supreme Court of South Carolina

Arthur Coleman, Petitioner,

v.

State of South Carolina, Respondent.

Appellate Case No. 2012-213677

---

## ORDER

---

By motion dated January 29, 2013, petitioner moves to supplement the explanation that he has submitted under Rule 243(c), SCACR, with a letter from the Clerk of Union County dated January 22, 2013.<sup>1</sup> Since this letter was not before the PCR judge, it cannot be considered by this Court and the motion is denied.

  
C.J.  
FOR THE COURT

Columbia, South Carolina  
February 6, 2013.

cc: James Rutledge Johnson, Esquire  
Mr. Arthur Coleman, #269494

---

<sup>1</sup> On January 30, 2013, this matter was dismissed based on petitioner's failure to provide an adequate explanation. The current motion was received in an envelope post-marked January 31, 2013.