

From: [Allen, Claire](#)
To: [Kitchings, Jenny](#)
Subject: FW: Urgent inquiry - 27 June 2019 order
Date: Monday, July 8, 2019 3:09:27 PM
Importance: High

From: Alan G. Nix [mailto:alan.g.nix@gmail.com]
Sent: Friday, July 5, 2019 12:59 PM
To: Lockemy, James E. <jlockemy@sccourts.org>
Cc: awilson@scag.gov; 'Ryan McCabe' <Ryan.McCabe@mccabetrotter.com>; 'Todd Musheff' <Todd.Musheff@mccabetrotter.com>; 'Sally Newman' <sally@charlestonlegalaccess.org>; 'Sarah Schreiber' <sarah@charlestonlegalaccess.org>; 'Stephanie Trotter' <Stephanie.Trotter@mccabetrotter.com>; Scarborough, Mikell R. <master@charlestoncounty.org>; 'Joe Dawson' <JDawson@charlestoncounty.org>; Allen, Claire <CAllen@sccourts.org>; 'Todd Musheff' <tmusheff@musheff-law.com>; Nichols, John S. <jsnichols@sccourts.org>; Williams, H. Bruce <hwilliams@sccourts.org>; LukeRankin@scsenate.gov; 'Jeff Young' <JYoung@scag.gov>; Scarborough, Mikell R. <master@charlestoncounty.org>
Subject: Urgent inquiry - 27 June 2019 order
Importance: High

***** EXTERNAL EMAIL:** This email originated from outside the organization. Please exercise caution before clicking any links or opening attachments. ***

Judge Lockemy.

I apologize for having to reach out to you related to your order with a date stamp written as 27 June 2019, but, since I haven't heard back from Mr. Wilson yet, and I am assuming he is your attorney, and the weekend is coming up quickly, I kind of feel I have no choice but at least try to understand as much as I can before I write what I think I am going to write.

The order in question for case number 2018-000056 purports to dismiss the case because of not complying with Judge Williams order dated 16 May 2019.

BUT:

1. there is a motion from me from January 2019 requesting the case be properly restored
2. that hasn't been ruled on.....
3. that Mr. Allen sent a perplexing letter about in mid March 2019
4. that Judge Williams didn't rule on in the same order of 16 May 2019, because of some unsupported assertion that it was "moot"
5. which caused me to file another motion received by the Court on 30 May 2019 requiring the court to provide evidence to support Judge Williams unsupported assertion of 16 May 2019.....
6. And neither a response to my motion of January 2019 nor 28 May 2019 is reflected in your order dated 27 June 2019.

With that as a background, I have asked Mr. Wilson (still working under the assumption he is and will be representing you and others of the judicial system in matters related to these matters) to respond with any potential mitigating factors related to your order of 27 June 2019. That way, I could properly utilize such defenses or explanations in my response to your order of 27 June 2019 (and Judge Williams order and Ms. Allen's letter, etc.)

I want to believe something remotely like the best I can believe, but without some explanation or evidence, obviously I really can't at this point.

Thus, without a response from Mr. Wilson attempting to explain / rationalize your order, I am currently left to assume the worst about the basis for your order, which means my response will likely reflect that sort of assumption.

So, without a response from Mr. Wilson, and it being Friday afternoon, I wanted to give you a chance to explain your order and the background, etc. so if there is anything at all you wanted to explain on your or your court's behalf, you could do so in a manner that allows me to utilize it in my response. (eg. Soften the tone or something)

Best regards,
Alan Nix

PS. I think I copied everyone I should but if I didn't please just add whoever else is needed in your response.