


403-0001

DORIS POULOS O'HARA
CLERK OF COURT
JUDICIAL CENTER
181 N. IRBY STREET, SUITE 1100
FLORENCE, SC, 29501

COLUMBIA
SC 290
JUL 01 2019
PM 2 L

U.S. POSTAGE >> PITNEY BOWES


ZIP 29501 \$000.50⁰
02 4W
0000360064 JUL 01 2019



COURTNEY L. BROCKINGTON
POST OFFICE BOX 3232
FLORENCE, SC 29502

To Whom It May Concern:
Please note that I
filed the appeal on
June 17th, 2019 for the
Court of Common Pleas to
forward to the Court of
Appeals and it was returned
to me instead of forwarding
to the S.C. Court of Appeals.

29502-323232



**IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
COUNTY OF FLORENCE
STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA**

Courtney L. Brockington,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 VERSES)
)
 Charles David Barr,)
)
 Defendant.)
 _____)

Case No.: 2018CP2103095

NOTICE OF APPEALS

RECEIVED
JUL 08 2019
SC Court of Appeals

Pro Se Plaintiff, Courtney L. Brockington, is appealing the decision of Judge D. Craig Brown's decision affirming that Charles David Barr should receive a fee for representing me concerning the motor vehicle accident that I was involved. The Fee Dispute Board agreed that Charles David Barr should receive a fee; however there was much testimony from other attorneys that is questionable and not affirming that Charles David Barr should receive a fee from Plaintiff. Pro Se Plaintiff had a witness through the entire process and Attorney Ricci Welch have only two (2) sentences in her document from the ONLY witness to the entire process with Charles David Barr. Attorney Ricci Welch did NOT confirm any of Charles David Barr's documentation with Pro Se Plaintiff, such as telephone calls to Pro Se Plaintiff, which would have been on his long-distance logs, Mr. Love's conversation with the ONLY witness and Mr. Barth's total conversation with Pro Se Plaintiff and ONLY witness. Pro Se Plaintiff confirms that Attorney Welch did not submit a truthful report to the Dispute Board and the Court of Common Pleas did not have a complete and true report to affirm. The ONLY witness has over 15 years of experience in the Legal System and do NOT agree with neither decision nor does Pro Se Plaintiff and that is reason why this case is being motion for the Court of Appeals to make a fair decision, using the facts from the ONLY witness, in favor of the Pro Se Plaintiff. Thanks in advance.

Courtney L. Brockington

Courtney L. Brockington, Pro Se Plaintiff

P. O. Box 3232, Florence, South Carolina 29502

June 17, 2019

Florence, South Carolina