

**SOUTH CAROLINA COURT OF APPEALS
THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA**

**FRANCES REANAY WILLIAMS
PETITIONER**

Vs,

**Appellant Case
No. _____**

**SHIRELLE JUNIOUS
Representative For The
Estate Of Jarone Williams
Decedent
Respondent**

**RECEIVED
AUG 01 2019
SC Court of Appeals**

NOTICE OF APPEAL

Appeal of the Court of Common Pleas Order dated June 10, 2019 affirming the decision of the probate Court and the probate court order dismissing the petition with prejudice filed July 18, 2018, remittitur from the appeals court order dated November 7, 2018, Mail November 29, 2018 received December 7, 2018 by appellant/petitioner. The Court of Common pleas order is dated June 10, 2019, the petitioner/appellant received the order on June 28, 2019. The postal meter stamp has a date of April 5, 2019 for mailing to the petitioner/appellant. The order date June 10, 2019 is attached. The appellant is filing the appeal based on the fact the order from the court of common pleas was received in the United States mail on June 28, 2019.

Under Rule 201, code 62-1-308(1) Appellant/Petitioner, appeals the Court of Common Pleas and probate case Number 2017-ES-1400153 and Common pleas case number 2018CP1400381.

This case was remittitur to the Court of Common Pleas from the Appeal Court because there was no consent of jurisdiction between the parties for jurisdiction to vest in the Appeals Court and not the Court of Common pleas. The Appeals Courts mailed a letter dated November 29, 2018, to the Honorable Beulah G. Robert, PO Box 136, Manning SC 29102-0136 stating the remittitur with copies to the parties Frances Reanay Williams and Shirelle Junious.

The Court of Common pleas states this matter came before the Court on April 24, 2019, The facts are the case was placed on the Court roster for March 8, 2019. The

Petitioner/appellant Frances Reanay Williams made an appearance for the roster call number 23. The notice was received one day prior and petitioner/appellant was able to make the court appearance to answer the roster call. It was the court of common pleas who refused to hear the appellant/petitioner and reset the case for a later date because the clerk of court Ms Robert could not tell the Judge if the respondent Shirelle Junious received a copy of the roster to appear in Court. The April 24, 2019, did not have respondent Shirelle Junious present in the court as the court stated for the Friday, March 8, 2019 at 9:30 am roster. Making the appellant having to return to Manning South Carolina for court to the April 24, 2019 date. This date was not given to the appellant on March 8, 2019, but mail to the appellant at a later date.

The Court of Common pleas has the petitioner/appellant copy of Frances Reanay Williams power of attorney on file in the probate court office for the designated person on the power of attorney to stand in appellant place to speak on appellant behalf. Though this Court stress what a person can not do with a power of attorney. The appellant as an individual has the right given to appellant under the constitution of the United States to appoint a person to speak on appellant behalf with a power of attorney. This has been a difficult time in appellant's life appellant lost appellant's brother, appellant mother six week later after the brother and appellant's father Jarone Williams two years later both of appellant parents died in the month of May. The law and code of the State of South Carolina has not been followed in this matter before the court.

The court states the will was drawn up by Jarone Williams. The Supreme Court of South Carolina has found Attorney Moore after the Supreme Court investigation the will was a fraud and not draw up by Jarone Williams. The facts of the Supreme Court finding from their investigation, the two court judges in this jurisdiction refuses to look at the evidence present in this case of the Supreme Court investigation. And the fact that Respondent Shirelle Junious has committed a crime by submitting this fraudulent will to the probate court in this jurisdiction and state this document is the last will and testament of Jarone Williams when the will was not drawn up by Jarone Williams but drawn and made by Shirelle Junious the respondent in this matter.

The three criminals involved in the criminal act of this fraudulent will was given notice to appear at July 30, 2018 hearing to give testimony under oath, though this is a civil matter this evidence can be used in the criminal prosecution of these three individuals. The respondent Shirelle Junious is an employee of this court county government. A crime has been committed by these three individuals in this matter before this court a fact the two judges have completed over looked in the two orders that were granted.

Both of judges in this matter has refused to consider the Supreme Court finding of the evidence submitted in this matter. Jarone Williams did not make this will. The respondent did not file a motion under rule 5 for proof of service and the respondent filed an answer to amendment petition filed in this case which is and acknowledgment of service and certified receipts was filed in this case that showed the three individuals that took part in the making of the fraudulent will was service. The court two Judges grant orders of George Junious Stinney Jr justice which is the pattern of some of the judges in this jurisdiction. Where an outside court had to do a re-examination of this county judicial justice and grant fair justice which has not given in matters before this county court judicial system starting with the Stinney case, continuing with Briggs vs Elloitt and has continued this pattern of outlaw justice to 2019 in this matter before the court. The Supreme Court of South Carolina has already did an investigation of the lawyer who drew up this fraudulent will and sanction. This court refuses to consider the findings of the highest court in South Carolina that gives them the right to be judges in the State of South Carolina. A judge or judges can find case laws to support their dismissal of a case, the person who holds a power of attorney and their upholding of a previous order granted in their jurisdiction. Their orders does not eliminate the pattern of the injustice outlaw justice granted by judges orders in this county starting with the Stinney case, continue with the Briggs vs Elloitt and now this matter before this jurisdiction a continuous pattern outlaw orders of justice. The case should have never been dismissed with prejudice prevent the appellant making the alleges corrections made by this court.

The judges decisions are not fair impartial order decisions based on the South Carolina code and their orders and decisions is a violation of judicial rule 501 cannons (1)A, 2(A), 2(B), 3(B)(4), 3(B)(8), 3(C)(1), and 3(C)(2).

The error this appeal court has to correct is to make a decision on whether the orders of the two judges to give proof of service on a fraudulent will, over a Supreme Court decision through an investigation by the Supreme Court, should be granted over the will being a fraud and being treated as a valid will, the Supreme Court has proven in an investigation this not a valid will to prove proof of service on.

This case must be over turn and remanded remittitur back to the probate court to correct this unjust ruling order and finding of this county courts, and this court refusal to consider the Supreme Court of South Carolina finding in this matter. The highest Court in the State of South Carolina has done investigation on this matter and found this will is a fraud

from their investigation and this court refuses to consider the Supreme Court investigation, and finding, then grant their orders of outlaw justice and for the power of attorney filed February 17, 2012 by the respondent and the will. Allowing this respondent to commit this crime in this jurisdiction.

See the previous brief submitted in this case.

Submitted by,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'FRW', written in a cursive style.

**Frances Reanay Williams
Appellant/petitioner**

Frances Reanay Williams
Appellant/ petitioner
Vs
Shirelle Junious
Respondent

Certificate of Mailing:

Court of Common Pleas
PO Box 136
Manning, South Carolina 29102

Shirelle Junious
6967 Alex Harvin Highway
Summerton, South Carolina 29148

Probate Court
411 Sunset Drive Suite 1304
Manning, South Carolina 29102

I certified I have this date with the proper postage attached there mail the Notice of Appeal and attached documents to the above parties

Submitted this 28th day of July 2019.



Frances Reanay Williams
Appellant/petitioner?

**Documents submitted to Appeals court in the matter of
Frances Reanay Williams vs Shirelle Junious
appellant/petitioner respondent**

Notice of appeals document

Brief of and enumeration of errors

Copy of Common order date June 10, 2019

Copy of envelope order June 10, 2019 mail in

Copy of Common court of pleas March 8, 2019 roster

Appeals remittitur to Common pleas court

Last will and testament per South Carolina code

Notary Public online Manual South Carolina code

Complaint filed with the Supreme Court

Notice of Final Disposition of the Complaint from the Supreme Court

Last of page of the power of attorney file on February 17, 2012

Probate court Judge orde of July 18, 2018

Copy of envelope that contain the order

NOTICE OF APPEAL BY THE APPELLANT FRANCES REANAY WILLIAMS.

Under Rule 203, the Appellant makes this notice of appeal to the South Carolina Court of Appeals to appeal the order of the Probate Judge of Clarendon County in case number 2017ES1400153. To make a motion for a new hearing (rule 59, SC RCP) reversed the order and remanded to the Probate Court of Clarendon County. The judge dismiss the petition base on rule 5 (d) stating service was not perfect in this petition. This an error and must be correct. The conduct of Judge is a violation Canon 3, A Judge shall perform the duties of judicial office impartially and diligently, A, B(1) (2) and (5). These errors must be corrected that were made by the judge in this probate petition filed by legal heir of decedent the appellant.

Interest of
Frances Reanay Williams
Appellant

RECEIVED

AUG 01 2019

SC Court of Appeals

The Appellants appeals the judgment of the Probate Judge order sign on July 18, 2018, dismissing the petitioner petition base on rule 5. To dismiss a petition base on rule 5 a motion has to be filed no motion was ever file and served on the petitioner by the respondent. The respondent file and answer to the amendment file in the probate court on this petition. The petitioner filed a motion to enter final judgment in July 2018, exhibit M-5 showed the petitioner/appellant proof of service to the respondent. This judge omitted evidence, submitted in this case, that showed the will was fraud and dismiss the case with prejudice to silent the petitioner this act on the part of this judge must be correct. The question must be answer on how the respondent knew the Judge would dismiss the petition before the order was signed and enter in this matter. A copy of the order is included in this matter before this appeals court. This order must be over turn and remanded base errors made by the Judge in this case.

**Notice of Appeal to
Appellate Court Submitted By**

**Frances Reanay Williams
Appellant
170 Windhaven Trail SW
Atlanta, Georgia 30331
404 346 3164**


**I certified that I have on this day by certificate mail with tracking to show
delivery to Appellee did mail to the appellee on this day Notice of Appeal and
Brief to support the notice of Appeal.**

**Mail to
Shirelle Junious
6967 Alex Harvin Highway
Summerton, South Carolina 29148**

with sufficient postage attached thereto as evidence of mailing with a tracking

170 Windhaven Trl. SW
Atlanta, GA 30331-7868

Frances Beanay Williams
170 Windhaven Trl. SW
At. GA 30331



RECEIVED
AUG 01 2019
SC Court of Appeals

Appeals Court of South Carolina
1015 Sumter, Street Suite 5
P.O. Box 11629
Columbia, S.C. 29211