

The Supreme Court of South Carolina

Wesley Edward Smith, III, Appellant,

v.

Charleston County School District, Respondent.

Appellate Case No. 2019-000616

The Honorable Roger M. Young, Sr.
Charleston County
Trial Court Case No. 2003CP1004751

RECEIVED

AUG 01 2019

S.C. SUPREME COURT

Certificate of Mailing

I, WESLEY EDWARD SMITH III, CERTIFY THAT ON 29 JULY 2019, IN SUPPORT OF THE REQUEST FOR THE EXTENSION OF TIME IN THE STATE APPELLATE COURT, THAT THIS SERVES A CORRELATED CORRESPONDENCE AS NOTICE TO APPEAL (ADDENDUM) REGARDING THE APPELLATE DETERMINATION BY CERT 1ST CLASS MAILING TO (ADDRESS CORRECTION PENDING)

TO DANIEL F. BLANKENSHIP
151 WESTING ST 45000
CHARLESTON, S.C 29401

29 July 2019

Wesley Edward Smith III

The Supreme Court of South Carolina

Wesley Edward Smith, III, Appellant,

v.

Charleston County School District, Respondent.

Appellate Case No. 2019-000616

The Honorable Roger M. Young, Sr.
Charleston County
Trial Court Case No. 2003CP1004751

NOTICE OF APPEAL, REQUEST FOR
REHEARING AND RECONSIDERATION OR
ON REVIEW, WRIT OF CERTIORARI AND
WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS IN CASE
2019-000616.

Pursuant 28 U.S.C. 2241 since "you
have the body" request the petition
be granted to review all matters
related to Wesley Edward Smith
or not the AKA Wesley Smith "you"
refer to per "your" many orders).

D

I, WESLEY EDWARD SMITH II, in
THE CASE 2003-CP-10-4751. WAS THE
PRO SE DEFENDANT, UPON THE PLAINTIFFS
CCSD CLAIM IN "THAT" SUIT OF LAW,
BUT WAS ABSENT THE ENTIRE CASE
AND DENIED TO DATE, ANY AND
ALL CORRESPONDENCES TO CROSS
EXAMINE.

THEREFORE, A "WRIT OF CERTIORARI"
WOULD ALLOW THE FULL REVIEW
ON WHAT I, WESLEY EDWARD
SMITH II WAS "ACTUALLY" ACCUSED
& ADD FILED FROM EMPLOYMENT.

THE "WRIT OF CERTIORARI" WOULD
THEN NOT ONLY ALLOW THE STATE(S)

HIGHEST COURT TO REVIEW ALL
FACTUAL CONCLUSIONS, LEGAL
ARGUMENTS, AND SUFFICIENCY
OF SERVICE OF PROCESS, BUT WILL
ALLOW, THIS WESLEY EDWARD SMITH
NOT THE LOWER COURT "A.K.A" WESLEY
SMITH TO STILL BE CONFUSED WITH
THIS DATE.

I, WESLEY EDWARD SMITH
PRIVILEGES REGARDING THE "WRIT
OF HABEAS CORPUS" SHALL NOT
BE SUSPENDED, UNLESS IN CASES
OF REBELLION OR INVASION THE
PUBLIC SAFETY MAY REQUIRE IT.
THIS CASE WAS NOT RELEVANT
TO SUCH ACTION OR DENIALS.

③

I, WEBLEY EDWARD SMITH III BELIEVES
BASED UPON INFORMATION AND
BELIEF THAT UPON JUDICIAL REVIEW
OF THE LOWER COURT DOCUMENTS,
THAT ANY INFRINGEMENTS OF THE LAW
WILL ALLOW THE AFFORDED EQUALITY,
FAIRNESS AND EQUAL PROTECTIONS
UNDER THE LAW THE CONSTITUTION,
AFFORDS OF ALL ITS CITIZENS, AS SUCH
I ASSENT THE MOTION FOR DECLARA-
TORY JUDGMENT PER THE MOTION'S
FOR PROCEDURAL RIGHTS VIOLATION,
SUBSTANTIVE RIGHTS VIOLATED AND
MOTION FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT
AND EQUAL PROTECTION UNDER THE LAW.

HUMAN RIGHTS REQUIRES A

(4)

I, WESLEY EDWARD SMITH^{III} BELIEVES
BASED ON INFORMATION AND BELIEF
THE LOWER COURT WAS WITHOUT PRO-
PERLY IDENTIFYING THE SUBJECT,
WITHOUT LEGAL CONCLUSION OF LAW
AND APPLICATIONS OF THE RULE DID
NOT ADHERE TO PRIOR PRECEDENT(S).

A GENUINE ISSUE OF MATERIAL FACT
REMAINS AND OBJECTIONABLE
QUESTIONS REGARDING PROCEDURAL
FAIRNESS, SUBSTANTIVE RIGHTS
AND EQUAL JUSTICE UNDER THE
STATES LAWS, WHICH DO AFFORDS
A REMEDY AND RELIEF ARE UNDER
THE JUDICIAL REVIEW FOR REVERSAL
OF THE LOWER COURT.

29 July 2019

Respectfully
WESLEY EDWARD SMITH^{III}

WESLEY EDWARD SMITH^{III}