

RECEIVED

AUG 22 2019

SC Court of Appeals

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In the Supreme Court
COURT OF APPEALS

RECEIVED

AUG 07 2019

SC Court of Appeals

William H Ellwood,

aka , Elk of The Wood , Appellants

In Propria Persona

Case No. : 2019-000163

V.

Brian T. Michael, Respondent

DEMAND FOR JUDGMENT
EN BANC

DEMAND FOR JUDGMENT EN BANC

1. In response of an ORDER FOR the COURT, not by the Court, placed on the Record on July 26, 2019 and signed by V. Claire Allen, Deputy Clerk, dismissal of Appeal , received on August 2, 2019 by Appellants in the above captioned case.
2. This filing is timely filed, DEMAND FOR JUDGMENT EN BANC for Abrogation of Substantive Rights of Appellants including the Right to Due Process of Law, by the deputy CLERK of COURT of Appellants in the above captioned case.
3. Appellants are entitled to a decision without bias and prejudice on Merits of the cause that brings Appellants to appeal the decision of the lower court.
4. The Clerk nor the Court has the right to bar Appellants from Due Process because they are poor, without resources to purchase the record of proceedings in the Trial Court, from an outside third party, for profit , when the Clerk of the Trial Court is required to keep the record.
5. Appellants filed to proceed In Forma Pauperis, Clerk denied. Appellants OBJECT to and PROTEST against denial to proceed In Forma Pauperis.
6. The Clerk dismissed the Appeal. Appellants OBJECT to and PROTEST against dismissal of Appeal.
7. The S.C. Rules of the Court have been written, it appears , to preclude the poor from the ability to exercise their substantive Rights to Due Process in the Court of Appeals .
8. Appellants appeal has Merit and is entitled to be heard, it brings forward violations of Due Process in the lower Court as well as violation of Rule 12 (c), Rule 56 (c), Trinsey v. Pagliaro, 229 F. Supp.647., as well as service of process not made pursuant to statute, other violations.

9. Rules of the Court can not preclude the exercise of substantive Rights of the People, including the Appellants.
10. Therefore, Appellants Demand an En Banc Judgment from a non Biased and Prejudiced panel.
11. Appellants raise the question and demand an answer to the question: Is there an unwritten Rule, Law or Policy that the higher Courts of South Carolina will not accept or hear an Appeal from a Pro Se or party in Propia Persona?
12. The Court should not need reminding that Persons acting in their own behalf are held "...to less stringent standard than formal pleadings by lawyers." Haines v. Kerner, 404 U.S. 519.

Respectfully submitted,

"Without prejudice"

William Harry: Ellwood

William Harry: Ellwood

c/o 1792 South Lake Dr. STE 90-112

Lexington, South Carolina, 29073



cell- 614-208-6196

Notary Verification

Before me, Tyler Ainsworth A Notary Public in and for the State of South Carolina, County of Lexington, proven to be he, by presentment of State ID, certify that William Ellwood did appear personally and he did sign and execute this document " DEMAND FOR JUDGMENT EN BANC" Case No. 2019-000163 S.C. APPEALS COURT" and state that he is of sound mind; eighteen (18) years of age or older, that he signed it willingly and under no constraint or undue influence, and as his own free and voluntary act for the purpose therein expressed.

Date 08.07.19


Tyler Ainsworth



CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, William Ellwood, certify that a copy of the DEMAND FOR JUDGMENT EN BANC on Appeal case # 2019-000163 served by proof of mailing U.S.P.S. delivered to James D. Mosteller, III, Esquire, P.O.Box 328, Aiken, SC 29802 on 08/07 /2019.

"without prejudice"



William Ellwood

c/o 1792 South Lake Drive

Ste 90 # 112

Lexington, S.C. 29073

RECEIVED

AUG 22 2019

SC Court of Appeals

RECEIVED

AUG 07 2019

SC Court of Appeals