

Dear South Carolina Court Administration,

The Petitioner makes a complaint against Court Reporter Hollie Jenkins and South Carolina Courts. On November 2, 2018, the Petitioner requested the transcript of the PCR hearing held on October 26, 2018. The Petitioner asked that Court Reporter Hollie Jenkins inform the Petitioner of all associated costs. On November 6, 2018, Ms. Jenkins informed the Petitioner that the transcript was approximately 110 pages, and based on the current page rate of \$4.25 per page, the cost will be \$467.50.

Ms. Jenkins said that upon receipt of the certified check or money order payable to her for \$467.50, the 60 days would begin. On March 6, 2019, the Petitioner sent a certified letter requesting the transcript for the PCR hearing held on October 26, 2018, and a money order for \$467.50. Ms. Jenkins did not confirm that she received the request and the money order. So, on April 1, 2019, the Petitioner reached out to Ms. Desiree Allen.

The Petitioner did not receive a response from Ms. Allen. On April 2, 2019, the Petitioner sent this email again to Ms. Allen. April 3, 2019, the Petitioner sent the email again to Ms. Allen. Evidently, Ms. Allen forwarded the email to Ms. Jenkins who said that she received payment on March 14, 2019. Also, Ms. Jenkins said that she had 60 days from that date. The Petitioner does not take issue with the rules. However, based on the actions of the Court it appears that Ms. Jenkins is purposely delaying the transcription of the record. **(EXHIBIT A, EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE, PAGES 1-11).**

First, the Petitioner filed her appeal on **March 21, 2019**, but the Court failed to notice the Petitioner that it received the Petitioner's appeal. Also, the Court failed to provide the assigned case number for the appeal to the Petitioner. However, the Court somehow informed SCCID of the Petitioner's notice of appeal. And SCCID sent the Petitioner a letter dated March 25, 2019, asking the Petitioner if she wanted representation. The Petitioner's response is due by April 10, 2019. But, the Petitioner faxed response to SCCID on April 4, 2019, declining the offer.

The Petitioner received another letter from SCCID dated **April 9, 2019**, stressing the dangers of self-representation in an attempt to intimidate the Petitioner, and force the Petitioner to accept representation. Interestingly, Mr. Dudek advised the Petitioner that if she wanted to represent herself on appeal she should write the Supreme Court and let the Court know that the Petitioner advised that it is a dangerous thing to do, and a bad idea. Notably, the Petitioner represented herself at her PCR hearing, but the State did not stress that she provide advance notice to the court that the Petitioner advised of the dangers of self-representation. Nor, was the Petitioner instructed to write the Supreme Court about her self-representation.

Petitioner faxed Mr. Dudek (SCCID) another letter dated **April 17, 2019**, reiterating the Petitioner's concerns about SCCID'S apparent conflict of interest. The Petitioner asked that SCCID (State) expedite the transcription of the record. It is evident that the State is using the "wait and see" approach, and that Court Reporter Hollie Jenkins acting at the behest of the State, is purposely delaying the transcription of the record. The State waited to see if the Petitioner would accept representation from SCCID, simultaneously using this time to delay the acknowledgment of the Petitioner's appeal and delay the transcription of the record.

The Petitioner noted in SCCID's letter dated **March 25, 2019**, SCCID said that if approved for SCCID to represent the Petitioner on appeal, it would request the transcript from the court reporter. Also, noted that the court reporter has sixty (**60**) days in which to type the transcript or request an extension of time. Moreover, said that when SCCID received the transcript, it would assign the Petitioner's case to an attorney, and that attorney would write informing the Petitioner of new counsel of record.

It is evident that the State planned to use this solicitation for representation as a delay tactic. And Dudek (State) tried to induce the Petitioner to accept the representation by obtaining the Petitioner's transcript free of charge. However, if you factor in the time for approval of representation, time to transcribe record at least sixty days, assignment of counsel, and informing the Petitioner of new counsel, the Petitioner's appeal delayed at a minimum of six (**6**) months. And based on the Petitioner's experience, the State will exploit this representation to the fullest extent. This delay is advantageous to the State.

The Petitioner also noted that SCCID said that it would close its file if it did not hear from the Petitioner by April 10, 2019. The Petitioner faxed declination letter to Mr. Dudek on April 4, 2019. However, Mr. Dudek sent correspondence two (**2**) more times to the Petitioner before he allegedly closed his file on **April 19, 2019**. It is evident that Mr. Dudek's actions were a ploy by the State to delay the Petitioner's appeal and the transcription of the record. Notably, on April 17, 2019, the Petitioner informed Mr. Dudek that the Petitioner would cc the Supreme Court/Taylor Smith the previous April 4, 2019 letter sent to him and the current April 17, 2019, correspondence.

Remarkably, on **April 17, 2019**, the Petitioner sent a certified letter to the Supreme Court to the attention of Taylor Smith. Certified receipt confirmed that the Supreme Court received the letter on April 22, 2019. Surprisingly, on **April 22, 2019**, the Petitioner's appeal was dismissed by Clerk of Court Daniel E. Shearouse, frivolously alleging that the Petitioner failed to timely order the transcript.

However, the Petitioner received a letter dated **April 23, 2019**, informing the Petitioner that this Court has accepted the Petitioner's notice of appeal, and the appeal assigned a case number.

As the Petitioner previously stated, this Court purposely delayed acknowledging the Petitioner's notice of appeal by waiting to see if the Petitioner would accept representation by the State. And when the State finally conceded that its efforts were in vain, this Court used the time it frivolously spent as a pretext to dismiss the Petitioner's appeal, an appeal that has not been recognized by this Court before April 23, 2019. Indeed, this Court is not complying with South Carolina Appellate Court Rules (SCACR).

P.S. Also, the State used this same ploy to dismiss the Petitioner's civil appeal (**Appellate Case No. 2018-001515**) concerning the Petitioner's home that was unlawfully seized by Circuit Court Judge Charles B. Simmons, Jr, Lakeview Loan Servicing, LLC, Vendor Resource Management, Riley Pope & Laney, Realtor Tim Harris, and Builder Eric Hedrick. Notably, the Petitioner's notice of appeal filed on July 27, 2018. The Petitioner informed the court that she spoke with Master in Equity on August 17, 2018, and informed that there was no transcript of a hearing because there was no foreclosure on **March 5, 2018**.

Also, the Respondent required to provide written confirmation that the transcript is either not available or is not needed to perfect the appeal. Further, the Respondent required to provide written evidence of his position about the transcript within ten (**10**) days of the letter dated September 7, 2018. Remarkably, the Petitioner noted that Respondent's correspondence dated **September 11, 2018**, but postmarked **October 3, 2018**. The Respondent delayed providing written confirmation, exceeding the required deadline by at least two weeks, but the South Carolina Court of Appeals ignored this blatant delay.

Significantly, the Respondent confirmed that there was no transcript available, asserting that it was an "**Informal Hearing**." (**EXHIBIT C, APPELLATE CASE NO. 2018-001515**). However, the Respondent failed to respond as to whether the "Transcript" needed to perfect the appeal. But, the Respondent instead made a motion to dismiss the Petitioner's appeal. Notably, the Petitioner had not filed her appeal with the court of appeals. The Petitioner strenuously asserts that this so-called informal hearing held on March 5, 2018, and July 16, 2018, resulted in the unlawful foreclosure and eviction of the Petitioner from her principal residence of almost eleven (**11**) years. (**EXHIBIT D, MOTION TO DISMISS, SEPTEMBER 11, 2018, POSTMARKED OCTOBER 3, 2018**).

Judge Simmons fraudulent ORDER affirmed by the South Carolina Court of Appeals in June 2016. And on **August 11, 2016**, Judge Simmons issued an Order and Judgment of Foreclosure and Sale against the Petitioner's home. Also, the Petitioner noted that Eric Hedrick, the former builder/developer and owner of Townes At Pelham, LLC, held the title to the Petitioner's house, and Mr. Hedrick transferred "Title" to Judge Simmons on **March 22, 2018**.


Remarkably, the **ORDER** asserted that the loan is not subject to the Supreme Court of South Carolina Administrative Order 2011-05-02-01 because the property is not an owner-occupied dwelling as defined in Paragraph A.(3) of said Order. **(EXHIBIT 17, MASTER'S ORDER AND JUDGMENT OF FORECLOSURE SALE, AUGUST 11, 2016).**

However, in Paragraph A.(3) of the Order, "Owner-Occupied dwelling" defined as mortgaged real property that is the principal residence of any mortgagor. **(EXHIBIT 17A-1, 17A-2, THE SUPREME COURT, ORDER, PAGE 1, A.(3).** Greenville County real property and other records confirm that the property located at 6 Pelham Townes Drive is the principal and only residence of the Petitioner since January 7, 2008.

The Administrative Order states, in all mortgage foreclosure actions filed after May 9, 2011, the Mortgagee's (Lakeview Loan Servicing, LLC) attorney (Hutchens Law Firm) **shall serve on the Mortgagor along with the summons and complaint, a notice of the Mortgagor's right to Foreclosure Intervention. No foreclosure hearing may be held in the foreclosure action until the Mortgagee's attorney certifies that the Mortgagee has complied with the requirements of paragraph B (1) (a) through (e).**

**It appears that the Courts of South Carolina do not comply with South Carolina Appellate Court Rules unless those rules are advantageous to the Courts.**

Respectfully submitted,



**RECEIVED**

**MAY 02 2019**

**S.C. SUPREME COURT**

cc: The Supreme Court

## Transcript

From: Donna Boyd [REDACTED]

To: dallen@sccourts.org

Bcc: [REDACTED]

Date: Tuesday, April 2, 2019, 4:00 PM EDT

EXHIBIT A

Sent on April 1, 2019.

Dear Ms. Allen,

I hope that all is well. I requested the transcript for the PCR hearing held on October 26, 2018. Ms. Jenkins received the request on March 14, 2019. However, I have not received a response from Ms. Jenkins in regards to that request. I am very concerned because this seems to be the typical response from court reporters in the Thirteenth Circuit Court. I recently received evidence that suggests that Ms. Jenkins is purposely delaying the transcription of the record. I would greatly appreciate any assistance provided in this matter.

Respectfully,

Ms. Donna Boyd

On Wednesday, February 7, 2018 11:41 AM, Donna [REDACTED] wrote:

Yes, I received the certified receipt on January 21. The request was sent to PO Box 2812, Greenville, SC 29602. Thank you,

Sent from my iPhone

On Feb 7, 2018, at 8:37 AM, Allen, Desiree <[DAllen@sccourts.org](mailto:DAllen@sccourts.org)> wrote:

Has the certified receipt come back? What address did he send it to?

**From:** Donna Boyd [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 6, 2018 8:57 PM  
**To:** Allen, Desiree <[DAllen@sccourts.org](mailto:DAllen@sccourts.org)>  
**Subject:** Transcript Request Billy Roy Boyd #349065

Dear Ms. Allen,

I hope this message finds you well. On January 16, 2018, Petitioner Billy Roy Boyd #349065 sent a certified letter to Court Reporter Theresa Johnson, requesting the transcript of his PCR hearing held on October 24, 2017, before the Honorable Judge Letitia H. Verdin. However, as of date, Mr. Boyd has not received a response to his request. I would greatly appreciate any assistance that you can provide in this matter.

Warm regards,

~~~ CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE ~~~ This message is intended only for the addressee and may contain information that is confidential. If you are not the intended recipient, do not read, copy, retain, or disseminate this message or any attachment. If you have received this message in error, please contact the sender immediately and delete all copies of the message and any attachments.

(1)

Re: Transcript

From: Donna Boyd (donna.boyd@scsccourts.org)  
To: DAllen@sccourts.org  
Date: Wednesday, April 3, 2019, 5:41 PM EDT

Exhibit A

The delayed response confirms my suspicion that Ms. Jenkins and the State is purposely delaying the transcription of the record. But what's new, right?

On Wednesday, April 3, 2019, 4:58:39 PM EDT, Allen, Desiree <DAllen@sccourts.org> wrote:

Yes, Ms. Boyd. It does work. Thank you for your patience.

From: Donna [redacted]  
Sent: Wednesday, April 3, 2019 4:43 PM  
To: Allen, Desiree <DAllen@sccourts.org>  
Subject: Fwd: Transcript

\*\*\* EXTERNAL EMAIL: This email originated from outside the organization. Please exercise caution before clicking any links or opening attachments. \*\*\*

So your email does work. Thank you for your prompt response.

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Donna Boyd <donna.boyd@scsccourts.org>  
Date: April 1, 2019 at 3:09:09 PM EDT  
To: Desiree Allen <dallen@sccourts.org>  
Subject: Transcript

Dear Ms. Allen,

I hope that all is well. I requested the transcript for the PCR hearing held on October 26, 2018. Ms. Jenkins received the request on March 14, 2019. However, I have not received a response from Ms. Jenkins in regards to that request. I am very concerned because this seems to be the typical response from court reporters in the Thirteenth Circuit Court. I recently received evidence that suggests that Ms. Jenkins is purposely delaying the transcription of the record. I would greatly appreciate any assistance provided in this matter.

Respectfully,

Ms. Donna Boyd  
On Wednesday, February 7, 2018 11:41 AM, Donna [redacted] wrote:

2

Yes, I received the certified receipt on January 21. The request was sent to PO Box 2812, Greenville, SC 29602. Thank you,

Sent from my iPhone

On Feb 7, 2018, at 8:37 AM, Allen, Desiree <[DAllen@sccourts.org](mailto:DAllen@sccourts.org)> wrote:

Has the certified receipt come back? What address did he send it to?

---

**From:** Donna Boyd [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 6, 2018 8:57 PM  
**To:** Allen, Desiree <[DAllen@sccourts.org](mailto:DAllen@sccourts.org)>  
**Subject:** Transcript Request Billy Roy Boyd #349065

Exhibit A

Dear Ms. Allen,

I hope this message finds you well. On January 16, 2018, Petitioner Billy Roy Boyd #349065 sent a certified letter to Court Reporter Theresa Johnson, requesting the transcript of his PCR hearing held on October 24, 2017. before the Honorable Judge Letitia H. Verdin. However, as of date, Mr. Boyd has not received a response to his request. I would greatly appreciate any assistance that you can provide in this matter.

Warm regards,

~~~~ CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE ~~~~ This message is intended only for the addressee and may contain information that is confidential. If you are not the intended recipient, do not read, copy, retain, or disseminate this message or any attachment. If you have received this message in error, please contact the sender immediately and delete all copies of the message and any attachments.

RE: Transcript

From: Allen, Desiree (DAllen@sccourts.org)

To: [REDACTED]

Date: Wednesday, April 3, 2019, 3:01 PM EDT

Please call me. 734.1860.

Exhibit A

**From:** Donna [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 3, 2019 2:44 PM  
**To:** Allen, Desiree <DAllen@sccourts.org>  
**Subject:** Fwd: Transcript

\*\*\* EXTERNAL EMAIL: This email originated from outside the organization. Please exercise caution before clicking any links or opening attachments. \*\*\*

Third Attempt April 3, 2019.

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

**From:** Donna Boyd [REDACTED]  
**Date:** April 2, 2019 at 4:00:22 PM EDT  
**To:** Desiree Allen <dallen@sccourts.org>  
**Subject:** Transcript

Sent on April 1, 2019.  
Dear Ms. Allen,

I hope that all is well. I requested the transcript for the PCR hearing held on October 26, 2018. Ms. Jenkins received the request on March 14, 2019. However, I have not received a response from Ms. Jenkins in regards to that request. I am very concerned because this seems to be the typical response from court reporters in the Thirteenth Circuit Court. I recently received evidence that suggests that Ms. Jenkins is purposely delaying the transcription of the record. I would greatly appreciate any assistance provided in this matter.

Respectfully,

Ms. Donna Boyd

On Wednesday, February 7, 2018 11:41 AM, Donna [REDACTED] wrote:

## Fwd: Transcript

---

From: Donna [REDACTED]  
To: DAllen@sccourts.org  
Bcc: [REDACTED]  
Date: Wednesday, April 3, 2019, 2:43 PM EDT

---

Third Attempt April 3, 2019.

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

**Exhibit A**

**From:** Donna Boyd [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>  
**Date:** April 2, 2019 at 4:00:22 PM EDT  
**To:** Desiree Allen <dallen@sccourts.org>  
**Subject:** Transcript

Sent on April 1, 2019.  
Dear Ms. Allen,

I hope that all is well. I requested the transcript for the PCR hearing held on October 26, 2018. Ms. Jenkins received the request on March 14, 2019. However, I have not received a response from Ms. Jenkins in regards to that request. I am very concerned because this seems to be the typical response from court reporters in the Thirteenth Circuit Court. I recently received evidence that suggests that Ms. Jenkins is purposely delaying the transcription of the record. I would greatly appreciate any assistance provided in this matter.

Respectfully,

Ms. Donna Boyd  
On Wednesday, February 7, 2018 11:41 AM, Donna [REDACTED] wrote:

Yes, I received the certified receipt on January 21. The request was sent to PO Box 2812, Greenville, SC 29602. Thank you,

Sent from my iPhone

On Feb 7, 2018, at 8:37 AM, Allen, Desiree <DAllen@sccourts.org> wrote:

Has the certified receipt come back? What address did he send it to?

---

**From:** Donna Boyd [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 6, 2018 8:57 PM  
**To:** Allen, Desiree <DAllen@sccourts.org>  
**Subject:** Transcript Request Billy Roy Boyd #349065

Dear Ms. Allen,

5

I hope this message finds you well. On January 16, 2018, Petitioner Billy Roy Boyd #349065 sent a certified letter to Court Reporter Theresa Johnson, requesting the transcript of his PCR hearing held on October 24, 2017. before the Honorable Judge Letitia H. Verdin. However, as of date, Mr. Boyd has not received a response to his request. I would greatly appreciate any assistance that you can provide in this matter.

Warm regards,

~~~ CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE ~~~ This message is intended only for the addressee and may contain information that is confidential. If you are not the intended recipient, do not read, copy, retain, or disseminate this message or any attachment. If you have received this message in error, please contact the sender immediately and delete all copies of the message and any attachments.

LETITIA

6

Yes, I received the certified receipt on January 21. The request was sent to PO Box 2812, Greenville, SC 29602. Thank you,

Sent from my iPhone

On Feb 7, 2018, at 8:37 AM, Allen, Desiree <DAllen@sccourts.org> wrote:

Has the certified receipt come back? What address did he send it to?

**From:** Donna Boyd [redacted]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 6, 2018 8:57 PM  
**To:** Allen, Desiree <DAllen@sccourts.org>  
**Subject:** Transcript Request Billy Roy Boyd #349065

EXHIBIT A

Dear Ms. Allen,

I hope this message finds you well. On January 16, 2018, Petitioner Billy Roy Boyd #349065 sent a certified letter to Court Reporter Theresa Johnson, requesting the transcript of his PCR hearing held on October 24, 2017. before the Honorable Judge Letitia H. Verdin. However, as of date, Mr. Boyd has not received a response to his request. I would greatly appreciate any assistance that you can provide in this matter.

Warm regards,

~~~~ CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE ~~~~ This message is intended only for the addressee and may contain information that is confidential. If you are not the intended recipient, do not read, copy, retain, or disseminate this message or any attachment. If you have received this message in error, please contact the sender immediately and delete all copies of the message and any attachments.

7

From: Donna [REDACTED]  
Subject: Re: 2018-CP-23-7725 Transcript PCR  
Hearing held on October 26, 2018  
Date: Apr 3, 2019, 4:38:21 PM  
To: Allen, Desiree DAllen@sccourts.org

Actually I sent the request on March 6, 2019, but for some unexplained reason, you did not get the request until the 14th. I expected to at least get a confirmation from you acknowledging receipt of the request and money order. Because you have 60 days to complete the transcript does not mean you have the discretion to wait until the very last minute to transcribe. The hearing was not a very long hearing.

Sent from my iPhone

On Apr 3, 2019, at 3:52 PM, Allen, Desiree <DAllen@sccourts.org> wrote:

Thank you for clearing that up, Ms. Jenkins.

**From:** Jenkins, Hollie M.  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 3, 2019 3:22 PM  
**To:** Donna [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** transcripts <transcripts@sccourts.org>; Allen, Desiree <DAllen@sccourts.org>  
**Subject:** Re: 2018-CP-23-7725 Transcript PCR Hearing held on October 26, 2018

Ms. Boyd,  
According to my records, you sent a transcript request to me in the case of 2018CP2307725-Donna Boyd v. State of South Carolina on November 2, 2018. I promptly replied to your transcript request on November 6, 2018, and notified you of the cost of the transcript and that, "Under our rules, I have 60 days in which to

EXHIBIT A



complete the transcript. Upon receipt of a certified check or money order payable to Hollie Jenkins for \$467.50, the 60 days will begin."

I did not receive payment from you until March 14, 2019. So the date of your transcript request is March 14, 2019, the day I received payment from you. As previously stated to you, I have 60 days from the date of payment (March 14, 2019) to deliver the transcript to you. "In the event I need an extension of time to complete the transcript, I will request an extension from Court Administration. You will be notified if the extension is granted."

Hollie Jenkins  
Circuit Court Reporter  
P.O. Box 16506  
Greenville, SC 29606

**From:** Donna [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 3, 2019 2:37 PM  
**To:** Jenkins, Hollie M.  
**Subject:** Fwd: 2018-CP-23-7725 Transcript PCR Hearing held on October 26, 2018

\*\*\* **EXTERNAL EMAIL:** This email originated from outside the organization. Please exercise caution before clicking any links or opening attachments. \*\*\*

Ms. Jenkins, you received a request and money order (\$467.50) for the above transcript. However, I did not receive confirmation of my request. This is not a long transcript, so what is the delay? What is the status of my transcript?

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

**From:** Donna Boyd [REDACTED]  
**Date:** November 2, 2018 at 3:58:11 PM EDT  
**To:** "Hjenkins@sccourts.org" <Hjenkins@sccourts.org>  
**Subject:** 2018-CP-23-7725 Transcript PCR Hearing held on October 26, 2018

EXHIBIT A

Hollie Jenkins  
Circuit Court Reporter  
P.O. Box 16506  
Greenville, SC 29606

Ms. Jenkins:

**RE: 2018-CP-23-07725**

I request the transcript of the PCR Hearing held on October 26, 2018, before the Honorable Judge Alex Kinlaw Jr. Enclosed is a money order in the amount of \$467.50.

Thank you.


March 6, 2019

Donna Boyd  
P.O. Box 1168  
Mauldin, SC  
29662

cc: South Carolina Court Administration

Galatians 6:7

EXHIBIT-A

| SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION  | COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY  |  |   |  |   |  |  |   |   |  |  |  |   |                                       |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|---|--|---|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|---|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Complete items 1, 2, and 3.</li> <li>Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.</li> <li>Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.</li> </ul> | <p>A. Signature<br/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Hollie Jenkins</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Agent<br/> <input type="checkbox"/> Addressee</p>  |  |   |  |   |  |  |   |   |  |  |  |   |                                       |  |  |  |
| <p>1. Article Addressed to:</p> <p><i>Hollie Jenkins<br/> Circuit Court Reporter<br/> P.O. Box 16506<br/> Greenville, SC 29606</i></p>  <p>9590 9402 4524 8278 1723 76</p>        | <p>B. Received by (Printed Name) <i>Hollie Jenkins</i> C. Date of Delivery <i>3-14-19</i></p> <p>D. Is delivery address different from item 1? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes<br/> If YES, enter delivery address below: <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>   |  |   |  |   |  |  |   |   |  |  |  |   |                                       |  |  |  |
| <p>2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)</p> <p>7018 3090 0000 1314 3611</p>   | <p>3. Service Type</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> Priority Mail Express®</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Restricted Delivery</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail™</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail®</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail Restricted Delivery</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail Restricted Delivery</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt for Merchandise</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation™</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/> Insured Mail</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/> Insured Mail Restricted Delivery (over \$500)</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> | <input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature | <input type="checkbox"/> Priority Mail Express® | <input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Restricted Delivery | <input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail™ | <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail® | <input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail Restricted Delivery | <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail Restricted Delivery | <input type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt for Merchandise | <input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery | <input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation™ | <input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery | <input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery | <input type="checkbox"/> Insured Mail |  | <input type="checkbox"/> Insured Mail Restricted Delivery (over \$500) |  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature   | <input type="checkbox"/> Priority Mail Express®  |  |   |  |   |  |  |   |   |  |  |  |   |                                       |  |  |  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Restricted Delivery   | <input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail™  |  |   |  |   |  |  |   |   |  |  |  |   |                                       |  |  |  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail®   | <input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail Restricted Delivery   |  |   |  |   |  |  |   |   |  |  |  |   |                                       |  |  |  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail Restricted Delivery  | <input type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt for Merchandise  |  |   |  |   |  |  |   |   |  |  |  |   |                                       |  |  |  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery   | <input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation™   |  |   |  |   |  |  |   |   |  |  |  |   |                                       |  |  |  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery   | <input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery  |  |   |  |   |  |  |   |   |  |  |  |   |                                       |  |  |  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Insured Mail  |  |  |   |  |   |  |  |   |   |  |  |  |   |                                       |  |  |  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Insured Mail Restricted Delivery (over \$500)   |  |  |   |  |   |  |  |   |   |  |  |  |   |                                       |  |  |  |
| <p>PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053 <span style="float: right;">Domestic Return Receipt</span></p>   |  |  |   |  |   |  |  |   |   |  |  |  |   |                                       |  |  |  |


|  <b>UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE®</b> |  | <h1>CUSTOMER'S RECEIPT</h1>                      |  |
|--|--|--|--|
| <p>SEE BACK OF THIS RECEIPT FOR IMPORTANT CLAIM INFORMATION</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>NOT NEGOTIABLE</b></p> | <p>Pay to <i>Hollie Jenkins</i></p>              | <p><b>KEEP THIS RECEIPT FOR YOUR RECORDS</b></p> |  |
|  | <p>Address <i>P.O. Box 16506</i></p>             |  |  |
|  | <p><i>Greenville, SC 29606</i></p>               |  |  |
| <p>Serial Number</p> <p><b>25647421680</b></p>   | <p>Year, Month, Day</p> <p><b>2019-03-06</b></p> | <p>Post Office</p> <p><b>296061</b></p>          | <p>Amount</p> <p><b>\$467.50</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;">Clerk <b>95</b></p> |

Exhibit A

(11)

# J. FALKNER WILKES

*Attorney at Law*

114 Whittett Street  
Greenville, South Carolina 29601

Telephone: (864) 282-3292  
Facsimile: (864) 271-6035

June 17, 2016

Donna Boyd  
P.O. Box 1168  
Mauldin, SC 29622

Re: State of South Carolina, Respondent v. Donna Boyd, Appellant,  
Case No.: 2014-001853; Appellate Case No. 2014-001853

Dear Donna,

I am sorry to inform you that the Court of Appeals has issued an opinion in your case affirming the circuit court's dismissal of your appeal. I have enclosed a copy of the opinion for you to review.

Procedurally, you may appeal this decision to the South Carolina Supreme Court. This is done by a petition for writ of certiorari. Before a petition can be filed in the supreme court you must first timely file a petition for rehearing with the court of appeals and have that motion ruled upon. The deadline for filing a petition for rehearing with the court of appeals is fifteen days from the date of the opinion. The petition for rehearing must be actually received and filed by the clerk of the court of appeals before five o'clock on the fifteenth day. The opinion was dated June 15, 2016 so the petition for rehearing must be received in the clerk's office before the close of their day on June 30, 2016. Failure to deliver the petition for rehearing by that time will bar any further appeal in your case.

The petition for rehearing in your case would not likely change the result in the court of appeals. The petition for rehearing in the court of appeals is however necessary if you want to petition the supreme court for review. I have reviewed the opinion of the court of appeals and it is my belief that the likelihood of the supreme court granting a petition for certiorari and reviewing the case is extremely small. So much so that I would not consider it worth the cost to you of pursuing the appeal further. I anticipate the cost of continuing the appeal with the goal of petitioning the supreme court to be about one thousand dollars plus costs. In the event that the petition is granted and additional briefing by the supreme court then additional fees and costs would be required.

My representation and fee does not extend to pursuing the appeal further. I

EXHIBIT B

1

will be glad to continue the appeal if you believe it is in your best interests, but to do so it will be necessary for you to make arrangements for the necessary additional fees and costs before I begin.

At this point I will consider my representation complete and will take no further action unless you come in and discuss proceeding further with me. If I can answer any questions for you to help you understand the procedure please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,


  
J. Falkner Wilkes

Exhibit B

After the Petition for Rehearing Denied, former counsel pursued Writ of Certiorari without the Petitioner's consent or knowledge

# The South Carolina Court of Appeals

The State, Respondent,

v.

Donna Boyd, Appellant.

Appellate Case No. 2014-001853

---

## ORDER

---

After careful consideration of the petition for rehearing, the Court is unable to discover that any material fact or principle of law has been either overlooked or disregarded, and hence, there is no basis for granting a rehearing. Accordingly, the petition for rehearing is denied.

*Thomas E. Huff* \_\_\_\_\_ J.

*U. K. O.* \_\_\_\_\_ J.

*J. P. [unclear]* \_\_\_\_\_ J.

Columbia, South Carolina

cc:

Salley W. Elliott, Esquire

Alan McCrory Wilson, Esquire

J. Falkner Wilkes, Esquire

William Walter Wilkins, III, Esquire

(3)

**FILED**

August 18, 2016

EXHIBIT-B

# South Carolina Appellate Case Management System

CLERK'S OFFICE  
SUPREME COURT  
COURT OF APPEALS

C-Track, the browser based CMS for Appellate Courts

Appellate Case No. ...

**Cases**

Case Search

Participant Search

**Disclaimer:** The information and documents available here should not be relied upon as an official record of action. Only filed documents can be viewed. Some documents received in a case may not be available for viewing. Some documents originating from a lower court, including records and appendices, may not be available for viewing.

**Case Information: 2016-001942**

|                                 |                                 |                            |   |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|---|
| <b>Court:</b>                   | Supreme Court                   | <b>Classification:</b>     | Certiorari - COA - Common Pleas - Other |
| <b>Short Title:</b>             | The State v. Donna Boyd         | <b>Case Status:</b>        | Ended                                   |
|                                 | <a href="#">View Full Title</a> |                            |   |
| <b>Consolidated:</b>            |                                 |                            |   |
| <b>Filed Date:</b>              | 09/16/2016                      | <b>Oral Argument Date:</b> |   |
| <b>Disposition Date:</b>        | 05/30/2017                      | <b>Disposition Type:</b>   | Certiorari Denied                       |
| <b>Remittitur Date:</b>         |                                 |                            |   |
| <b>Lower Court or Tribunal:</b> | Greenville (2000CP2303740)      |                            |   |

EXHIBIT B

- Party Information

| Appellate Role | Party Name | Former | Attorney(s)   |
|----------------|------------|--------|---|
| Petitioner     | Donna Boyd | N      | J. Falkner Wilkes   |
| Respondent     | The State  | N      | Salley W. Elliott (Former)<br>Alan McCrory Wilson<br>William Walter Wilkins, III<br>John Benjamin Aplin |

**Views**

Display:


**Event Information**

| Filed Date | Event Information  | Doc |
|------------|--|-----|
| 09/26/2017 | Ended - Matter Concluded   |     |
| 05/30/2017 | Correspondence - Outgoing (Order Denying Petition for Writ of Certiorari mailed) |     |
| 05/30/2017 | Dispositional Decision - Certiorari Denied                                       |     |
| 10/31/2016 | Petition for Writ of Certiorari and Responses - No Reply                         |     |
| 10/13/2016 | Petition for Writ of Certiorari and Responses - Return                           |     |
| 09/28/2016 | Correspondence - Incoming (Letter from J. Falkner Wilkes)                        |     |
| 09/21/2016 | Correspondence - Outgoing (Notice of Appeal Initial Letter mailed)               |     |
| 09/16/2016 | Petition for Writ of Certiorari and Responses - Petition and Appendix            |     |

Notably Petition for Writ of Certiorari MISSING for 9/16/2016?  
Also, no Transcript Requested

①

NCA Initial Letter  
9/16/2016



Petition For Writ  
of Certiorari and  
Responses - Petition  
and Appendix

## The Supreme Court of South Carolina

DANIEL E. SHEAROUSE  
CLERK OF COURT

BRENDA F. SHEALY  
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

POST OFFICE BOX 11330  
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA  
29211

1231 GERVAIS STREET  
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29201

TELEPHONE: (803) 734-1080

FAX: (803) 734-1499

[www.sccourts.org](http://www.sccourts.org)

September 22, 2016

J. Falkner Wilkes, Esquire  
114 Whitsett St.  
Greenville SC 29601

Re: The State v. Donna Boyd  
Appellate Case No. 2016-001942

Dear Counsel:

SHAM  
Petition  
for Writ  
of Certiorari

EXHIBIT B


This Court has received your Petition for Writ of Certiorari and Appendix, and the case has been assigned the appellate case number that appears above. **Please use this number on all future correspondence relating to this matter.**

All parties to this matter are advised that all filings must comply with the requirements of Rule 242 of the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules (SCACR). The SCACR are available online at [www.sccourts.org/courtreg](http://www.sccourts.org/courtreg). Additionally, any filings submitted by counsel admitted in South Carolina must include counsel's bar number.

The attention of the parties is directed to the order relating to the inclusion of personal data identifiers and other sensitive information in documents filed with the Supreme Court of South Carolina and the South Carolina Court of Appeals. The order can be found at [www.sccourts.org/whatsnew/displaywhatsnew.cfm?indexID=932](http://www.sccourts.org/whatsnew/displaywhatsnew.cfm?indexID=932). Please note that the responsibility for insuring that information is redacted or sealed as required by

this order rests with counsel and the parties. **This office will *not* review filings for redaction or to determine if materials should be sealed.**

Very truly yours,




CLERK

cc: Salley W. Elliott, Esquire  
William Walter Wilkins, III, Esquire  
The Honorable Jenny Kitchings

EXHIBIT B

9/21/16  
Correspondence-  
outgoing (NOA  
initial letter marked)



## The Supreme Court of South Carolina

DANIEL E. SHEAROUSE  
CLERK OF COURT

BRENDA F. SHEALY  
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

POST OFFICE BOX 11330  
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA  
29211  
1231 GERVAIS STREET  
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29201  
TELEPHONE: (803) 734-1080  
FAX: (803) 734-1499  
[www.sccourts.org](http://www.sccourts.org)

September 22, 2016

J. Falkner Wilkes, Esquire  
114 Whitsett St.  
Greenville SC 29601

Re: The State v. Donna Boyd  
Appellate Case No. 2016-001942

Dear Counsel:

This Court has received your Petition for Writ of Certiorari and Appendix, and the case has been assigned the appellate case number that appears above. **Please use this number on all future correspondence relating to this matter.**

All parties to this matter are advised that all filings must comply with the requirements of Rule 242 of the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules (SCACR). The SCACR are available online at [www.sccourts.org/courtreg](http://www.sccourts.org/courtreg). Additionally, any filings submitted by counsel admitted in South Carolina must include counsel's bar number.

The attention of the parties is directed to the order relating to the inclusion of personal data identifiers and other sensitive information in documents filed with the Supreme Court of South Carolina and the South Carolina Court of Appeals. The order can be found at [www.sccourts.org/whatsnew/displaywhatsnew.cfm?indexID=932](http://www.sccourts.org/whatsnew/displaywhatsnew.cfm?indexID=932). Please note that the responsibility for insuring that information is redacted or sealed as required by

EXHIBIT B

1 (4)

this order rests with counsel and the parties. **This office will *not* review filings for redaction or to determine if materials should be sealed.**

Very truly yours,



CLERK

cc: Salley W. Elliott, Esquire  
William Walter Wilkins, III, Esquire  
The Honorable Jenny Kitchings

Exhibits

9/28/2016  
Correspondence  
Incoming Letter

J. FALKNER WILKES  
Attorney at Law

114 Whitsett Street  
Greenville, South Carolina 29601

Telephone: (864) 282-1292  
Facsimile: (864) 271-6035

From J. Falkner Wilkes

September 28, 2016


Daniel E. Shearouse, Clerk  
South Carolina Supreme Court  
P.O. Box 11330  
Columbia, SC 29211

Re: State of South Carolina, Respondent v. Donna Boyd, Appellant,  
Supreme Court Appellate Case No. 2016-001942

Dear Clerk,

I am enclosing herewith labels for the Appendix. I apologize for my oversight.

Sincerely,

  
J. Falkner Wilkes

RECEIVED

SEP 30 2016

S.C. SUPREME COURT

c. (enc.)

J. Benjamin Aplin, Assistant Attorney Gen.

P.O. Box 11549

Columbia, SC 29211-1459

EXHIBIT B

(6)



Cover Letter  
Petition for  
Writ of Certiorari  
and Responses  
Return



ALAN WILSON  
ATTORNEY GENERAL

October 13, 2016

J. Falkner Wilkes, Esquire  
114 Whitsett Street  
Greenville, SC 29601  
(864) 282-1292

RECEIVED

OCT 13 2016

S.C. SUPREME COURT

RE: The State, Respondent, v. Donna Boyd, Petitioner  
Appellate Case No. 2016-001073

Dear Mr. Wilkes:

I am enclosing two (2) copies of the Return to Petition for a Writ of Certiorari in the above-referenced case.

Sincerely,

J. Benjamin Aplin  
Senior Assistant Deputy Attorney General  
S.C. Bar No. 8729

Exhibit B

JBA/ab  
Enclosures

cc: Honorable Daniel E. Shearouse  
(original and six copies enclosed)  
Victim Services

Return to  
Petition for  
Writ of  
Certiorari

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
In the Supreme Court

APPEAL FROM GREENVILLE COUNTY  
Court of General Sessions  
D. Garrison Hill, Circuit Court Judge

RECEIVED

OCT 13 2016

Opinion No. 2016-UP-299 (S.C. Ct. App. filed June 15, 2016)

S.C. SUPREME COURT

Appellate Case No: 2016-001942

The State, ..... Respondent,

v.

Donna Boyd, ..... Petitioner.

RETURN TO PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI

ALAN WILSON  
Attorney General

J. BENJAMIN APLIN  
Senior Assistant Deputy Attorney General  
S.C. Bar No. 8729

Post Office Box 11549  
Columbia, SC 29211-1549  
(803) 734-3727

W. WALTER WILKINS, III  
Solicitor, Thirteenth Judicial Circuit

ATTORNEYS FOR RESPONDENT

Other Counsel of Record:

J. Falkner Wilkes, Esquire  
114 Whitsett Street  
Greenville, SC 29601  
(864) 282-1292  
Attorney for Petitioner

9

EXHIBIT B

*Order Denying  
Petition for  
Writ of* The Supreme Court of South Carolina  
*Certiorari* The State, Respondent,

v.

Donna Boyd, Petitioner.

Appellate Case No. 2016-001942  
Lower Court Case No. 2000-CP-23-03740


**Exhibit B**

ORDER

Based on the vote of the Court, the petition for writ of certiorari is denied.

FOR THE COURT

BY   
CLERK



Notably signed by  
Daniel E. Shearouse

- cc:  
J. Falkner Wilkes, Esquire  
Alan McCrory Wilson, Esquire  
William Walter Wilkins, III, Esquire  
John Benjamin Aplin, Esquire  
The Honorable Paul B. Wickensimer  
The Honorable Jenny Abbott Kitchings

5/30/17

Correspondence

outgoing  
order.  
denying  
Petition  
for writ  
of certio  
marked

# The Supreme Court of South Carolina

The State, Respondent,

v.

Donna Boyd, Petitioner.

Appellate Case No. 2016-001942

Lower Court Case No. 2000-CP-23-03740

ORDER

Based on the vote of the Court, the petition for writ of certiorari is denied.

FOR THE COURT

BY   
CLERK

EMPHATIC

Columbia, South Carolina

May 30, 2017

- cc:
- J. Falkner Wilkes, Esquire
- Alan McCrory Wilson, Esquire
- William Walter Wilkins, III, Esquire
- John Benjamin Aplin, Esquire
- The Honorable Paul B. Wickensimer
- The Honorable Jenny Abbott Kitchings

9/26/2017 Ended  
Matter Concluded  
Notably,  
NO REMITTITUR  
IN RECORD  
But former Counsel rec'd  
Remittitur dated June 12, 2017

11

NO remittitur  
in record



# The South Carolina Court of Appeals

JENNY ABBOTT KITCHINGS  
CLERK

V. CLAIRE ALLEN  
DEPUTY CLERK

POST OFFICE BOX 11629  
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29211  
1220 SENATE STREET  
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29201  
TELEPHONE: (803) 734-1890  
FAX: (803) 734-1899  
www.sccourts.org

< June 12, 2017 >

**RECEIVED**

JUN 13 2017

Referred to Adm/PB  
Answered \_\_\_\_\_

The Honorable Paul B. Wickensimer  
Courthouse  
305 E North St  
Greenville SC 29601-2121

**REMITTITUR**

Re: The State v. Donna Boyd  
Lower Court Case No. 2000CP2303740  
Appellate Case No. 2014-001853

11/13/17

Dear Clerk of Court:

The above referenced matter is hereby remitted to the lower court or tribunal. A copy of the judgment of this Court is enclosed.

Very truly yours,

*V. Claire Allen, Deputy*

CLERK

Enclosure

cc: Salley W. Elliott, Esquire  
Alan McCrory Wilson, Esquire  
J. Falkner Wilkes, Esquire  
William Walter Wilkins, III, Esquire

**RECEIVED**

JUN 14 2017

ATTORNEY GENERALS  
OFFICE

12

199



2017 JUN 23 PM 4:55

FILED-CLERK OF COURT  
PAUL B. WICKENSIMER  
GREENVILLE CO. SC

ALAN WILSON  
ATTORNEY GENERAL

June 19, 2017

The Honorable W. Walter Wilkins  
Solicitor, Thirteenth Judicial Circuit  
305 E. North Street, Suite 325  
Greenville, South Carolina 29601

*Handwritten vertical text, possibly initials or a signature.*

RE: State v. Donna Boyd  
2014-CP-23-3740

Dear Solicitor Wilkins:

In its Opinion No. 2016-UP-299, filed on June 15, 2016, the South Carolina Court of Appeals affirmed the above appeal. A petition for rehearing and petition for writ of certiorari were both filed and denied on August 18, 2016 and May 30, 2017 respectively. The Remittitur has been sent to the Greenville County Clerk of Court. Therefore, with this letter, we are closing our direct appeal file in this matter.

*Handwritten note: NO Remittitur in Record*

Also be advised the case number listed above is the correct case number. The Remittitur issued by the Court of Appeal has the case number listed as 2000-CP-23-3740.

~~We are unable to determine from our records whether the sentence has been served and, therefore, request that you ensure that the sentence has been carried out.~~

Sincerely,

J. Benjamin Aplin  
Senior Assistant Deputy Attorney General

JBA/ab

cc: The Honorable Paul B. Wickensimer  
The Honorable Jenny A. Kitchings  
Barton J. Vincent, Esquire  
Alexandra Perez-Caballero, SLED CJIS  
Ms. Trisha Allen, Victim Services

*Handwritten circled number 13*

*Handwritten number 200*

ENTERED COMPUTER

# The Supreme Court of South Carolina

Donna Boyd, Petitioner,

v.

State of South Carolina, Respondent.

Appellate Case No. 2019-000458

Lower Court Case No. 2017CP2307725

20190422

---


## ORDER

---

Petitioner has failed to provide this Court with a copy of correspondence with the court reporter showing that the transcript has been timely ordered from the court reporter (including agreement regarding payment for the transcript) as required by Rules 243(b) and 207(a)(1) of the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules (SCACR). Accordingly, this matter is dismissed. The remittitur will be sent as provided by Rule 221(b), SCACR.

FOR THE COURT


BY



CLERK

Columbia, South Carolina  
April 22, 2019

cc: Megan Harrigan Jameson, Esquire  
Ms. Donna Boyd



# The Supreme Court of South Carolina

DANIEL E. SHEAROUSE  
CLERK OF COURT

BRENDA F. SHEALY  
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

POST OFFICE BOX 11330  
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA  
29211

1231 GERVAIS STREET  
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29201

TELEPHONE: (803) 734-1080

FAX: (803) 734-1499

[www.sccourts.org](http://www.sccourts.org)

← April 23, 2019 →

Ms. Donna Boyd  
PO Box 1168  
Mauldin SC 29662

Re: Donna Boyd v. State  
Appellate Case No. 2019-000458

Dear Ms. Boyd:

This Court has received your notice of appeal, and the case has been assigned the appellate case number that appears above. Please use this number on all future correspondence relating to this matter.

All parties to this matter are advised that all filings must comply with the requirements of Rule 267 of the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules (SCACR). The SCACR are available online at [www.sccourts.org/courtreg](http://www.sccourts.org/courtreg). Additionally, any filings submitted by counsel admitted in South Carolina must include counsel's bar number.

The attention of the parties is directed to the order relating to the inclusion of personal data identifiers and other sensitive information in documents filed with the Supreme Court of South Carolina and the South Carolina Court of Appeals. The order can be found at [www.sccourts.org/courtOrders/displayOrder.cfm?orderNo=2014-04-15-02](http://www.sccourts.org/courtOrders/displayOrder.cfm?orderNo=2014-04-15-02). Please note that the responsibility for insuring that information is redacted or sealed as required by this order rests with counsel and the parties. This office will not review

EMILY C

filings for redaction or to determine if materials should be sealed.

Very truly yours,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be "D. Smith", written over a horizontal line.

CLERK

cc:  
Taylor Z. Smith, Esquire

EXHIBIT C