

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In the Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW COURT

Deborah Brooks Durden, Administrative Law Judge

Appellate Case No. 2019-001033

Cortez M. Jiles,

Respondent,

v.

South Carolina Department of Employment and House of Raeford
Farms, Inc.

Of Which South Carolina Department of Employment and
Workforce is the Appellant.

**MOTION TO ENLARGE TIME FOR FILING OF THE RESPONDENT'S INITIAL
BRIEF AND DESIGNATION OF MATTER**


Respondent Cortez M. Jiles' initial brief and designation of matter to be included in the record on appeal are due to be served and filed on September 23, 2019. Respondent's counsel's time to prepare these documents has been limited due to personal and professional obligations. Respondent's counsel respectfully requests, and Respondent South Carolina Department of Employment and Workforce consents, to a thirty-day extension of time for the deadline to file the above-referenced documents. This is Respondent's first request for an extension of time in this case. This motion is made in good faith, is not for the purpose of delay, and is necessary to properly complete the serving and filing of these documents.

The requested extension would change the due date of Respondent's brief and designation of matter from September 23, 2019 to October 23, 2019.

RECEIVED
SEP 23 2019
SC Court of Appeals

Respectfully Submitted,

SOUTH CAROLINA LEGAL SERVICES


Jack E. Cohoon (SC Bar No.: 74776)
2109 Bull Street
Columbia, South Carolina 29201
Office: (803) 744-4166
Fax: (803) 799-1781

Attorney for Respondent Cortez M. Jiles

September 23, 2019

Columbia, S.C.

S.C. Code Ann. § 41-39-30

This document is current through the 2019 Regular Session.

South Carolina Code of Laws Annotated by LexisNexis® > Title 41. Labor and Employment (Chs. 1 — 45) > Chapter 39. Employment and Workforce - Protection of Rights and Benefits (§§ 41-39-10 — 41-39-40)

§ 41-39-30. Limitation on charging and amount of fees.

An individual claiming benefits may not be charged a fee in a proceeding under Chapters 27 through 41 of this title by the department or its representatives or by a court or an officer, except an attorney, of it. An individual claiming a benefit in a proceeding before the department or a court may be represented by an attorney or other duly authorized agent, but an attorney or agent must not charge or receive for this service more than an amount approved by the department. A person who violates a provision of this section, for each offense, must be fined not less than fifty dollars nor more than five hundred dollars, imprisoned for not more than six months, or both.

History

1962 Code § 68-353; 1952 Code § 68-353; 1942 Code § 7035-95; 1936 (39) 1716; 1939 (41) 487; *2010 Act No. 146, § 102*, eff March 30, 2010; *2011 Act No. 63, § 12*, eff June 14, 2011.

Annotations

Research References & Practice Aids

Hierarchy Notes:

S.C. Code Ann. Title 41, Ch. 39

State Notes

RECEIVED
SEP 23 2019
SC Court of Appeals

Notes

Effect of Amendment

The 2010 amendment substituted “department” for three occurrences of “Commission”; and made other nonsubstantive changes.

The 2011 amendment substituted “may be represented” for “must be represented” in the second sentence.

a member of the LexisNexis Group. All rights reserved.

End of Document

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In the Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW COURT

Deborah Brooks Durden, Administrative Law Judge

Appellate Case No. 2019-001033

RECEIVED
SEP 23 2019
SC Court of Appeals

Cortez M. Jiles,

Respondent,

v.

South Carolina Department of Employment and House of Raeford
Farms, Inc.


Of Which South Carolina Department of Employment and
Workforce is the Appellant.

PROOF OF SERVICE

I certify that I have served the *Motion to Enlarge Time for Filing of the Respondent's Initial Brief and Designation of Matter* on the parties in this case by depositing a copy of it in the United States Mail, postage prepaid, on September 23, 2019, addressed to the parties at their addresses of record:

Benjamin T. Cook, Esq.
Paul Famolari, Esq.
Office of General Counsel
S.C. Dept. of Empl. and Workforce
P.O. Box 8597
Columbia, SC 29202

House of Raeford Farms
P.O. Box 21456
Columbia, SC 29221


Jack E. Cohoon (SC Bar No.: 74776)
Attorney for Respondent Cortez M. Jiles

September 23, 2019

Columbia, S.C.



**South Carolina
Legal Services**

Balancing the Scales of Justice

2109 Bull Street (29201); P.O. Box 1445, Columbia South Carolina 29202
Phone: (803) 799-9668 | Fax: (803) 799-1781
www.sclegal.org | www.lawhelp.org/sc | www.probono.net/sc

September 23, 2019

The Honorable Jenny Abbott Kitchings
Clerk, South Carolina Court of Appeals
P.O. Box 11629
Columbia, South Carolina 29211

RECEIVED
SEP 23 2019
SC Court of Appeals

BY HAND-DELIVERY


Re: Cortez M. Jiles v. S.C. Dept. of Employment and Workforce and House of Raeford Farms
Appellate Case No.: 2019-001033
Our File No.: 18-0664287

Dear Ms. Kitchings:

Enclosed please find an original and six copies of the Respondent's *Motion to Enlarge Time for Filing of the Respondent's Initial Brief and Designation of Matter* in the above-referenced case.

Please do not hesitate to call me at (803) 744-4166 if you have any questions.

Sincerely,



Jack E. Cohoon
Head Attorney, Employment Unit

Enclosures

Cc: Paul Famolari, Esq.
Benjamin Cook, Esq.
House of Raeford Farms

