

Rachel Farley et al
PLAINTIFF(S)

Church of the Harvest of Columbia Inc
DEFENDANT(S)

DISPOSITION TYPE (CHECK ONE)

- JURY VERDICT.** This action came before the court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and a verdict rendered.
- DECISION BY THE COURT.** This action came to trial or hearing before the court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision rendered.
- ACTION DISMISSED (CHECK REASON):** Rule 12(b), SCRPC; Rule 41(a), SCRPC (Vol. Nonsuit); Rule 43(k), SCRPC (Settled);
 Other
- ACTION STRICKEN (CHECK REASON):** Rule 40(j), SCRPC; Bankruptcy;
 Binding arbitration, subject to right to restore to confirm, vacate or modify arbitration award;
 Other
- STAYED DUE TO BANKRUPTCY**
- DISPOSITION OF APPEAL TO THE CIRCUIT COURT (CHECK APPLICABLE BOX):**
 Affirmed; Reversed; Remanded;
 Other

NOTE: ATTORNEYS ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR NOTIFYING LOWER COURT, TRIBUNAL, OR ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCY OF THE CIRCUIT COURT RULING IN THIS APPEAL.

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED: See attached order (formal order to follow) Statement of Judgment by the Court:

This matter is before the Court on Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration filed on August 2, 2019 by Plaintiff. Defendant filed a response to the motion and Plaintiff sent letters providing additional information. The letters will be filed for the record. This Court has reviewed the documents filed as well as the Revised Order filed July 23, 2019. Based upon the information presented, the claims raised by Plaintiff, including easement, trespass, and nuisance, were fully addressed in the Order. See pages 8-10 and various footnotes. This Court is unable to discover any new material fact or principle of law that was overlooked or warrants further reconsideration. The Motion for Reconsideration is DENIED. In the Court's discretion, oral argument is not necessary. Rule 59(f), SCRPC.

ORDER INFORMATION

This order ends does not end the case. See Page 2 for additional information.

For Clerk of Court Office Use Only

This judgment was electronically entered by the Clerk of Court as reflected on the Electronic Time Stamp, and a copy mailed first class to any party not proceeding in the Electronic Filing System on 09/12/2019 .

Nancy E Farley for Nancy E Farley
Carole E Farley for Carole E Farley
Nancy E Farley for Nancy E Farley
Carole E Farley for Carole E Farley
Drummond B Farley

RECEIVED
SEP 23 2019
SC Court of Appeals

NAMES OF TRADITIONAL FILERS SERVED BY MAIL

Court Reporter:

E-Filing Note: The date of Entry of Judgment is the same date as reflected on the Electronic File Stamp and the clerk's entering of the date of judgment above is not required in those counties. The clerk will mail a copy of the judgment to parties who are not E-Filers or who are appearing pro se. See Rule 77(d), SCRCP.



Lexington Common Pleas

Case Caption: Rachel Farley , plaintiff, et al VS Church of the Harvest of Columbia Inc
Case Number: 2016CP3200387
Type: Order/Electronic Form 4

IT IS SO ORDERED!

s/ Alison Renee Lee