

# The Supreme Court of South Carolina

Wesley Edward Smith III A.K A  
Wesley Smith  
Petitioner/Appellant

Ticket/OCR/Warrant State id Number UNKNOWN

RECEIVED

versus

SEP 27 2019

We the People et al

S.C. SUPREME COURT

Respondent(s)

Appellate Case No 2019-001315

REF: Appellate Case No. 2019-000616

Appellate Case No. 2019-001315

Appellate Case No 2010-174266

As taken in Response to: **Cause of Action Case 2003-CP-10-4751**

Last Officiated by: Honorable Roger Young

Order Dated 18 Mar 2019

This response to the Court letters dated 11/16/ and 18 September 2019.

## QUESTIONS FOR THE LEGAL EXPERTS ON EQUATES OF FINAL JUDGMENT

1. I Wesley Edward Smith III was fired from employment from the Military Magnet School located at 2950 Carner Ave Charleston, S C 29407 while teaching my class on 20 November 2001, by member of Charleston County School District (CCSD) and State officials intervened without a fair process or reading me my Miranda rights. How could the court case be final when the victims rights are not being adhered to for Wesley Edward Smith III and the court is not allowing the witnesses, substantive evidence nor the legal facts to be contested and cross examined?

2) I Wesley Edward Smith III, issues were not addressed or such issues not legally concluded with facts by this courts or the fact finders, by the reviewing committee, the perceived error of law, and persons abusing power, business personal abuse of discretions and employers refusal to perform task of administrative duties while being paid to work and live comfortable fair and equal treatment, be pleased when **According to SECTION 3.** Privileges and immunities; due process; equal protection of laws, can the court show in the records where the privileges and immunities of citizens of this State and of the United States under this Constitution shall not be abridged, nor shall any person be deprived of life, liberty, or property without due process of law, nor shall any person be denied the equal protection of the laws were followed?

RECEIVED

SEP 27 2019

S.C. SUPREME COURT

In Response, I Wesley Edward Smith III have been discriminated against and states action by Objecting without prejudice with the State of South Carolina OUR Article I Sec 3 being that "defense shield" which immunes, qualifies and protects OUR equality and procedural fairness. I am trying to figure out how in the case of 2003-0CP-10-4751 an I directly related, 1) , 1) where I was absent, secondly, was accused of a crime while on the job and thirdly, legally deprived and personally/financially injured from such abrupt employment and accused of a crime) can form of th elagl proceedings show a Final Judgment when I issue have not been resolved nor discussed before this final Judgment or before right are adversely affected.

Employees are no to be enslaved in any form, no matter how crafty. I am not anyone or anything slave to be working for while others are reeping the benefits and enjoying such liberties and freedoms.

**CERTIFICATE OF MAILING (SERVICE)**

I, Wesley Edward Smith III certify that on September 24, 2019, Notice For Appeal, Request for Writ of Certiorari, Request Equa employment Opportunity Commission in response t the State Supreme Court correspondences dated 11/16/ and 18 September 2019 by 1st Class postage:


TO: Mr. Daniel F, Blanchard III Esquire  
P. O Box 893  
Charleston S C 29401

CLERK  
South Carolina Court of Appeals  
1015 Sumter Street  
Columbia, S. C. 29201  
Supreme Court of the United States  
1 First Street, NE  
Washington, DC 20543

**I declare that under the penalty of perjury this statement is true and correct to the best of my abilities given the lack of information or thereunto pertaining to list of event in the case 2003-CP-10-4751, awhile awarding of Summary Judgment in favor of the Respondent without admissible event used, any witness with complaint or legal conclusion or legal facts, as other have taken form other employee based on its personal opinions.**

September 24, 2019

Respectfully Submitted

  
Wesley-Edward: Smith III  
465 N Nassau Street  
Charleston, SC [29403]

2

**RECEIVED**

SEP 27 2019

S.C. SUPREME COURT

**RECEIVED**

SEP 27 '19

S.C. SUPREME COURT

# The Supreme Court of South Carolina

Wesley Edward Smith III, aka Wesley Smith, Petitioner,

v.

Charleston County School District, et. al., Respondent.

Appellate Case No. 2019-001315

---


## ORDER

---

Based on the failure of the petitioner to pay the filing fee required by this Court's order dated August 27, 2019, the petition for rehearing is hereby stricken and dismissed.

FOR THE COURT

BY




CLERK

Columbia, South Carolina  
September 11, 2019

cc: Daniel Francis Blanchard, III, Esquire  
Mr. Wesley Edward Smith, III  
The Honorable Jenny Abbott Kitchings

**RECEIVED**  
SEP 27 2019  
S.C. SUPREME COURT



# The Supreme Court of South Carolina

DANIEL E. SHEAROUSE  
CLERK OF COURT

BRENDA F. SHEALY  
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

POST OFFICE BOX 11330  
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA  
29211  
1231 GERVAIS STREET  
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29201  
TELEPHONE: (803) 734-1080  
FAX: (803) 734-1499  
[www.sccourts.org](http://www.sccourts.org)

September 18, 2019

Mr. Wesley Edward Smith, III  
465 N. Nassau Street  
Charleston SC 29403

Re: Wesley Edward Smith, III v. Charleston County School District  
Appellate Case No. 2019-001315


Dear Mr. Smith:

This responds to your correspondence dated September-13, 2019. For the same reasons given in my letter of September 15, 2019, no action will be taken by this Court on this correspondence.

Very truly yours,

CLERK

cc: Daniel Francis Blanchard, III, Esquire



# The Supreme Court of South Carolina

DANIEL E. SHEAROUSE  
CLERK OF COURT

BRENDA F. SHEALY  
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

POST OFFICE BOX 11330  
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA  
29211

1231 GERVAIS STREET  
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29201  
TELEPHONE: (803) 734-1080  
FAX: (803) 734-1499  
[www.sccourts.org](http://www.sccourts.org)

September 16, 2019

Mr. Wesley Edward Smith, III  
465 N. Nassau Street  
Charleston SC 29403

Re: Wesley Edward Smith, III v. Charleston County School District  
Appellate Case No. 2019-001315

Dear Mr. Smith:

This responds to your correspondence dated September 9, 2019.

To the extent this correspondence may be intended as a motion, you have not paid the filing fee required by Rule 240(c) of the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules. Second, since the remittitur has been sent by the South Carolina Court of Appeals in the underlying appeal, appellate jurisdiction has ended over this case. *Wise v. S.C. Dept. of Corr.*, 372 S.C. 173, 642 S.E.2d 551 (2007). Therefore, no action will be taken by this Court on the above correspondence.


Very truly yours,

CLERK

cc: Daniel Francis Blanchard, III, Esquire

SMITH W  
465 N. NASSAU ST  
Charleston, SC 29403

CHARLESTON  
SC 294  
25 SEP '19  
PM 3 L



SUPREME COURT OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
1231 GERVAIS ST  
COLUMBIA S.C. 29201

29201-329689

