

The South Carolina Court of Appeals

The State, Respondent,

v.

Adam Samuel Shoop, Appellant.

Appellate Case No. 2019-001392

ORDER

Appellant has failed to provide a sufficient explanation as required by Rule 203(d)(1)(B)(iv) of the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules (SCACR). Accordingly, this matter is dismissed, and the remittitur will be sent as required by Rule 221(b), SCACR.



FOR THE COURT

Columbia, South Carolina

cc:

Alan McCrory Wilson, Esquire
William M. Blich, Jr., Esquire
Erin M. Joyner, Esquire
Robert Michael Dudek, Esquire
Geoffry Mark Dunn, Esquire

FILED

October 11, 2019



The South Carolina Court of Appeals

JENNY ABBOTT KITCHINGS
CLERK

V. CLAIRE ALLEN
DEPUTY CLERK

POST OFFICE BOX 11629
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29211
1220 SENATE STREET
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29201
TELEPHONE: (803) 734-1890
FAX: (803) 734-1839
www.sccourts.org

October 11, 2019

Ms. Erin M. Joyner, Esquire
1675-1A York Hwy.
York SC 29745

Mr. Geoffry Mark Dunn, Esquire
PO Box 1029
Rock Hill SC 29731

Re: The State v. Adam S. Shoop
Appellate Case No. 2019-001392

Dear Counsel:

Enclosed is the decision of the Court. The remittitur will be sent as provided by Rule 221(b) of the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules.

Very truly yours,

V. Claire Allen, Deputy

CLERK

cc: Adam Samuel Shoop, 00381002
Alan McCrory Wilson, Esquire
William M. Blicht, Jr., Esquire
Robert Michael Dudek, Esquire