

1.
STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

APPEAL FROM BEAUFORT COUNTY JUDGE THOMAS COOPER CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE

The state

RESPONDENT

VS.

TYRONE LORENZA ROBINSON

RECEIVED
DEC 04 2019
SC Court of Appeals
APPELLANT

Appellate case no: 2017-002233

AMENDED PROSE MERITORIOUS
BRIEF 4th ISSUE LACK OF JURISDICTION
- AMENDED TO FINAL PROSE
MERITORIOUS BRIEF

THIS AMENDMENT IS TO CORRECT
MISTAKES, ARGUE CORRECT
ARGUMENT AND TO ARGUE THE
CORRECT MERITORIOUS CASE LAW
MERITS TO SUPPORT MERITORIOUS
ARGUMENT

TYRONE L. ROBINSON #235104
W-C-Rm 240
P.O. BOX 205
RIDGEVILLE, S.C. 29472

2.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table of contents

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

STATEMENT OF ISSUE ON APPEAL

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

STATEMENT OF FACTS

1st
ARGUMENT

CONCLUSION

TABLE OF AUTHORITIESCASESGORE VS. LEEKE 199 S.E.2d 755STATE OF MONTANA VS. MICHAEL VERNON
BILLEDEUX JR. 304 MONT 89, 18 P.3d 980WHALLEN VS. UNITED STATES 100 S.Ct 1435PAUL SIMMONS VS. STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
215 S.E.2d 883STATE VS. RICHARDSON 25 S.E.2d 220THOMAS NORMAN BRIGGS VS. RAYMOND K.
PROCTER, DIRECTOR TEXAS DEPARTMENT
OF CORRECTIONS 764 F.2d 388U.S. VS. STISSON 90 S.Ct 2117STATE VS. ROGERS 17 S.E.2d 563

STATEMENT OF ISSUE ON APPEAL

4TH ISSUE
ARGUED ON APPEAL
Amended to FINAL PROSE MERITORIOUS
BRIEF

LACK OF JURISDICTION:

DO THIS COURT OF APPEALS LACK JURISDICTION
to decide on Arguments from the state of south
CAROLINA. ON THE "ACQUITTAL" THAT I OBTAINED

IN THIS CASE. ON THE CRIME OF FELONY MURDER BY THE
FELONY MURDER RULE THEORY "ALLEGEDLY"
THAT IN BEAUFORT COUNTY ON OR ABOUT SEPTEMBER 21ST/
2/012 WHILE ENGAGED IN A ONGOING GUN BATTLE AN
INHERENTLY DANGEROUS FELONY TYRONE ROBINSON DID
WILLFULLY, UNLAWFULLY AND WITH MALICE AFORETHOUGHT
CAUSE THE VICTIM KHALIL SINGLETON TO BE SHOT AND
KILLED IN THE AREA OF MARSHLAND DRIVE AND ALLEN
ROAD HILTON HEAD ISLAND, S.C. AND THAT KHALIL SINGLETON
DID DIE IN BEAUFORT COUNTY AS A PROXIMATE RESULT
THERE OF

THAT I CHARGED AND ALLEGED INSIDE OF THE WRITTEN WRITE
UP OF INDICTMENT #2012-65-07-01935. THAT I WAS INDICTED
ON/ THE JURY AT TRIAL WAS SWORN IN ON TO DECIDE ON
AND I WAS TRIED ON. BEFORE THE JURY AT TRIAL. PURSUANT
TO THE SUPREME COURT OF APPEALS OF THE UNITED STATES OF
AMERICA CASE LAW OF:
SANDRA VS. U.S. 98 S. CT 2170 "AVOTE ENO"
THE DOUBLE JEOPARDY CLAUSE ABSOLUTELY BARRS A SECOND
TRIAL IN SUCH CIRCUMSTANCES. THE COURT OF APPEALS
THUS LACKED JURISDICTION OF THE GOVERNMENT'S APPEAL

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

APPELLANT TYRONE LORENZA ROBINSON WAS INDICTED DURING OCTOBER 18TH 2012 TERM OF THE BEAUFORT COUNTY GRAND JURY. FOR CRIME OF FELONY MURDER BY THE FELONY MURDER RULE THEORY THATS CHARGED AND ALLEGED INSIDE OF THE WRITTEN WRITE UP OF INDICTMENT # 2012-61-07-01935.

APPELLANT WAS TRIED BEFORE JUDGE THOMAS COOPER AND A JURY ON SEPTEMBER 15TH 2014. ON SEPTEMBER 18TH 2014 WITHOUT THE CONSENT OF TYRONE ROBINSON, THE TRIAL JUDGE AND TRIAL COURT VOLUNTARILY DISMISSED THE CRIME OF FELONY MURDER BY THE FELONY MURDER RULE THEORY FROM AGAINST TYRONE ROBINSON, AFTER THE JURY WAS ALREADY SWORN IN AND AFTER THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA HAD RESTED THERE CASE. ON THE CRIME OF FELONY MURDER BY THE FELONY MURDER RULE THEORY THAT THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA TRIED ME ON BEFORE THE JURY AT TRIAL ON INDICTMENT # 2012-61-07-01935

STATEMENT OF FACTS

AFTER THE JURY AT TRIAL WAS SWORN IN TO DECIDE ON THE CRIME OF FELONY MURDER BY THE FELONY MURDER RULE THEORY ALLEGED THAT

THAT IN BEAUFORT COUNTY ON OR ABOUT SEPTEMBER 25TH 2012 WHILE ENGAGED IN AN ONGOING GUN BATTLE AN INHERENTLY DANGEROUS FELONY, TYRONE ROBINSON DID WILLFULLY, UNLAWFULLY AND WITH MALICE AFORETHOUGHT CAUSE THE VICTIM KHALIL SINGLETON TO BE SHOT AND KILLED IN THE AREA OF MARSHLAND DRIVE AND ALLEN ROAD, HILTON HEAD ISLAND, S.C. AND THAT KHALIL SINGLETON DID DIE IN BEAUFORT COUNTY AS A PROXIMATE RESULT THERE OF

THATS CHARGED AND ALLEGED INSIDE OF THE WRITTEN WRITE UP OF INDICTMENT # 2012-61-07-01935. THAT I WAS INDICTED ON AND TRIED ON BEFORE THE JURY AT TRIAL. AND AFTER THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA RESTED THERE CASE, ON MOTION FOR DIRECTED VERDICT OF ACQUITTAL, WITHOUT THE CONSENT OF TYRONE ROBINSON, THE TRIAL JUDGE AND TRIAL COURT VOLUNTARILY DISMISSED THE CRIME OF FELONY MURDER BY THE FELONY MURDER RULE THEORY, FROM AGAINST TYRONE ROBINSON, BY DOING SO WITHOUT THE CONSENT OF TYRONE ROBINSON. THE TRIAL JUDGE AND TRIAL COURT DEPRIVED THE JURY OUT OF THE OPPURTUNITY TO RENDER A VERDICT ON THE CRIME OF FELONY MURDER

by the FELONY MURDER RULE THEORY. THATS CHARGED AND ALLEGED INSIDE OF THE WRITTEN WRITE UP OF INDICTMENT # 2012-GJ-07-01935 THAT I WAS INDICTED ON AND TRIED ON BEFORE THE JURY AT TRIAL. ALSO WITHOUT THE CONSENT OF TYRONE ROBINSON, THE TRIAL JUDGE AND TRIAL COURT ACTIONS DEPRIVED TYRONE ROBINSON, OUT OF THE OPPURTUNITY. TO HAVE THE JURY RENDER A VERDICT ON THE CRIME OF FELONY MURDER BY THE FELONY MURDER RULE THEORY. THATS CHARGED AND ALLEGED INSIDE OF THE WRITTEN WRITE UP OF INDICTMENT # 2012-GJ-07-01935 THAT I WAS INDICTED ON AND TRIED ON BEFORE THE JURY AT TRIAL. AS A RESULT WITHOUT THE CONSENT OF TYRONE ROBINSON. THE TRIAL JUDGE AND TRIAL COURT VOLUNTARILY DISCHARGED THE JURY AWAY FROM MY TRIAL, WITHOUT MY CONSENT WITHOUT ALLOWING THE JURY TO RENDER A VERDICT ON THE CRIME OF FELONY MURDER BY THE FELONY MURDER RULE THEORY. THATS CHARGED AND ALLEGED INSIDE OF THE WRITTEN WRITE UP OF INDICTMENT # 2012-GJ-07-01935, THAT I WAS INDICTED ON AND TRIED ON BEFORE THE JURY AT TRIAL. AS A RESULT THE TRIAL JUDGE AND TRIAL COURT VOLUNTARILY DISMISSAL WITHOUT MY CONSENT, OF THE CRIME OF FELONY MURDER BY THE FELONY MURDER RULE THEORY. CONSTITUTES A "ACQUITTAL" ON THE CRIME OF

FELONY MURDER BY THE FELONY MURDER RULE THEORY. THATS CHARGED AND ALLEGED INSIDE OF THE WRITTEN WRITE UP OF INDICTMENT # 2012-GJ-07-01935, THAT I WAS TRIED ON BEFORE THE JURY AT TRIAL. BECAUSE SO THE FEDERAL 5TH AMENDMENT RIGHT OF DOUBLE JEOPARDY. BARS THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA FROM RETRIENDING ME IN A RETRIAL ON THE CRIME OF FELONY MURDER BY THE FELONY MURDER RULE THEORY. THAT I WAS INDICTED ON AND TRIED ON BEFORE THE JURY AT TRIAL ON INDICTMENT # 2012-GJ-07-01935.

AS A CONSEQUENCE THIS COURT OF APPEALS LACK JURISDICTION TO DECIDE ON ARGUMENTS

FROM THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA AGAINST THE ACQUITTAL THAT I OBTAINED IN MY FAVOR AGAINST THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA. ON THE CRIME OF FELONY MURDER BY THE FELONY MURDER RULE THEORY "QUOTE" THAT IN BEAUFORT COUNTY ON OR ABOUT SEPTEMBER 21ST, 21017 WHILE ENGAGED IN A ONGOING GUN BATTLE ON INHERENTLY DANGEROUSLY AND WITH ROBINSION DID WELLFULLY, UNLAWFULLY AND WITH MALICE AFORETHOUGHT CAUSE THE VICTIM KHALIL SINGLETON TO BE SHOT AND KILLED IN THE AREA OF MARSHLAND DRIVE AND ALLEN ROAD, HILTON HEAD ISLAND, S.C. AND THAT KHALIL SINGLETON DID DIE IN BEAUFORT COUNTY AS A PROXIMATE RESULT THERE OF

7.

That I charged and alleged inside of the written
write up of indictment # 2012-GJ-07-01935.
That I was indicted on and tried on before the
jury at trial. For proof review
case law merits

Supreme Court of Appeals of United States
of America case law of.
SANABRIA VS. UNITED STATES 98 S. CT 2170

"QUOTE"
The double jeopardy clause absolutely
bars a second trial in such circumstances.
The court of appeals thus lacked jurisdiction
of the government appeal.

ARGUMENT 8.

The FIRST alleged CRIME OF FIRST degree FELONY MURDER by the FELONY MURDER RULE THEORY. That's charged and alleged INSIDE OF THE WRITTEN WRITE UP OF INDICTMENT # 2012-GJ-07-01935. That THE JURY at my TRIAL WAS SWORN IN on at TRIAL, THE DEFENSE OF DOUBLE JEOPARDY attaches to and I WAS PUT IN ~~THE~~ JEOPARDY OF BEING PUNISHED WITH PRISON TIME OF LIFE IMPRISONMENT OR UNDER S.C. CODE OF LAW 16-3-10 FOR alleged CRIME OF FIRST degree FELONY MURDER by the FELONY MURDER RULE THEORY. OF VICTIM KHALIL SINGLETON ON SEPTEMBER 1ST 2012, ON HILTON HEAD ISLAND BEAUFORT S.C. IN THE AREA OF MARSHLAND DRIVE AS FOLLOWS

I QUOTE THE TRIAL JUDGE AND TRIAL COURT CONFES-
-ION FROM THE TRIAL TRANSCRIPT ATTACHED

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

VS.

TYRONE ROBINSON

"QUOTEING" FROM PAGE 3 AND 4 OF TRIAL TRANSCRIPT THE COURT (AKA) TRIAL JUDGE THOMAS COOPER STATED "QUOTEING"
LADDER and gentleman you have heard the SOLICITOR has called the case of the STATE VERSUS TYRONE ROBINSON. MR. ROBINSON has been indicted by the GRAND JURY OF BEAUFORT COUNTY and charged with the CRIME OF MURDER. The INDICTMENT alleges that here IN BEAUFORT COUNTY ON OR ABOUT SEPTEMBER THE 1ST OR 2012 while engaged in a ONGOING GUN battle an INHERENTLY DANGEROUS FELONY, TYRONE ROBINSON did WILLFULLY, UNLAWFULLY and with MALICE a FORETHOUGHT cause the VICTIM KHALIL SINGLETON to be shot and KILLED IN THE AREA OF MARSHLAND DRIVE AND ALLEN ROAD ON HILTON HEAD ISLAND, S.C. and that KHALIL SINGLETON did die IN BEAUFORT COUNTY as a PROXIMATE RESULT THERE OF ON SEPTEMBER THE 1ST, 2012 IN VIOLATION OF THE LAW.

Which AFTER THE JURY WAS SWORN IN IS THE FIRST alleged CRIME OF FIRST degree FELONY MURDER by the FELONY MURDER RULE THEORY. That I WAS PUT IN JEOPARDY at TRIAL OF BEING PUNISHED AND RECEIVING PRISON TIME OF LIFE IMPRISON-
-MENT FOR, INSIDE OF THE COURT OF GENERAL SESSION THE 14th JUDICIAL CIRCUIT OF BEAUFORT COUNTY SOUTH CAROLINA, TRIAL IN THIS case AGAINST I TYRONE ROBINSON began ON SEPTEMBER 15th 2014 and lasted UNTIL SEPTEMBER 19th 2014

ELEMENTS 9.

ELEMENTS REQUIRED TO CONSTITUTE THE CRIME OF FELONY MURDER BY THE FELONY MURDER RULE THEORY

1st ELEMENT REQUIRED TO CONSTITUTE THE CRIME OF FELONY MURDER BY THE FELONY MURDER RULE THEORY IS:
THE DEFENDANT WAS IN THE COMMISSION OF COMMITTING AN INHERENTLY DANGEROUS FELONY

INDICTMENT # 2012-65-07-01935 CHARGE AND ALLEGE THAT TYRONE ROBINSON WAS IN THE COMMISSION OF COMMITTING AN ONGOING GUN BATTLE AN INHERENTLY DANGEROUS FELONY

THEREFORE AS A MATTER OF LAW INDICTMENT # 2012-65-07-01935 CHARGE TYRONE L. ROBINSON WITH COMMITTING THE 1ST ELEMENT FROM THE CRIME OF FELONY MURDER BY THE FELONY MURDER RULE THEORY.

CONFESSIOIN

ON PAGE 1052 PARAGRAPHS 2, 3, AND 4 OF TRIAL TRANSCRIPT THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA AND ITS SOLICITOR ASSIAC MC. DUFFIE STONE, CONFESSED TO THE TRIAL JUDGE AND TRIAL COURT, THAT THEY HAD INDICTED ME ON AND WERE TAKEN ME BEFORE THE JURY AT TRIAL. ON THE 1ST ELEMENT REQUIRED TO CONSTITUTE THE CRIME OF FELONY MURDER BY THE FELONY MURDER RULE THEORY. WHEN THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA AND ITS SOLICITOR ASSIAC MC. DUFFIE STONE, CONFESSED TO THE TRIAL JUDGE AND TRIAL COURT AT PRE TRIAL HEARING ON FEBRUARY 27th 2014 ON PAGE 1052 PARAGRAPHS 2, 3 AND 4 OF TRIAL TRANSCRIPT "QUOTEIN"
OUR THEORY IS THE FELONY MURDER RULE THEORY / THAT YOU HAVE THREE PEOPLE COMMITTING INHERENTLY DANGEROUS FELONIES

CASE LAW MERITS

SUPREME COURT OF APPEALS OF STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA CASE LAW OF:
GORE VS. LEEKE 199 S.E. 2d 755 "QUOTEIN"
IF SEVERAL PERSON AGREE OR CONSPIRE TO COMMIT A FELONY SUCH AS GRAND LARCENY OR ROBBERY OR BURGLARY EACH OF THOSE PERSON ARE CRIMINALLY RESPONSIBLE FOR THE ACTS OF ASSOCIATES OR CONFEDERATES WHICH ARE DONE IN FURTHERANCE OR IN PROSECUTION OF THE COMMON PURPOSE FOR WHICH THEY COMBINED

CASE LAW MERITS

SUPREME COURT OF APPEALS OF STATE OF MONTANA CASE LAW OF:
STATE OF MONTANA VS. MICHAEL VERNON BILLEDEAUX JT 304 MONT 89 18 P. 30 980
TO CONVICT ON A CHARGE OF DELIBERATE HOMICIDE UNDER THE FELONY MURDER RULE 45-5-102 (b) MCA, THE STATE MUST SHOW THAT THE PERSON ATTEMPTS TO COMMIT, COMMIT OR IS LEGALLY ACCOUNTABLE FOR THE ATTEMPT OR COMMISSION OF FELONY ASSAULT, A GRAVATED

ASSAULT, OR ANY OTHER FORCIBLE FELONY.

2ND ELEMENT REQUIRED TO
CONSTITUTE THE CRIME OF FELONY MURDER
BY THE FELONY MURDER RULE THEORY IS:
DEATH TO THE VICTIM AS A CONSEQUENCE
OF THE DEFENDANT'S CONDUCT IN COMMITTING
THAT CRIME

INDICTMENT # 2012-65-07-01935 CHARGE AND
ALLEGES THAT THE DEATH OF VICTIM KHALIL SINGLE-
TON IS THE PROXIMATE RESULT OF TYRONE
ROBINSON COMMITTING AN ONGOING GUN BATTLE
AN INHERENTLY DANGEROUS FELONY

THEFORE AS A MATTER OF LAW INDICTMENT #
2012-65-07-01935 CHARGE TYRONE ROBINSON
WITH COMMITTING THE 2ND ELEMENT FROM
THE CRIME OF FELONY MURDER BY THE FELONY MURDER
RULE THEORY.

CONFESSTION

ON PAGE 1052 PARAGRAPHS 2, 3, AND 4 OF TRIAL
TRANSCRIPT THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA AND ITS
SOLICITOR JIMAC MC. DUFFIE STONE, CONFESSED TO THE
TRIAL JUDGE AND TRIAL COURT, THAT THEY HAD INDICTED
ME ON AND WERE TRYING ME BEFORE THE JURY AT
TRIAL. ON THE 2ND ELEMENT REQUIRED TO
CONSTITUTE THE CRIME OF FELONY MURDER BY THE
FELONY MURDER RULE THEORY. WHEN THE STATE OF
SOUTH CAROLINA AND ITS SOLICITOR JIMAC MC. DUFFIE
STONE, CONFESSED TO THE TRIAL JUDGE AND TRIAL
COURT AT PRE TRIAL HEARING ON FEBRUARY 27TH 2014
ON PAGE 1052 PARAGRAPHS 4 AND 5 OF TRIAL TRAN-
SCRIPT "QUOTE" "AND AS A RESULT OF THOSE INHERENTLY DANGEROUS
FELONIES, A CHILD DIES"

CASE LAW MERITS

SUPREME COURT OF APPEALS OF STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
CASE LAW OF.
GORE VS. LEEKE 1995, E. 2d 755 "QUOTE" "AND"

THE COMMON PURPOSE LADIES AND GENTLEMAN MAY
HAVE NOT INCLUDED OR MAY NOT HAVE BEEN INVOLV-
ED IN THE KILLING AND THE MURDER OF ANYONE
BUT IF IN EXECUTING THIS COMMON DESIGN AND PURPOSE
AND IF IT WAS UNLAWFUL AS FOR INSTANCE, BREAKING
IN AND STEALING AND IN THE EXECUTION OF THE COMMON
PURPOSE A HOMICIDE IS COMMITTED BY ONE OF THE
CONFEDERATES OR ONE OF THE ASSOCIATES AND YOU, THE
JURY DETERMINE FROM THE PROOF BEYOND A REASONA-
BLE DOUBT THE HOMICIDE WAS A PROBABLE OR
NATURAL CONSEQUENCE OF THE ACTS WHICH
WERE DONE IN PURSUANCE OF THIS COMMON
DESIGN THEN LADIES AND GENTLEMAN ALL WHO
ARE PRESENT EITHER ACTUALLY OR CONSTRUCTIVELY

AND PARTICIPATING IN THE UNLAWFUL, COMMON DESIGN ARE AS GUILTY AS THE SLAYER HIMSELF

CASE LAW MERITS

SUPREME COURT OF APPEALS OF STATE OF MONTANA
CASE LAW OF
STATE OF MONTANA VS. MICHAEL VERNON BILLEDEAUX
JF 804 MONT. 89, 18 P.3D 980, 2001 MT 9
TO CONVICT ON A CHARGE OF DELIBERATE
HOMICIDE UNDER THE FELONY MURDER RULE 45-
-5-102(b) MCA THE STATE MUST SHOW THAT IN
THE COURSE OF THE FORCIBLE FELONY THE PERSON
OR ANY OTHER PERSON LEGALLY ACCOUNTABLE FOR
THE CRIME CAUSED THE DEATH OF ANOTHER HUMAN
BEING

CONFESSIO

The TRIAL TRANSCRIPT FROM THE PRE TRIAL HEARING ON FEBRUARY 27TH, 2014 WERE I REPRESENTED MYSELF. PROSE. REVEALS THAT THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA AND ITS SOLICITOR JISAC MC. DUFFIE STONE "CONFESSED" THAT THE CRIME THATS CHARGED AND ALLEGED INSIDE OF THE WRITTEN WRITE UP OF INDICTMENT # 2012-GS-07-01935, IS THE CRIME OF FELONY MURDER BY THE FELONY MURDER RULE THEORY ONLY, THAT IS THE ONE AND ONLY CRIME AND THEORY THAT THEY TRIED ME ON BEFORE THE JURY AT TRIAL AND HAD THE BURDEN OF PROOF TO PROVE ONLY. FOR PROOF I QUOTE THE CONFES- SION OF THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA AND ITS SOLICITOR JISAC MC. DUFFIE STONE, FROM THE TRIAL TRANSCRIPT OF THE PRE TRIAL HEARING THAT TOOK PLACE ON FEBRUARY 27TH, 2014 AT BEAUFORT COUNTY GENERAL SESSION COURT HOUSE WERE I REPRESENTED MYSELF PROSE "QUOTEING"

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

VS.

TYRONE ROBINSON

"QUOTEING" THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA AND ITS SOLICITOR JISAC MC. DUFFIE STONE CONFESION FROM PAGE 1052 PARAGRAPHS 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, AND 23 AND 24 AND PAGE 1053 1 AND 2 OF TRIAL TRANSCRIPT FROM PRE TRIAL HEARING ON FEBRUARY 27TH, 2014 "QUOTEING" OUR THEORY IS THE FELONY MURDER RULE, THEORY, THAT YOU HAVE THREE PEOPLE COMMITTEING INHERANTLY DANGER- FUL FELONIES, AND AS A RESULT OF THERE INHERANTLY DANGEROUS FELONIES, A CHILD DIES. THE ACTUAL SHOOTER, AS TO SPEAK, THE ONE THAT DISCHARGED THE FATAL BULLET, UNDER THAT SCENARIO, IS WHILE RELEVANT, NOT CONTROLLING, SO THAT IS THE PORTION THAT THE STATES GOING UNDER. THAT IS THE THEORY THAT THE STATE GOES UNDER, AND THAT IS A CONSISTANT THEORY AMONG ALL THE DEFENDANTS, THATS THE THEORY THATS THE THEORY WERE NOT SWITCHING UP OUR THEORIES, DEPENDING ON WHICH DEFENDANTS WERE TALKING ABOUT; WE FEEL LIKE ALL THREE OF THESE PEOPLE WERE INVOLVED IN AN INHERANTLY DANGEROUS FELONY, WHICH IS A SHOOT OUT THAT BASICALLY WENT FROM THE RIGHT AS YOU GO TO HELTON HEAD, ALL THE WAY DOWN INTO MARSHLAND ROAD, ALONG THE CROSS ISLAND EXPRESS WAY, AND I DONT KNOW IF YOUR FAMILIAR WITH THIS AREA, BUT IT INVOLVES THREE NEIGHBORHOODS IN HELTON HEAD, AND THATS WAS A SHOOT OUT THAT TOOK PLACE FIRST AT WHITE HORSE ROAD, AND THEN AT ANOTHER NEIGHBORHOOD IN BETWEEN, AND THEN THE CHILD AT A THIRD AT ALLEN ROAD AREA, WHICH IS WERE THE CHILD WAS PLAYING ON THE TRAMPALINE AND KILLED. OUR THEORY IS ALL THREE OF THEM ARE CULPABLE UNDER THAT SCENARIO, AND THATS THE THEORY WERE PROCEEDING FOR TRIAL

2nd UNINDICTED ALLEGED FALSE MURDER CHARGE THAT IS A NONEXISTENT SECOND UNINDICTED FALSE MURDER CHARGE THAT IS NOT CLASSIFIED AS A CRIME BY THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA IS. THE RECORD UNINDICTED ALLEGED FALSE MURDER CHARGE "ALLEGEDLY" ON OR ABOUT SEPTEMBER THE 21ST 2012 TYRONE ROBINSON DID WILLFULLY WITH MALICE CAUSE HIM TO BE SHOT AND KILLED AND HE DIED

THAT IS A NONEXISTENT SECOND UNINDICTED FALSE MURDER CHARGE THAT IS NOT CLASSIFIED AS A CRIME BY THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA. THAT THERE IS NOT ANY INDICTMENT CHARGEING ME WITH IN VIOLATION OF S.C. CODE OF LAW 17-19-10 WHICH STATES "QUOTE" NO PERSON SHALL BE HELD TO ANSWER IN COURT FOR A ALLEGED CRIME OR OFFENSE UNLESS UPON INDICTMENT BY A GRAND JURY

FOR PROOF I QUOTE THE PROCEEDINGS FROM THE TRIAL TRANSCRIPT OF ATTORNEY ARIE DAVID BAX AND THE CONFESSION OF TRIAL JUDGE THOMAS COOPER THAT ATTACHED AS EVIDENCE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

V.S.
TYRONE ROBINSON

"QUOTE" PAGE 667 PARAGRAPH 22, AND 23 OF TRIAL TRANSCRIPT OF TRIAL ATTORNEY ARIE DAVID BAX STATES "QUOTE" AND I THINK WITHOUT THAT HE IS ENTITLED TO A DIRECTED VERDICT

"QUOTE" FROM PAGE 668 PARAGRAPH 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 AND 8, OF TRIAL TRANSCRIPT OF TRIAL ATTORNEY ARIE DAVID BAX STATES "QUOTE" BECAUSE UNDER THERE INDICTMENT THEY HAVE NOT MET THEIR BURDEN TO MEET THE LANGUAGE OF THE INDICTMENT THAT THEY HAVE GONE FORWARD ON. THEY HAVE NOT BEEN ABLE TO PROVE AN INHERANTLY DANGEROUS FELONY OF ANY KIND THAT WAS OCCURING AT THE TIME THE CHILD DIED THAT MR. ROBINSON WAS INVOLVED IN, EXCUSE ME

"QUOTE" FROM PAGE 669 PARAGRAPH 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13 AND 14 OF TRIAL TRANSCRIPT OF TRIAL JUDGE THOMAS COOPER "QUOTE" NOW THE INHERANTLY DANGEROUS FELONY MAY HAVE BEEN THERE TO TRY TO SHOW EVIDENCE OF MALICE, IT MAY HAVE BEEN THERE FOR SOME OTHER PURPOSE. I REALLY CAN'T SPEAK TO THAT BUT IF THOSE WORDS WERE NOT THERE IF THOSE WORDS WERE NOT THERE AND THE GUN BATTLE STUFF

14.
and all that was not there and if it just said
that on or about September the 4th, 2012 Tyrone Robin-
-son did willfully and with malice cause him to be
shot and killed and he died

Then those issues of course would be plain and a pro-
-priate and the charge would be at least simply
made under those circumstances and if it was evi-
-dence to support those charges then it would go for-
-ward

"quoteing" from page 672 paragraphs 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15,
16, 17, 18 and 19 of trial transcript Judge Thomas Cooper

"quoteing"
my point was that from the stand point for a
motion for a directed verdict that the inclusion
of the words while engaged in a ongoing gun battle
an inherently dangerous felony, which I thought
was put there more appropriately when they were
dealing with three defendants in this particular
case that those words could be taken out of this
particular charge as indicated and there would
be no question about whether or not they would
have a right to a jury on the charge of murder

"quoteing" from page 674 paragraphs 21, 22, 23, 24,
and 25 and page 675 paragraphs 7 and 8 of trial
transcript trial attorney Arie David Bax "quoteing"
Mr. Bax, and I just note that just for a matter of
courtesy for future review I just feel that as a
defense attorney it is not a proper situation
were the defense feels like it has to juggle between
alternative theories of prosecution. And I feel
strongly that that what that put me in. I certainly
understand the rulings and respect that your honor
thank you sir.

BLACK LAW DICTIONARY DEFINITION
FOR THE CRIME OF FELONY MURDER STATES
"quoteing"
murder that occurs during the commission
of a dangerous felony often limited,
to rape, kidnapping, robbery, burglary

BLACKS LAW DICTIONARY DEFINITION PROVES THAT
INDICTMENT # 2012-GI-07-01935 charges TYRONE
ROBINSON WITH THE CRIME OF FELONY MURDER

THE NECESSARY ELEMENTS
REQUIRED TO CONSTITUTE THE CRIME
OF FELONY MURDER BY THE FELONY MURDER
RULE THEORY

The essential and factual element "allegeing"
while engaged in a ongoing gun battle an
inherently dangerous felony

that charged and alleged inside of the written
write up of the alleged crime of felony murder by the
felony murder rule theory "allegeing"
while engaged in a ongoing gun battle an inherently
dangerous felony, TYRONE ROBINSON DID WILLFULLY,
UNLAWFULLY AND WITH MALICE AFORETHOUGHT CAUSE THE

VICTIM KHALIL SINGLETON to be shot and killed that charged and alleged inside of the written write up of indictment #2012-EJ-07-01935 that I was tried on before the jury at trial. It is a essential and factual element that is required in order to constitute the crime of felony murder by the felony murder rule theory for proof review

Case Law merits

Supreme Court of Appeals of the State of South Carolina
Case Law of.

Fore v. Leeke 199 S.E.2d 755 "QUOTE"

IF SEVERAL PERSONS AGREE OR CONSPIRE TO COMMIT A FELONY SUCH AS GRAND LARCENY OR ROBBERY OR BURGLARY EACH OF THOSE PERSONS ARE CRIMINALLY RESPONSIBLE FOR THE ACTS OF ASSAULT, OR CONFEDERATES WHICH ARE DONE IN FURTHERANCE OR IN PROSECUTION OF THE COMMON PURPOSE FOR WHICH THEY COMBINED. THE COMMON PURPOSE LATTER AND GENTLEMAN MAY HAVE NOT INCLUDED OR MAY NOT HAVE BEEN INVOLVED IN THE KILLING AND THE MURDER OF ANYONE BUT IF IN EXECUTING THIS COMMON DESIGN AND PURPOSE AND IF IT WAS UNLAWFUL AS FOR INSTANCE / BREAKING IN AND STEALING AND IN THE EXECUTION OF THE COMMON PURPOSE A HOMICIDE IS COMMITTED BY ONE OF THE CONFEDERATES OR ONE OF THE ASSOCIATES AND YOU / THE JURY DETERMINE FROM THE PROOF BEYOND A REASONABLE DOUBT THE HOMICIDE WAS A PROBABLE OR NATURAL CONSEQUENCE OF THE ACTS WHICH WERE DONE IN PURSUANCE OF THE COMMON DESIGN THEN / LATTER AND GENTLEMAN / ALL WHO ARE PRESENT EITHER ACTUALLY OR CONSTRUCTIVELY / AND PARTICIPATING IN THE UNLAWFUL / COMMON DESIGN ARE AS GUILTY AS THE SLAYER HIMSELF

Case Law merits

Supreme Court of Appeals of the United States of America
Case Law of.

Whalen v. United States 105 Ct 1435 "QUOTE"

A CONVICTION FOR KILLING IN THE COURSE OF RAPE CAN NOT BE HAD WITHOUT PROVING ALL THE ELEMENTS OF THE OFFENSE OF RAPE "FURTHER QUOTE"
IN THE PRESENT CASE PROOF OF RAPE IS A NECESSARY ELEMENT OF PROOF OF THE FELONY MURDER

Case Law merits

Supreme Court of Appeals of the State of South Carolina
Case Law of.

Paul Simmons v. State of South Carolina 215 S.E.2d 883 "QUOTE"

THIS CASE POSES A DIFFICULT QUESTION WHETHER AN ESSENTIAL ELEMENT OF A FELONY CAN BE CONSTITUTIONALLY FOUNDED UPON AN INFERENCE DRAWN FROM THE COMMISSION OF A MISDEMEANOR, EVEN IN A CASE WHERE THE FELONY MURDER RULE WAS IMPLIED

ELEMENTS TEST

PROVING THAT THE SECOND UNINDICTED ALLEGED FALSE MURDER CHARGE IS NOT CLASSIFIED AS THE CRIME OF FELONY MURDER BY THE FELONY MURDER RULE THEORY AND ALSO IS NOT CLASSIFIED AS A VIOLATION OF THE LAW OF THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA FOR THE CRIME OF FELONY MURDER BY THE FELONY MURDER RULE THEORY

AS A MATTER OF LAW THE 1ST ELEMENT "ALLEGING"
 TYRONE ROBINSON WAS IN THE COMMISSION OF COMMITTING A
 ONGOING GUN BATTLE AN INHERANTLY DANGEROUS FELONY

THAT IS CHARGED AND ALLEGED INSIDE OF THE WRITTEN
 WRITE UP OF THE CRIME OF FELONY MURDER BY THE FELONY MURDER
 RULE THEORY "ALLEGING"
 WHILE ENGAGED IN A ONGOING GUN BATTLE AN INHERANTLY
 DANGEROUS FELONY, TYRONE ROBINSON DID WILLFULLY,
 UNLAWFULLY AND WITH MALICE AFORETHOUGHT CAUSE
 THE VICTIM KHALIL SINGLETON TO BE SHOT AND KILLED IN
 THE AREA OF MARILAND DRIVE AND ALLEN ROAD ON
 HILTON HEAD ISLAND, S.C. AND THAT KHALIL SINGLETON DID
 DIE IN BEAUFORT COUNTY AS A PROXIMATE RESULT THERE OF

THAT IS CHARGED AND ALLEGED INSIDE OF THE WRITTEN
 WRITE UP OF INDICTMENT # 2012-61-07-01935 THAT
 WAS TRIED ON BEFORE THE JURY AT TRIAL.
 IS NOT INCLUDED IN THE ALLEGED ELEMENTS OF THE
 SECOND UNINDICTED ALLEGED FALSE MURDER CHARGE "ALLEGING"
 ON OR ABOUT SEPTEMBER THE 1ST 2012 TYRONE ROBINSON
 DID WILLFULLY AND WITH MALICE CAUSE HIM TO BE SHOT
 AND KILLED AND HE DIED

ALSO AS A MATTER OF LAW THE 2ND ELEMENT "ALLEGING"
 THE DEATH OF VICTIM KHALIL SINGLETON IS THE PROXIMATE
 RESULT OF TYRONE ROBINSON COMMITTING A ONGOING GUN
 BATTLE AN INHERANTLY DANGEROUS FELONY

THAT IS CHARGED AND ALLEGED INSIDE OF THE WRITTEN
 WRITE UP OF THE CRIME OF FELONY MURDER BY THE FELONY
 MURDER RULE THEORY "ALLEGING"
 WHILE ENGAGED IN A ONGOING GUN BATTLE AN INHERANTLY
 DANGEROUS FELONY, TYRONE ROBINSON DID WILLFULLY,
 UNLAWFULLY AND WITH MALICE AFORETHOUGHT CAUSE THE VICTIM
 KHALIL SINGLETON TO BE SHOT AND KILLED IN THE AREA OF
 MARILAND DRIVE AND ALLEN ROAD ON HILTON HEAD ISLAND
 S.C. AND THAT KHALIL SINGLETON DID DIE IN BEAUFORT
 COUNTY AS A PROXIMATE RESULT THERE OF

THAT IS CHARGED AND ALLEGED INSIDE OF THE WRITTEN
 WRITE UP OF INDICTMENT # 2012-61-07-01935 THAT
 WAS TRIED ON BEFORE THE JURY AT TRIAL.
 IS NOT INCLUDED INTO THE ALLEGED ELEMENTS OF THE SECOND
 UNINDICTED ALLEGED FALSE MURDER CHARGE "ALLEGING"
 ON OR ABOUT SEPTEMBER THE 1ST 2012 TYRONE ROBINSON
 DID WILLFULLY AND WITH MALICE CAUSE HIM TO BE
 SHOT AND KILLED AND HE DIED

AS A MATTER OF LAW PROVEING THAT THE 1ST ELEMENT AND
 THE 2ND ELEMENT REQUIRED TO CONSTITUTE THE CRIME OF FELONY
 MURDER BY THE FELONY MURDER RULE THEORY THAT IS CHARGED
 AND ALLEGED INSIDE OF THE WRITTEN WRITE UP OF INDICTMENT
 # 2012-61-07-01935 THAT WAS TRIED ON BEFORE THE
 JURY AT TRIAL. IS NOT INCLUDED INTO THE SECOND UNINDICTED
 ALLEGED FALSE MURDER CHARGE "ALLEGING"
 ON OR ABOUT SEPTEMBER 1ST 2012 TYRONE ROBINSON DID
 WILLFULLY AND WITH MALICE CAUSE HIM TO BE SHOT AND
 KILLED AND HE DIED

AS MATTER OF LAW PROVEING THAT THE SECOND UNINDICTED
 ALLEGED FALSE MURDER CHARGE "ALLEGING"
 ON OR ABOUT SEPTEMBER 1ST 2012 TYRONE ROBINSON DID
 WILLFULLY AND WITH MALICE CAUSE HIM TO BE SHOT
 AND KILLED AND HE DIED

IS NOT DEFINED AS THE CRIME OF FELONY MURDER BY THE
 FELONY MURDER RULE THEORY BY THE LAWS OF THE STATE
 OF SOUTH CAROLINA.
 ALSO IS NOT DEFINED AS A VIOLATION OF THE LAW
 THAT GOVERNS THE CRIME OF FELONY MURDER IN
 THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA.

CASE LAW MERITS

SUPREME COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
CASE LAW OF,

GORE V. LEEKE 199 S.E. 2d 755 "QUOTEING"

IF SEVERAL PERSON AGREE OR CONSPIRE TO COMMIT A FELONY
SUCH AS GRAND LARCENY OR ROBBERY OR BURGLARY EACH
OF THOSE PERSONS ARE CRIMINALLY RESPONSIBLE FOR THE ACTS
OR IN PROSECUTION OF THE COMMON PURPOSE FOR WHICH THEY
COMBINED. THE COMMON PURPOSE LADIES AND GENTLEMAN
MAY HAVE NOT INCLUDED OR MAY NOT HAVE BEEN INVOLVED
IN THE KILLING AND THE MURDER OF ANYONE BUT IF IN
EXECUTING THIS COMMON DESIGN AND PURPOSE AND IF IT
WAS UNLAWFUL AS FOR INSTANCE BREAKING IN AND
STEALING, AND IN THE EXECUTION OF THE COMMON PURPOSE A
HOMICIDE IS COMMITTED BY ONE OF THE CONFEDERATES OR
ONE OF THE ASSOCIATES AND YOU, THE JURY DETERMINE
FROM THE PROOF BEYOND A REASONABLE DOUBT THE HOMICIDE
WAS A PROBABLE OR NATURAL CONSEQUENCE OF THE ACTS
WHICH WERE DONE IN PURSUANCE OF THE COMMON DESIGN
THEN, LADIES AND GENTLEMAN, ALL WHO ARE PRESENT
EITHER ACTUALLY OR CONSTRUCTIVELY, AND PARTICIPATING
IN THE UNLAWFUL COMMON DESIGN ARE AS GUILTY AS THE
SLAYER HIMSELF

THE LAW DICTIONARY
DEFINITION FOR THE WORD
MURDER MEANS THE FOLLOWING
MEANING "QUOTEING"

MURDER A COMMON LAW OFFENSE OF UNLAWFUL HOMICIDE,
UNLAWFUL KILLING OF ANOTHER HUMAN BEING WITH MALICE
AFORETHOUGHT. THIS REQUIRES A PREMEDITATED INTENT
TO KILL PLUS AN ELEMENT OF HATRED

AS A MATTER OF LAW THERE IS ABSOLUTELY NOT ANY INDICT-
-MENT THAT CHARGES TYRONE LORENZA ROBINSON WITH
THE CRIME OF MURDER BY THE CRIME ALLEGED I KILLED
THE VICTIM WITH MALICE AND AFORETHOUGHT

THE NECESSARY ELEMENTS
REQUIRED IN ORDER TO CONSTITUTE
THE CRIME OF MURDER 16-3-10 BY THE
LAWS OF THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

INSIDE OF THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA THE CRIME
OF MURDER IS THE KILLING OF ANY HUMAN BEING
WITH MALICE AFORETHOUGHT EITHER EXPRESS OR
IMPLIED FOR PROOF REVIEW

CASE LAW MERITS

SUPREME COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF
SOUTH CAROLINA CASE LAW OF,
THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA V. WATSON 563 S.E. 2d 336

"QUOTEING"
16-3-10 MURDER IS THE KILLING OF ANY PERSON WITH
MALICE AFORETHOUGHT EITHER EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED

IN ORDER TO CONSTITUTE THE CRIME OF MURDER
 IN VIOLATION OF SOUTH CAROLINA CODE OF LAWS
 16-3-10. INSIDE OF THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA.
 Two elements must be established.
 The first element that must be established is
 the element "ALLEGEDLY"
 The defendant UNLAWFULLY KILLED ANOTHER PERSON
 The second element that must be established is the
 element "ALLEGEDLY"
 The killing was committed with MALICE and a FORETH-
 -OUGHT

2ND

ELEMENTS TEST

PROVING AS A MATTER OF LAW THAT THE SECOND
 UNINDICTED FALSE MURDER CHARGE IS NOT
 CLASSIFIED AS THE CRIME OF MURDER 16-3-
 -10 BY THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA.
 AND ALSO IS NOT CLASSIFIED AS A VIOLATION
 OF SOUTH CAROLINA CODE OF LAWS 16-3-10
 FOR THE CRIME OF MURDER INSIDE OF THE
 STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

1ST ELEMENT

REQUIRED TO CONSTITUTE UNINDICTED CRIME OF
 MURDER 16-3-10 BY THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF SOUTH
 CAROLINA

1ST ELEMENT REQUIRED TO CONSTITUTE UNINDICTED
 CRIME OF MURDER 16-3-10 BY THE CRIME ALLEGEDLY
 THE DEFENDANT KILLED THE VICTIM WITH MALICE AND
 A FORETHOUGHT

IS: THAT THE ACCUSED UNLAWFULLY KILLED ANOTHER
 WHICH DEFINED BY ITS WEBSTER DICTIONARY DEFINITION
 MEANS TO ALLEGED THAT THE ACCUSED UNLAWFULLY DEPRIVED
 ANOTHER PERSON OUT OF THEIR LIFE

THIS IS BECAUSE THE WEBSTER DICTIONARY DEFINITION FOR
 THE WORD KILL MEANS THE FOLLOWING "MEANING"
 TO DEPRIVE OF LIFE

1ST ELEMENT

FOR SECOND UNINDICTED ALLEGED FALSE MURDER CHARGE

1ST ELEMENT FOR SECOND UNINDICTED ALLEGED FALSE MURDER
 CHARGE BY THE CRIME "ALLEGEDLY"
 ON OR ABOUT SEPTEMBER THE 1ST 2012 TYRONE ROBINSON
 DID WILLFULLY WITH MALICE CAUSE HIM TO BE
 SHOT AND KILLED AND HE DIED IS:

ON OR ABOUT SEPTEMBER THE 1ST 2012 TYRONE
 ROBINSON DID WILLFULLY CAUSE HIM TO BE SHOT
 AND KILLED AND HE DIED

WHICH DEFINED BY ITS DICTIONARY DEFINITION MEANS TO

"ALLEGED" ON OR ABOUT SEPTEMBER THE 21ST 2012 TYRONE ROBINSON DID WILLFULLY CAUSE A TRAIN OF EVENTS THAT RESULTED IN THE VICTIM KHALIL SINGLETON BEING SHOT AND DEPRIVED OUT OF HIS LIFE

THIS IS BECAUSE THE LAW BOOK DICTIONARY DEFINITION FOR THE WORD CAUSE MEANS THE FOLLOWING MEANING "ALLEGEDLY"
 CAUSE THAT WHICH EFFECTS A RESULT DIRECT CAUSE THE ACTIVE / EFFICIENT CAUSE THAT SET IN MOTION A TRAIN OF EVENTS THAT BRINGS ABOUT A RESULT WITHOUT INTERVENTION OF ANY OTHER INDEPENDANT SOURCE

AS A MATTER OF LAW THE FIRST ELEMENT TO THE SECOND UNINDICTED ALLEGED FALSE MURDER CHARGE "ALLEGEDLY" ON OR ABOUT SEPTEMBER THE 21ST 2012 TYRONE ROBINSON DID WILLFULLY WITH MALICE CAUSE HIM TO BE SHOT AND KILLED AND HE DIED WHICH IS

ON OR ABOUT SEPTEMBER THE 21ST 2012 TYRONE ROBINSON DID WILLFULLY CAUSE HIM TO BE SHOT AND KILLED AND HE DIED

WHICH DEFINED BY ITS DICTIONARY DEFINITION MEANS TO ALLEGE.
 ON OR ABOUT SEPTEMBER THE 21ST 2012 TYRONE ROBINSON DID WILLFULLY CAUSE A TRAIN OF EVENTS THAT RESULTED IN THE VICTIM KHALIL SINGLETON BEING SHOT AND DEPRIVED OUT OF HIS LIFE

IS NOT INCLUDED INTO AND DOES NOT CONSTITUTE THE 1ST ELEMENT REQUIRED TO CONSTITUTE THE UNINDICTED CRIME OF MURDER 16-3-10 BY THE CRIME "ALLEGEDLY" THE DEFENDANT KILLED ANOTHER WITH MALICE AND A FORETHOUGHT

WHICH HAS THE 1ST ELEMENT OF "ALLEGEDLY" THAT THE ACCUSED UNLAWFULLY KILLED ANOTHER PERSON

WHICH DEFINED BY ITS DICTIONARY DEFINITION MEANS TO ALLEGE
 THAT THE ACCUSED UNLAWFULLY DEPRIVED ANOTHER PERSON OUT OF THERE LIFE

2ND ELEMENT

REQUIRED TO CONSTITUTE THE UNINDICTED CRIME OF MURDER 16-3-10 BY THE CRIME "ALLEGEDLY" THE DEFENDANT KILLED THE VICTIM WITH MALICE AND A FORETHOUGHT IS: THAT THE KILLING WAS COMMITTED WITH MALICE AND A FORETHOUGHT

WHICH DEFINED BY ITS WEBSTER DICTIONARY DEFINITION MEANS TO ALLEGE THAT THE DEPRIVATION OF LIFE WAS COMMITTED WITH MALICE AND A FORETHOUGHT

THIS IS BECAUSE THE WEBSTER DICTIONARY DEFINITION FOR THE WORD KILL MEANS THE FOLLOWING "MEANING" TO DEPRIVE OF LIFE

2ND ELEMENT FOR SECOND UNINDICTED ALLEGED FALSE MURDER CHARGE

2ND ELEMENT FOR SECOND UNINDICTED ALLEGED FALSE MURDER CHARGE BY THE CRIME "ALLEGEDLY" ON OR ABOUT SEPTEMBER THE 1ST, 2, 012 TYRONE ROBINSON DID WILLFULLY WITH MALICE CAUSE HIM TO BE SHOT AND KILLED IS:

ON OR ABOUT SEPTEMBER THE 1ST 2, 012 TYRONE ROBINSON DID WITH MALICE CAUSE HIM TO BE SHOT AND KILLED AND HE DIED

WHICH DEFINED BY HIS DICTIONARY DEFINITION MEANS TO "ALLEGEDLY"

ON OR ABOUT SEPTEMBER THE 1ST, 2, 012 TYRONE ROBINSON DID WITH MALICE CAUSE A TRAIN OF EVENTS THAT RESULTED IN THE VICTIM KHALIL SINGLETON BEING SHOT AND DEPRIVED OUT OF HIS LIFE

THIS IS BECAUSE THE LAW BOOK DICTIONARY DEFINITION FOR THE WORD CAUSE MEANS THE FOLLOWING MEANING "ALLEGEDLY"

CAUSE THAT WHICH EFFECTS A RESULT DIRECT CAUSE THE ACTIVE, EFFICIENT CAUSE THAT SET IN MOTION A TRAIN OF EVENTS THAT BRINGS ABOUT A RESULT WITHOUT INTERVENTION OF ANY OTHER INDEPENDENT SOURCE

AS A MATTER OF LAW THE SECOND ELEMENT TO THE UNINDICTED ALLEGED FALSE MURDER CHARGE "ALLEGEDLY" ON OR ABOUT SEPTEMBER THE 1ST 2, 012 TYRONE ROBINSON DID WILLFULLY AND WITH MALICE CAUSE HIM TO BE SHOT AND KILLED AND HE DIED WHICH IS

ON OR ABOUT SEPTEMBER THE 1ST, 2, 012 TYRONE ROBINSON DID WITH MALICE CAUSE HIM TO BE SHOT AND KILLED AND HE DIED

WHICH DEFINED BY HIS DICTIONARY DEFINITION MEANS TO "ALLEGEDLY"

ON OR ABOUT SEPTEMBER THE 1ST, 2, 012 TYRONE ROBINSON DID WITH MALICE CAUSE A TRAIN OF EVENTS THAT RESULTED IN THE VICTIM KHALIL SINGLETON BEING SHOT AND DEPRIVED OUT OF HIS LIFE

IS NOT INCLUDED INTO AND DOES NOT CONSTITUTE THE 2ND ELEMENT REQUIRED TO CONSTITUTE THE UNINDICTED CRIME OF MURDER 16-3-10 BY THE CRIME "ALLEGEDLY"

THE DEFENDANT KILLED ANOTHER WITH MALICE AND A FORETHOUGHT

WHICH HAS THE 2ND ELEMENT OF "QUOTING" THE KILLING WAS COMMITTED WITH MALICE AND A FORETHOUGHT

WHICH DEFINED BY HIS DICTIONARY DEFINITION MEANS TO "ALLEGEDLY" THAT THE DEPRIVATION OF LIFE WAS COMMITTED WITH MALICE AND A FORETHOUGHT

AS A MATTER OF LAW PROVEING THAT THE SECOND UNINDICTED ALLEGED FALSE MURDER CHARGE "ALLEGING"

ON OR ABOUT SEPTEMBER 21ST, 2012 TYRONE ROBINSON DID WILLFULLY WITH MALICE CAUSE HIM TO BE SHOT AND KILLED AND HE DIED

IS NOT DEFINED AS THE CRIME OF MURDER 16-3-10 BY THE LAWS THAT GOVERNS THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA.

ALSO IS NOT DEFINED AS A VIOLATION OF SOUTH CAROLINA CODE OF LAWS 16-3-10 FOR THE CRIME OF MURDER BY THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

VOLUNTARY DISMISSAL WITHOUT MY CONSENT. CONSTITUTES A "ACQUITTAL" ON THE CRIME OF FELONY MURDER BY THE FELONY MURDER RULE THEORY

AS A MATTER OF LAW I WAS INDICTED BY THE GRAND JURY ON, AND THE JURY AT TRIAL WAS SWORN IN TO DECIDE ON, AND I WAS PUT IN JEOPARDY OF BEING CONVICTED BY THE JURY AND FACING A PRISON SENTENCE OF LIFE IMPRISONMENT AT TRIAL. ON THE CRIME OF FELONY MURDER BY THE FELONY MURDER RULE THEORY "ALLEGING"

THAT IN BEAUFORT COUNTY ON OR ABOUT SEPTEMBER THE 21ST, 2012 WHILE ENGAGED IN AN ONGOING GUN BATTLE AN INHERANTLY DANGEROUS FELONY, TYRONE ROBINSON DID WILLFULLY / UNLAWFULLY AND WITH MALICE AFORETHOUGHT CAUSE THE VICTIM KHALEL SINGLETON TO BE SHOT AND KILLED IN THE AREA OF MARSHLAND DRIVE AND ALLEN ROAD, HILTON HEAD ISLAND, S.C. AND THAT KHALEL SINGLETON DID DIE IN BEAUFORT COUNTY AS A PROXIMATE RESULT THERE OF

THERE ARE TWO ELEMENTS THAT ARE REQUIRED TO CONSTITUTE THE CRIME OF FELONY MURDER BY THE FELONY MURDER RULE THEORY -

THE "FIRST" ELEMENT REQUIRED TO CONSTITUTE THE CRIME OF FELONY MURDER BY THE FELONY MURDER RULE THEORY IS "QUOTEING"

THE DEFENDANT WAS IN THE COMMITITION OF COMMITTING A INHERANTLY DANGEROUS FELONY

AS A MATTER OF LAW INDICTMENT # 2012-GJ-07-01935. CHARGE AND ALLEGE THAT TYRONE ROBINSON WAS IN THE COMMISSION OF COMMITTING AN ONGOING GUN BATTLE AN INHERENTLY DANGEROUS FELONY

AS A MATTER OF LAW PROVEING THAT INDICTMENT # 2012-GJ-07-01935 PUTS TYRONE ROBINSON IN "JEOPARDY" OF BEING CONVICTED ON THE FIRST ELEMENT REQUIRED TO CONSTITUTE THE CRIME OF FELONY MURDER BY THE FELONY MURDER RULE THEORY. THAT THE GRAND JURY INDICTED ME ON AND I WAS TRIED ON BEFORE THE JURY AT TRIAL ON INDICTMENT # 2012-GJ-07-01935.

THE "SECOND" element required to constitute the crime of felony murder by the felony murder rule theory is "QUOTEING" DEATH TO THE VICTIM AS A CONSEQUENCE OF THE DEFENDANT CONDUCT IN COMMITTING THAT CRIME

AS A MATTER OF LAW INDICTMENT # 2012-GJ-07-01935. CHARGE AND ALLEGE THAT THE DEATH OF VICTIM KHALIL SINGLETON IS THE PROXIMATE RESULT OF TYRONE ROBINSON COMMITTING AN ONGOING GUN BATTLE AN INHERENTLY DANGEROUS FELONY

AS A MATTER OF LAW PROVEING THAT INDICTMENT # 2012-GJ-07-01935 PUTS TYRONE ROBINSON IN "JEOPARDY" OF BEING CONVICTED ON THE SECOND ELEMENT REQUIRED TO CONSTITUTE THE CRIME OF FELONY MURDER BY THE FELONY MURDER RULE THEORY. THAT THE GRAND JURY INDICTED ME ON AND I WAS TRIED ON BEFORE THE JURY AT TRIAL ON INDICTMENT # 2012-GJ-07-01935

AS A MATTER OF LAW PROVEING THAT INDICTMENT # 2012-GJ-07-01935. PUTS TYRONE ROBINSON ON "NOTICE" THAT I WAS IN "JEOPARDY" OF BEING CONVICTED AND FACING A PRISON SENTENCE OF LIFE IMPRISONMENT FOR THE CRIME OF FELONY MURDER BY THE FELONY MURDER RULE THEORY ONLY FOR THE DEATH OF VICTIM KHALIL SINGLETON. THAT THE GRAND JURY INDICTED

me ON and I was TRIED ON before the JURY
at TRIAL ON INDICTMENT # 2012-65-07-01935.
AFTER THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA RESTED THERE
CASE AT TRIAL ON MOTION FOR DIRECTED VERDICT
OF ACQUITTAL. WHEN THE TRIAL JUDGE AND
TRIAL COURT "VOLUNTARILY DISMISSED"

THE ESSENTIAL AND FACTUAL ELEMENT "ALLEGED"
WHILE ENGAGED IN A ONGOING GUN BATTLE
AN INHERENTLY DANGEROUS FELONY

FROM OUT OF INDICTMENT # 2012-65-07-01935
THAT I WAS INDICTED ON BY THE GRAND
JURY AND TRIED ON BEFORE THE JURY AT
TRIAL.

THE TRIAL JUDGE AND TRIAL COURT VOLUNT-
-ARY DISMISSAL CONSTITUTED VOLUNT-
-ARY DISMISSAL OF THE FIRST ELEMENT
"ALLEGED"

I TYRONE ROBINSON WAS IN THE COMMIT-
SION OF COMMITTING A ONGOING GUN
BATTLE AN INHERENTLY DANGEROUS
FELONY

THAT "TERMINATED" MY "JEOPARDY" ON THE

FIRST ELEMENT REQUIRED TO CONSTITUTE
THE CRIME OF FELONY MURDER BY THE FELONY
MURDER RULE THEORY. THAT THE GRAND
JURY INDICTED ME ON AND I WAS TRIED
ON BEFORE THE JURY AT TRIAL.
ALSO WHEN THE TRIAL JUDGE AND TRIAL COURT
"VOLUNTARILY DISMISSED" THE ESSENTIAL

AND FACTUAL ELEMENT "ALLEGED"
WHILE ENGAGED IN A ONGOING GUN BATTLE
AN INHERENTLY DANGEROUS FELONY

FROM OUT OF INDICTMENT # 2012-65-07-01935
THAT I WAS INDICTED ON BY THE GRAND JURY
AND TRIED ON BEFORE THE JURY AT TRIAL.
THE TRIAL JUDGE AND TRIAL COURT VOLUN-
-TARY DISMISSAL CONSTITUTED A VOLUN-
-TARY DISMISSAL OF THE SECOND
ELEMENT "ALLEGED"

THE DEATH OF VICTIM KHALIL SINGLETON
IS THE PROXIMATE RESULT OF I TYRONE
ROBINSON COMMITTING A ONGOING GUN BATTLE
AN INHERENTLY DANGEROUS FELONY

24.

THAT "TERMINATED" MY "JEOPARDY" ON THE SECOND ELEMENT REQUIRED TO CONSTITUTE THE CRIME OF FELONY MURDER BY THE FELONY MURDER RULE THEORY. THAT THE GRAND JURY INDICTED ME ON AND I WAS TRIED ON BEFORE THE JURY AT TRIAL.

AS A RESULT THE TRIAL JUDGE AND TRIAL COURT VOLUNTARILY DISMISSED OF THE FIRST ELEMENT AND SECOND ELEMENT FROM THE CRIME OF FELONY MURDER BY THE FELONY MURDER RULE THEORY.

"TERMINATED" MY "JEOPARDY" ON THE CRIME OF FELONY MURDER BY THE FELONY MURDER RULE THEORY. THAT THE GRAND JURY INDICTED ME ON AND I WAS TRIED ON BEFORE THE JURY AT TRIAL ON INDICTMENT #2012-GJ-07-01935

AS A MATTER OF LAW THE SECOND UNINDICTED ALLEGED FALSE MURDER CHARGE "ALLEGED" ON OR ABOUT SEPTEMBER 1ST 2012 TYRONE ROBINSON DID WILLFULLY WITH MALICE CAUSE HIM TO BE SHOT AND KILLED

"DOES NOT" PUT ME IN "JEOPARDY" OF BEING CONVICTED ON THE FIRST ELEMENT "ALLEGED" TYRONE ROBINSON WAS IN THE COMMISSION OF COMMITTING AN ONGOING GUN BATTLE AN INHERENTLY DANGEROUS FELONY

THAT THE GRAND JURY INDICTED ME ON AND I WAS TRIED ON BEFORE THE JURY AT TRIAL ON INDICTMENT #2012-GJ-07-01935.

ALSO AS A MATTER OF LAW THE SECOND UNINDICTED ALLEGED FALSE MURDER CHARGE "ALLEGED" ON OR ABOUT SEPTEMBER 1ST 2012 TYRONE ROBINSON DID WILLFULLY WITH MALICE CAUSE HIM TO BE SHOT AND KILLED AND HE DIED

"DOES NOT" PUT ME IN "JEOPARDY" OF BEING CONVICTED ON THE SECOND ELEMENT

"ALLEGEDLY"

The death of victim KHALIL SINGLETON IS THE PROXIMATE RESULT OF TYRONE ROBINSON COMMITTING AN ONGOING GUN BATTLE AN INHERENTLY DANGEROUS FELONY

That the grand jury indicted me on and I was tried on before the jury at trial on indictment # 2012-GJ-07-01935.

As a matter of law proving that when the trial judge and trial court voluntarily dismissed the first element and voluntarily dismissed the second element, that are required to constitute the crime of felony murder by the felony murder rule theory, that I was indicted on and tried on before the jury at trial.

As a matter of law the trial judge and trial court voluntary dismissal, voluntarily with Drew the case of felony murder by the felony murder rule theory, away from the jury without my consent.

As a result without the consent

of Tyrone Robinson, the trial judge and trial court voluntary dismissal, deprived the specific jury at my trial, out of the opportunity to render a verdict on the case of felony murder by the felony murder rule theory "allegedly"

That in Beaufort County on or about September 25th, 2012 while engaged in an ongoing gun battle an inherently dangerous felony, Tyrone Robinson did willfully, unlawfully and with malice aforethought cause the victim Khalil Singleton to be shot and killed in the area of Marshland Drive and Allen Road, Hilton Head Island, S.C. and that Khalil Singleton did die in Beaufort County as a proximate result thereof.

That charged and alleged inside of the written write up of indictment # 2012-GJ-07-01935, that the jury at my trial was sworn in on, to decide on at my trial.

ALSO WITHOUT MY CONSENT THE TRIAL JUDGE AND TRIAL COURT VOLUNTARY DISMISSAL AFTER THE JURY WAS SWORN. DEPRIVED TYRONE ROBINSON OUT OF MY CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT TO HAVE THE JURY AT MY TRIAL. RENDER A VERDICT ON THE CASE OF FELONY MURDER BY THE FELONY MURDER RULE THEORY "ALLEGEDLY"

THAT IN BEAUFORT COUNTY ON OR ABOUT SEPTEMBER 1ST, 2012 WHILE ENGAGED IN A ONGOING GUN BATTLE AN INHERENTLY DANGEROUS FELONY, TYRONE ROBINSON DID WILLFULLY, UNLAWFULLY AND WITH MALICE AFORETHOUGHT CAUSE THE VICTIM KHALIL SINGLETON TO BE SHOT AND KILLED IN THE AREA OF MARSHLAND DRIVE AND ALLEN ROAD, HILTON HEAD ISLAND S.C. AND THAT KHALIL SINGLETON DID DIE IN BEAUFORT COUNTY AS A PROXIMATE RESULT THERE OF.

THAT I CHARGED AND ALLEGED INSIDE OF THE WRITTEN WRITE UP OF INDICTMENT # 2012-65-07-01935. THAT THE JURY AT MY TRIAL WAS SWORN IN ON IT TO DECIDE ON AT MY TRIAL. AS A RESULT THE JURY AT MY TRIAL WAS DISCHARGED FROM MY TRIAL. WITHOUT RENDERING A VERDICT, ON THE CASE OF FELONY MURDER BY THE FELONY MURDER RULE THEORY. THAT I CHARGED AND ALLEGED INSIDE OF THE WRITTEN WRITE UP OF INDICTMENT # 2012-65-07-01935 THAT I WAS TRIED ON BEFORE THE JURY AT TRIAL. AS A CONSEQUENCE AS A MATTER OF LAW THE TRIAL JUDGE AND TRIAL COURT VOLUNTARY DISMISSAL OF THE FIRST ELEMENT WITHOUT MY CONSENT, AND THE TRIAL JUDGE AND TRIAL COURT VOLUNTARY DISMISSAL OF THE SECOND ELEMENT WITHOUT MY CONSENT. THAT ARE BOTH REQUIRED TO CONSTITUTE THE CRIME OF FELONY MURDER BY THE FELONY MURDER RULE THEORY. CONSTITUTES A "VOLUNTARY DISMISSAL" ON THE CRIME OF FELONY MURDER BY THE FELONY MURDER RULE THEORY "ALLEGEDLY"

THAT IN BEAUFORT COUNTY ON OR ABOUT SEPTEMBER 1ST, 2012 WHILE ENGAGED IN A ONGOING GUN BATTLE AN INHERENTLY DANGEROUS FELONY, TYRONE ROBINSON DID WILLFULLY, UNLAWFULLY AND WITH MALICE AFORETHOUGHT CAUSE THE VICTIM KHALIL SINGLETON TO BE SHOT AND KILLED IN THE AREA OF MARSHLAND DRIVE AND ALLEN ROAD, HILTON HEAD ISLAND, S.C. AND THAT KHALIL SINGLETON DID DIE IN BEAUFORT COUNTY AS A PROXIMATE RESULT THERE OF

THAT I CHARGED AND ALLEGED INSIDE OF THE WRITTEN WRITE UP OF INDICTMENT # 2012-65-07-01935

THE ACQUITTAL I OBTAINED IN THIS CASE IS ESTABLISHED BY TWO SEPERATE INDIVIDUAL REASONS OF LAW THAT ARE ESTABLISHED BY THE SUPREME COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA.

1ST REASON

PROVEING I WAS ACQUITTED ON THE CRIME OF FELONY MURDER BY THE FELONY MURDER RULE THEORY IS AS FOLLOWS: VOLUNTARY DISMISSAL WITHOUT MY CONSENT CONSTITUTED A ACQUITTAL ON THE CRIME OF FELONY MURDER BY THE FELONY MURDER RULE THEORY

AS A MATTER OF LAW AFTER THE JURY AT TRIAL WAS SWORN IN TO DECIDE ON THE CRIME OF FELONY MURDER BY THE FELONY MURDER RULE THEORY. THATS CHARGED AND ALLEGED INSIDE OF THE WRITTEN WRITE UP OF INDICTMENT # 2012-65-07-01935. THAT I WAS TRIED ON BEFORE THE JURY AT TRIAL. ALSO AFTER THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA HAD PESTED THERE CASE ON THE CRIME OF FELONY MURDER BY THE FELONY MURDER RULE THEORY. THATS CHARGED AND ALLEGED INSIDE OF THE WRITTEN WRITE UP OF INDICTMENT # 2012-65-07-01935. THAT THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA HAD TRIED ME ON BEFORE THE JURY AT TRIAL. ON MOTION FOR DIRECTED VERDICT OF ACQUITTAL WITHOUT THE CONSENT OF TYRONE ROBINSON. THE TRIAL JUDGE AND TRIAL COURT VOLUNTARY DISMISSAL OF THE CRIME OF FELONY MURDER BY THE FELONY MURDER RULE THEORY "ALLEGEDLY" THAT IN BEAUFORT COUNTY ON OR ABOUT SEPTEMBER 21ST, 2012, WHILE ENGAGED IN A ONGOING GUN BATTLE AN INHERANTLY DANGEROUS FELONY, TYRONE ROBINSON DID WILLFULLY, UNLAWFULLY AND WITH MALICE AFORE-THOUGHT CAUSE THE VICTIM KHALIL SINGLETON TO BE SHOT AND KILLED IN THE AREA OF MARSHLAND DRIVE ALLEN ROAD, HILTON HEAD ISLAND, S.C. AND THAT KHALIL SINGLETON DID DIE IN BEAUFORT COUNTY AS A PROXIMATE RESULT THERE OF.

THATS CHARGED AND ALLEGED INSIDE OF THE WRITTEN WRITE UP OF INDICTMENT # 2012-65-07-01935. THAT I WAS TRIED ON BEFORE THE JURY AT TRIAL. CONSTITUTES A "ACQUITTAL" ON THE CRIME

OF FELONY MURDER BY THE FELONY MURDER RULE THEORY "ALLEGED"

THAT IN BEAUFORT COUNTY ON OR ABOUT SEPTEMBER 21ST, 2012, WHILE ENGAGED IN A ONGOING GUN BATTLE AND INHERENTLY DANGEROUS FELONY, TYRONE ROBINSON DID WILLFULLY, UNLAWFULLY AND WITH MALICE AFORETHOUGHT CAUSE THE VICTIM KHALIL SINGLETON TO BE SHOT AND KILLED IN THE AREA OF MARSHLAND DRIVE ALLEN ROAD, HILTON HEAD ISLAND, S.C. AND THAT KHALIL SINGLETON DID DIE IN BEAUFORT COUNTY AS A PROXIMATE RESULT THEREOF

THAT AS CHARGED AND ALLEGED INSIDE OF THE WRITTEN WRITE UP OF INDICTMENT # 2012-65-07-01935, THAT I WAS TRIED ON BEFORE THE JURY AT TRIAL. AS DECIDED BY THE SUPREME COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA. FOR PROOF REVIEW
CASE LAW MERITS

SUPREME COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA CASE LAW OF.
STATE VS. RICHARDSON 25 S.E. 220 "QUOTE"

THE SOLICITOR HAVING ENTERED A NOLLE PROSEQUI AFTER THE JURY WAS CHARGED, AND THEY BEING DISCHARGED, WITHOUT ANY LAWFUL CAUSE, UPON WHICH THE PRISONER CAN BE REMANDED, FOR TRIAL A SECOND TIME. IT FOLLOWS THAT HE IS ACQUITTED SO HERE WE MIGHT SAY THAT AFTER THE JURY WERE TRIED WITH THE TRIAL OF THIS CASE THEY HAVING BEEN DISCHARGED WITHOUT ANY LAWFUL CAUSE, THE PRISONER IS ACQUITTED

CASE LAW MERITS

SUPREME COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA CASE LAW OF.
THE STATE VS. FRANCIS R. MKEE 1 BAZL 651 "QUOTE"

THE SOLICITOR HAVING ENTERED A NOLLE PROSEQUI, AFTER THE JURY WERE CHARGED, AND THEY BEING DISCHARGED, WITHOUT ANY LAWFUL CAUSE, UPON WHICH THE PRISONER CAN BE REMANDED, FOR TRIAL A SECOND TIME, IT FOLLOWS THAT HE IS ACQUITTED. THE MOTION IS THEREFORE GRANTED, AND THE PRISONER FOREVER ACQUITTED OF THE SAID OFFENSE, AND DISCHARGED FROM PRISON

2ND REASON

PROVEING I WAS ACQUITTED ON THE CRIME OF FELONY MURDER BY THE FELONY MURDER RULE THEORY IS AS FOLLOWS:

DISCHARGE OF THE JURY AWAY FROM MY TRIAL WITHOUT MY CONSENT, CONSTITUTED AN ACQUITTAL ON THE CRIME OF FELONY MURDER BY THE FELONY MURDER RULE THEORY

AS A MATTER OF LAW AFTER THE JURY AT TRIAL WAS SWORN IN TO DECIDE ON THE CRIME OF FELONY MURDER BY THE FELONY MURDER RULE THEORY, THATS CHARGED AND ALLEGED INSIDE OF THE WRITTEN WRITE UP OF INDICTMENT # 2012-65-07-01935, THAT I WAS TRIED ON BEFORE THE JURY AT TRIAL, ALSO AFTER THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA HAD RESTED THERE CASE, ON THE CRIME OF FELONY MURDER BY THE FELONY MURDER RULE THEORY, THATS CHARGED AND ALLEGED INSIDE OF THE WRITTEN WRITE UP OF INDICTMENT # 2012-65-07-01935, THAT THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA HAD TRIED ME ON BEFORE THE JURY AT TRIAL.

BECAUSE WITHOUT MY CONSENT, AT THE END OF TRIAL, THE TRIAL JUDGE AND TRIAL COURT DISCHARGED THE JURY AWAY FROM MY TRIAL AND DID NOT ALLOW THE JURY TO DECIDE ON AND DID NOT ALLOW THE JURY RENDER A VERDICT ON, THE CRIME OF FELONY MURDER BY THE FELONY MURDER RULE THEORY ALLE-

~~GEING~~
 THAT IN BEAUFORT COUNTY ON OR ABOUT SEPTEMBER 1ST, 2012, WHILE ENGAGED IN A ONGOING GUN BATTLE AN INHERANTLY DANGEROUS FELONY, TYRONE ROBINSON DID WILLFULLY, UNLAWFULLY AND WITH MALICE AFORE THOUGHT CAUSE THE VICTIM KHALIL SINGLETON TO BE SHOT AND KILLED IN THE AREA OF MARSHLAND DRIVE ALLEN ROAD, HILTON HEAD ISLAND, S.C. AND THAT KHALIL SINGLETON DID DIE IN BEAUFORT COUNTY AS A PROXIMATE RESULT THERE OF.

THATS CHARGED AND ALLEGED INSIDE OF THE WRITTEN WRITE UP OF INDICTMENT # 2012-65-07-01935 THAT I WAS TRIED ON BEFORE THE JURY AT TRIAL, CONSTITUTES AN "ACQUITTAL" ON THE

CRIME OF FELONY MURDER BY THE FELONY MURDER RULE THEORY "ALLEGING"
 THAT IN BEAUFORT COUNTY ON OR ABOUT SEPTEMBER

1st, 2, 012, while engaged in a ongoing
 gun battle an inherently dangerous
 felony, Tyrone Robinson did willfully,
 unlawfully and with malice afore-
 - thought cause the victim Khalil
 Singleton to be shot and killed in the
 area of Marshland Drive Allen Road,
 Hilton Head Island, S.C. and that Khalil
 Singleton did die in Beaufort County
 as a proximate result there of

That's charged and alleged inside of
 the written write up of indictment #2012-
 - 65-07-01935 that I was tried on before
 the jury at trial, as decided by the
 Supreme Court of Appeals of the State of
 South Carolina, for proof review

Case Law Merits

Supreme Court of Appeals of the State
 of South Carolina case law of
 State vs. Richardson 25 S.E. 220 "quoting"
 so, here we might say that after the
 jury were charged with the trial of
 this case, they having been discharged
 without any lawful cause, the prisoner
 is acquitted

Case Law Merits

Supreme Court of Appeals of the State of
 South Carolina case law of
 State vs. Richardson 25 S.E. 220 "quoting"
 the discharge of the jury in a criminal
 case, upon a valid indictment,
 without the consent of the defendant,
 not called for by imperious necessity,
 operates as a acquittal

inside of the case of State vs. Richardson 25
 S.E. 220. The Supreme Court of Appeals of the
 State of South Carolina decided that once a
 person is acquitted on the crime that's charged and
 alleged inside of the written write up of the
 indictment that the person is tried on before
 the jury at trial, the federal 5th Amendment
 right of double jeopardy bars the State of South Carol-
 - ina from retriving the defendant in a retrial
 for the same offense. For proof review

CASE LAW MERITS

SUPREME COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA CASE LAW OF

STATE VS. RICHARDSON 25 S.E. 2d 220 "QUOTING"

AND AS WE HAVE SEEN THE WELL SETTLED CONSTRUCTION WAS THAT A PERSON IS SAID TO BE PUT IN JEOPARDY WHENEVER HE IS PUT UPON HIS TRIAL, BEFORE A COURT OF COMPETENT JURISDICTION, UNDER A VALID INDICTMENT, AND A JURY HAS BEEN CHARGED WITH HIS TRIAL, AND THE JURY IS SAID TO BE THUS CHARGED WHEN THEY ARE EMpaneled AND SWORN. IF AFTER THAT, THE PROSECUTING OFFICER ENTERS A NOLLE PROSEQUI, OR WITHDRAWS THE CASE FROM THE JURY, WITHOUT THE CONSENT OF THE PRISONER, IT OPERATES AS AN ACQUITTAL, AND HE CAN NOT AGAIN BE PUT UPON HIS TRIAL FOR THE SAME OFFENSE

AS EXPLAINED INSIDE OF THE CASE OF EXPARTE NIELSON 95 CT 672. THE SUPREME COURT OF APPEALS DECIDED, THAT ONCE A PERSON IS INDICTED, TRIED BEFORE THE JURY AT TRIAL AND ACQUITTED FOR THE CRIME OF MURDER. THAT PERSON CAN NOT BE INDICTED AGAIN FOR THE LESSER INCLUDED DEGREE CRIME AND OFFENSE OF VOLUNTARY MANSLAUGHTER FOR PROOF REVIEW

CASE LAW MERITS

SUPREME COURT OF APPEALS OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA CASE LAW OF

EXPARTE NIELSON 95 CT 672 "QUOTING"

IF ONE BE INDICTED FOR MURDER AND ACQUITTED HE CAN NOT BE AGAIN INDICTED FOR MANSLAUGHTER

INSIDE OF THE CASE OF STATE VS. BROWN 602 S.E. 2d 392 THE SUPREME COURT OF APPEALS DECIDED. WHEN A DEFENDANT IS INDICTED, TRIED BEFORE THE JURY AT TRIAL AND ACQUITTED. ON THE GREATER DEGREE CRIME AND OFFENSE ONLY, AND THE TRIAL JUDGE AND TRIAL COURT, DOES NOT SUBMIT THE LESSER INCLUDED DEGREE CRIME AND OFFENSE TO THE JURY AT TRIAL. AS A MATTER OF LAW THE FEDERAL 5th AMENDMENT RIGHT OF DOUBLE JEOPARDY. BARRS THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA FROM RETRIALING THE DEFENDANT IN A RETRIAL ON THE LESSER INCLUDED DEGREE CRIME AND OFFENSE. THAT ARE LESSER INCLUDED DEGREE CRIME AND OFFENSES, OF THE GREATER DEGREE CRIME AND OFFENSE THAT THE DEFENDANT WAS "ACQUITTED" ON - FOR PROOF REVIEW

CASE LAW MERITS

SUPREME COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA CASE LAW OF,

STATE VS. BROWN 602 S.E.2d 392 "QUOTING"

WE AFFIRM THE COURT OF APPEALS REJECTION OF THE STATES REQUEST FOR A SENTENCING REMAND ON THE THREE FIRST DEGREE CSC CONVICTION BECAUSE THE LEVER INCLUDED OFFENSE OF SECOND DEGREE CSC WAS NOT SUBMITTED TO THE JURY. AS RESPONDENTS FIRST DEGREE CONVICTIONS WERE REVERTED DUE TO LACK OF EVIDENCE ON A MATERIAL ELEMENT OF THE OFFENSE, RETRIAL OF RESPONDENT ON THOSE THREE CHARGES IS BARRED BY PRINCIPLES OF DOUBLE JEOPARDY

INSIDE OF THIS CASE OF TYRONE ROBINSON. ON MOTION FOR DIRECTED VERDICT OF ACQUITTAL. THE TRIAL JUDGE STATED THAT HE WAS SUBMITTING THE CASE TO THE JURY ON THE SECOND UNINDICTED NONEXISTENT CRIME OF MURDER "ALLEGING" ON OR ABOUT SEPTEMBER THE 7TH, 2012 TYRONE ROBINSON DID WILLFULLY WITH MALICE CAUSE HIM TO BE SHOT AND KILLED AND HE DIED

THAT IS NOT DEFINED AS A CRIME OF MURDER BY THE STATUTORY LAWS AND COMMON LAWS THAT GOVERN THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA.

THEFORE AS A MATTER OF LAW THE TRIAL JUDGE AND TRIAL COURT **LACKED SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION**

TO DENY MY MOTION FOR DIRECTED VERDICT OF ACQUITTAL, DEPRIVE ME OUT OF MY LIBERTY AND SUBMIT THE CASE TO THE JURY. ON THE SECOND UNINDICTED NONEXISTENT CRIME OF MURDER "ALLEGING"

ON OR ABOUT SEPTEMBER THE 7TH, 2012 TYRONE ROBINSON DID WILLFULLY WITH MALICE CAUSE HIM TO BE SHOT AND KILLED AND HE DIED

THAT IS NOT DEFINED AS A CRIME OF MURDER BY THE STATUTORY LAWS AND COMMON LAWS THAT GOVERN THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA. FOR PROOF REVIEW

CASE LAW MERITS

SUPREME COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA CASE LAW OF, MESS WILLIAMS, JR. VS. STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA 410 S.E.2d 563 "QUOTING"

THIS COURT IS WITHOUT AUTHORITY TO DEPART FROM THE PLAIN MEANING OF THE WORDS OF THE STATUTE. ACCORDINGLY THE TRIAL COURT LACKED

SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION TO ACCEPT WILLIAMS PLEA TO THE OFFENSE. THE PORTION OF THE SENTENCE IMPOSING FIVE YEARS FOR VISIBLY DISPLAYING WHAT APPEARS TO A KNIFE IS VACATED, REVERSED

CASE LAW MERITS

SUPREME COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA CASE LAW OF WHITNER VS. STATE 492 S.E.2d 777 "QUOTEING" UNDER SOUTH CAROLINA LAW, CIRCUIT COURT LACK SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION TO ACCEPT A GUILTY PLEA TO A NON EXISTENT OFFENSE

BECAUSE THE TRIAL JUDGE AND TRIAL COURT "DID" NOT "SUBMIT ANY LESSER INCLUDED DEGREE CRIME AND OFFENSE TO THE JURY, THAT ARE DEFINED AS LESSER INCLUDED DEGREE CRIME AND OFFENSES OF THE CRIME OF FELONY MURDER BY THE FELONY MURDER RULE THEORY, THAT I WAS INDICTED ON TRIED ON BEFORE THE JURY AT TRIAL AND ACQUITTED ON AS EXPLAINED. AS A MATTER OF LAW THE FEDERAL 5TH AMENDMENT RIGHT OF DOUBLE JEOPARDY. BARS THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA FROM RETRIEING ME IN A RETRIAL. ON ANY CRIME THAT IS DEFINED AS A LESSER INCLUDED DEGREE CRIME AND OFFENSE OF THE CRIME OF FELONY MURDER BY THE FELONY MURDER RULE THEORY, THAT I WAS INDICTED ON, TRIED BEFORE THE JURY AT TRIAL AND "ACQUITTED" ON, AS PREVIOUSLY EXPLAINED. FOR PROOF REVIEW

CASE LAW MERITS

SUPREME COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA CASE LAW OF STATE VS. BROWN 602 S.E.2d 392 "QUOTEING"

WE AFFIRM THE COURT OF APPEALS REJECTION OF THE STATES REQUEST FOR A SENTENCING REMAND ON THE THREE FIRST DEGREE CSC CONVICTION, BECAUSE THE LESSER INCLUDED OFFENSE OF SECOND DEGREE CSC WAS NOT SUBMITTED TO THE JURY. AS RESPONDENT'S FIRST DEGREE CONVICTIONS WERE REVERSED DUE TO LACK OF EVIDENCE ON A MATERIAL ELEMENT OF THE OFFENSE, RETRIAL OF RESPONDENT ON THOSE THREE CHARGES IS BARRED BY PRINCIPLES OF DOUBLE JEOPARDY

CASE LAW MERITS

SUPREME COURT OF APPEALS OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA CASE LAW OF, EX PARTE NELSON 95 CT 672 "QUOTEING" IF ONE BE INDICTED FOR MURDER AND ACQUITTED, HE CAN NOT BE AGAIN INDICTED FOR MANSLAUGHTER

Also because as a matter of law I was acquitted on indictment # 2012-85-07-01935 for the death of victim Khalil Singleton. When I was acquitted on the crime of felony murder by the felony murder rule theory "allegedly" that in Beaufort county on or about September 1st, 2012, while engaged in a ongoing gun battle an inherently dangerous felony, Tyrone Robinson did willfully, unlawfully and with malice aforethought cause the victim Khalil Singleton to be shot and killed in the area of Marshland Drive Allen Road, Hilton Head Island, S.C. and that Khalil Singleton did die in Beaufort county as a proximate result there of

That charged and alleged inside of the written write up of indictment # 2012-85-07-01935 that I was tried on before the jury at trial. As a matter of law, the federal 5th Amendment right of double jeopardy bars the state of South Carolina from retrieving me in a retrial for the same offense, or for any lesser included degree crime and offense, that are defined as lesser included degree crime and offenses, of the crime of felony murder by the felony murder rule theory that I was acquitted on. Because any lesser included degree crime and offense, that are considered and or is defined as lesser included degree crime and offenses, of the crime of felony murder by the felony murder rule theory that I was acquitted on, are considered the same offense for purposes of the federal 5th Amendment right of double jeopardy. FOR PROOF REVIEW

Case Law merits

Supreme Court of Georgia case law of Prater vs. The State, Tomilson vs. The State 545 S.E. 2d 864 "quoting" moreover, where a defendant is tried and convicted of a crime, and that conviction is reversed do to insufficient evidence, procedural double jeopardy bars re-prosecution for the same crime and any lesser included crime. A lesser or greater included offense is treated as the same offense for double jeopardy purposes, thus, in this matter, our reversal of appellants conviction for felony murder based on armed robbery due to insufficient evidence, not only raises a procedural double jeopardy bar for that particular crime,

IT ALSO RAISES A PROCEDURAL DOUBLE JEOPARDY BAR FOR THE LATTER INCLUDED OFFENSE OF CRIMINAL ATTEMPT TO COMMIT ARMED ROBBERY

CASE LAW MERITS

SUPREME COURT OF APPEALS OF STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA CASE LAW OF.

STATE VS. BELTON 153 S.E. 2d 269 "QUOTE"

DISCHARGE OF JURY WITHOUT DEFENDANT'S

CONSENT, FOR REASON LEGALLY INSUFFICIENT

AND WITHOUT ABSOLUTE NECESSITY, IS EQUIV-

ALENT TO ACQUITTAL AND BARS SUBSEQUENT INDICTMENT FOR SAME OFFENSE

CASE LAW MERITS

SUPREME COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA CASE LAW OF.

STATE VS. RICHARDSON 25 S.E. 2d 220 "QUOTE"

AND AS WE HAVE SEEN, THE WELL SETTLED CONSTR-

UCTION WAS THAT A PERSON IS SAID TO BE PUT

IN JEOPARDY WHENEVER HE IS PUT UPON HIS

TRIAL, BEFORE A COURT OF COMPETENT JURISDICTION,

UNDER A VALID INDICTMENT, AND A JURY HAS

BEEN CHARGED WITH HIS TRIAL, AND THE JURY IS SAID

TO BE THUS CHARGED WHEN THEY ARE IMPANELED

AND SWORN. IF, AFTER THAT, THE PROSECUTING

OFFICER ENTERS A NOLLE PROSECUTION OR WITHDRAWS

THE CASE FROM THE JURY, WITHOUT THE CONSENT

OF THE PRISONER. IT OPERATES AS AN ACQUITTAL,

AND HE CAN NOT AGAIN BE PUT UPON HIS TRIAL FOR THE SAME OFFENSE

INSIDE OF THE CASE OF SANABRIA VS. U.S. 98 S. CT 2170 THE SUPREME COURT OF APPEALS OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA. DECIDED THAT, BECAUSE THE FEDERAL 5th AMENDMENT RIGHT OF DOUBLE JEOPARDY BARED THE GOVERNMENT FROM RETRIEING THE DEFENDANT IN A RETRIAL. THE COURT OF APPEALS LACKED JURISDICTION TO DECIDE ON THE GOVERNMENT'S APPEAL AGAINST THE ACQUITTAL THAT THE DEFENDANT OBTAINED AGAINST THE GOVERNMENT. FOR PROOF REVIEW

CASE LAW MERITS

SUPREME COURT OF APPEALS OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA CASE LAW OF.

SANABRIA VS. U.S. 98 S. CT 2170 "QUOTE"

THE DOUBLE JEOPARDY CLAUSE ABSOLUTELY BARS A

SECOND TRIAL IN SUCH CIRCUMSTANCES. THE COURT OF APPEALS THUS LACKED JURISDICTION OF THE GOVERNMENT'S ARGUMENT

Therefore because the Federal 5th Amendment Right of Double Jeopardy, bars the State of South Carolina from retracing me in a retrial for the death of victim KHALIL SINGLETON. AS a matter of law this COURT OF APPEALS LACK JURISDICTION to decide on ARGUE-

-ments from the State of South Carolina against the ACQUITTAL that I obtained. ON INDICTMENT# 2012-65-07-01935 FOR THE DEATH OF VICTIM KHALIL SINGLETON. When I obtained a ACQUITTAL ON THE CRIME OF FELONY MURDER BY THE FELONY MURDER RULE THEORY "ALLEGEDLY"

THAT IN BEAUFORT COUNTY ON OR ABOUT SEPTEMBER THE 1ST, 2012, WHILE ENGAGED IN A ONGOING GUN BATTLE AN INHERENTLY DANGEROUS FELONY, TYRONE ROBINSON DID WILLFULLY, UNLAWFULLY AND WITH MALICE AFORETHOUGHT CAUSE THE VICTIM KHALIL SINGLETON TO BE SHOT AND KILLED IN THE AREA OF MARSHLAND DRIVE ALLEN ROAD, HILTON HEAD ISLAND, S.C. AND THAT KHALIL SINGLETON DID DIE IN BEAUFORT COUNTY AS A PROXIMATE RESULT THERE OF.

INSIDE OF THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA. IT IS THE WRITTEN WRITE UP OF THE BODY OF THE INDICTMENT, THAT PUTS THE DEFENDANT ON NOTICE, OF WHAT THE ELEMENTS ARE TO THE CRIME HE MUST DEFEND AGAINST AND WHAT IS THE CRIME THAT HE IS BEING TRIED ON BEFORE THE JURY AT TRIAL, AND PUT IN JEOPARDY OF BEING CONVICTED ON. THE CAPTION OF THE INDICTMENT IS NOT PART OF THE CRIME THATS CHARGED AND ALLEGED INSIDE OF THE WRITTEN WRITE UP OF INDICTMENT# 2012-65-07-01935. AS A RESULT THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA CAN NOT SUPPORT A CONVICTION FOR AN OFFENSE THATS NOT CHARGED AND ALLEGED INSIDE OF THE WRITTEN WRITE UP OF THE BODY OF THE INDICTMENT. BY RELYING ON THE CAPTION OF THE INDICTMENT WHOSE ELEMENTS ARE NOT CHARGED AND ALLEGED INSIDE OF THE WRITTEN WRITE UP OF THE LANGUAGE THATS WRITTEN INSIDE OF THE BODY OF THE INDICTMENT. FOR PROOF REVIEW CASE LAW MERITS

SUPREME COURT OF APPEALS OF STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
CASE LAW OF,

STATE V. MEANS 626 S.E.2d 348 "QUOTE" IN "IT IS THE BODY OF THE INDICTMENT RATHER THAN THE CAPTION THAT IS IMPORTANT. IF THE BODY

SPECIFICALLY STATES THE ESSENTIAL ELEMENTS OF THE CRIME AND IS OTHERWISE FREE FROM DEFECT, DEFECT IN THE CAPTION WILL NOT CAUSE IT TO BE INVALID. THE STATE MAY NOT SUPPORT A CONVICTION FOR AN OFFENSE INTENDED TO BE CHARGED BY RELYING UPON A CAPTION TO THE EXCLUSION OF THE LANGUAGE CONTAINED IN THE BODY OF THE INDICTMENT

AS EXPLAINED IN THIS CASE THE WRITTEN WRITE UP OF THE BODY OF INDICTMENT # 2012-GJ-07-01935. CHARGE # TYRONE ROBINSON WITH THE ELEMENTS OF THE CRIME OF FELONY MURDER BY THE FELONY MURDER RULE THEORY "ONLY". AS A RESULT AS EXPLAINED THE WRITTEN WRITE UP OF THE BODY OF INDICTMENT # 2012-GJ-07-01935. CHARGES # TYRONE ROBINSON WITH THE CRIME OF FELONY MURDER BY THE FELONY MURDER RULE THEORY "ONLY".

AS A MATTER OF LAW THE SENTENCING SHEET THAT I WAS SENTENCED TO PRISON TIME OF LIFE IMPRISONMENT ON. REVEALS THAT I WAS SENTENCED TO PRISON TIME OF LIFE IMPRISONMENT ON INDICTMENT # 2012-GJ-07-01935 THAT I WAS "ACQUITTED"

ON. ALSO AS A MATTER OF LAW THE SENTENCING SHEET THAT I WAS SENTENCED TO PRISON TIME OF LIFE IMPRISONMENT ON. REVEALS THAT INDICTMENT # 2012-GJ-07-01935 THAT I WAS "ACQUITTED" ON.

IS THE ONE AND ONLY INDICTMENT THAT I AM BEING HELD RESTRAINED ON, INCARCERATED ON AND DEPRIVED OUT OF MY LIBERTY ON. THEREFORE BECAUSE AS A MATTER OF LAW I WAS LEGALLY "ACQUITTED" ON INDICTMENT #

2012-GJ-07-01935 WHEN I WAS "ACQUITTED"

ON THE CRIME OF FELONY MURDER BY THE FELONY MURDER RULE THEORY "ALLEGED"

THAT IN BEAUFORT COUNTY ON OR ABOUT SEPTEMBER 25TH, 2,012, WHILE ENGAGED IN A ONGOING GUN BATTLE AN INHERENTLY DANGEROUS FELONY, TYRONE ROBINSON DIED WILLFULLY, UNLAWFULLY AND WITH MALICE AFORETHOUGHT CAUSE THE VICTIM KHALIL SINGLETON TO BE SHOT AND KILLED IN THE AREA OF MARSHLAND DRIVE ALLEN ROAD, HILTON HEAD ISLAND, S.C. AND THAT KHALIL SINGLETON DIED IN BEAUFORT COUNTY AS A PROXIMATE RESULT THERE OF

THAT I CHARGED AND ALLEGED INSIDE OF THE WRITTEN WRITE UP OF INDICTMENT # 2012-GJ-07-01935. THAT I WAS TRIED ON BEFORE THE JURY AT TRIAL. AS A MATTER OF LAW THIS COURT OF APPEALS MUST

address these issues, to prevent me from having to endure continued unlawful restraint and wrongful incarceration on indictment # 2012-65-07-01935 that I was "ACQUITTED" on.

ALSO to prevent me from having to endure continued unlawful restraint and wrongful incarceration on indictment # 2012-65-07-01935 beyond the ACQUITTAL that I obtained on indictment # 2012-65-07-01935. FOR PROOF REVIEW Case Law merits

SUPREME COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA CASE LAW OF.

State vs. Bennett 650 S.E. 2d 490 "QUOTEING"

ALTHOUGH WE BELIEVE BENNETT IS ENTITLED TO A REVIEW OF HIS CLAIM PURSUANT TO ALSHA BAZZ.

WE ADDRESS IT NOW BECAUSE WE FIND BENNETT WAS SENTENCED AS A FIRST OFFENDER AND HAS EXCEEDED HIS ORIGINAL SENTENCE, AS FURTHER

DISCUSSED, AND THUS WE SHOULD NOT BE FORCED TO PERSUE AND AWAIT THE OUTCOME OF SUCH A CLAIM,

STATE VS. JOHNSON 510 S.E. 2d 423 (1999) ADDRESSING DEFENDANT'S CLAIM RATHER THAN REQUIRING HER TO PERSUE A REMEDY THROUGH P.C.R. FINDING SHE

ALREADY SERVED THE DURATION OF HER SENTENCE AND FACED THE THREAT OF CONTINUED INCARCERATION

BEYOND THE LEGAL SENTENCE DUE TO THE ADDITIONAL TIME IT WOULD TAKE TO PERSUE SUCH A REMEDY

ALSO BECAUSE AS A MATTER OF LAW I WAS LEGALLY "ACQUITTED" ON INDICTMENT # 2012-

- 65-07-01935. WHEN I WAS "ACQUITTED" ON

THE CRIME OF FELONY MURDER BY THE FELONY MURDER RULE THEORY "QUOTEING"

THAT IN BEAUFORT COUNTY OR ABOUT SEPTEMBER 21ST, 2012, WHILE ENGAGED IN AN ONGOING GUN

BATTLE AN INHERENTLY DANGEROUS FELONY, TYRONE ROBINSON DID WILLFULLY, UNLAWFULLY AND WITH MALICE AFORETHOUGHT CAUSE THE DEATH OF KHALIL SINGLETON TO BE SHOT AND KILLED IN THE AREA

OF MARSHLAND DRIVE ALLEN ROAD, HILTON HEAD ISLAND, S.C. AND THAT KHALIL SINGLETON DID DIE IN BEAUFORT COUNTY AS A PROXIMATE RESULT THERE OF.

THAT I CHARGED AND ALLEGED INSIDE OF THE WRITTEN WRITE UP OF INDICTMENT # 2012-65-07-01935. THAT I WAS TRIED ON BEFORE THE JURY AT TRIAL. PROVES THAT AS A MATTER OF LAW I AM

being held UNLAWFULLY RESTRAINED
AND WRONGFULLY INCARCERATED

IN VIOLATION OF SOUTH CAROLINA CODE OF LAWS 17-23-90 WHICH STATES "QUOTEING"
AND IF ANY PERSON COMMITTED AS AFORESAID
UPON HIS TRIAL SHALL BE ACQUITTED HE SHALL
BE DISCHARGED FROM HIS IMPRISONMENT

THEREFORE BASED ON THESE FACTS THIS COURT OF APPEALS MUST DISCHARGE ME FROM IMPRISONMENT ON INDICTMENT # 2012-65-07-01935 THAT I WAS "ACQUITTED" ON WHEN I WAS

"ACQUITTED" ON THE CRIME OF FELONY MURDER BY THE FELONY MURDER RULE THEORY "ALLEGING" THAT IN BEAUFORT COUNTY ON OR ABOUT SEPTEMBER 4TH, 2012 WHILE ENGAGED IN A ONGOING GUN BATTLE AN INHERENTLY DANGEROUS FELONY, TYRONE ROBINSON DID WILLFULLY, UNLAWFULLY AND WITH MALICE AFORETHOUGHT CAUSE THE VICTIM KHALIL SINGLETON TO BE SHOT AND KILLED IN THE AREA OF MARSHALL DRIVE ALLEN ROAD, HILTON HEAD ISLAND, S.C. AND THAT KHALIL SINGLETON DID DIE IN BEAUFORT COUNTY AS A PROXIMATE RESULT THERE OF

THAT I CHARGED AND ALLEGED INSIDE OF THE WRITTEN WRITE UP OF INDICTMENT # 2012-65-07-01935, THAT I WAS TRIED ON BEFORE THE JURY AT TRIAL. FOR PROOF REVIEW.

STATUTORY MERITS
SOUTH CAROLINA CODE OF LAWS 17-23-90 WHICH STATES "QUOTEING"
AND IF ANY PERSON COMMITTED AS AFORESAID
UPON HIS TRIAL SHALL BE ACQUITTED HE SHALL
BE DISCHARGED FROM HIS IMPRISONMENT

CASE LAW MERITS
SUPREME COURT OF APPEALS OF UNITED STATES OF AMERICA CASE LAW OF.
KEEPNER VS. U.S. 245 CT. 797 "QUOTEING"
AS TO THE DEFENDANT WHO HAD BEEN ACQUITTED
BY THE VERDICT DULY SWORN AND RECEIVED, THE COURT COULD TAKE NO OTHER ACTION THAN TO ORDER HIS DISCHARGE.
JUDGEMENT REVERSED AND PRISONER DISCHARGED

CONCLUSION

Based on the facts explained, this court must discharge me from imprisonment on indictment # 2012-65-07-01935 that I was "ACQUITTED" ON. PURSUANT

TO SOUTH CAROLINA CODE OF LAW 17-23-90 WHICH STATES "QUOTEING"

AND IF ANY PERSON COMMITTED AS

AFORESAID UPON HIS TRIAL SHALL BE

ACQUITTED HE SHALL BE DISCHARGED FROM HIS IMPRISONMENT

CASE LAW MERITS

SUPREME COURT OF APPEALS OF UNITED STATES OF AMERICA CASE LAW OF

KEEPNER VS. U.S. 245. CT 797 "QUOTEING"

AS TO THE DEFENDANT WHO HAD BEEN ACQUITTED

BY THE VERDICT DULY SWORN AND RECEIVED,

THE COURT COULD TAKE NO OTHER ACTION THAN TO ORDER HIS DISCHARGE

JUDGEMENT REVERSED AND PRISONER DISCHARGED

SIGNATURE: *Tyrone L. Robinson*

PRINT: TYRONE L. ROBINSON

DATE: NOVEMBER, 27th, 2019

42.

EXHIBIT A

COPY OF TRIAL TRANSCRIPT
FROM HEARING ON MOTION FOR
DIRECTED VERDICT OF ACQUITTAL
ON SEPTEMBER, 17th, 2014

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA) COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS
 COUNTY OF BEAUFORT) DOCKET NO. 2012-GS-07-1935

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA)
 vs.)
 TYRONE ROBINSON)
 Defendant)

INDEX TO PROCEEDINGS	PAGE
PROCEEDINGS	3
VOIR DIRE	5
STRIKING OF THE JURY	117
CERTIFICATE OF THE COURT REPORTER	134

TRANSCRIPT OF RECORD
 September 15, 2014
 Beaufort, South Carolina

VOLUME 1 (OF 5)

B E F O R E:

THE HONORABLE THOMAS G. COOPER, JR., JUDGE

A P P E A R A N C E S:

DOFFIE STONE, ESQ.
 SEAN P. THORNTON, ESQ.
 Attorneys for the State

ARIE BAX, ESQ.
 JESSICA SAXON, ESQ.
 Attorneys for the Defendant

JOYCE C. RUEGER, CVR-M
 Circuit Court Reporter

INDEX TO EXHIBITS

COURT

No.	Description	Marked / Admitted
1	Voir Dire	116

State v Tyrone Robinson
 Proceedings
 September 15, 2014

State v Tyrone Robinson
 Proceedings
 September 15, 2014

1 PROCEEDINGS
 2 THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen thank you for your
 3 patience throughout the morning and we're now ready to
 4 get to the issue of the jury qualification for the first
 5 case to be tried. Mr. Solicitor, is the State ready to
 6 proceed?
 7 MR. STONE: The State is ready, Your Honor.
 8 THE COURT: Mr. Bax, is the defense ready to
 9 proceed?
 10 MR. BAX: Yes, Your Honor.
 11 THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Solicitor, you can call
 12 your case.
 13 MR. STONE: Your Honor, indictment 2012-GS-07-1935
 14 charging the defendant Tyrone Robinson with the offense
 15 of Murder. I'll hand that indictment up to you.
 16 [Whereupon, Mr. Stone provides documents to the
 17 court]
 18 THE COURT: Thank you. Ladies and gentlemen as you
 19 have heard the Solicitor has called the case of the State
 20 versus Tyrone Robinson. Mr. Robinson has been indicted
 21 by the grand jury of Beaufort County and charged with the
 22 crime of Murder. The indictment alleges that here in
 23 Beaufort County on or about September the 1st of 2012
 24 while engaged in an ongoing gun battle, an inherently
 25 dangerous felony, Tyrone Robinson did willfully,

1 unlawfully, and with malice aforethought cause the victim
 2 Khalil Singleton to be shot and killed in the area of
 3 Marshland Drive and Allen Road on Hilton Head Island and
 4 that Khalil Singleton did die in Beaufort County as a
 5 proximate result thereof on September the 1st 2012 in
 6 violation of the law.
 7 Now ladies and gentlemen the indictment which I have
 8 just read to you is not evidence nor is it proof of the
 9 charges that it contains. It is a charging document; a
 10 legal document that tells you or me or anybody else who
 11 reads it what this case is all about. So to the charges
 12 contained in this indictment Mr. Robinson has pled not
 13 guilty and has asked for a jury trial at your hands. Mr.
 14 Robinson, will you please stand and face the jury?
 15 [Whereupon, the defendant stands]
 16 THE COURT: Thank you. You can be seated.
 17
 18
 19
 20
 21
 22
 23
 24
 25

1 [Whereupon, the witness is excused and exits the
2 witness stand]
3 MR. BAX: Your Honor, if we could sidebar for a
4 second?
5 THE COURT: Yes, sir.
6 [Whereupon, an off the record bench conference is
7 held]
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

661.

1 MR. STONE: Thank you, Your Honor. The State
2 rests.
3 THE COURT: Thank you. Ladies and gentlemen, the
4 State has now rested its case in chief. That means that
5 you have now heard all of the evidence and testimony that
6 you will hear from the State in the presentation of this
7 case.
8 Necessarily at this juncture of every trial of this
9 nature we have to deal with some matters of law outside
10 of your presence. So I'm going to have send you back
11 into the jury room and we will just let this be the
12 afternoon recess at the same time even though you've been
13 back briefly before.
14 We'll get you out after the afternoon recess and let
15 you know what the balance of the work will be for the
16 afternoon. Please do not discuss this case among
17 yourselves while you are in the jury room. We'll get you
18 out, it will probably take a little longer than a normal
19 recess because we've got some things to do but it won't
20 be forever and we'll get you back out here before too
21 much longer. Thank you.
22 [Whereupon, the jury exits at 2:36 p.m.]
23 THE COURT: All right, Mr. Bax I'll be glad now to
24 hear motions.
25 MR. BAX: Thank you very much. Obviously I just

662.

1 want to make sure we put on the record what we discussed
2 earlier. We discussed the recall of Ms. Ebony Campbell
3 and at the conclusion of that I believe Your Honor's
4 ruling was that I can call her during the defense is that
5 correct, sir?
6 THE COURT: That's right. I had told you when the
7 issue came up about the second gun during her testimony
8 and during the State's case of course I told you that if
9 there was not some other evidence of a second gun present
10 in the State's file somewhere I would allow you to call
11 her back during the State's case for the obvious purpose
12 of not requiring you to lose your right to close and the
13 rights of you not putting up any evidence to deal with
14 that issue.
15 Since you've introduced evidence the prejudice of
16 course in that regard is now no longer a factor so I'll
17 be glad to let you call her during your case for the
18 purpose of cross-examining on that issue.
19 MR. BAX: Thank you, Your Honor.
20
21
22
23
24
25

663.

1 MR. BAX: Now that the State has rested, Your
2 Honor, obviously we would first and foremost make a
3 motion for a directed verdict in favor of Mr. Robinson.
4 As Your Honor has seen the evidence produced in this case
5 I think it is undisputed that while there has been
6 certainly plenty of evidence that there was some kind of
7 altercation at 17 Old Wild Horse Road, Your Honor, there
8 is also unrefuted evidence that Mr. Robinson withdrew
9 from that.
10 The law does not require that he communicate that
11 withdrawal through words as long as his communication of
12 that withdrawal either through words or actions is clear.
13 And I don't think you can get any clearer than peeling
14 off and leaving the scene as Your Honor is well aware.
15 As a result of the fact that he left the scene he was
16 fleeing.
17 And Your Honor I would argue that it is uncontested
18 that he then -- any felony that he could have been seen
19 as committing at that time whether it was a belief that
20 there was some kind of dispute over some kind of
21 narcotics deal I mean my understanding from the evidence
22 is that that happened some many months before this and
23 that the two individuals were still upset at each other
24 over it. That's what we've had entered into evidence.
25 But regardless even if you were to see it as some kind of

664.

1 either pointing or presenting or assault or even
 2 attempted murder there at Wild Horse Road from a factual
 3 standpoint we have to isolate when a felony occurs and
 4 when it stops. Him fleeing even if you could say that
 5 that at that time was some kind of felony, which it may
 6 be his fleeing broke and stopped that action.
 7 His intent to leave the scene, his intent to
 8 disengage from combat, his intent not to further have any
 9 combat at that time was clearly communicated to the
 10 Youngs in this case. I would argue that as a result of
 11 that Your Honor when, and this is also evidence that has
 12 been introduced during the State's case in chief that is
 13 undisputed the Youngs decided in agreement with each
 14 other and brought Mr. Singleton, Sr. with him they were
 15 of the intent to hunt him down and finish this whole
 16 thing.
 17 Obviously there has been testimony that that
 18 occurred throughout that area and then culminated in this
 19 situation that we've heard a lot of testimony about on
 20 Allen Road and Marshland Road on Hilton Head Island.
 21 There has been testimony obviously that has been
 22 presented that Mr. Robinson was in possession of a weapon
 23 at that time. [REDACTED]
 24 [REDACTED]
 25 [REDACTED]

665.

1 [REDACTED]
 2 [REDACTED]
 3 [REDACTED]
 4 [REDACTED] But even if Your Honor you took
 5 his -- the State's evidence in a light most favorable to
 6 the State he had broken off from the felony. He was
 7 clearly being shot at. He would be entitled to self-
 8 defense. Those elements have been shown through the
 9 State's case.
 10 As Your Honor is well aware the defense of self-
 11 defense must be disproven by the State beyond a
 12 reasonable doubt. It's an affirmative defense to that
 13 effect. We are here in front of the jury, we presented
 14 that evidence. There has been not only no disproven
 15 beyond a reasonable doubt there has been no disproving at
 16 all. There has been no clearly defined felony that began
 17 and occurred that Mr. Robinson was involved in after he
 18 left 17 Old Wild Horse Road.
 19 The only clearly definable felony that occurred
 20 after Wild Horse Road was the conspiracy to commit murder
 21 by Aaron Young, Jr. and Aaron Young, Sr. and possible
 22 complicity by Jontu Singleton, Sr., possibly accomplish
 23 liability on the part of Ms. Campbell. Other than that
 24 there is no felony that has been shown proven or any
 25 evidence whatsoever of a felony that he was a part of.

666.

1 And the indictment as we argued at the beginning of this
 2 case alleges felony murder; alleges that he was in the
 3 commission of an inherently dangerous felony that they
 4 labeled an ongoing gun battle.
 5 As I argued to the jury and I argue to you now there
 6 is no ongoing gun battle. Additionally, Your Honor, gun
 7 battle I don't think, no one has ever intended for a gun
 8 battle to be applied to someone who is legally asserting
 9 self-defense. He's fleeing; he's continuing to flee.
 10 He's trying to get away. The evidence that has been
 11 presented by the State was that he was trying to get away
 12 not only by getting in his car and driving away but by
 13 going and trying to find people with Charlese -- she was
 14 Jackson when I did all the discovery reading, she's
 15 Mitchell now -- borrowing that phone trying to find
 16 anybody that can help him get out of town.
 17 He was fleeing and he did not, and I would cite this
 18 Your Honor, there is absolutely no evidence that has been
 19 introduced that he expressed at that time an intent to go
 20 find the Youngs; that he was going to get in his car and
 21 go back to Old Wild Horse Road. None of that has ever
 22 been presented in this case. And I think without that he
 23 is entitled to a directed verdict. Not only -- basically
 24 on two theories of law, one that they have failed to at
 25 all disprove or offer any contradictory evidence to the

667.

1 idea that he was if he fired a weapon it was in self-
 2 defense and he was entitled to self-defense and they have
 3 not disproven it and b) because under their indictment
 4 they have not met their burden to meet the language of
 5 the indictment that they have gone forward on. They have
 6 not been able to prove an inherently dangerous felony of
 7 any kind that was occurring at the time the [REDACTED] died
 8 that Mr. Robinson was involved in; excuse me.
 9 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Give me just a
 10 few moments.
 11 [Whereupon, the court reviews documents]
 12 THE COURT: All right, thank you. The insertion of
 13 the words in the indictment an inherently dangerous
 14 felony perhaps were put there initially when all of these
 15 folks were indicted to deal with the fact that if there
 16 was any question as to who actually fired the fatal shot
 17 the Solicitor wanted to have the right to claim that this
 18 was a dangerous activity that all of them were being
 19 involved in.
 20 An inherently dangerous felony whatever that
 21 happened to have been that all of them were involved it
 22 so that in the course of that particular trial had it
 23 occurred that way that the jury could have found any or
 24 all of them guilty of the crime. Those words are not so
 25 relevant in a trial of a case where the evidence that has

668.

46.

1 been introduced thus far is that Mr. Robinson himself
 2 committed the crime. Now the inherently dangerous felony
 3 may have been there to try to show evidence of malice, it
 4 may have been there for some other purpose; I really
 5 can't speak to that.
 6 But if those words were not there, if those words
 7 were not there and the gun battle stuff and all that was
 8 not there and if it just said that on or about September
 9 the 1st Tyrone Robinson did willfully and with malice
 10 cause him to be shot and killed and he died then those
 11 issues of course would be plain and appropriate and the
 12 charge would be at least simply made under those
 13 circumstances. And if there was evidence to support
 14 those charges then it would go forward.
 15 The issues that you have raised about the ongoing
 16 gun battle may very well give rise to a self-defense
 17 charge. I will deal with that of course at the
 18 appropriate time. I don't have to deal with it right at
 19 this minute. But the validity or the invalidity of a
 20 self-defense charge in and of itself of course does not
 21 give rise to a directed verdict on a murder case in
 22 chief. The testimony in this case in the most favorable
 23 light to the State of course if we take nothing other
 24 than Jontu Singleton, Jr. saying he shot my friend; alone
 25 that could send a case to the jury. We have Ms. Brinson

669

1 argument concerning the felony murder inference or felony
 2 murder rule language that is in the indictment Your Honor
 3 basically ruling that without that language you have a
 4 straight murder indictment and they could go forward on a
 5 straight murder indictment.
 6 THE COURT: What I am saying is that an ongoing gun
 7 battle and an inherently dangerous felony are not
 8 elements of the crime of murder and are necessary to
 9 support the crime of murder.
 10 MR. BAX: And as a result Your Honor I understand
 11 your ruling but I would argue that in light of Your
 12 Honor's ruling I would move that the State not be allowed
 13 to argue that -- in light of Your Honor's ruling I would
 14 request and argue that would limit the Solicitor both in
 15 the charge that goes to the jury as well as his argument
 16 that he cannot argue felony murder.
 17 The problem I have is that I believe that what I'm
 18 dealing with is there is some argument to be made by the
 19 prosecution or some intent to make the argument that they
 20 don't necessarily have to prove beyond a reasonable doubt
 21 exactly how the gun was fired and by who but he was
 22 killed because of the actions of all these individuals
 23 involved. I think what we've seen here and what Your
 24 Honor has ruled on is that they have produced enough
 25 evidence to argue that the shot was actually fired by Mr.

671

1 screaming at the scene. I'm not exactly sure where she
 2 got her information that he is the one who did it we have
 3 the circumstantial evidence of the three sets of shots
 4 being fired, two in rapid succession separated by some
 5 minutes, which according to the evidence before this jury
 6 would most certainly have to have fired by the weapon
 7 that is over here on the rail; I think it's State's
 8 exhibit 27.
 9 There was a third set by all the testimony fired by
 10 a separate weapon at a separate rate and from perhaps a
 11 slightly different direction. In any event
 12 circumstantially of course the State intends to try to
 13 tie those three shots to the defendant in this case. And
 14 likewise the evidence is that the weapon that killed Mr.
 15 Singleton could not have been -- Khalil, he's too young
 16 to be called Mr.; he never lived long enough to be called
 17 Mr., could not have been fired from that gun right there.
 18 And so for that reason I find that there is
 19 sufficient evidence that if this jury chose to believe it
 20 they could convict Mr. Robinson for the crime of murder.
 21 So the motion for directed verdict under Rule 19 will
 22 respectfully be denied.
 23 MR. BAX: If I may be heard briefly Your Honor? In
 24 light of the court's ruling the way I understood it, and
 25 if I misunderstood it I apologize Your Honor, on the

670

4.

1 Robinson and that that's what they would have to prove.
 2 And I feel like in light of Your Honor's ruling that is
 3 what we are standing here dealing with; that now I am
 4 dealing with a murder indictment and that what they must
 5 argue to the jury is that they must prove and have
 6 proven, that's what I'm sure they will argue, that Tyrone
 7 is the one who fired the bullet that killed Khalil.
 8 THE COURT: Right. And I really don't know what
 9 they intend to argue or what the strategy will be from
 10 this point on. My point was that from the standpoint of
 11 a motion for directed verdict that the inclusion of the
 12 words while engaged in an ongoing gun battle, an
 13 inherently dangerous felony, which I thought were put
 14 there more appropriately when they were dealing with
 15 three defendants in this particular case that those words
 16 could be taken out of this could be taken out of this
 17 particular charge as I indicated and there would be no
 18 question about whether or not they would have a right to
 19 go to a jury on the charge of murder against Mr.
 20 Robinson.
 21 Now, I also indicated that they have included those
 22 words for reason of implication of malice or evidence of
 23 malice I should say, which quite frankly in my view and
 24 I've always held that view that the felony murder rule
 25 goes more to malice than it does to a separate type of

672

1 crime. I realize and I think McInish says that in
 2 several parts of his analysis. I haven't read it
 3 recently but I seem to recall that. And I do know that
 4 South Carolina has never been famous for a separate
 5 felony murder rule but it is treated more as I've said as
 6 evidence of malice if you commit the crime of murder
 7 while in the course of another event, the commission of a
 8 felony.
 9 Even though you didn't intend to murder in the one
 10 instance the fact that you committed that crime would be
 11 evidence of malice sufficient to rise to the level
 12 necessary to prove murder on the underlying killing.
 13 I've always thought that was a basic premise upon which
 14 our courts treated the felony murder rule. And I think
 15 frankly that, unless I'm shown otherwise, is going to be
 16 the way I'm going to approach this charge to the jury in
 17 this case as well.
 18 So I'm not granting a directed verdict that the
 19 State can't argue one thing or another. I'm simply
 20 trying to show and I hope I have showed sufficiently that
 21 in this particular case it is not necessary for the
 22 indictment to have contained those words. They are not
 23 elements of the offense. If they have some probative
 24 value on some other issue which might be relevant to the
 25 charge that's fine. But they are not necessarily

673

1 elements -- they are not at all elements of the offense.
 2 If they are evidentiary that's another matter but
 3 certainly they are not required in order to prove Mr.
 4 Singleton [sic] guilty. And if the evidence is before
 5 the court that he shot and killed him and if there is no
 6 self-defense issue in this then it is a pretty clean cut
 7 issue. Without mudding it up I think trying to equate or
 8 educate this jury as to what felony murder really means
 9 in this particular case I'm really not that sure in my
 10 own mind that it is a necessary part of the elements of
 11 this charge that we need to tell this jury about.
 12 In any event I think I've indicated I'm not going to
 13 hamstring the State in that regard. I don't know what
 14 they plan to do. Obviously I will deal with that at the
 15 appropriate time at the conclusion of the case when we
 16 talk about requested charge and things of that nature.
 17 MR. BAX: Thank you, Your Honor. And as always I
 18 appreciate you allowing me to note my objection for the
 19 record.
 20 THE COURT: Certainly.
 21 MR. BAX: And I just note that just for a matter of
 22 course for future review I just feel that as a defense
 23 attorney it is not a proper situation where the defense
 24 feels like it has to juggle between alternative theories
 25 of prosecution. And I feel strongly that's that what

674.

1 that puts me in. I certainly understand the ruling and
 2 respect that, Your Honor. Thank you, sir.
 3 THE COURT: All right.
 4 MR. BAX: And I'm sorry my co-counsel reminded me
 5 just out of an abundance of caution I renew all previous
 6 objections in this case.
 7 THE COURT: Okay. And I'll review earlier rulings
 8 in that regard without requiring you to restate your
 9 motions on the previous rulings unless they are different
 10 grounds or additional motions of course we'll simply
 11 renew all those other rulings.
 12 MR. BAX: I'll think real hard and see if I can
 13 come up with some.
 14 THE COURT: All right. I know that there is a
 15 motion to quash your subpoenas to at least one of the
 16 Youngs, which I'll be glad to hear momentarily if
 17 everybody is ready to be heard on that. At some point in
 18 time Mr. Bax I take it you want me to discuss with Mr.
 19 Robinson his right to testify or not to testify is that
 20 fair to say?
 21 MR. BAX: That is correct, Your Honor. I would
 22 submit to the court that I don't feel we're arrived to
 23 that point yet.
 24 THE COURT: Okay.
 25 MR. BAX: I do know that we are going to be calling

675

1 some additional witnesses and then if Mr. Robinson
 2 chooses to testify it would most likely be the last
 3 evidence that I would call barring any other decisions to
 4 the contrary. But at the appropriate time I certainly
 5 would ask that.
 6 THE COURT: You just let me know when.
 7 MR. BAX: Thank you, sir.
 8 THE COURT: All right. Any further motions then
 9 from the defense, Mr. Bax?
 10 MR. BAX: Not at this time, Your Honor.
 11 THE COURT: Is there anything from the State?
 12 MR. STONE: No, sir.
 13
 14
 15
 16
 17
 18
 19
 20
 21
 22
 23
 24
 25


676.

C E R T I F I C A T E

I, the undersigned, Floyd D. Rieger, Official
District Court Reporter for the Ninth Judicial District of
the State of South Carolina, do hereby certify that the
foregoing is a true, accurate, and complete transcript of
the proceedings had and evidence introduced in
the trial of the defendant here, relative to appeal,
the Court of General Sessions for Beaufort County, South
Carolina on the 18th day of September, 2014.

I do further certify that I am neither of the
parties, nor interested in any party herein.

January 18, 2015


Floyd D. Rieger, Official
Court Reporter

COPY

920

EXHIBIT B

COPY OF TRIAL TRANSCRIPT
FROM PRE TRIAL HEARING
ON FEBRUARY 27th, 2014
WERE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
CONFESSED THAT I WAS CHARGED WITH
AND BEING TRIED ON CRIME OF
FELONY MURDER BY THE FELONY MURDER
RULE THEORY ONLY.

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
14TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
COUNTY OF BEAUFORT
COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS
CASE NO'S. 2012-GS-07-01935,
2012-GS-07-01932 & 2012-GS-07-02173

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

PLAINTIFF

VERSUS

FEBRUARY 27, 2014

TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING

BEAUFORT, SOUTH CAROLINA

TYRONE ROBINSON
AARON YOUNG, JR.
AARON YOUNG, SR.

DEFENDANTS

B E F O R E :

HON. THOMAS W. COOPER, JR, JUDGE

WANDA H. ROWE, CVR-CM
OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

1 basically, the situation -- this is the situation the
2 State's in. Our theory is the felony murder rule, that
3 you have three people committing inherently dangerous
4 felonies, and as a result of those inherently dangerous
5 felonies, a child dies. The actual shooter, so to
6 speak, the one that discharged the fatal bullet, under
7 that scenario, is, while relevant, not controlling. So,
8 that is the position that the State's going under.
9 That's the theory that the State goes under, and that is
10 a consistent theory among all the defendants. That's
11 the -- that is the theory.

12 We're not switching up our theories, depending on
13 which defendant we're talking about. We feel like all
14 three of these people were involved in an inherently
15 dangerous felony, which is a shootout that basically
16 went from the right as you get to Hilton Head, all the
17 way down into Marshland Road, along the Cross Island
18 Expressway. And I don't know if you're familiar with
19 this area, but it involves three neighborhoods in Hilton
20 Head. And this was a shootout that took place first at
21 White Horse Road; and then, at another neighborhood in
22 between; and then, a third at Allen Road area, which is
23 where the child was playing on the trampoline and
24 killed. Our theory is all three of them are culpable

1 under that scenario, and that's the theory we're
2 proceeding for trial. So, I wanted to clarify that as
3 far as who the gunman was and things like that.

4 THE COURT: All right.

5 MR. STONE: The issues as far as whether or not
6 there's some problems with jury selection and things
7 like that, that's inherent in every joint trial. There
8 was nothing that was really offered that is different
9 from any joint trial. The issue before your Honor is
10 whether or not the State can adequately redact those
11 statements --

12 THE COURT: Right.

13 MR. STONE: -- so that you do not get into the
14 problem that they got into in the *Henson* case. I will
15 tell you that I was -- I really wasn't surprised at the
16 outcome of the *Henson* case, because they do two things I
17 think that are wrong. One is, you've got a -- the use
18 of the words guy, him, his is very specific. The other
19 thing is, they tried -- they actually referred to four
20 people involved in the case; three were named; and the
21 other one wasn't. So, it was a little -- that's
22 obvious.

23 I think what is more in line with what I'm talking
24 about, your Honor, is a Court of Appeals case for 2012,

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

FEBRUARY 27, 2014 TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

COUNTY OF BEAUFORT

I, Wanda H. Rowe, CVR-CM, Official Court Reporter for the State of South Carolina, do hereby certify that the foregoing February 27, 2014 Transcript of Hearing is a true, accurate, and complete record of the proceedings had on said date in the case of State of South Carolina versus Tyrone Robinson, et al, Beaufort County, South Carolina, Court of General Sessions, Case Numbers 2012-GS-07-01935, 2012-GS-07-01932, and 2012-GS-07-02173; that no exhibits were admitted.

I further certify that I am of neither kin, counsel, or interest to any party hereto.

THIS CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER CONTAINS MY ORIGINAL SIGNATURE AND IS ATTACHED TO THE ORIGINAL TRANSCRIPT REQUESTED BY SCCID. PURSUANT TO SCACR 607, REQUESTS FOR COPIES OF THIS TRANSCRIPT MUST BE MADE TO THE COURT REPORTER. UNAUTHORIZED COPYING/EMAILING IS PROHIBITED.

Witness my signature July 9, 2016.



Wanda H. Rowe, CVR-CM
Official Court Reporter

EXHIBIT
C

COPY OF INDICTMENT #2012-
-GS-07-01935.

That I was indicted on by the
GRAND JURY and TRIED on before
the JURY at TRIAL

55.

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA)
)
COUNTY OF BEAUFORT)

INDICTMENT
2012GS0701935

At a Court of General Sessions, convened on October 18, 2012, the Grand Jurors of Beaufort County present upon their oath:

Murder / Murder

That in Beaufort County on or about September 1, 2012, while engaged in an ongoing gun battle, an inherently dangerous felony, Tyrone Robinson did willfully, unlawfully and with malice aforethought cause the victim Khalil Singleton to be shot and killed in the area of Marshland Drive and Allen Road, Hilton Head Island, SC, and that Khalil Singleton did die in Beaufort County as a proximate result thereof on September 1, 2012; in violation of Section 16-3-10 of the South Carolina Code of Laws (1976), as amended.

6.

Against the peace and dignity of the State, and contrary to the statute in such case made and provided.

Gene M. Sh...

EXHIBIT

D

COPY OF SENTENCING
SHEET, CITING INDICTMENT #
2012-GJ-07-01935 AS THE
INDICTMENT THAT I WAS SENTENCED
TO PRISON TIME OF LIFE IMPRISON-
MENT ON.

COUNTY OF Beaufort
STATE VS. Tyrone Robinson
AKA:
Race: AFRICAN AM
DOB:
Address:
City, State, Zip:
DL#:
SID#:
*CDL Yes No CMV Yes No Hazmat Yes No

INDICTMENT/CASE#: 2012GS0701935
A/W#: 2012A0720300114
Date of Offense: 9/1/2012
S.C. Code §: 16-03-0010; 16-03-0020
CDR Code #: 0116

SENTENCE SHEET

CONVICTED OF or PLEADS

In disposition of the said indictment comes now the Defendant who was TO: Murder / Murder

in violation of § 16-03-0010; 16-03-0020 of the S.C. Code of Laws, bearing CDR Code # 0116
NON-VIOLENT VIOLENT SERIOUS MOST SERIOUS
Mandatory GPS(CSC) §17-25-45 w/minor 1st or Lewd Act

The charge is: As Indicted, Lesser Included Offense, Defendant Waives Presentment to Grand Jury, Negotiated Sentence, Recommendation by the State.
The plea is: Without Negotiations or Recommendation,

ATTEST: [Signature] Sworn: Hunter
SC Bar# Defendant Attorney for Defendant SC Bar#

WHEREFOR, the Defendant is committed to the State Department of Corrections, County Detention Center, for a determinate term of 1 year 10 months 15 days/months/years or under the Youthful Offender Act not to exceed 180 days/months/years and/or payment of \$ 1000; plus costs and assessments as applicable*; the balance is suspended with probation for 18 months/years and subject to South Carolina Department of Probation, Parole and Pardon Services standard conditions of probation, which are incorporated by reference.

CONCURRENT or CONSECUTIVE to sentence on:
The Defendant is to be given credit for time served pursuant to S.C. Code § 24-13-40 to be calculated and applied by the State Department of Corrections.
The Defendant is to be placed on the Central Registry of Child Abuse and Neglect pursuant to S.C. Code §17-25-135.

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C Section 922, it is unlawful for a person convicted of a violation of Section 16-25-20 or 16-25-65 (Criminal Domestic Violence) to ship, transport, possess, or receive a firearm or ammunition.
SPECIAL CONDITIONS:

RESTITUTION: Deferred Def. Waives Hearing Ordered
Total: \$ plus 20% fee: \$
Payment Terms:
Set by SCOPPPS
PTUP
days/hours Public Service Employment
Obtain GED
Attend Voc. Rehab. or Job Corp.
May serve W/E beginning
Substance Abuse Counseling
Random Drug/Alcohol testing
Fine may be pd. in equal, consecutive weekly/monthly pmts. of \$ beginning
\$ paid to Public Defender Fund
Other:

Table with 3 columns: Description, Amount, Total. Includes items like § 14-1-206 (Assessments 107.5%), § 14-1-211(A)(1) Conv. Surcharge, § 14-1-211(A)(2) (UI Surcharge), § 56-5-2995 (DUI Assessment), § 56-1-286 (DUI Breath Test), Proviso 47.9 (Public Def/Prob), § 14-1-212 (Law Enforcement Funding), § 14-1-213 (Drug Court Surcharge), § 50-21-114 (BUI Breath Test Fee), § 56-5-2942(J) (Vehicle Assessment), Proviso 90.5 (SCCJA Surcharge), 3% to County (if paid in installments), TOTAL \$183.90

Clerk of Court/ Deputy Clerk: [Signature]
Court Reporter: [Signature]
SCCA/217 (03/2011)

Presiding Judge: [Signature]
Judge Code:
Sentence Date: 9/19/13

Certified - A True Copy

EXHIBIT

E

COPY OF SOUTH CAROLINA
CODE OF LAWS 17-23-90

WHICH STATES "VOTING"

AND IF ANY PERSON COMMITTED AS AFORESAID

UPON HIS TRIAL SHALL BE ACQUITTED

HE SHALL BE DISCHARGED FROM HIS

IMPRISONMENT

South Carolina Code of Laws
Unannotated
Current through the end of the 2012 Session

DISCLAIMER

The South Carolina Legislative Council is offering access to the unannotated South Carolina Code of Laws on the Internet as a service to the public. The unannotated South Carolina Code on the General Assembly's website is now current through the 2012 session. The unannotated South Carolina Code, consisting only of Code text, numbering, and history, may be copied from this website at the reader's expense and effort without need for permission.

The Legislative Council is unable to assist users of this service with legal questions. Also, legislative staff cannot respond to requests for legal advice or the application of the law to specific facts. Therefore, to understand and protect your legal rights, you should consult your own private lawyer regarding all legal questions.

While every effort was made to ensure the accuracy and completeness of the unannotated South Carolina Code available on the South Carolina General Assembly's website, the unannotated South Carolina Code is not official, and the state agencies preparing this website and the General Assembly are not responsible for any errors or omissions which may occur in these files. Only the current published volumes of the South Carolina Code of Laws Annotated and any pertinent acts and joint resolutions contain the official version.

Please note that the Legislative Council is not able to respond to individual inquiries regarding research or the features, format, or use of this website. However, you may notify Legislative Printing, Information and Technology Systems at LPITS@scstatehouse.gov regarding any apparent errors or omissions in content of Code sections on this website, in which case LPITS will relay the information to appropriate staff members of the South Carolina Legislative Council for investigation.

Title 17 - Criminal Procedures
CHAPTER 23
PLEADING AND TRIAL

SECTION 17-23-90. Indictment and trial of persons committed for treason or felony; consequences of failure to indict.

If any person committed for treason or felony, plainly and specially expressed in the warrant of commitment, upon his prayer or petition in open court the first week of the term to be brought to his trial shall not be indicted some time in the next term after such commitment, the judge of the circuit court shall, upon motion made in open court the last day of the term either by the prisoner or anyone in his behalf, set at liberty the prisoner upon bail, unless it appear to him, upon oath made, that the witnesses for the State could not be produced at the same term. And if any person committed as aforesaid, upon his prayer or petition in open court the first week of the term to be brought to his trial, shall not be indicted and tried the second term after his commitment or upon his trial shall be acquitted, he shall be discharged from his imprisonment.

13

*
*
*

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I TYRONE L. ROBINSON CERTIFY THAT I
SENT A COPY OF MY PROSE BRIEF ON
ALL PARTIES THIS CONCERNS BY U.S
POSTAL SERVICE TO THE REQUIRED
ADDRESS.

RECEIVED
DEC 04 2019
SC Court of Appeals

SIGNATURE: Tyrone L. Robinson
PRINT: TYRONE L. ROBINSON
DATE: 11/27th/2019

DEAR CLERK OF COURT.
PLEASE FILE THIS BRIEF IN APPELLATE
CASE NO: 2017-002233. # THANK YOU
IN ADVANCE, TAKE CARE AND HAVE A
WONDERFUL THANKSGIVING SINCERELY
Tyrone L Robinson

RECEIVED

DEC 04 2019

SC Court of Appeals

SIGNATURE: Tyrone L Robinson
PRINT: TYRONE L. ROBINSON
DATE: 11/27th/2019

TYRONE LORENZA ROBINSON #235104
W-C-RM 249
LIEBER CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION
P.O. BOX 205
RIDGECVILLE, S.C. 29472

RECEIVED

DEC 02 2019

MAIL ROOM
LIEBER.C.I.

RECEIVED

DEC 04 2019

SC Court of Appeals

SOUTH CAROLINA COURT OF APPEALS
JENNY ABBOTT KITCHINGS, CLERK
POST OFFICE BOX 11629
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29211