

# The South Carolina Court of Appeals

Kenneth Murray, Petitioner,

v.

State of South Carolina, Respondent.


Appellate Case No. 2018-002209

---

## ORDER

---

Petitioner has filed a motion for an extension, which we construe as a request to file additional documents to be considered in the appendix. The motion is granted. Appellant shall file any additional documents within twenty days of this order. This court will not, however, consider any matters that were not presented to the post-conviction relief judge. We construe Petitioner's "Motion from Judgment or Order" filed on October 17, 2019, as a pro se response to counsel's petition pursuant to *Johnson v. State*, 294 S.C. 310, 364 S.E.2d 201 (1988). This court will not consider Petitioner's remaining motions and requests because Petitioner is represented by counsel. See *Miller v. State*, 388 S.C. 347, 697 S.E.2d 527 (2010); *Jones v. State*, 348 S.C. 13, 558 S.E.2d 517 (2002); *State v. Stuckey*, 333 S.C. 56, 508 S.E.2d 564 (1998); *Foster v. State*, 298 S.C. 306, 379 S.E.2d 907 (1989).

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
FOR THE COURT

Columbia, South Carolina

cc:

Megan Harrigan Jameson, Esquire

Taylor Davis Gilliam, Esquire

Kenneth Oredell Murray, 294286

**FILED**

December 16, 2019

~~SECRET~~  
HIED

~~SECRET~~  
HIED