

**RECEIVED**

FEB 03 2020

STRITZINGER v VERIZON  
CAROLINA

SC Court of Appeals

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS SOUTH

COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA

2020-000121

MOTION FOR DEFAULT JUDGEMENT OR REMAND AND PRELIMINARY BRIEF ON  
THE MERITS

Appellant, John S. Stritzinger, filed suit against Verizon in the district court. Verizon was served via their outside counsel of record Wilcox and Savage of Virginia Beach VA, who has already filed an appearance for Verizon and is under retainer, via the inside counsels of Record Joseph Palmieri, and Brian Kennedy, and by the Sheriff to their main business office in Columbia, SC and neither responded by formal correspondence, nor by an appearance. As such Petitioner believes Verizon is in default in this case.

Furthermore, Appellant notes that Verizon local Operations personnel report to a regional Vice President for the Carolinas who appears regularly in their office(at least once a week), and had to accept service, scan appropriate documents, and forward them to their internal legal business partner and management. Appellant was an officer of Verizon Federal and this was our internal business process under Verizon Business Inc our parent.

Appellant believes that Verizon did not appear, and had Motioned for the court for a default verdict in his favor, which would have allowed him to work at his old job either in Virginia or South Carolina, and with back pay and commissions for the sale he completed to DHS and President Barrack Obama involving an advanced location processor and video management system which among other purposes was intended to provide internal security for the bureau.

Appellant is seeking a default Judgement in his favor and an emergency order to return to work noting that his manager at Verizon who filed a Federal and State Criminal claim had lost both proceedings, and was terminated. As such the state of Maryland and Florida state he had done nothing wrong, and Verizon simply withheld commissions due which were felonies under Verizon 40.1.29 labor laws as they were far in excess of 10K dollars.

Appellant notes, that Verizon also defaulted in the US District Court, and only filed an appearance in the US Court of Appeals for the 5th Circuit (See S v Verizon 14-50090) where they filed a brief, but where the court did not render judgement due to the lack of a trial record. Furthermore, Judges Yeakel, and Wooten informed Appellant they did not have a security clearance, or jurisdiction over a National Security issue involving the sitting President of the United States. (See US constitution Article III.Sec2).

Furthermore, Petitioner was sued two more times by two more states on the same sets of facts (DE, PA) which is a due process complaint. Appellant believes he is due counsel, as Appellant was traveling on business and won the project he was working on. Three local police officers working for various counties however keep calling various family members which are not relevant to the case. Appellant had a Verizon credit card, was working for Verizon, and had nothing to do with William R, James R. Stritzinger Jr, or James R. Stritzinger Sr who are trying to steal his money after they ALL filed personal bankruptcy after making poor and unrelated financial and personal decisions.

Appellant was working in the Verizon Wireless offices in North Charleston next to the county police station and was driving a Verizon rental car, and staying in a Verizon procured hotel room as the State of Maryland has already found, and dismissed the case filed by Mr. Asiedu. The office in Florida however who somehow linked himself to the case, refused to recognize the order entered by Maryland's Highest Court by a Justice of the Court of Appeals (Mr. Greene), until his own Court of Appeals in Florida entered an identical judgement. (5th Court of Appeals Florida).

Petitioner has been tied up in court for the better part of six years even though he won his case, and has suffered due to two officers who care little about their senior Judicial Branches. Furthermore, Mr. Asiedu, and Mr. Matosco his managers were fired for Cause for the incident. They simply had to authorize an additional payment on his card, and instead decided to force litigation which they are aware there have been significant damages involved.

This case does not involve Richland County Government, the US Government directly as the 4th Circuit US Court of Appeals ruled he could not collect monies directly from the Whitehouse, or GSA, nor does it involve any Stritzinger family members. Verizon Federal Made a 200M NRC sale to the Whitehouse & DHS, which included up to 2.1B a month in wireless services from Verizon Wireless and excluded additional custom CPE sales.

Verizon shareholders, various state agencies who are trying to identify Federal Secrets, and unqualified lawyers, and medical doctors tried to attach the case to get experiences, and qualifications they could not get otherwise in Appellants opinion including two Verizon lawyers, two Bank of America lawyers, an outsourced prosecutor for the State of South Carolina, and at least three medical doctors who have no telecommunications experience, and don't have the slightest understanding of the types of programs run by the US Government. His family including William R., David A, James R. Stritzinger Sr, and James R. Stritzinger Jr all have significant infrastructure, and software experience but very little networking experience.

Along with commissions due in this case, Appellant submitted upwards of 100 preliminary patents to the offices of the General Counsel under Mr. Palmieri, after Mr. Palmieri told him he would pay \$1500.00 for each solution he certified as valid. Mr. Palmieri accepted all 100+ solutions he submitted but did not pay Appellant anything,

stating that the internal procurement was so large it involved outside counsel and the Board of Directors, when Appellant met with Mr. Palmieri at the Corporate offices in New Jersey.

Mr. Palmieri then recommended I leave the company, and sell them back the solutions, and he assigned a Business Development person from Mr. Chesnutt's office to work on the program. Mr. Chesnutt however has left the company, and is not in a position to negotiate on behalf of Mr. McAdam any longer.

I am being followed by third party attorneys, Prisma Health, Richland County, and Columbia Police department in such an invasive way that I believe that some unknown party is entering orders which are sealed, and in an ex-parte fashion. Furthermore, officers of unknown agencies have sought to interfere with part-time jobs I have taken to sustain myself.

**APPELLANT FILED HIS EMPLOYMENT COMPLAINT AT LEAST SIX MONTHS PRIOR TO ANY PROBATE CASES WERE FILED AND WHILE IN FLORIDA**

Appellant notes he filed his Employment complaints with Dutch Fork Magistrate Maurer in the Fall of 2017 almost three months before arriving, and the court refused to give him a case number and put the issue on the docket stating a Jurisdictional problem in that Judge Maurer believed the case needed to be served in Federal District Court. Appellant agrees, but Judge Wooten has refused to issue citation in the case while the State of Florida was still deliberating a criminal case (See *Olmstead v United States*). Furthermore, despite the impact of the criminal complaint on his income, Judge Wooten and his Magistrate refused to let him proceed on an emergency order under an IFP, or even under deferred fees, effectively ruling against him without any evidence, a trial or witnesses called. The issue is that Florida, Virginia, and South Carolina are all at-will states, and employers can terminate for any reason, but not in this case, as President Obama had stayed the action so that the project involved could be completed. Furthermore, Petitioner was not terminated he was suspended pending an investigation. Mr. Asiedu, who was not cleared for the project involved, wrote his investigative report and gave it to the internal auditors in August of 2013. A year later, Lowell McAdam the CEO of the company fired Mr. Asiedu, recognized the Verizon mistake, and offered to purchase my company, and work product for \$409M dollars. They asked me to stow the materials at a Verizon Central office, and I attempted to do so, before Mr. Asiedu terminated all access on my badge. Mr. McAdam who is also no longer with the company told me he did not wish to return to work only to do an asset purchase.


### **RELIEF REQUESTED**

Appellant is seeking for the court to enter a default Verdict in his favor, and Order an Injunction directed to the Attorney General for the State of South Carolina to cease and desist from all day to day intelligence operations. In the Alternate, Appellant is seeking an expedited trial for not less than 1 day, and not more than 3 days. Appellant notes

that he does not have the right to perform Federal Discovery, and that any remand would have to be to appropriate qualified personnel such as Judge Kelly who is a former US Army officer, and State Congressional representative.

Signed this 2nd day of February 2020.

John S. Stritzinger  
2156 Cresthill Rd  
Columbia, SC 29223

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "John S. Stritzinger". The signature is stylized with large, overlapping loops and a prominent initial "J".

PRELIMINARY BRIEF ON THE MERITS  
IN THE COURT OF APPEALS SOUTH CAROLINA

Stritzinger v Verizon  
2020-000121

John S. Stritzinger  
2156 Cresthill Rd  
Columbia, SC 29223  
843-352-3459  
jstritzinger33@gmail.com

## A) ISSUES BEFORE THE COURT

- 1) Does a lower court officer from the South Carolina Probate court have the power to terminate a Circuit Court proceeding already set on a show cause by a Circuit Court Officer which was filed first?
- 2) Is a delay of 19 months for an evidentiary hearing on the merits justice for a due process complaint against the State of South Carolina? Under what grounds?
- 3) Does Verizon have to provide counsel to Appellant, or funds for counsel for this complaint before the court reviews specific issues?
- 4) Are the issues with Verizon related to previous supply chain conflicts with Bank of America which were material to when he was a Senior Vice President of Architecture & Engineering in charge of the Verizon account?
- 5) Does Appellant have the right to serve the Whitehouse on Verizon's account and resolve a dispute with President Obama.
- 6) Did Appellant exhaust all possible options for relief and act appropriately in this case?
- 7) Did Samuel Asiedu, and Joseph R. Palmieri in direct cooperation commit a Felony under the Virginia Wage Code where he was and is a citizen?
- 8) Does the State of South Carolina have any standing to resolve this complaint?
- 9) Is James R. Stritzinger Jr. in any way involved in this dispute, have relevant knowledge, or Experience?
- 10) Are William R. Stritzinger, and James R. Stritzinger Sr involved in this dispute?
- 11) Is the complaint with Dollar Rental Car from Orlando Florida resolved to the State of Maryland, and Florida's satisfaction?
- 12) Should this court enter a restraining order and sanctions against an Orlando Police officer who continues to call peers in other local departments to try to re-litigate a case he already lost in Florida? Is the appropriate punishment termination and a treason charge?
- 13) Was Petitioner a US Government employee, or contractor for this program along with a Verizon senior officer?
- 14) Was Petitioner named on the bid to the FBI to the attention of Lauren Caperton of the Federal Bureau?
- 15) What sentence should the Court of Appeals impose on Mr. Palmieri (A NY Resident, and NY Bar Attorney), and Mr. Asiedu(A Maryland Resident)?

## B. PARTIES

As already included on the public docket.

Additional Parties:

- 1) - Ms. Susan Zeleniak - President Verizon Federal Services & Department head for Civil, Military, and Education Programs.

2) Mr. John Stratton - President of Verizon Business, and Ms. Zeleniaks Boss. Mr. Stratton runs Verizon Wireless Businesses and was the previous head of Verizon Wireless Operations. Mr. Stratton like myself is also an NSTAC named member and has a 3-Star equivalent US Army rank in the National Security Agency. (Both Ms. Zeleniak and Mr. Stratton work in Ashburn Virginia at 22001 Loudoun County, Parkway - Verizon Federal HQ & the Backup US Army NOC).

Represented by David Crain - Wilcox and Savage.  
[dcrain@wilsav.com](mailto:dcrain@wilsav.com)

### C) PREVIOUS RULINGS

The US District Court in Texas refused to issue a summons in this case until After Verizon provided a letter regarding disputed properties with President Obama, following electronic service of summons from the US District Court filing system which by Appellant who had been admitted in this case.

The court after finally issuing a summons, and having it served then denied a trial without a hearing with an obscure answer of mostly disbelief.

The State of Virginia after three successful show cause proceedings of the need to have a trial with the Chief Judge of Virginia Beach County GDC, set the case for trial in September of 2014, but dismissed the case without a hearing when opposing counsel complained the amount in question was above the authority of the GDC. Appellant however was simply seeking to return to work, and had agreed to arbitrate the claim. Verizon however said they would not arbitrate and they wanted to force a trial. To defend themselves, Verizon entered a criminal claim in the Virginia Employment commission denying unemployment claims, and because of the same filing, Petitioner was also denied other state and Federal benefits which forced him to temporarily visit the State of South Carolina from October of 2013 to December 28th of the same year (Only two months). Petitioner then filed suit in the closest district court (South Carolina where a Federal sale to the FBI could be litigated) Petitioner is pretty sure the FBI will not respond to a State justice. Petitioner therefore believed the only relief a state judge could order was to have him return to work, and for binding arbitration.

The state of Virginia, however assigned him a public defender, who refused to help him go to trial, as they were not permitted to join the US Government in a State trial court. Furthermore, they did not help him get counsel appointed and paid for by Verizon. Instead they dismissed his case without cause, and cited him for contempt when Appellant filed a Petition for Rehearing allowed under law in a pro-se Manner because Appellant had not only filed hard copy with the court, Appellant had provided courtesy soft copies by email to judges who had already given both counsels including himself their contact information. Contempt citations were entered not by the Judge assigned

the case, but the Chief Administrative judge for Virginia Beach county who took objection to a non-county admitted lawyer practicing in his state effectively.

Electronic service for rehearings is required under Virginia Supreme Court rules which they have promulgated to all districts which requires Motions for Rehearing to be served by email to the parties involved.

South Carolina State attorney's and Federal Attorneys said they could not cure the contempt actions in Virginia, and that the only hope was to move back to Virginia to live and work, and to seek a Circuit order above the Chief Judge. They thought this might require at least a paralegal degree from a Virginia Educational system all of which believe I have significantly more experience than required.

Sr. Partners in Virginia believe that Chief Judge Woolard who is close to retirement would need to be sued in the Circuit Court, and the case reach the court of Appeals at some time thereafter to overturn the findings which were in fact in error.

#### D. CASE LAW AND LEGAL REFERENCES

**Olmstead v United States - Supreme Court of US** - No Relief in Law or Equity is problematic in a world with eVerify. It would prevent any party with any previous legal findings against him from owing property, getting married or having a family. Effectively death or the end of life as we know it. In the past, simply leaving the state one previously resided or even the county would prevent discovery of any other previous legal issue. Now eVerify, ADP, and Public search enforce all previous judgments, and even when this is not sufficient private investigation firms often link extensive electronic documents including all legal filings.

Since this is a very old case, and almost every law school in America teaches this case, most judges act on it instinctively even if they don't write it down. In this case judges in Virginia, South Carolina, and Florida have all denied civil cases without a trial because of perceived problems somewhere else.

In this case, Dr Raynors staff at Palmetto Health went even further, they stated that in fact failure to be able to meet social norms of working and earning money was a mental health condition. Appellant believes that in fact eVerify and similar systems in fact result in that very condition for each and every party which cannot get such orders sealed. (IE a private attorney helps to seal the case on hardship grounds)

Appellant believes that Dr. Raynor is incorrect, instead medical doctors need to discuss extreme impacts to State attorneys who almost always listen to them.

**Stritzinger v Verizon - 5th Circuit Court of Appeals - 14-50090**

The court ruled you could not appeal a lower court decision where there is no transcript. There are no written transcripts in the Magistrates Court, and the probate courts. As such they are not to the standard necessary for an Appeal.

**ACLU v CLAPPER - 2nd Circuit US Court of Appeals - 14-42**

Appellant was admitted to the 2nd Circuit making him an active attorney in the US Court of Appeals. Appellants briefs on the merits in this case were included in the record, and resulted in Verizon receiving the judgement which was later recorded by the Supreme Court in Carpenter v US as listed below.

**Carpenter v US - the US Supreme Court of the United States.** decided that a Warrant was necessary to use location processing on US soil. Appellant believes this high court standard is routinely violated by the State of South Carolina, and Florida as he has advised below. The State therefore is in Contempt of the High Court of the United States.

**Richland County v Stritzinger (Belton)**

The State of South Carolina served a Virginia resident with a medical complaint even though Appellant was only in Virginia for two days, had a cordial dinner with his brother, and simply asked for his mail to be returned which had been stored for several years at his home. He declined, and opened the correspondence in violation of Federal law, he then searched his correspondence, and presented old, already tried materials which were expressly denied by the Virginia trial court to Palmetto health, who then emailed the same to Judge Belton. In other words all the poisonous fruit of James R. Stritzinger's felony perjured complaint, and violations of US code.

Restrictions of Rights which were not plead or requested in any document were entered into orders even though the request for such requests came from a Doctor who was not even qualified to present a report, and who did not appear at trial for cross-examination. (See Daubert v Merrill Pharmaceuticals - US Supreme Court).

**Stritzinger v Dollar Rental Car, Palmieri, Asiedu, City of Orlando - 5th Circuit Florida Court of Appeals**

The court dismissed the lower court actions in consolidated form without a trial, and therefore upholding the 5th Judicial Circuits finding from Sumter County Florida. In other words the State of Florida found Mr. Asiedu's and the Orlando Police Departments case without merit, and this was upheld by the State of Florida's court of appeals governing all of Central Florida, including the 5th(Sumter), and 9th Circuits(Orlando). In other words the case cannot be retried in any county Appellant lived or worked. Its terminated. Furthermore, the Florida Court of Appeals acknowledge the Maryland Court of Appeals finding on the same issue, even those the State of Florida asked the State of Maryland to prosecute. (A Florida Warrant was served by the State of Maryland, and Maryland terminated it). This is a major issue as the State of Pennsylvania said that an Ohio police officer of unknown origin entered a complaint which they served in Pennsylvania even though they weren't a party to it. In this case

Enterprise Rental car had regional car rentals in an Ohio agreement which was never breached, but the Officer in Pennsylvania saw the issue on Appellants license when he ran the background and saw that it was filed as Nolle. He therefore wanted to retry every issue he saw in Florida, Maryland, Pennsylvania, and Ohio even though four counties in Ohio said the Pennsylvania officer lied. There was no warrant issued in Ohio for a rental car, and Enterprise rental car issued a zero balance statement. Two years later Enterprise, asked the Pennsylvania courts to add \$350.00 for legal costs related to the Pennsylvania officers complaint which they had to research. They are now claiming they added a request for \$350.00 in damage.

**Stritzinger v Norwood Police Department - US District Court South Carolina**

Appellant notified Judge Wooten, that a Pennsylvania officer had contacted all seven states Appellant had crossed in his rental car from Florida, to New York, and was seeking anyone to assist him harm Appellant who was a Verizon employee. They literally won't stop until you die. Judge Wooten stated he didn't think this should be served in Federal court, and I stated that all nine states could claim this could be retried from Florida, Georgia, South Carolina, North Carolina, Virginia, Maryland, Pennsylvania, New Jersey, New York, Ohio, and Pennsylvania, and make no mistake every single state has sent one or more officers both to Florida, and South Carolina. The issue is they are responsible for counter-terror operations on occasion and this is a recurring problem. They believe every state has jurisdiction until the FBI enters a finding.

Appellant is seeking a protective order. Appellant did nothing wrong. Appellants car was towed by the Manassas police out of an Amtrak federal lot before the original case with Verizon, and Mr. Crain and Mr. Blake proceeded ex-parte with a judge apparently Mr. Blake was dating either before the trial or after. (Judge Hodges).

**Stritzinger v Hodges (Pending - US District Court - Eastern District of NC & The US Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit)**

Judge Hodges took his apartment, his car, his Verizon badge, prevented him from traveling back to his four bedroom house in Texas where his children lived, hired his girlfriend as an assistant in the courthouse, and started dating opposing counsel to force herself into a National Security case involving the White House. Judge Hodges is a GDC judge who reviews traffic, non-felonies, and family law issues which this was not one, until Appellants girlfriend apparently got pregnant and has a son born in January of 2014 whom he has seen exactly twice. Furthermore, Appellant entered a criminal claim with the Virginia Beach police department on kidnapping of a child, and they responded that they knew where the child was, and the mom, but Judge Hodges told them to stop or authorized the felony kidnapping without a hearing. (See Olmstead). In other words because he emailed a motion for rehearing as required under the rules, after his car was towed by her boyfriend(mr. Blake using a Verizon GPS enabled phone) she took his child, and gave him to Mr. Blake as apparently Mr. Blake stopped seeing her, and is now dating Appellants old girlfriend and the mother of his child. This is a capital crime, and a treason charge is warranted. Mr. Blake and Ms. Hodges do not have a security clearance. Furthermore, complaints to the Whitehouse

went unanswered as if Mr. Obama, and Mr. Biden were involved due to Mr. Wright, and Mr. Freeh(former director of the FBI) giving money to their campaign and their relationship to the family law proceedings in Texas.

Ms. Hodges is a former Ms. Virginia parent winner and is one of five junior judges in Virginia Beach who regularly hear every case. The reason is that usually one judge hears the preliminary hearing, another for bond, and another for any supplemental hearing/discovery issue, and one is assigned for trial. In other words four motions in a criminal case would get the entire roster of judges, as if you were in an appellate tribunal. Furthermore, if you appeal your case goes to judges sitting in the same building on the floor above whom they all have lunch with every day. In other words routinely 4-5 GDC judges enter orders in a lower court decision which is appealed to a single Circuit Judge who can overturn them but usually never do. Thus the state has passed its trial power to courts which don't even have transcripts under law. If you need a transcript in Virginia you have to try your case in the Circuit court, and intervals are longer. In the GDC you can get a judgement in near real time including family law temporary orders if you appear and wait with both sides counsel in traffic court. In other words at the end of traffic court in Virginia every single day, they ask if anyone else in the audience needs legal relief on a pleading that's already been filed. In other words, Mr. Blake and Mr. Palmieri could have resolved this matter in less than one day had they wanted to do so. They haven't, and that's why this case is even more heinous.

Mr. Palmieri I believe wanted the FBI to arrest Mr. Asiedu if he was wrong as he didn't believe it was his job to review my expense reports. Mr. Asiedu did not agree because I was traveling on National Security programs, and on Patent Legal research at Sony, Cisco, and others.

Appellant is pro-se in both cases, and needs to stay in Eastern North Carolina, or a quick drive to Raleigh(2-4 hours) for the foreseeable future, until the 4th circuit reserves judgement. Judge Howard however seems to not be at all concerned about time, and believes a Federal Criminal complaint is required formally. I can do that, but that would make me the Federal prosecutor of a State Judge who can in fact convict me while she's standing there of unknown Virginia statutes I am not even aware. As such I am fairly certain that Verizon needs to provide counsel in this case to support me, and to prosecute their own external counsel (Wilcox and Savage). Furthermore, Appellant filed a complaint with the Virginia Bar, for both Mr. Blake, and his own counsel Ms. Miller who made no effort even to call Ms. Ruemler in the White House for authorization. She thought I was kidding. The Virginia Bar said they would not investigate any complaints from a party in a legal proceeding only another attorney. I thus had to convince a third party lawyer in Virginia of her errors, which I could not prove without FBI discovery. The FBI have told me they have extensive audio tapes of Mr. Blake, Ms. Hodges, and Angel Allen my ex-girlfriend who has represented she is the daughter of a marine retired general who ran the special operations teams in Iraq and Afghanistan for several years. I do not know that to be true or not, but I do know that Angel had a protective team which appeared to be more than three very intense looking soldiers at all times, and that she could not even be approached without their consent. Angel sought me out,

and followed me from Virginia Beach to Ashburn nearly every week, and I understand she has a Military badge, and models part time before she took a job in the courthouse. As such I believe she has three jobs. (Retired Military, Model, and Court Officer). She also worked Friday and Saturday nights in a high-end restaurant which is where I met her. (7-close). I think the military engagement was in intelligence as she was coordinating local responses to incidents with soldiers on the beach. As such she could literally be paid both by the Navy, and a restaurant at the same time. I believe the court job came after we had our own problems. (IE the rental car issue).

I would offer I was the top performer at Verizon, winning three of the four bids I worked on in a single year and was assigned to the white house, DHS, and the Department of Energy with a Departmental security clearance. Furthermore, DOE outsources operations to Verizon, and we had full control of US Nuclear plants. In other words my Verizon badge was sufficient to enter any of the National Labs with just a days notice. Or the Whitehouse for that matter. Other than an NSA US Army badge, it was just about the highest credential you can get in the US military as Verizon has base access to every global facility (as does ATT in practice). But even with that badge, you still usually need an escort, which is usually someone carrying a sidearm, and in some places a full squad of supervisors. Its literally a very serious job.

I also note that a Verizon Federal employee with an NSTAC certification, I had the power to wiretap and surveil State and Federal Judges, even Circuit Judges, so technically our appointments have to be approved by the Chief Justice of the United States and FISA. As I Understand it takes the President, the head of the FBI, and at least one Associate Justice of the Supreme court. These positions were not however approved by Congress, and that's why we take great care with what we do, as its not quite the same thing. It usually was not necessary as US Military or FBI active personnel did the downstream work. Our job was to notice and solve big problems. (like cars and trucks traversing state boundaries for no apparent reason). This is the source or related to most drug related crimes, human trafficking crimes, kidnappings, and murders. Thus an NSTAC officer is effectively an IT Big Data Architect in practice and is equivalent to a US Army three star general, and about an Associate Director of the Federal Bureau at the same time with limited powers. But keep in mind all NSTAC companies have massive internal security teams and can investigate their own identified issues. In some cases these resources are in excess of US Government resources in some areas. For example in downtown Charlotte, Bank of America has more than 2K security officers, and the Federal Bureau under 200 likely. Its an order of magnitude difference. In Virginia, or New York, Verizon has over 10K veterans and security officers, and the FBI has only 50K overall across the world. It dramatically impacts force response. I also point out that NSTAC companies participate in an equal or greater way than State police teams, and also get involved with weird advances in medical, and wireless technology like human electronic tagging and FMRI systems. That was the source of concern at Palmetto. They have no practice in these areas today and were trying to discover how far behind they were. (and its significant). The University of Michigan Medical School for example was able to confirm in two phone calls, that I was not imagining my complaints to the FBI, and The US District court that

FMRI systems were being used against me and my family, but they were unconcerned. That's when I became convinced that Judge Wooten was actually signing the orders for unknown officers in various agencies which were not cleared for Mr. Obama's program. Mr. Ken Lewis from Bank of America had complained he was getting the same kind of treatment.

In other words Judge Wooten was not just denying service of Civil complaints, he was actually signing criminal discovery orders against the parties at the same time in an ex-parte format. So in an MC format case, the Trial judge actually investigates the case before you get into the court room on his own, and is used to debating your innocence and guilt with both parties counsel in private. They all took offense to pro-se litigators because their day to day actions in practice violate the States Judicial Cannon which requires them to be unbiased. If I read all of your email or take expert complaints from law enforcement officers before I issue a Summons in a case, there is a problem, and I assure you that's what Ms. Boston, Judge Wooten implied to me. That he would literally never serve a complaint I filed in his court for any reason.

I believe he is violating the 5th amendment on just about every case filed in the District of South Carolina on his previous docket, and I believe he should be removed from the bench which I have already identified for the US Senate. After I did, he was demoted to a Sr. Judge from the Chief Judge. The Chief Judge usually does all the regular stuff, but also usually runs the prison system as well, including the transport of prisoners, and the US Marshalls office. In Texas the Chief Judge of the Capitol City of Austin Texas(Travis County) has the same responsibility, except the Civil Courts, and Circuit Criminal Courts are all very large. Its about 30+ District Courts and three state jails. In other words Judge Livingston as the Chief Judge of the Capitol city of Texas is very nearly a goddess. She can't be overturned by a peer, and therefore is very nearly the final judgement on both civil and criminal cases. I advise the court however that Judge Dietz who was her predecessor for 15 years, read in the settlement in our case with Ms. Wright which I have asked to consolidate, as I am quite sure if this case gets sent down or back to Texas he will be violently angry at Ms. Wright for her actions after his last order. The issue is it would take a remand from the Court of Appeals to get the case back to him, as Judge Livingston has obstructed settings back to him even though he's in senior status. Ms. Wright was dating the actual Justice of the Court of Appeals who wrote and signed the judgement in our case, and he's never met me or my children. He is therefore simply accepting the word of his girlfriend. I assure you he is a felon, and he told my wife he would never leave his own wife and children for her which made her cry. They had been dating for over three years when I discovered it, and immediately her dad hired Federal Counsel in Dallas as Ms. Wright is guilty of Fraud, Breach of contract, and Child Abuse. She also hired computer forensics examiners to review issues which he planted. In other words he supplemented Ms. Wright's own actions with his own by tunneling to our computer using GoToMyPc which all of the forensic examiners noticed, and said was illegal. Mr. Wright was traversing the Internet from Maryland to Texas, and the original traffic to the Internet from the Tunnel creating forensic data on our Texas PC logged in as both Ms. Wright and as Administrator so he could argue against our findings against her. My attorney's

said the discovery would be so extensive we might be in court more than one month in front of a jury, and they asked for retainers between 200-500K dollars due to Mr. Wrights actions alone. Otherwise they had Ms. Wrights correspondence and it was very clear what she was doing and how extensive her bad behavior.

Like Judge Wooten, I believe that Judge Yeakel had also been engaged several times over the years for search warrants and other items, and he's literally the only US District Judge in Austin. In other words if the FBI starts investigating you in a criminal manner for any reason, the defense has already poisoned the Judiciary. Ms. Wright claimed I was reviewing her correspondence in real-time which required a wire-tap order. She did not know that I actually had the power to write that order myself on my own computer at some point in the process. So in other words Ms. Wright filed an FBI criminal complaint in Texas via her cousin who worked in the NH field office, while she was being investigated by the State of Texas and two Forensic psychological teams by the bureau at the same time. IE there were literally cross-federal complaints being considered by Judge Yeakel, and his staff which is another reason I don't believe a fair trial could be had.

Judge Yeakel's best friend was the father of a woman I had dated in 2009, and we decided not to get married after a 1+ year relationship where we had spent a lot of time with each others kids. The issue is she worked for the Presbyterian church and they felt that result was unacceptable, and they fired her, when we ended the relationship, which got to her dad, and eventually to my understanding to Judge Yeakel who was literally talking about my personal life while watching Texas football games. Ms. Zachary's husband was an executive for Wells Fargo in Houston, and was a competing executive for a rival bank. Furthermore, Ms. Zachary said that Katherine Wright had arranged for this job via her father who knew the CFO at Wells while he was the CFO at MBNA/Bank of America for the Cards division. In other words the connections, and conflicts in this case were numerous, and many lawyers recommended I move to San Antonio, Houston, or Dallas which was out of our legal district. However Austin is significantly nicer than all three locations all of which are more than 250 miles and either 2 hours by plane, or 3 hours by car including travel time. There is no high speed rail service in Texas. Living in Dallas is just as far therefore as Charlotte, NC in practice for me from Austin which I tried to explain to Bank of America. They didn't care. They said if I wanted to use the plane, I had to live in Dallas, and recommended we move our litigation there. They were right, because Ms. Wright had taken other very intentional actions with Mr. Pemberton because all five psychologists she had met said she could not parent. Ms. Wrights defense was therefore filing at least one Federal Criminal Complaint, and dating two Texas officers to mute the Texas investigation of her conduct. In addition, Governor Perry, appointed Judge Hathcock who denied Federal relief to Hannah, and James Stritzinger, Appellants/my children in this case. Furthermore Judge Hathcock had ordered Ms. Wright to take alcohol and drug tests due to years of misconduct by Ms. Wright. He was very angry that we could not pick a school when he believed we had access to such massive resources, as each of the children had over 10M dollars. In West Austin, however we had two church centered schools, and the LTISD. Ms. Wright claimed she was atheist, even though her entire

family is catholic and she wouldn't permit a parochial school. (Her attorney thought a State Judge could not order a student to a Parochial school due to separation of church and state issues). So in Texas if you object to parochial schools the options are few, or very very long drives (1 hour or more commutes). In our case Judge Dietz ordered the three children to go to schools which were more than 1 hour apart, making us drive more than 2.5 hours a day in heavy traffic to get our kids to school, when the Exemplary public school where James played soccer was less than 2 mins from both parties homes. That same school had nearly the best Special education program in Texas, not at the Rawson Saunders school which Judge Dietz ordered Hannah to attend.

I will caution the court, that it would take nearly a day to review the litigation which occurred in Texas as literally Mr. Richardson and I called and deposed nearly 45 EXPERTS on everything from pediatricians to education. For the same reason, Judge Dietz was quite certain that I had more than exceeded the requirements for a JD in family law in Texas when he appointed me a license to support my own children. When he retired however, and new judges enter the case... they all start with .... Your just another pro-se guy.... Which is the very same reaction judges Belton, Smiley, And Kelly among others had.

#### **D. FACTS**

Due to the long term dispute with Verizon, and at least three criminal processes being served by Mr. Palmieri, without him answering my civil claims, Petitioner has been denied his cross civil and criminal claims. A Civil and Criminal action which is combined on Federal grounds is called an MC type case. (Miscellaneous all inclusive). Appellant/Petitioner that such actions in an MC type format can only happen in the States Highest trial court (The Circuit Court), and not in a Probate, Mental Health, or Magistrates court when they involve monies in excess of 15K dollars, and felonies. Appellant believes that Mr. Palmieri, and Mr. Asiedu committed multiple felonies in this case including ignoring an Executive Order from the President of the United States on a National Security Case (Felony Treason), Conspiring to prevent payment of commissions (Felony Violations of Virginia Wage Code). Furthermore, Mr. Crains peer has sought to prevent him from seeing a four year old child who lives in Virginia and his mom, which is kidnapping, extortion, and also a treason case as Appellant/Petitioner is a US Government officer. Furthermore, Petitioner believes that these issues can only be tried in a Virginia, or US District Court, and two US District Courts, and the US Courts of Appeals for the 4th, 5th, and Federal Circuit has already dismissed them without a trial, stating they wanted the FBI/US Attorneys office to proceed. They did not understand I had the same powers myself. Furthermore, the US Government does not have to pay for fees. My concern, is that I believe that only Federal Bureau officers can file litigation under the banner of the United States. IE I might be a US Magistrate, but I think I have to use my own name, rather than United States v Asiedu which is relevant. The issue is that Mr. Obama's Attorney General ran the program I was involved in, and she was not concerned with the actions of either Mr. Asiedu, or Mr. Palmieri, and she had effectively denied pressing charges which I thought were

necessary, leaving only the Virginia employment action untried which I do have the power to file. A Virginia Magistrate however said they did not want to issue a warrant against Mr. Asiedu as Verizon is the States largest employer, and they expected Verizon would do a full investigation before filing anything with VEC. That was their expectation. They were in fact incorrect due to the program in question was departmentally cleared.

I due recognize however that the US Secret Service does run a massive amount of counter-intelligence operations, and I was concerned they asked me for a system they might have had in another form, or had built with another agency to see how I might have discovered the same. I therefore, have proceeding much more cautiously than simply calling various parties felons. The issue I have is that Mr. Obama has 4M employees, and it is my expectation after being contacted they would simply issue a letter such as "we have heard your concerns, and have declined to provide any further comment" at a minimum. In this case, I was sending Verizon Federal email direct to the Presidents lead counsel which I was permitted to do, and she never said a word, never issued a letter, and never called the Verizon Civil accounts management Mr. Pin. Instead, when I traveled to Delaware, usually the US Secret Service manager who I had met on many occasions who ran the Vice Presidents team would comment on my progress in some form.

### **RESULTS OF VIRGINIA DUE PROCESS PROBLEMS**

Virginia proceeding only on a medical case filed by Appellants own attorneys, and from the Bench of the Chief Judge of the GDC, and not by the Virginia Beach Police.

Nevertheless, Employers who do a criminal background check see this civil contempt findings improperly cited by the State of Virginia, and they cannot determine the nature of the same as they are listed as miscellaneous with no explanation.

Furthermore, the Circuit court had overturned the lower court decision by order which has been demonstrated to major employment companies such as ADP payroll systems which helps but is not a final answer. They now provide the disputed information to potential employers as part of the case file, but its up to the employer what they want to do with it.

So essentially a Virginia judge who violated his own state Code, and own rules, has effectively entered the death penalty against a Virginia resident and then denied him the opportunity to litigate his Verizon claim in Civil court due to Olmstead v US which is a very old precedent, and despite the fact that Petitioner was a more senior US district judge that Judge Woolard himself which would take some explaining in oral form. I state that as its no exaggeration that as a result of everify, and ADP electronic systems among others, than out of state issues are usually determined even by minimum wage companies. As such any impact at all may make it impossible for you to even work for a fast food company, even washing dishes, and that's effectively death. As such I believe that states who use such systems have to order all old issues automatically

surpasses to potential employers once a sentence has been completed. Otherwise you are literally making it impossible to work for anyone who even gets a first issue DUI without a small business owner granting permission. For the same reason human trafficking is the norm for anyone who has an electronic impact to ones resume.

My brother Jim, also said that as a result of his bankruptcy, he could not obtain another equivalent executive position when previously he was a VP of Microsoft consulting. As such illegal surveillance by Louis Freeh Jr, James Richardson, and Vernon Wright hired by Bank of America effectively ended the careers of the IT suppliers who built all of the Home Equity and Business card systems in Delaware because Jim Jr said that an unknown set of parties sent anonymous letters to his manager at the State of South Carolina (Head of SCRA Launch), and a Harvard Law graduate like Mr. Pemberton which we don't think is a coincidence.

Illegal surveillance of the Stritzinger family by Bank of America and its agents, the US Government and its agents, is a breach of old contracts between MBNA and The Data Place both Delaware companies, and breaches of employment contracts between Bank of America and officers Freeh, Wright, and Appellant, John S. Stritzinger. Furthermore it also represents breaches of agreements Ms. Wright had made with John Stritzinger, Aston Development, the Spa at Corolla, and William and James R. Stritzinger Sr. Furthermore its also a violation of Federal Surveillance Acts, and State Acts.

Verizon's perspective that Bank of America's actions were so terrible that Appellant should simply offer all of the intellectual property out of spite, and that the Verizon legal office would decide how to proceed. (IE they asked for unlimited internal discovery as if a lawsuit had been filed).

Essentially NSTAC members including myself and Mr. Stratton were made US Magistrates so that we could enforce Patriot Act impacts to our infrastructure in real time. In other words we could order the US Army to come to our aid for short periods without additional authorization from the President. (HRT, Army Delta, etc). This was due to the ongoing Afghanistan, and Iraq conflicts and concern for Al Qaeda, and splinter groups like ISIS taking action on US soil. Furthermore, we had FBI representatives inside our NOC centers for other lower Federal escalations. For example at Bank of America, there are as many as 10 bank robberies attempted every month somewhere in the 5000 branches of the company. These are all Federal crimes, and FBI embedded resources handle any threats in real time. As such we never had any need to do anything other than report issues to them via email, and they usually had on-net addresses. We also could simply use internal resources to interface to them if we wanted to stay out of an issue. (IE Verizon or Bank of America security would contact the FBI/Army, etc).

Furthermore, Verizon is a major part of US Cyber initiatives and runs various operations on behalf of the US military at his home office in Ashburn, and depending on the overall US Threat picture we had anywhere from a single squad to several thousand troops

assigned to our area. We also were involved in scenario planning such as DR plans, and Operational considerations.

**ACTIONS AT VERIZON ARE NOT RELEVANT TO PROBATE COMPLAINTS FILED IN 2017 AND THE PROBATE COMPLAINT SHOULD HAVE BEEN DISMISSED FOR THE SAME REASON**

Appellant however left Verizon in 2014, and has not worked on anything related to his old job, other than Mr. Obama was interested in the final engineering of the programs he wanted from my perspective, even though his team developed short-term architectures well in advance of myself. In other words I usually look at the cheapest and most effective long term way to do something, while the NSA usually just does what the President asks as fast as possible. The US Army has access to DOD funds at 700B+ a year and thus pretty much anything involving Presidential security gets funded in near real time from one of various funding sources the president can direct. (DHS, FBI, DOD, TREASURY, OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT)... In other words the President can pull from up to 3.6T dollars in revenue the US has to spend.

James R. Stritzinger in this case claimed he was still active in these operations, and told the Richland County Sheriff I was going on and on about Counter-Terror Operations when I met with him on St. Patricks day of 2018. I was not. I simply told my family that a Florida Circuit Judge had apparently signed an order for Invasive Enhanced Protection/Surveillance which included what I believed was a Sonic attack on our home and audio-relay systems which don't just listen but broadcast comments from law enforcement officers like an intercom in a jail cell.

This was due to actual Microwave and RF energy in blanket form, and direct 4G/5G connections to wireless microphones, and other transmitters on the premise in our opinion. These wireless connections especially in 5G services creates almost unlivable conditions, and if they are installed in bedrooms, kitchens and living areas, its akin to a Sonic attack as various US State Department employees have reported in places like Cuba, and China. I reported the same to the Florida State police, and they confirmed that either a State or Federal officer had in fact signed surveillance orders against my home and property. To determined the source of the same I either had to sue the State of Florida, or the US Government in Federal court.

When I returned to South Carolina, Appellant has faced the same types of surveillance in at least three different locations including both of his brothers residences, and two other semi-permanent locations Appellant has stayed while litigation was pending which means likely local State officers even municipal judges are participating in a case where they have no relevant information, knowledge and facts as are Sheriff's deputies who are trying to evasively find other issues.

Appellant is not a South Carolina resident, and has overruled Judge Belton's order on the same issue. I made no effort to live in South Carolina, only to resolve a Verizon

employment complaint which could only be done in South Carolina where I was working or in Virginia.

Since Virginia, Florida, and Maryland have dismissed these actions, a Pennsylvania local police officer who is not an attorney looked up these issues, and filed his own complaint, not seeing an order which had everyones names on it. (Asiedu, Stritzinger, Palmieri), although my position is that the Florida court of Appeals judgement also resolves the Pennsylvania complaint which is still pending four years later.

In other words, Verizon's denial of counsel on a rental car dispute which has never been tried has resulted in over seven years of sanctions despite the fact there are four young children involved, and even when the case was heard in part, the court refused to let him make a statement longer than five minutes, call witnesses, or present and mark any documents into the record a due process complaint.

Appellant presented his case to Judge Kelly, but he ruled that a service to Verizon inside and outside counsel by facsimile and hand delivery was not sufficient, so Appellant reserved the case by the Sheriff, and Verizon simply refused it. This court needs to understand that Mr. Crain, Mr. Kennedy, and Mr. Palmieri are court officers of three different states, and they cannot lie about whether or not they received documents. They are in fact arguing the fact that facsimile is proper service. To use third party process servers out of state, the minimum cost is \$125.00 per document, after the document has been sealed by the originating organization - IE the 5th Circuit.

In this case, Appellant has only had \$500.00 exactly once in six months, because temporary funds provided by the US Government have been stolen in their entirety by James R. Stritzinger for 15 months in a row, and the funds provided aren't even sufficient for copies despite an escrow balance of more than 60K dollars which is sufficient to obtain a car, resolve all open credit issues, and legal problems including returning to work.

Appellant has six contracts with Verizon, and all six require them to provide legal support for internal business issues which this is. As such Appellant believes the court needs to review this contracts one by one, and determine whether counsel is required under contract before proceeding on the merits. In other words Appellant is asking for a Preliminary hearing and Ruling on the appointment of counsel if a Default Judgement in his favor is not granted. For a Default Judgment the court would have to find that Judge Kellys late finding of lack of service could be cured, or was in error. I think both are true.

#### F. ARGUMENTS

- 1) Does a lower court officer from the South Carolina Probate court have the power to terminate a Circuit Court proceeding already set on a show cause by a Circuit Court Officer which was filed first?

No in every state, and region in the world to his knowledge a lower court cannot overturn a higher court, strike a setting or restrict a trial in the higher court without a hearing, or a CROSS-SIGNATURE/ORDER from an even more source party. In other words, Judge Belton would have to present her orders to a Circuit Judge to enforce an action in the higher court which Appellant has seen in Texas often. In those cases a Junior Judge presents his order to the senior Judge with copies to both litigants usually with a request for counter-signature. Such a counter-signature such as what Newman did for Judge Belton in this case can then be appealed.

Appellant believes that Judge Newman did not hear any evidence in the lower court, did not review transcripts, and did not allow witnesses to be presented in the Circuit Court, a Due process complaint.

- 2) Is a delay of 19 months for an evidentiary hearing on the merits justice for a due process complaint against the State of South Carolina? Under what grounds?

Yes in five circuit court proceedings including two Probate appeals, I have not been allowed to call a single witness, present a single document, or even have an evidentiary hearing scheduled. Furthermore, the court said that an average interval for a civil trial in South Carolina was one year, and that Motions Hearings with evidence were in fact trial in South Carolina and seldom overturned. In Texas, it might be possible to have three or four motions in one month set, with a trial set in 90-120 days. This appears to never happen here in South Carolina which effectively prohibits heavily contested proceedings. In Texas it goes very quick, but even if you lose in Interim orders, you can revisit everything in trial sixty days later. Here in South Carolina, interim orders tend to be binding, and it seems that an actual trial on the merits isn't even guaranteed. Its almost incomprehensible to me. In Texas attorneys can set each others motions within 10 days. In other words if I file a Motion to Quash, opposing counsel can set my motion and force a ruling within 10 days, not by writing to begging a single clerk with no title, but by a Judge who actually runs the docket. See in Texas there is an associate Judge who works for the Chief Judge who schedules every motion, but they have a call center, and they don't do it for you. They don't pick the judge, or the day. Here in South Carolina it appears the chief judge can actually steer litigation to specific judges they might actually know hate you, or dislike you. So crossing someone like Judge Newman, would prevent me from ever practicing in this circuit, because you simply would Never get a hearing in front of someone who might listen.

In texas they have an open docket call every morning, and you can file objections to specific judges, or against GDC/Associated judges. You don't know who is going to here your case until the very morning of the trial. Here in South Carolina they set the cases 90 days in advance and they tell you who the judge is, which gives both sides time to try to Taint a verdict in direct correspondence. In other words when a setting is made, I could send 10 letters to judge Newman in private which might explain my entire case, but wouldn't be on the docket. Thats not possible in Texas as there are about 15 district judges, and at least as many visiting district judges or judges in senior

status. Its like guessing into a 30 deck chute, and you literally cannot talk to any judge until 5 minutes before the trial by submitting briefs which you have to serve at the same time. The only problem in Texas is Judges don't have to rule on your briefs like in Colorado. A Colorado attorney told me if you serve a brief 30 days before trial in Colorado the judge on the bench has to answer each and every question formally under the rules. Not in Texas. They can refuse you any formal relief even if you have done your job, but you will get a transcript, and you will get to mark evidence. I think its mostly fair. I don believe its fair the way you have the dockets set today. I also don't believe that mental health cross-claims filed in a lower court were not consolidated, and set after the original claims to Verizon and Bank of America to put them in context.

- 3) Does Verizon have to provide counsel to Appellant, or funds for counsel for this complaint before the court reviews specific issues?

Yes. I think the court will find that they do. Appellants sales agreements, his commission agreements, his intellectual property agreements, and three unique agreements all require Verizon to pay for legal services for company business. Furthermore the Verizon code of conduct which is a public document requires Verizon to defend its own employees in carrying out corporate business.

- 4) Are the issues with Verizon related to previous supply chain conflicts with Bank of America which were material to when he was a Senior Vice President of Architecture & Engineering in charge of the Verizon account?

Yes Verizon Business Engineers assigned to the National Accounts team became angry as they said they had lost over 20% of their salary because of a 200M write-down at Bank of America that their VP did not grant them relief. This is a complicated issue but relevant. Furthermore, Mr. Palmieri asked me to transfer all of bank of America's IT intellectual property to Verizon for free, or via a virtual litigation stance. In Texas for instance everything is discoverable even national security documents theoretically even privileged communications, but most of it cannot be used in a court of law. It can be used to poison a district court proceeding like US v Trump or Schiff v Trump if you prefer, as Mr. Schiff is in a similar position as NSTAC participants. We aren't quite the US DOJ, even though we have similar responsibilities.

- 5) Does Appellant have the right to serve the Whitehouse on Verizon's account and resolve a dispute with President Obama.

Appellant needs to do Top Secret Discovery in this case. Thats not possible without a Federal Judge with a Security clearance in the first place. The problem is the US Court of Appeals ruled that the dispute is actually between Verizon and the US Government over payments of contracts, while Verizon and John S. Stritzinger have a dispute on payments for intermediate work product. At a minimum however, Appellant was owed 110x1500 for 110 preliminary patent submissions accepted by Mr. Palmieri, which was more than 50x any amounts owed by Dollar rental car. In other words even if

the court found that I owed Verizon money for part of my rental car with Dollar (which is reasonable because I kept it for a few days which I paid for), Verizon still owed me more than 100K dollars in unpaid compensation. Mr. Palmieri is guilty of a Virginia Felony. The Virginia magistrate however said I couldn't prove it, unless I showed the court all 110+ submissions and what they were in formal form, (patent filings or near patent filings), and the court determined that Mr. Palmieri had accepted each one. To achieve that they had to get Mr. Palmieri to appear for a deposition, and I couldn't do that because Judges Yeakel, Wooten, and Woolard refused to order him to appear six years later. Then Mr. Palmieri who is the son of a Federal Judge from NYC himself, and a UPenn law school graduate who is quite smart, simply wrote a letter stating the disputed items submitted to the US government were mine, and not Verizon property, and therefore they were not going to resolve the dispute through normal channels. That would mean that the 4th Circuits Judge was in error, that Verizon determined Mr. Obama owed me or my company directly which he objects to via his staff. Mr. Obama said... I have a contract with Verizon, I am going to pay exactly this amount for what we have taken, and that's it. The problem is the system Mr. Obama wanted to build in operations required at least temporary access to every electronic object in America. (All cell phones for 350M people) on a search order. Furthermore, the Supreme Court ruled many years ago in Smith v Maryland, that such records could not be destroyed. (Carriers have a right to maintain usage records for billing and to operate their networks). DHS later bought massive amounts of bandwidth and moved into half our building in Ashburn because of our work. (Look up in Google DHS in Ashburn... and you will get a picture of the DHS site at Verizon Federal HQ as proof). In other words Verizon knows I won, sold the product I designed, and got paid, yet they have called me a criminal, denied me counsel and sued me in seven states to keep from paying me a sum which is somewhere between 20M and 2.1B dollars depending on how much DHS has spent.

- 6) Did Appellant exhaust all possible options for relief and act appropriately in this case?

Due to the materials in question. I am quite sure to resolve a patent dispute on National Security issues, I needed a Federal court. To argue on 100 patents instead of one, I figured it might take three years, and I needed to be in Texas where my kids were. I filed in the Texas Western District, and for the reasons I mentioned above, Judge Yeakel did not serve the complaint in a timely manner, and I was waiting in Columbia, for the Summons. I received a summons in about 5 months from filing not 10 days as expected in most US and state courts. By the 5th month I had to move to Florida, as it was a cheap flight home, but I had no money to even buy food in Texas. I believed I might literally starve to death in a four bedroom home. Furthermore, my dad had stolen my truck, and most of my furniture, and I had to buy a new car, with no cash flow.

- 7) Did Samuel Asiedu, and Joseph R. Palmieri in direct cooperation commit a Felony under the Virginia Wage Code where he was and is a citizen?

Mr. Palmieri is a citizen of New Jersey and a bar member of NY State. Mr. Palmieri told me he had a large budget to pay employees for information he thought he could turn into a patent, but that he had never granted a single party more than a few. He said 100 filings required a special board level authorization and he was not going to pay me. Mr. Asiedu said my travel to New Jersey was not authorized by him only Mr. Palmieri, and he wanted Joe to pay for 4-5 hotel stays which were sitting on my Amex card (so my actual credit was \$1900 rather than \$2500) due to the dispute with Mr. Palmieri. Furthermore, NSTAC activities driven by the Whitehouse involved two more trips in Annapolis, and Downtown which took a few more days, and about \$3000.00 which I paid out of my own pocket as I recall. (Zero coded to the company) and then paid. So the dispute between Mr. Asiedu and I had reached about 3300.00 by February of 2013. By the same time I had submitted over 100 solutions to Mr. Palmieri and he owed me over 110K dollars.

Under Virginia Wage law, a Virginia State prosecutor would usually attach, and prosecute the case to conclusion, and here in South Carolina Chief Investigator Love said they do as well, but only for 1 year passed incident. SC does the work for the petitioners for the first year if they think it has merit.

Magistrate Wheaton in Virginia is aware that Mr. Palmieri is a man of significant and would not violate the law intentionally. But I am advising the court, that Mr. Palmieri's was aware that even if I built all of the systems myself that Mr. Obama wanted, I still could not use them without interconnects to Verizon, and ATT where the actual endpoints resided, or I had to build software applications that the US Government mandated that US handset providers installed including Chinese government controlled Huawei. Even if you build an application, trying to deploy that application to 350M users is difficult unless you are Google or Facebook which have most every user in every category as a customer. Google and Facebook however do not have access to Federal Employee data which is all owned by Verizon, ATT, and Sprint at near 100%. (The three major US Fed Govt suppliers). So essentially I either had to have access to NSA databases, or I had to be a regulated US common carrier with special interconnects..... so that goes like this:

- you file for a CLEC license based on a proposed Business agreement.
- The state PUC approves your CLEC license.
- You ask ATT, and Verizon for special interconnects and new tariffs which is an Information Service and not regulated by the FCC, and they say no.
- You file a complaint with the FCC that Verizon and ATT are blocking you from offering a service to Mr. Obama.
- You go to explain to the FCC head who works for Mr. Obama that this is what the Secret Service needs to do.
- The Head of the FCC says no.
- You ask Mr. Obama to sign an order directly to you. He says no.

- Then you sue. And That process based on historical information is between 1 year and 3 years for each state and each interconnect. (2 lawyers x 50 states x 3 providers) or 300 lawsuits to achieve what Mr. Obama wanted. OR you have to sue the United States for access to NSA data which requires the Joint Chief's signature, Mr. Obama's signature, and the Director of the Bureaus signature. Then likely a countersignature from at least one, and perhaps all of the FISA judges who use this data every day. (Thats literally nearly impossible) in the District Court.
- So you have to start by simply suing Mr. Obama in the Supreme Court of the United States and explain everything at once on Original Jurisdiction, or the Supreme Court has to find that Mr. Obama staged an operation which was impossible to practice without him, or that he committed a felony against you, your friends and your family by torturing your and threatening death penalty for disclosure of tools and processes. (Which he did regularly). He did so as at some point I got mad... and he had bugged my house, and had NSC officers talking to me as if they were sitting in my living room. So I started sitting on a beach in Virginia talking to anybody I wanted while they had me under extended surveillance really drove him nearly nuts.
- And of course... Joes team says... John you simply need to call Barrack, go play basketball and get this all worked out.... And I said... well that's Ms. Zeleniak's job and she thinks Im nuts... and that its a severe risk to Verizon corporation.... To the point where she needs Mr. Mcadam....
- The moral of the story is that only the Blueprints mattered as Mr. Obama had already ordered Verizon, Sprint and ATT to give him this data 10 years earlier. So we essentially just need the cash now, and everything else is a big waste of time. The vice president might have gotten me a meeting with the FCC, and maybe even a discussion with a staff member on the joint chiefs... but it would have ended there. To get beyond the president it would have taken the entire Senate Intelligence committee if we didn't simply sell an application out of the App Store and Google play, or had Apple, Motorola, and Qualcomm building their standards with our stuff in them.

8) Does the State of South Carolina have any standing to resolve this complaint?

No. State Appellate courts have no power to resolve State disputes in Virginia, Delaware, and Pennsylvania which remain outstanding only Federal Judges can sign orders of consolidation which are impactful(but only before trials and not after they begin).

9) Is James R. Stritzinger Jr. in any way involved in this dispute, have relevant knowledge, or Experience?

No. He's not relevant, and has no knowledge. He signed an NDA with Verizon, as I thought as a Verizon employee Mr. Palmieri would ask me to build everything I designed. I expected they would do it quickly. As such I got my brothers company to sign an NDA so that I had some capability potentially. Internal IT would not even quote

a project which did not have an ICB attached to it, and Mr. Asiedu said that Classified projects were priced by Mr. Stratton and Ms. Zeleniak directly via a different team. But for the same reason Mr. Asiedu wanted me to do all of the Engineering detail, and told me I would be fired if I was over 10% off either high or low. He told me to be careful and make sure I had identified cleared personnel at Cisco, Sony, and others or to do the work myself. Mr. Asiedu recommended Executive Technologies in Charleston, who I believe gave me an off-site address location which did not appear to be a corporate HQ. It was a 2000sq ft residence with a secretary and two desks and did not look like a prime Verizon contractor. Furthermore, their website looked suspicious almost as if it was a State Sting. It did not feel like even a small start-up. I think Mr. Asiedu, may have run an operation on me and asked me to go to South Carolina on a ridiculous request simply to move litigation somewhere painful. (Like the state where my brother worked).

The contact Mr. Asiedu pointed me to, was a heavy set man who looked like Craig Stadler of the PGA tour. He did not look anything near the part, and they had no gear in this "sattelite building" as they called it. It may have been a wild goose chase, but I didn't care as I had a lot of data to assemble for my quote, and I could do that at the Verizon offices across the street. If things went bad in Charleston, I might have been out four days of hotel rooms, which was small compared to my salary.

10) Are William R. Stritzinger, and James R. Stritzinger Sr involved in this dispute?

WRS, and JSSR told Dollar rental car, I had stolen the Verizon Rental car, and did so despite having no idea what I was working on. WRS, and JSSR provided the probable cause statement to the officer in Orlando. Had they told them they had no information, no warrant would have been issued, and I would not have been suspended at work. In other words WRS, and JSSR provided two inadmissible statements to an Orlando police officer which has nearly ruined the lives of my children, and my entire family and they did so in a mean spirited way.

After taking a new job at Cincinnati Bell in the Fall of 2015, Petitioner/Appellant believes that JSSR called the Ohio Police, and reported I had stolen an Enterprise Rental car which I had rented on my own card. That rental however was for me personally to get to work, and was not corporate sponsored. I had however paid a month in advance. Six days before the rental was about to end, when I was going to return the car, they tried to put another whole month on my card. And when it declined, they asked to try to prosecute both cases.

11) Is the complaint with Dollar Rental Car from Orlando Florida resolved to the State of Maryland, and Florida's satisfaction?

Yes. But a single local police officer in the the State of Pennsylvania, who is not an attorney, and has not contacted his State Attorney from Delaware County served a complaint to try to retry everything that happened as all of these incidents involved a Pennsylvania drivers license issued in his county. He cared not at all about the Maryland Court of Appeals, or the Florida Court of Appeals nor Article IV of the US

Constitution (Full faith and credit). He believed he could retry the entire case again a 5th amendment issue on background.

- 12) Should this court enter a restraining order and sanctions against an Orlando Police officer who continues to call peers in other local departments to try to re-litigate a case he already lost in Florida? Is the appropriate punishment termination and a treason charge?

Yes. This officer who lost his case by deferring to Maryland, has traveled to South Carolina at least three times in two years, and I believe tried to get local officers here to prosecute the case (See Heath v Alabama).

- 13) Was Petitioner a US Government employee, or contractor for this program along with a Verizon senior officer?

Petitioner/Appellant was designated as the tech lead for the FBI bid, and like all other contracting officers under Federal Code (FAR) everyone listed on the bid is equally responsible for its content. This is not an exclusive right, but as a responding team there four or five other people listed including Ms. Zeleniak and Mr. Pinn as our executives. The people listed are those who usually get paid when you make a sale. However sales people including three associates assigned only to the FBI, get paid differently and usually hate the engineers because we usually augment base pricing with special features they ask for.... And not in their favor. So they have to decide whether to ask Management to reduce margin or change pricing. As a result Federal Engineers and managers have to rebuild the cost models which the corporation uses for things like Transport, and often we had completely different numbers. In other words, Ms. Zeleniak is completely responsible for her cost numbers, margins and delivery subject only to confirmation from Mr. Stratton, and the CFO for the corporation. Ms. Zeleniak in my experience is singularly excellent at her job, and they make it look very easy. Most of the financial analysts have 20+ years of federal bid work, and most have lots of valuable advice in preparing documents, terms and conditions as one would expect in a Federal Business unit. In general the engineers however to complete a design which makes sense, and we have to sell it to the team including the vendors we want to use. If that internal sale holds, then the team spends a lot of time explaining it, pricing it to everyone's satisfaction, and delivering to the customer. If we make a sale, usually operations starts over, and rebuilds actual costs based on the orders they receive. If they don't match then the engineers like myself suffer. So ultimately the Engineering & Architecture teams for Verizon federal are senior and stand-alone. We have benefit only of pre-negotiated kits from the CPE/SEDS teams which are pre-tested and priced inclusive of lease amounts. All core elements, routers, and distribution items, construction and fiber however is done by the Federal Engineering teams. Mr. Asiedu was responsible for all Civil Federal Engineering which is a massive job for Verizon. I had never seen his resume, nor seen his work products he submitted in more than a year since joining the company, but he did have mine. Furthermore, Mr. Asiedu did not present standards for design, and architecture which I had seen at other

companies. He wanted me to bring that to every design on my own, just like an independent architecture team would do in designing a new building.

14) Was Petitioner named on the bid to the FBI to the attention of Lauren Caperton of the Federal Bureau?

Yes. The court can order Ms. Caperton to provide a copy of the Verizon bid I designed, and was part of the submission team, and my name is on the front cover. This is sufficient in itself to resolve the Dollar complaint. Neither the FBI, or Verizon however will provide any documents to me in now nearly five years, but I had most of the documents I needed in my possession.

15) What sentence should the Court of Appeals impose on Mr. Palmieri (A NY Resident, and NY Bar Attorney), and Mr. Asiedu(A Maryland Resident)?

Well originally due to the complexity of the Issue I pardoned them of their involvement. But to give you an example, the State of Virginia will even deny you SNAP if there is a criminal charge pending against you. It's very nearly a death penalty for any employment claim filed in a State court and its usually unnecessary. ITs unnecessary as simply terminating at at will employee without cause would result in him only being paid two weeks of time. (In this case I would have received 7500 in severance pay + 10K in unemployment). Mr. Asiedu paid Wilcox and Savage over 200K and Im told over a Million dollars rather than me 17500, because they believe they will simply steal and build the preliminary patents they have in their possession which are worth over 50B for Verizon, and simply wait for me to sue them for breach of copyright, tie me up in court, and settle for something way less than that. If I used the materials and went public, then they would find something they said I stole from them like a CPE kit or unusual access to vendors. Its difficult however as at Bank of America I was in charge of all vendors, all equipments and a global infrastructure which as it turns out is far more complicated than Verizon's delivery for customers.

What I am advising the court, and what I tried to explain to Dr. Raynor, and My brother, is that without a carrier on your team, and one or more large ISP's the value of location based proximity processing is specific to an enterprise. But its only addressable if you sell to them or work there. For example if I worked for ADT security, and I built a proximity system for Bank of America or Verizon Corporation then I would get paid for my work via service sails, and not via shares in a private/public company, but I would have a reasonable chance to succeed as they have a lot of components already built which are easy to change in small ways, but take years to build. To give you an example just to build an Internet shell for my proposed product set, took me almost four years. And at Centurylink/Level3 we had about 20 engineers which had worked almost 15 years on our system (300 Man years). There is only hope, because Open Source Computing tools are possible in most cases now, and there are lots of people like myself who write one "Widget" who adds to the existing toolset but it might not take that long. As such some open source software exceeds what Verizon and

Centurylink have very quickly because 1000 people adding projects to something like Joomla quickly exceeds the base code built over time.

At Bank of America however we had 100K developers, so in just three months, I could write systems which exceeded Level3 and in cleaner form(as you start from scratch) for less money. But you need to understand working at Verizon (17B annual in capital spending), and Bank of America where everything is possible is not normal.

As the Chief Architect for Bank of America with 16B in reserved Operational Capital for Development in six CIO towers we could build literally anything, faster, and better than your wildest imagination or that of my brother sometimes in just days. For example to add a new screen to oracle financials we could do that with a single resource in less than a week.

At Clearview Software/Microsoft where Jim worked we had about 50 resources total with half focused on Bank of America. For the card, and home loans products we had about 25-50 Man years invested. I could achieve something that large on the worlds best network inside a month in my old job. Thats what my parents and Verizon do not understand.

At Verizon they own all these massive Provisioning systems which do everything they have in their product catalogues.... But they won't add to them without a 1M+ project. So in the Federal group Susan on this project asked me to write my own code (assuming I could use Verizon mediation software to Verizon Wireless). And the answer is yes, but you end up having to build a new adapter layer for every switch or use SS7 data collection. Its close to impossible without a team of 5-10 people unless you built the voice/data networks yourself. For example my voice engineers at Centurylink could build me anything within a few days but only because they had built all the trunks, memorized all the codes, and were experts on every trunk, every switch, and every gateway. To get there took 20 years each.

Microsoft had that level of experience when they bought Clearview, but it took awhile.

My anger to Mr. Palmieri, is that I lost a child, and he paid for outside counsel, the FBI, and Virginia police to tear apart an employee, even though we won a bid. He could have approved a nominal amount like 15K dollars to resolve the expense reports and removed an audit stain without going to the board, and by now we could have had 15 real patents to be proud of. The issue I think is that a Patent on wireless issues is roughly equivalent to a PHD paper. So submitting 100 items is like 100x your PHD with the caveat is usually a PHD project comes to fruition. (IE you write the code and demonstrate your research). At Bank of America they would have put each of the 100 with a senior developer, and they would make our plan real very quickly, which is why I agreed to transfer the program to Mr. Holliday. I am not sure exactly what it will be worth to the company over time, I do know however its worth 50B to Verizon today with a Verizon contract, and that's a lot of difference from zero. To Pay Mr. Blake instead of myself, even if I was fired was nearly unbelievable, and they could have paid

for counsel to help me terminate my lease in Virginia and move back home. Instead they pretended like I was working for the Russians, and put me on their version of the FBI watch list. What I don't understand is Mr. Milch was traveling around with me and was really pleased where were by April of 2019, so I don't understand what went wrong. I believe the issue for Mr. Asiedu was they didn't think that ETC from Charleston could deliver the service, or if we won, we might want to deliver systems using Verizon internal counsel.

Effectively the right charge is 1) FRAUD for running a patent program where they didn't pay 2) GRAND LARCENY for taking items which they acknowledged weren't theirs 3) VIOLATIONS OF VIRGINIA WAGE CODE 40.1.29 which I think would take a fair bit of time to prove. At a minimum Mr. Pin would need to testify, Ms. Zeleniak, and Mr. Matosco.

For Mr Blake I would add Treason, Kidnapping and Obstruction of Justice.

(Sentencing Guidelines are for 3-7 years each), and that between 9 and 21 years for Mr. Palmieri, and Mr. Asiedu if everything was proven.

The issue is Mr. Obama and now Mr. Trump can simply say we don't know what your talking about. We never did that, there is no documentation and there is no legal recourse. So crossing the President of the United States, sans an act of Congress pretty much means you won't get paid for your work.

If you sue the United States, and the District Court approves the filing, they will say that anyway in their answer. And your left with trying to subpoena the Director of the Secret Service, The Attorney General, and the President which will end in some lower judge looking at you in dismay or with laughter.

So Id prefer to begin by Ms. Caperton, and Ms. Zeleniak simply making a statement on whether the FBI, the Whitehouse, and DHS bought anything from Verizon as a result of this bid, to summarize the amounts, and to itemize any commissions which were paid to the sales team. If they didn't actually build it, then I guess Mr. Palmieri's right. The design may or may not have been built by the NSA, but they aren't going to claim the designs, and patents.... Which simply means my company owns them and we move on. Then what. I have a small ISP with somewhere between 1 and 200 real patents in a 5 years time perhaps never make a profit, and Ive almost lost my life in very real terms. No money, no children, no patents, no validation in the courts, nothing. Thats what Judge Wooten and his staff did in six years. He effectively by denying a trial entered a criminal claim worse than if he held me in contempt as then I would have been out in three years, and moved on. I am seven years in and never had a trial, and Im quite sure my wife's counsel James Richardson as soon as I take one step in Texas will seek to seize, attach or sue for anything he can think of to tie me up for 2-3 years more or until Lucy graduates whichever comes first, then claim interest on an old judgment which is wrong forcing us to try to amend with a Justice on the Court of Appeals who had had

sex with my ex wife. Since the Supreme Court of Texas did not enter a judgement, you cannot motion to them to reopen the case.

So I am pretty sure, since I didn't do anything wrong other than agree to help Mr. Asiedu work on a bid outside of our division(as the FBI was not in the Civil Accounts group) when I simply should have told him no. If i had, it was quite likely he was going to terminate my employment by April of 2019 for insubordination which also would have been without severance. That does not give the FBI the right to bug my house, and threaten my life if I don't help the President who has never taken the time to have me even attend an event with 10K other friends of his and even though he was in Leesburg nearly all the time due to his two daughters studying there. (they usually studied in Virginia and attended school across the river). I think this was because Marine One/X could fly them to the Leesburg Virginia National Guard base in just a few minutes from the South Lawn, or their school and there they usually had on the order of 100 soldiers assigned or already in position. As such I was pretty sure simply because Malia, and Sasha went to the same library I did, I was under a virtual sentence anyway if I didn't travel very far away from Route 7. In other words, they had to secure 50 miles of highway for the daughter of the President to go to the library. Its easier for the Secret service to bring them books and study at home, but the kids get mad so they usually add a few options.

In my case, the Presidents detail guarding his children was demonstrating things which Never arose in Delaware, and that's how I knew Joe wasn't in the loop. The president built an all-federal internal affairs system which impacted all federal workers, and its as expansive as the ATT Firstnet bid. Thats why I was angry, as we need this Federal system we built to work with local police, and NSTAC resources which I was but a part.

In summary, It's hard to be a Federal worker without a team. Usually the sales team keeps close ties with their federal procurement officers, usually older men and women with 25+ years of service who they meet for lunch, and a light powerpoint or two. The management team usually worries about pricing, and delivering services across a really big plant, and the engineers about powerpoint, and drawings which get their main points and differentiators across. In practice Federal Engineers have to be former product managers and be able to analyze what someone like SAIC is delivering versus Verizon internal services. The Verizon job I had was pretty much the worlds premier job. Its more exciting that the Verizon Military role, as usually the military just buys commodities like Optical and Voice systems from Verizon. They don't usually tell us how weapon systems work nor do we build them in the past. However that all changes with location processing. It is possible to build cellular based air-defense, sports, and virtual reality systems using cellular location which is what I was trying to explain to Verizon. This would allow Verizon to become a full military contractor with its own capabilities over time. Its however tough to move a big ship. I believe Mr. Palmieri understands, and they are hoping for a few miracles in startup mode. That hasn't happened as criminal complaints are the number one issue which prevents fundraising. You can't lease a house, buy a car, or borrow money if you have a legal risk. What Mr.

Asiedu did is he prevented me from working anywhere, and from any ability to resolve my difficulty.

Can we move on? Well I tried, until a Delaware Public Defender, and a Pennsylvania local police officer decided to work together, and I believe a Maryland Queens Annes County Prosecutor installed an fMRI system on a Virginia resident. This system provides the equivalent of a basic cell phone functionality inside the human body for short periods of time. I believe the systems actually bio-degrade over 180 days, meaning that whoever signed this deal has reauthorized his own work as many as six times, and that seems impossible to me unless the US Secret service was doing it. I believe it's either Judge Yeakel or Judge Wooten likely. So they are literally torturing a litigant they wouldn't even allow to present his case. (Presumption of Guilt by Non-Cleared parties).

I will note however the fMRI processing seems correlated to Bank of America outside counsel communications. Meaning they are taking my correspondence to a local judge they know likely in downtown Charlotte, and stating that I am disgruntled employee or something along those lines. Verizon has been mute for the past three years, and have said nothing either in anger or in excitement even though they have had the opportunity to do so. I am not sure why.

What I am telling you is that the top performing person at both companies, has received no long term benefits and has been sued by local persons of unknown levels, and authorities which is illegal under the US Constitution, The Texas Constitution and the South Carolina Constitution. (Right to confront your accuser).

I believe the primary accuser was a Maryland State Prosecutor who was initially angry that a Dollar rental car was towed to BWI airport, asked for all this death-like treatment, then found out it was Verizon business trip. Along with Mr. Asiedu, I believe she should be punished.

16) Why not the Bureau?

I was told by Mr. Obama's team that standard FBI field agents were not cleared for the program which made sense based on the content, but it makes it nearly impossible for me to resolve the problem.

I am wavering on this however. I was told I had to speak to the Jacksonville office which originated the case by the FBI hotline. I also have tried to contact Mr. Torrance figuring he would help me, but he didn't quite care about my situation, he believes I accused **Mr. Obama of multiple felonies including entering an illegal draft, fraud, and torture of a US citizen without cause and as such he won't talk to me.**

**How can you help me?**

I need the court to authorize immediately 1/2 of my probate funds being wired to me so that I can resolve my outstanding bills, buy a new car, and see my children. That may include at least two new doctors opinions which usually cost as much as \$2500.

## **F. ADDITIONAL ARGUMENTS**

I believe that I shouldn't have to embarrass myself in the high courts with issues related to my family when Verizon simply hasn't even filed an appearance and an answer. If the court enters a default judgement Verizon will either pay or Appeal. If they appeal they will have to say why. Mr. Obama however worked until January 1st 2017, and this project was active then.

When I arrived in Florida, they were working on neighborhood geo-fencing, so that if you left a public road, and were carrying an electronic tag that they could process those as well. They had that completed by March of 2017 as they could literally pull up in the postal areas outside our complex in real time for example even when I had been driving a golf cart for some distance(2-3 miles).

In other words, we built literally everything and demonstrated it. The challenge I had was Verizon is the leading IT provider for many state and local police agencies and so it was hard to tell what Verizon was doing, what the FBI was doing, and what the US Military was doing on this same subject all of which were talking to me about use cases. Furthermore, Mr. Freeh has enough resources to make me believe the FBI is involved, or on my team which I think is illegal but he probably doesn't. In other words with fMRI, and a few 100 resources you can literally create the matrix. Only state sponsors, and rich sponsors like Verizon, ATT, Mr. Wright have the power, will and money to do so.

To make things simple I believe the project was very nearly done by December of 2014. Which is another year of pay, and 110 submissions I think is fair. Thats about a 250K settlement if Verizon defends me in all state litigation regarding Verizon activities. I think they are in default on my original filing which is now worth 6 1/2 years pay and my job back. (its been that long).

Lastly, I incurred almost 12M dollars in equivalent legal expenses as I filed on the Supreme Court of South Carolina website, and we received a relevant ruling in Carpenter v United States which should save Verizon more than 2B dollars a year based on my work, and that of the ACLU among others. I believe I should be compensated for that work if nothing else comes out of this. We received a very major decision requiring a warrant for any use of location data. This should nearly eliminate 12M annual requests made just in the 11th circuit jurisdiction in FL, GA, and AL to Verizon, ATT, and Sprint. Verizon and ATT had the bulk of these requests as the previous incumbents in florida which had made it unprofitable to do business there.

I am seeking for Verizon to get paid for all of these requests at least two hours of technician time + 1 hour of legal time. Thats about 350.00 per incident on a one-off basis. Assuming Verizon received 6M annual requests, that's 1.8B a year just in the 11th circuit in new revenue, and in all 12 districts, perhaps as much as 10B a year all for Ms. Zeleniak. To put things in perspective that would double Ms. Zeleniaks revenue from today a major deal for one new project which we already do today being run better. That should make me the hero instead of the goat.

So excluding the 100+ patents, simply building a pay for request system requiring an attached warrant and identification of the issuing court would be worth 10B a year in positive cash flow for Verizon which should have 120B in make capitalization impacts. Thats a lot of money to common stockholders. For the very same reason I should be paid for that work right away and this court should enter a default judgement.

If I am ordered back to Verizon, this one new project, would likely provide me with 25 years of job security, and full retirement pay. Thats worth a lot to my family. Thats what this court should order. To the extent that Mr. Palmieri or his peers and I file new patents that would go to my long term academic credentials where it should be fairly easy to get my PHD from any university, and perhaps even a faculty position. Thats literally impossible with pending litigation, you can't even take classes at your alma matter where you have already graduated.

Also I think this court should take oral arguments on the impact of eVerify on its own Medical Costs, and Support networks which older people are not likely to recognize in the context of Article IV of the US Constitution and Public Web Search.

## **PRAYER**

Appellant prays for general relief. Appellant prays for a Default Judgement for Verizon's failure to appear, and misconduct, Appellant prays for a Remand for a hearing on representation and to review previous contracts, and Appellant prays for a Trial on the Merits if this court cannot find in his favor. Lastly Appellant notes that with less than \$300 in cash its very nearly impossible to serve and command parties to appear in a lawsuit. Appellant is therefore seeking for the court to order Verizon to appear after serving the case itself.

Appellant has no interest in remaining in South Carolina for a single day, and believes an acceptable result would be for Verizon to pay his medical expenses to Palmetto, and he return to Virginia to seek other employment considering Mr. Obama is no longer in office. The medical expenses arose from a previous assignment Mr. Asiedu gave him for Ms. Capertons bid which was his last major Verizon work project.

Appellant has also worked on a Verizon response to Bank of America on his own time.

Signed this 2nd day of February, 2020.

John S. Stritzinger  
2156 Cresthill Rd  
Columbia, SC 29223