

From: [Andrew Lindemann](#)
To: [Harrison, Catherine](#); robert@crowelafave.com; lgibson@lourielawfirm.com; josh@kendrickleonard.com; chris@kendrickleonard.com
Cc: [Jennifer Baker](#); shelley@crowelafave.com; csutphin@lourielawfirm.com
Subject: RE: Demetrius Mack v. Leon Lott(2) (Appellate Case No. 2017-001734)
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2020 12:45:02 PM

***** EXTERNAL EMAIL:** This email originated from outside the organization. Please exercise caution before clicking any links or opening attachments. ***

Ms. Harrison:

No one other than myself from my office will be attending oral argument. I am not ill or showing any signs of illness. I believe Mr. Garfield, who was trial counsel, is planning to attend as well so I will let him speak for himself about his state of health.

My preference would be to hold oral argument – I think it would be useful. I am prepared to go forward tomorrow, but I also have no objection to re-scheduling at a later time as the Court believes is appropriate.

Thanks.

Andrew

Andrew F. Lindemann
Lindemann, Davis & Hughes, P.A.
5 Calendar Court, Suite 202
Post Office Box 6923
Columbia, South Carolina 29260
Direct Dial: 803-881-8921
Email: andrew@ldh-law.com

From: Harrison, Catherine <CHarrison@sccourts.org>
Sent: Tuesday, March 17, 2020 12:37 PM
To: Andrew Lindemann <Andrew@LDH-Law.com>; robert@crowelafave.com; lgibson@lourielawfirm.com; josh@kendrickleonard.com; chris@kendrickleonard.com
Cc: Jennifer Baker <Jennifer@LDH-Law.com>; shelley@crowelafave.com; csutphin@lourielawfirm.com
Subject: RE: Demetrius Mack v. Leon Lott(2) (Appellate Case No. 2017-001734)

Dear Counsel:

In light of the COVID-19 pandemic, the panel is considering alternatives to holding tomorrow's oral

argument in this case. If you, your client, or your necessary staff who are planning to attend oral argument are exhibiting signs of illness, we ask that you notify the Court. Additionally, we would like to know your preference regarding resolution of this appeal. Would you prefer to (1) orally argue the case tomorrow; (2) consent to the panel deciding the case based on the submissions by counsel; or (3) continue the case until a later term of court? We ask for a response as quickly as you are able.

Catherine Harrison

Deputy Clerk of Court
South Carolina Court of Appeals
803.734.1187

From: Harrison, Catherine

Sent: Friday, March 13, 2020 1:09 PM

To: 'andrew@ldh-law.com' <andrew@ldh-law.com>; 'robert@crowelafave.com' <robert@crowelafave.com>; 'lgibson@lourielawfirm.com' <lgibson@lourielawfirm.com>; 'josh@kendrickleonard.com' <josh@kendrickleonard.com>; 'chris@kendrickleonard.com' <chris@kendrickleonard.com>

Cc: 'jennifer@ldh-law.com' <jennifer@ldh-law.com>; 'shelley@crowelafave.com' <shelley@crowelafave.com>; 'csutphin@lourielawfirm.com' <csutphin@lourielawfirm.com>

Subject: Demetrius Mack v. Leon Lott(2) (Appellate Case No. 2017-001734)

Dear Counsel:

Attached is a PDF of the letter mailed today from the Court of Appeals. Please reply to this email to confirm receipt. Thank you.

Catherine Harrison

Deputy Clerk of Court
South Carolina Court of Appeals
803.734.1187

~~~ CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE ~~~ This message is intended only for the addressee and may contain information that is confidential. If you are not the intended recipient, do not read, copy, retain, or disseminate this message or any attachment. If you have received this message in error, please contact the sender immediately and delete all copies of the message and any attachments.