

Re Robert Watkins 243803  
Criminal Case 2002-6823-1063  
Appellate Case 2017-001863  
Appellate Case 2020-000488

RECEIVED

MAR 30 2020

SC Court of Appeals

3/24/20

Dear Jerry Kitching

As enclosed, the appeal I believe you  
are referred to. Since you never responded to any of  
my letters of concern in which I tried to get an  
understanding as to the status of each case,  
in which your letter confused me as to what I could  
file and what I couldn't file because you moved my  
cases up on me. I also sent you a Notice of Appeal  
in reference to the two Motions I filed, dated  
Feb 5, 2019 and March 27, 2019, with the office of the  
Clerk of Court of General Session Court, in which the Solicitor's  
office didn't file on the general sessions court docket.

So when I sent a letter to  
Judge Letitia H. Verdier inquiring on the status of these  
Motions, she was told that by Judge Verdier that  
the docket was the docket for both General Sessions Court  
and the Court of Common Pleas was checked, and no such  
Motions were pending, and in that letter said ~~denied~~ Motions  
denied. ~~That~~ That letter to me was dated Sept 24, 2019  
which I sent to the office of the Clerk of Court of  
S.C. Court of Appeals with my Notice of appeal.  
You sent me a letter stating that these two Motions  
were denied and the ~~order~~ Remittitur

pt of 4

was sent on Oct 28 2017. <sup>order</sup> ~~order~~ appellate Case 2017-001863.

These two Motions dated Feb 5, 2019 and March 27, 2019 which were a Motion to set aside the judgment of SC Supreme Court and to reconstitute the judgment of SC Court of App Appeals and a Motion for a new trial pursuant to Brady v. Maryland, 373 U.S. 83 were heard on The Sept 24 2019 letter I sent to you with my Notice of appeal.

Now

If your letter to me dated March 16, 2020 enclosed in referring to those two Motions dated Feb 5, 2019 and March 27 2019 and the September 24 2019 letter I sent to you with my Notice of appeal. I did provide the S.C. Court of appeal was a photo copy of Judge Letitia H. Verdin letter dated Sept 24 2019 to you with my Notice of appeal in which dealt with the Feb 5 2019 and March 27 2019 Motions, that in Judge Verdin Sept 24 2019 letter to me, said denied, when those motions that Judge claimed was not pending in that letter, on file with the General Sessions Court or the Court of Common Pleas. I asked you for a copy of that letter, you never responded back to me.

If you now after I have written you and explained to you how you confused my cases up, have now sent me this enclosed letter.

In to cover my ass, I've complied with your enclosed letter  
all angles, concerning all the case I've tried to seek  
appellate review in the SC Court of Appeals, but somehow  
and for some reason you interfered with that process.  
obviously, at an error or mistake on your behalf.  
I wish you'd still confusing me, because now you've  
given my notice of appeal on new case number  
2020-000488. So Enclosed you will  
also see that I've meant the request  
of your enclosed letter dated March 18 2020.  
and providing you a copy of my proof of service  
in reference to the appeal of the Sept 24 2019  
letter Judge Letitia H. Verdin sent to me denying  
my 2 motions filed on Feb 5 2019 and March 22 2019  
for a new trial pursuant to Brady v Maryland, and  
the set aside the judgment of S.C. Supreme Court, and reinstate  
S.C. Court of Appeals case unpublished opinion 2011-01-096  
~~which~~ which if this is the appeal you assigned  
appellate case number 2020-000488 to and sent  
me the enclosed letter ~~pertaining to~~ pertaining to  
them <sup>again</sup>. If you check with both the office of the  
Clerk of Court of General Session Court, and Solicitor's Office  
I have provided them ~~proof~~ proof of service or Notice  
of my intentions to appeal Judge Verdin Sept 24  
2019 letter to me denying my motions I sent to

You wish my Notice of Appeal. That to cover my butt  
or protect my right to appeal. That order a judgment  
if that what you're now concerning it is. Enclosed  
you will find my Proof of Service, that I have  
complied with your enclosed letter dated March 18, 2020.

If you concerning and accepting my Notice of  
Appeal inference to the Feb 5<sup>th</sup> 2019 and March 27, 2019  
Judge Leitia H. Verdin's Sept 24 2019 letter.

I provided the office of the clerk of Court with  
Notice, and the Solicitor Office when I filed the Notice  
with the SC Court of Appeals. I just ~~just~~ forgot to  
enclosed the proof of Service to the SC Court of Appeals.  
As noted, you already have a copy of the letter  
dated September 28, 2019. In which Judge Verdin ~~to~~  
stated denied in it after finding no motions on file.  
I assume now you are allowing ~~us~~ me to appeal them.  
But also trying to deny me access to the SC Court of Appeals  
with the enclosed letter you sent to me. So  
also enclosed is the Proof of Service.

Robert Watkins 293803

Unit 9 B cell 120

Tiger River Corr Inst

200 Prison Rd

Enoree SC 29335

pg 4 of 4

Robert Walters 243803 Unit 9 cell 120 B

Tiger River Correctional Institution

200 Prison Road

Enoree SC 29335

RECEIVED

MAR 26 2020


TYRCL MAILROOM

To The South Carolina Court of Appeals MAR 30 2020

Post office Box 11629

Columbia South Carolina 29211

FOREVER USA



RECEIVED allow

SC Court of Appeals


MAILED FROM ZIP CODE 29335

0000938609 MAR 26 2020

\$ 000.150

02 1P

PITNEY BOWES



LEGAL MAIL

